kokau

# BIBLIOTHECA INDICA:

## COLLECTION OF ORIENTAL WORKS

PURILIMED WEDER THE PATROVAUE OF THE

Mon. Court of Mirectors of the East Anbia Company,

AND THE SUPERINTENDEDCE OF THE

ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL.

VOL. II. PART III.

Nos. 27, 88 & 185.

### THE BRIHAD A'RANYAKA UPANISHAD,

AWD

THE COMMENTARY OF S'ANKARA ACHARYA ON ITS FIRST CHAPTER.

TRANSLATED FROM THE ORIGINAL SANSCRIT

By Dr. E. Roen.

#### CALCUTTA:

PRINTED BY J. THOMAS, AT THE BAPTIST MISSION PRESS. 1856.

# PREFACE.

IT was my intention to have accompanied this translation of the Brihad Aranyaka Upanishad with an introduction in the manner adopted in my translation of the minor Upanishads; but as the state of my health compels me to rest for a time from literary labours, and as I do not wish to delay any longer the publication of a work which has been several years in progress, I am obliged to forego my wishes on the subject, reserving for the future the publication of my views on this Upanishad in a separate form.

I would only add here the reason which induced me to discontinue the translation of S'ankara's commentary. At the commencement of the work I deemed it desirable to anglicise the commentary in order to assist the student in understanding so difficult an author as S'ankara; this, I presume, has been accomplished by the portion I have translated in the first two fasciculi, and it appeared to me useless to continue with the translation of the whole commentary, as it is cumbrous and generally adds nothing to the explanation of the text, an opinion which was also held by several of my Oriental friends in Europe. In the latter part of my work, accordingly, I translated only such passages of the commentary as elucidate the text, and gave, generally in my own words, S'ankara's prefatory remarks to the different Brahmanas, these remarks being interesting for the views expounded therein as well as for the insight which they offer into the connexion of the various parts of this Upanishad.

```
page .line
                                                  is: The nature of a fa-
 187
          8
                      the causes
                                        road
      ther with regard to those causes.
 141
                      place
                                                  CBES
          8
              dele
         12
                      By the sacrificer
 142
                                         add
                                                   by the sacrificer
  ih.
         18
              after
                      collected
             for
                      obtains
                                         read
                                                  obtain.
  ib.
         14
 143
         22
                                                   dα
                      are
                                           1,
              59
                                                  decrease*
  ib.
         28
                      decreased"
               **
                                           33
 178
             for
                                         read
          5
                      aleep
                                                  aleepu
                      he
  éb.
        84
                                                  the text
              .,
                                                  in the same way, as wells
  ib.
        85
                      the same, as well.
              39
                                         dele
 174
              after
                      Rudra
         5
                                         \tau ead
  ih.
        24
             for
                      are
                                                   in
                      , this
                                                  , the other
 175
          6
                                           55
              27
  ib.
        80
                      vacheba
                                                  vachcha
             after
                     gatipúrvakam
                                         add
  ih.
        ib.
                                                  yat
  ib.
        81
                      etashnu.
                                         read
                                                  etásnu
             for
  ið.
        ŵ.
                     vyapya
                                                  vyápya
              33
                                           37
 177
          3
                      Katyání
                                                  Kátyaní
                                           33
              17
 179
         27
                      dhumáh
                                                  dhúmáh
                                          11
              after
                                         add
                                                   musical
 183
         24
                      and
 185
         84
              dele
                      vide katha U. 5, 10.
  sb.
         35
              change
  ib.
        ib.
             before
                      that
                                         put
 187
         5
             for
                      Vyashti
                                         read
                                                  Vyashti
                                                  Paramoshthi
  ib.
         6
                      Parameshti
              13
                                          33
                      the idea
 189
        32
                                                  ìв
 192
          7
             after
                      vital
                                         add
                                                  air
          2
                      auxiliaries
 193
                                          put
              22
  ib.
         8
                     organe
                                          dele
              52
 194
        17
             for
                      Páriskhitas
                                          read
                                                   Párikahitas
                      in
                                                   ia
 196
        21
                                           28
              dale
 197
                      it
          1
                                                   οf
         15
             far
  ib.
                      on
                                           37
  iö.
        81
                      were
                                                  ire
                      after thinker and place it after "not thinking"
              dele
 198
          1
  ₽.
          9
             for

    O Gárgi

                      Gárgi
 199
                                                   him
        13
              dele
                      t
                                         after
             place
  ib.
        14
                                                   ₩ø
                      aubtile
                                          place
 204
          8
             after
                                                   181
  ib.
          8
                      red
              85
                                           59
  ü.
                      viscid
        Ď.
              32
                                            94
         4
                      adhesive
  ü.
              ź.
                                            83
  ъ.
          6
                      entrance
              39
                                           25
  ib.
          8
                      iŧ
            for
        10
                                          roud
  ü.
                      stay
                                                   are
             after
  ₽.
        10.
                      places
                                          place
                      Hd
205
```

page	line				5
205	24	for	one to	read	one with regard to
210	31	.,,	And	17	An
224	23	22	sarvávěn-	33	sarváván <del>:</del>
ib.	87	**	he	D	the
226	12	after	dream	dele	**
236	6	before	5.6	27	44
240	17	for	is	read	in
251	10		milk-cow	13	milch-cow
258	21	99	fruit	37	fruits
ib.	31	23	both	33	either
262	18	before	mortals	dele	the
268	1	for	tons	read	tions
ib.	7	7.0	bahana	27	yahana
ib.	81	59	Sastra	33	S'as'tra
ib.	82	22	Vais'war	99	Vais'yas
266	24	99	assuming his	*1	having assumed his present
269	9	after	fine	write	(of the rising)

# SANKARA'S INTRODUCTION.

Om! Salutation to Bramha (or Bramha) and the other Rishis, perfect in the traditional knowledge of Bramha, whose names are enumerated in the lineage from teacher to teacher.\* Salutation to the religious instructors.†

This brieft commentary of the Vajasanéyi Bramba Upanishad, which commences with the words: "The dawn is the head of the sacrificial horse," is composed for the sake of those who wish to liberate themselves from the world, in order that they may acquire the knowledge, that Bramba\(\frac{5}{3}\) and the soul\(\precause\) are the same, a knowledge, by which the liberation from the cause of the world\* is accomplished. This knowledge of Bramba is called Upanishad, because it completely annihilates the world, together with its cause (ignorance) in such as possess this knowledge; for this is the meaning of the word Sad,† preceded by Upani.\(\frac{1}{3}\) A work which treats on the same knowledge, is also called Upanishad.

- \* Bramba Rishis are the sages, who in continuous succession from Bramba, Brambá, Paramésti, &c. taught the knowledge of the universal soul. They are enumerated in the Vensu Bramba, a part of the present Upanishad. V. p. 503, &c.
- † The plural is here the plural is majestatis, meaning the preceptor of Sankara Kohárya, whose name was Góvinda.
- ‡ Brief it is called, in comparison with the more voluminous commentary, called the Bhartri Prepancha Bháshya.
  - 4 Bramba, the universal soul.
- || The individual soul. According to the Védantists the soul, independent of the body, is the substance which is pure existence, knowledge and bliss, and which must therefore not be confounded with its facultied the senses, the mind, intellect. Sec.
  - \* The cause of the world is ignorance.
  - † Sad means to destroy, or to go.
  - 1 Upa means near, Ni expresses certainty.

The above-mentioned Upanishad, which contains 6 chapters, is called . Aranyaka, as being spoken in a desert, and Brihad (great) from its extent.\*

The connexion of this Upanishad with the ceremonial part of the Védas will now be mentioned. The whole Véda has the design to explain by what means objects of desire and aversion that cannot be proved by perception or inference, may be obtained or removed; for every man has naturally the wish to obtain objects of desire, and remove objects of aversion. The Véda has not to investigate objects of the senses, because the knowledge of what satisfies desires, or removes objects of aversion, is produced by perception and inference.

Further, unless there is a knowledge of the existence of the soul in another life, there cannot be a wish to obtain or remove objects of desire or aversion in a subsequent life; this is clear from the conduct of those who on the admit any other desires but those, prompted by one's own nature. In consequence the Védaic science is founded on the knowledge of the existence of the soul, relative to a former life, and upon the special means by which objects of desire and aversion in another life may be obtained or removed. The existence of the soul as independent of the body, is evident from positive declarations of the Védas, as shown by the following passages: "This is the investigation

- \* This Upanished, besides the names of Vajásanéyi Bramka Upanishad and Bribad Aranyaka Upanishad, bears also the denomination of Kánwa Upanishad,
- † The Védas are divided in two parts, the first is the Karma-káuda, the ceremonial part, also Púrva-káuda, and treats on caremonies, the second is the Juána-káuda, the part which contains knowledge, also named Uttara-kauda or posterior part, and unfolds the knowledge of Bramba or the universal soul.
- † The Mimintakas and Védántis assert five kinds of evidence, viz. perception, inference, verbal communication, comparison and presumption. Some add also privation. The Chárvákas recognise but one, viz. perception. The followers Kanáda and those of Sugata (Buddha) acknowledge two, perception and inference. The Sánchyas reckon three, including affirmation. The Naiyáyicas, or followers of Gótama, count four, viz. the foregoing together with comparison. The Prabhákares, as first observed, admit five. And the rest of the Mimintakas, in both schools, prior and later Mimánas, comperate siz. Col. M. E. Vol. I. pp. 303, 304. In our passage perception and inference include the other kinds of evidence.
- § The Lokáyatikas are here meant according to Knanda Giri. They do not acknowledge the existence of the soul, independent of the body, and accordingly do not perform any actions relative to a future life.

whether after the death of man the soul exists; some assert, the soul does not exist, assert others." This is the commencement of one Upanishad,\* and it concludes with the words: it exists.

Another passage says: "Some souls after death are born (again) to obtain a body like animals, others are changed into the condition of a trunk, according to their works, according to their knowledge of the Védas."

Another passage commences: "It (the soul) is itself light, its knowledge and ceremonial works follow it (in another life); man becomes holy by holy work."

Another passage which commences: "I will declare" of concludes with the words: the soul is knowledge.

The assertion, that the existence of the soul, as independent of the body, can be proved by perception, we not correct; for there is a contradictory assertion of such who argue the contrary.

For, if from perception a knowledge of the existence of the soul in connexion with another body, could be derived, we should not be opposed to the Lókáyatikas and Bauddhas, who assert that the soul

- \* See Katha Upanishad, J. 20.
- † K. U. VI, 13.
- t K. U. V. 6-7.
- 4 Bribad Aranyaka U. p. 345,
- [] The Chárvákae (or Súnyavádis) so named from one of their teschers, the Muni Chárváka. From Vrishaspati they are called also Várhaspatyas. The appellation Súnyavádis, implies the asserter of the unreality and emptiness of the universe, and another designation, Lókáyata, expresses their adoption of the tenet, that this being is the Be-all of existence: they were, in short, the advocates of materialism and atteism, and have existed from a very remote period, and still exist, as we shall bereafter see. Wilson's Sects of the Hindus, p. 15.

They (the Charvakas) restrict to perception the only means of proof and source of knowledge. Others, however, admit probability and tradition. Col. M. E. Vol. I. p. 403. Sadánanda in the Vódánta Sára, calls up for refutation no less than four followers of Charvaka; one maintaining, that the gross corporeal frame is identical with the soul, another that the corporeal organs constitute the soul, a third affirming that the vital functions do so, a fourth insisting, that the mind and the soul are the same. C. M. E. pp. 403, 484.

\* Bauddhas. There are four sects among the followers of Buddha: 1. The Mádhyamicas, who maintain, that all is void. 2. The Yágacháras, who assert, that, except internal acceptantion or intelligence (Vijnyána) all else # void. 3. Sautránticas.

does not exist. For with regard to objects of the senses, as for instance a pot, no contradiction takes place, as if one would assert the non-existence of a pot, although it is perceived by the senses. If you hold, such contradiction may exist by referring the well known topic of the trunk and the man, if the same object is taken by some for a trunk, by others for a man, we remind you of the fact, that all dispute ceases, when the nature of the object has been ascertained. For there is no dispute about the nature of an object of the senses, for instance, of a trunk, when it has been ascertained by perception. The Vainasikas\* on the other hand, although acknowledging the I, yet reject the opinion of the existence of the soul, independent of the internal body. Accordingly, since the soul is different from any object of the senses, its existence cannot be proved by perception.

Nor can it be proved by inference. If you say, that the Sruti applies arguments in proving the existence of the soul, and that these arguments are based upon perception, we do not admit this on the ground, that there is no perception of the soul, relative to a former birth. When, however, the existence of the soul by the Véda is acknowledged, and also by common arguments, according to the meaning of the Véda, the followers of the Véda, the Mimánsakas and Tárkikas (or logicians), will substitute the fiction, as if the perception of the I and the arguments in accordance with the Védas, were produced by their own

They affirm the actual existence of external objects no less than of internal sensations. The exterior objects is be known by immediate perception. 4. Vaibháshikas, who agree with the latter, except that they contend for a mediate apprehension of exterior objects, through images, or resembling forms, represented in the intellect. C. M. E. Vol. I. pp. 390, 391.

\* Hence these Buddhists (the Santiántikas and Vaibháshikas, who believe, that objects cease to exist, when so longer perceived, they have but a brief duration, like the flash of lightning, lasting no longer than the perception of them. Their identity is but momentary) are by their adversaries, the orthodox Hindus, designated as Púrns—or Sarva—Vainásikas arguing total perinhableness, while the followers — Kauáda, who acknowledge some of their categories to — eternal and invariable, and recken only others transitory and changeable; and who insist that identity ceases with any variation in the homposition of the body, and that a corporeal frame, receiving nutriment and discharging excretions, undergoes continual change, and consequent early loss of identity, are for that particular opinion, called Ardhavainásikas 'arguing half periahableness.' Col. M. E. Vol. I. pp. 393, 394.

intellect, and hence say, that the soul is proved by perception and in-

The ceremonial part of the Védas is intended for the special instruction of him, who with certainty knows that the soul exists, subject to transmigration, and who in consequence is anxious to avail himself of special means obtain and remove objects of desire and aversion in connexion with another body. The ignorance, however, with regard to the soul, which produces the wish to obtain what is desired, and to remove what is not desired, and which by its nature prides itself in the feelings of self, dominion and possession, in not annihilated by ceremonial works; this can only be effected by the opposite knowledge of the identity of Bramha with the individual soul. For as long as this ignorance is not annihilated, so long the ignorant soul, owing to passion, hatred and other natural faults, resulting from works, and owing to the transgression of commands and prohibitions of the Sastra, continues to accumulate by thoughts, words and by the body, works which are called unholy, and which are the causes of evil concerning events that fall within the senses as well as that which do not fall within them. All this is the result of the greater power of natural faults. Hence, in proportion to the faults, transmigration ensues from the highest state downwards the lowest state of inanimate matter.

Sometimes the purification by means of the Sastra has a greater power. Hence the soul acquires by actions of mind speech and body a state which is called virtue, and which is the cause of good. This virtue is twofold—virtue resulting from knowledge and mere virtue. The reward of the latter is the obtaining of the world of the manes (Pitrià) and of other like worlds. The reward of virtue, resulting from knowledge, is the obtaining of the world of the Dévas, and of other worlds, upwards to the world of Bramha. Thus it is said in the Sastra: sacrificial works directed to Bramha, are preferable to works directed to the Dévas. Also the Smriti\* teaches: work, according to the Védas, is twofold, (such as concerns active life, and such as refers to contemplation; the former satisfies desires, the latter abstains from them through knowledge.)

If lastly, virtue and vice are equally halanced in a person, he obtains the condition of man. Thus by the power of ignorance and other innate faults, a soul has to transmigrate, in accordance with wirtue or vice, into worldly forms, different in name, shape and works, from the state of the world of Bramha downwards to the condition of inanimate matter.

Thus this manifested world, subject to the connexion between cause and effect, was not manifested before its creation. "This world which, like a sprout springs from seed, proceeds from ignorance, which placed as the result from works, from the performer and effects upon the soul, is without beginning, is without end, I without reality." To remove the ignorance of a person who shows by reflections, as just described, his dissatisfaction with the world, the following Upanishad is commenced; in order that he may obtain the knowledge of Bramha, which is opposite to that ignorance.

The knowledge, however, of the ceremonies regarding the sacrifice of a horse, is required that those who are not fit to perform the horsesacrifice may obtain by this knowledge the same reward.\*

If one by such passages from the Sruti as the following: "By knowledge or by holy actions the mentioned reward may be obtained," and "He who overcomes even this world," would conclude, that the knowledge was only a kind of work, he would be in error, first, because passages as such: "who offers the sacrificial horse," and "who knower him thus," leave it optional, to perform that sacrifice either by knowledge or in reality; secondly, because it (this knowledge) is also mentioned in the second part of the Sruti, which treats on knowledge; thirdly, because also by other ceremonies a similar representation is made. Hence it follows, that from knowledge alone the reward of the horse-sacrifice derived. The chief of all ceremonies, however, is the Aswamédha, because its reward includes the universal and special state of Hiranya Garbha.‡

<sup>\*</sup> Vis. at the commencement of the Upsnishad a description of the Aswamédha or horse-secrifice, which does not properly belong to it, is given for the object, that Bráhmans and others may obtain by knowledge the reward of this sacrifice, which can II reality only be performed by kings.

<sup>†</sup> That is to say, who knows the sacrifice of the home to be an emblem of Prajapati.

<sup>?</sup> The universal state is Hiranya Garbha as soul of the universe, or I'swara, who rules all; the special state is Hiranya Garbha as present in every individual. Here the special state means of course the state of a special divinity.

Further this commencement of the knowledge of Brahma, the ceremony of the horse-sacrifice is described for the purpose to show the worldly tendency of all sacrifices; for its reward is shown as the devourer, which is death.

If it be said that the regular\* ceremonics have no worldly effect, we deny this on the authority of the Sruti, which enumerates the effects of all works. For every ceremony requires the assistance of a wife. When by such wishes as the following, "may I have a wife," which are based on our very nature, the connection of all ceremonies with objects of desire,—moreover, as the reward of the ceremonies be performed by a son, the world of the Rishis or Dévas, have been pointed out, the state of Hiranya Garbha will be shown as reneard of the Aswamédka at the end of this chapter by the words: "This world threefold by name, form and work."

Thus the world is the manifest effect from all actions. These three (names, forms and works) then were before the origin of the world not manifested. Again they become manifest from the effects of the works of all living creatures, as a tree springs from seed. This world, which is at the same time manifest and not manifest, the object of ignorance, which is morpheus and amorpheus; is for the sake of the ceremony, the performer and the effect, placed through ignorance upon the soul by its semblance of it. Therefore the soul, although it is separate from the world, although in its nature it without the distinction of name, form and work, although it is without duality, essentially eternal, pure, intelligent and blissful, is yet manifested by the difference of ceremony, performer, effect, and by other distinctions. Therefore the erroneous conception of a rope as a snake is removed by a correct apprehension, so the knowledge of Bramha is commenced to annihilate the ignorance, the cause of desire and other faults, and also of works, of a person who is dissatisfied with this world, which naturally represents the distinctions of ceremonial act, of the performer and of the effect, and which naturally applies causes and effects by such sentences, as: this is so or so. In this the part, commencing

<sup>\*</sup> Regular coremonies are such, which, if not performed, are causes of sin, and performed, are no causes of merit, coremonies which every Bráhman bound perform, as for instance the regular coremonies, called Sandhya bandans. V. Védánta Sára, Calcutta Edition. p. 2.

with: "The dawn I the head," &c., is designed for the knowledge of the horse sacrifice. The sacrifice is represented under the emblem of a horse, on account of the eminence of the horse. The eminence again is derived from the sacrifice bearing its name, and from its being the representation of Prajápati.\*

\* The Aswamédha and Purushamédha, celebrated in the manner directed by this Véda, (white Yajur Véda) are not really sacrifices of houses and men. In the first mentioned ceremony, aix hundred and nine animals of various prescribed kinds, domestic and wild, including birds, fish, and reptiles, are made first, the tame ones, to twenty-one posts, and the wild, in the intervals between the pillars; and after certain prayers have been recited, the victims are let loose without injury.......

This mode of performing the Aswamédha and Purushamédha, as emblematic ceremonies, not as real sacrifices, is taught in this Véda and the interpretation I fully confirmed by the rituals, and by commentators on the Sanhitá and Bráhmana. ....

The horse, which is the subject of the religious caremony, called Aswamédha, is also avowedly an emblem of Viráj, or the primeval and universal manifested being. Col. M. E. Vel. I. pp. 61, 62.

#### BRIHAD ARANYAKA UPANISHAD.

#### FIRST CHAPTER.

First Brámhana,

Om! The dawn in truth is the head of the sacrificial horse. The sun is the eye; the wind the breath; the fire, under the name Vaiswanara, the open mouth; the year the body of the sacrificial horse. The heaven is the back; the atmosphere the belly; the earth the footstool (hoof); the quarters the sides; the intermediate quarters the bones of the sides; the seasons the

<sup>&</sup>quot;The dawn is in truth, &c." The dawn means here the hour of Bramha. "In truth," is said for the sake of reminding, and reminds of a well known time. " Is the head," from its being the principal time; for the head is the principal part of the body. For the object of purifying the animal, representing in its members the various parts of the ceremonies. time and other conditions of the sacrifice are typified by the head and other parts. It is represented as Prajápati by giving it the emblems of Prajapati; for the representation of the animal by time, the worlds and the divinities is its representation as Prajapati; for this is the nature of Prajápati, as Vishou and other deities are represented under the form of an image, "The sun is the eye," as nearest to the head, and as being the tutelary deity of the eye.\*-" The wind is the breath," from its identity with it. "The mouth is fire, under the name of Vairwinara;" Vaiswinara is the special name of Agni, meaning, whose mouth is wide open. Agni is also the deity of the mouth. "The year is the body," viz. the year, as containing twelve or thirteen months. The year is the body of the members of time; for the body is in the midst of the members, as the Sruti says, "Of the sacrificial horse;" this is here repeated for the sake of connection, "The heaven is the back," both being placed slike above. "The atmosphere the belly," both being hollow. The earth the footstool. The quarters, although four, are the two sides, by

<sup>\*</sup> As the eye is nearest to the head, so the sun nearest to the daws. This is the point of their resemblance. Ananda Giri.

members; the months, the half months, are the joints; day and night the feet; the constellations the bones; the sky the muscles; the half digested food the sand; the rivers arteries and veins; the liver and spleen the mountains; the herbs and trees the various kinds of hair. The sun, as long as he rises, is the forepart of the body; the sun as long as he descends, is the hind part of the body. The lightning is like yawning; the shaking of the members is like the rolling of the thunder; the passing of urine is like the rain of the clouds; its voice is like speech.l.

the similarity of both. This comparison is not improper for the reason, that the number of both is different; for as the two sides of the horse are turned to all quarters, there is no fault in this comparison. "The intermediate quarters," vis. Agnéyi (regent of the south-east), &c., the bones of the sides. "The seasons are the members," from the simila. rity of the parts of the year with the members of the body. "The months and half months the joints," from their similarity. "Days and nights the feet" in plural number from the difference of days, as days of Prajapsti, of the Dévas, of the Pitris, and of men. "Feet," because they proceed; for the time as body proceeds with the days and nights, as with the feet of the horse. "The constellations the bones," from their similar white colour. "The sky," meaning here the clouds of the sky, because the sky was formerly mentioned as the belly, are the muscles, from the similarity of both in oozing either water or blood. "The half digested food the sand," from the similarity of the separate parts. The rivers, "arteries and veins," because both coze. "The liver and the spleen," the mountains, viz. the two pieces of flesh to the right and left under the heart; they are called "mountains" from their hardness and height. The herbs, small plants, the hair of the body; the "trees," the hair of the head, according to their likeness. The sun rising until noon is the forepart of the horse above the navel; the sun, descending from the midst of his course, is the other half, the hips and loins. from their respective similarity. "The lightning | like the yawning." from the likeness of the mouth, when it suddenly opens, with the rending of a cloud. "The shaking of the members is like the rolling of thunder," from the similarity of the sound. "The passing of the urine"

The day is the Mahima, placed before the horse; its birthplace the eastern sea; the night the other Mahima, which placed behind the horse; its birthplace the western sea; these Mahimas are placed around the horse. The horse, under the name of Haya, carried the gods, under the name of Váji the Gandharvas, under the name of Arva, Asurs, under the name of Aswa, men. The sea is its companion, the sea its birthplace. 2.

is like the raining of the clouds—both sprinkle alike. The voice is like the neighing of the horse; in this there a natural likeness. I

"The day," &c., this is said in illustration of the golden and silver cups, called Mahims, which are placed before and behind the horse. The day the golden cup, from the similar splendour of both. How the day placed as the cup before the horse? By its being an emblem of Prajapati; for Prajápati who is represented by Aditya (the sun), &c. is designed by the day. In naming the horse, Prajapati is meant, as the lightning is manifest by manifesting a tree. The birthplace of the golden cup means here the place where it is kept. Thus the night represents the silver cup, from the likeness of the colour, or of the hind-part of the horse. Behind this horse, the cup, by name Mahima, placed. Its birthplace the western sea. Mahima means greatness; for it shows the opulence of the horse, that the golden and silver cups are placed on either side. These cups, under the name of Mahima, are placed around the horse. The repetition is here made for the sake of praise, viz. the Mahimas, which have those distinctions, and the horse gifted with greatness. The same praise is intended by the words: "The horse under the name of Haya." Haya is derived from the root Hinóti, the action of going, going in an eminent manner, unless it means a peculiar race. "It carries the gods," means either it gained the condition of a god by its being the representation of Prajápati, or it became the carrier of the gods. But I not the office of carrying a blame? By no means; for carrying the nature of the horse, and as by this nature it acquires an eminence, as being connected with the gods and other superior beings, it - rather a praise. In the same manner the word Váji and the other terms of the horse denote different races. The horse, under the name of Váji, carried

#### Second Brámhana.

There was not any thing here before: this was indeed enveloped by death, who is voracity;

the Gandharvas, under the name of Arva the Asurs, under the name of Aswa men. The sea, which represents here the universal soul, in its companion; there is its dwelling place. The sea, its birthplace, the cause of its production. In this way the purified birthplace, or the purified dwelling-place is praised. In the waters is the birth-place of the horse, says the Sruti; thus the sea its well known origin (2.)

The origin of the fire, required for the performance of the horse-sacrifice, will now be explained. As it is intended to describe the particulars of the fire with regard to the horse sacrifice, the birth of the fire is here introduced for its praise.

"There was not any thing here before." "Here," in this universe, there was not any thing that could be distinguished by differences of name or shape. "Before," viz. before the creation of the mind and other productions.

'Then was there not the universal void?" For this follows from the passage of the Sruti: "There was not any thing here," which means there was neither an effect nor a cause. It follows also from the idea of production; for suppose a pot be produced, consequently there is no existence of the pot before its production."

But hence does not follow the non-existence of the cause, as is evident from the lump of earth (from which the pot is produced;) let it be granted, that there is no existence of a thing, that is not perceived; † let therefore the effect not exist; this, however, does not affect the existence of the cause, we it can be perceived (before it produces the effect.)

'No, because before the production there is no perception whatever, either of cause or effect. If then non-perception, which is a negation, is the cause of the whole world, neither cause nor effect can be perceived before its creation; therefore the negation of all (cause and effect) must be admitted.'

We deny this. First, because the Sruti declares: "By death was this

† As of an effect, which is not perceived, before in produced by its cause.

<sup>\*</sup> This = the opinion of the Madhyamilus, one of the four sects of the Bauddhas. Vide p. 3.

indeed enveloped;" for I there was nothing to envelope, and nothing to be enveloped, the Sruti could not say, this was indeed enveloped; for there no such a conception as the son of a barren woman, adorned with a sky-flower. And it is said, by death indeed was this enveloped; therefore, by the evidence of the Sruti, it follows both, that before the creation of the world there was a cause which was enveloping, and that there was an effect which was enveloped. We deny it secondly, by argument; for we may know by inference existence of cause and effect before the production; for I a real effect takes place, it must be produced by a real cause, and cannot be produced by an unreal cause.

The existence of a cause of the world before its creation is argued in the same manner as the existence of the cause of a pot and of other productions. If you\* argue the non-existence of the cause of the pot, &c. from the reason, that the pot could not be produced, unless the lump of earth† and other similar things disappear, I we cannot agree, since earth and other substances are the real causes; for earth, gold, &c. are the causes in the production of a pot, and not the peculiar shape of the lump, since, if there I not the former, (earth,) there is not the latter, (the pot.) Namely, even if there is not a peculiar shape of the lump, the production of a pot and similar effects takes place from such substances alone, as earth, gold and similar causes. Therefore not the peculiar shape of the lump is the cause in the production of a pot. On the other hand, if there do not exist earth, gold and similar substances, the production of a pot, &c. does not take place; consequently, earth, gold, &c. are the causes, not the peculiar shape of the lump; for every cause, when producing an effect, produces this effect only by concealing (making disappear) its former effects, because it is impossible that from one and the same cause, at one and the same time, many effects should be derived.

- \* The opponent of course.
- † From which the pot is produced.
- ‡ Viz. the opponent reasons in this way: If the effect (for instance, the pot) has no actual existence, the cause (the lump of earth) does also not exist. In the effect has actual existence, the cause (the lump of earth) has disappeared, i. e. exists no more.
- § For instance. The shape of a lump of earth, from which a pot is m be made, must disappear in order that the production be possible. This shape, however, m not the cause, but it is merely a former effect of the same cause, earth.

Nor does the cause disappear with its former effect: therefore the production of the pot by the disappearance of the lump, (which you say, is the cause) shows, that the lump is not the cause. If you say, 'that before the production a cause does not exist, because, independent of the lump of earth, earth and other substances have no existence,' we object; for earth and other substances do not disappear with their former effects, but are yet found in the last productions, as in a pot, &c.

If you maintain, 'that without the lump, the pot, &c., earth and other causes are not perceived,' we deny this also, because, when a pot, &c. In produced, the lump, &c. has disappeared, while the earth and other real causes are there still remaining. If you say, 'that the connexion (between cause and effect) depends upon comparison,\* and not upon the permanence of the cause (in the effect);' we deny this also, for as the parts of earth that compose a lump, are found in a pot by mere perception, there is only a semblance of inference, and therefore comparison and other similar actions do not take place.

Moreover the different mode of operation in perception and in inference is not incompatible, because inference, which is always preceded by perception, would otherwise have nowhere a place to depend upon.

If it be supposed, that 'all has only a momentary existence, and accordingly in a sentence: that is this, both terms refer only to a momentary existence,' it follows, that the notion of that is dependent on the notion of another that, which is again dependent on another, so that there is no stability. It further follows, that the notion "that is like this," is also impossible, (the term 'this' being dependent on a similar series) hence the conclusion is unavoidable: there is no dependence whatsoever. The connection between the two notions of that and this is also impossible, because there is no subject to perceive them. If you say, 'there is a connection between them by comparison,' we

<sup>\*</sup> Sankara has conitted to give the argument of the opponent, which is based upon the supposition that the relation of cause and effect can only be apprehended by comparison. Ananda Giri supplies this as follows. All that exists III only momentary; but these modes (cause and effect) exist; therefore they are momentary. If thus the momentary existence of all that exists, has been shown, and the relation of cause and effect depends upon comparison, delunion is unavoidable.

<sup>†</sup> No sentient subject, no reasonable being, because I would be involved in the same eternal change,

object, because the mutual connexion of the notions of that and this cannot be understood. And if there be no connection between one object and another object, the idea of comparison cannot take place.

'Granted, that there is no comparison, the notion of that is possible.' No, because, like the notion from comparison, the notions of that and this have no reference to any real object. 'Granted that all notions have no real object, what then?' You must then admit that true as well as false notions refer to objects which are objects of nothing. If you lastly say, 'this may be so,' we contradict, because, if all notions are without object, you cannot form the idea of a false notion. Hence, your opinion, that the notion of that depends upon comparison, is not true, and we therefore conclude, that the real existence of the cause before the production is proved.

This is also true with regard to the effect, on the ground of its manifestation. 'How is the existence of the effect before its production proved on the ground of its manifestation?'\*

Manifestation is the ground of this (effect)—hence manifestation means a perception dependent on a knowledge which is present; for as in common life a pot and similar things, enveloped by darkness, become an object of knowledge, when the cause of enveloping has been removed by means of light, &c., and do therefore not disapprove their former existence, so also we argue the existence of this world before its production; for unless a pot exists, it cannot be perceived, even if the sun rises.

'It certainly is not perceived by you, unless it exists. For if productions, as a pot, &c. do at any time? not exist, they are not perceived

The objection of the opponent, according to Kanada Giri, is, an follows: If you say, that an effect is proved by its manifestation, how does the existence of the effect follows also its manifestation, and again you maintain, that the existence of the effect is based upon its manifestation. This is a false circle.—The answer of the Védantist in: The previous existence of an effect, which is not perceived. Ill proved by its manifestation, which is perceived. There is therefore no false circle. The argument for the previous existence of an effect is based on this premise: All that is manifestation, as a pot enveloped by darkness.

<sup>†</sup> Reference is here made to the past and future time; for if, cays Knanda Giri, an effect, that is destroyed, or that is to be, did exist like a present effect, it would be also perceived. This, however, is not the case, and therefore the argument is not correct.

by you, if the sun even rises. If the lump of earth is not at hand, and no enveloping causes, as darkness, being present, the effect will not be perceived on the ground that it exists.'

We do not admit this, because there is a twofold kind of enveloping; for things that envelop an effect, as a pot, &c. are twofold; first, things which screen, as darkness, &c. when the earth and other substances are already manifested; secondly, the modification of the parts of earth and other substances in the state of another effect as of a lump, &c., before the manifestation of the earth in the effect of a pot, &c. Accordingly, the perception of an effect, as a pot, although existing before its production, cannot take place, because it is enveloped (in another effect). The distinction, however according to the common ideas of destroyed, produced, existing, not existing, is merely the twofold distinction of the ideas of manifestation and disappearance.\*

'This is improper, because the lump, the two halves of the pot, + &c. are different from any thing that envelops; for any screen, as darkness, which envelops any production, as a pot, &c., appears something different from the pot and similar things, while the lump or the two halves do not appear different from the pot. Therefore your assertion, that a pot, which is in the state of a lump, or of the two halves, is not perceived, because it is enveloped, is improper, because it is different from the idea of enveloping.' \$\Psi\$

- \* By the disappearance of the half, a pot is destroyed; by removing the lump and other enveloping things. It is manifested; its manifestation by means of a light, &c. is its existence; its disappearance by means of the lump, &c. is its non-existence.
- † To understand the following, it is necessary to know that the usual way among the Hindus to make a pot is, first to form two separate parts, the Kapálas, the haives of the pot, and to join them afterwards in the middle.
- This objection is based upon the supposition, that the thing, which is enveloping, is not identical with the thing, that is enveloped by it, as for instance darkness is not identical with any thing that is enveloped by it. Therefore, the opponent argues, the lump of earth cannot envelop a pot or any other production, because both (the lump and the pot) are identical. The refutation of this is twofold, according to a distinction in the term identical, viz. the assertion that the enveloping thing cannot be identical with the thing anyeloped, means either, that it cannot be identical as to its appearence in space, &c. or not identical as to its cause. The first is refuted by the fact, that the contrary takes places, for instance: when milk and water are mixed, water, which is enveloped by milk, if perceived as one and the same with the milk, which could not be the case, if the thing that

We do not sgree, for, on the one hand, milk and water (when mixed) and similar things, appear in an identical state, although the water and similar things are enveloped by milk, &c. On the other hand, if you say, that 'there mothing which envelops, because the parts of a half that is ground to dust are contained in the pot,' &c., we deny this; for if the different parts are in another effect, there is evidently an enveloping. 'There must, however, be an effort to remove what envelops, because the pot and other effects, which are in the state of the lump or of the halves, are not perceived in consequence of their being enveloped, and accordingly a person, who is desirous of any production, as of a pot, must direct his effort to destroy what envelops it, and not to the production of the pot. This, however, does not exist, and it is therefore improper to say, that there I no perception of a thing which exists, because it is enveloped.' We deny this, because it is against the rule in practice; for the manifestation of a pot, &c. does not take place only by an effort to destroy obstacles, as is evident from the effort to produce a light, if a pot and other productions are enveloped in darkness. If you say, 'that this is done to destroy darkness, vis. that the effort made to produce a light, is merely made to let darkness disappear, and if the darkness is destroyed, the pot is " manifest by itself; for there is nothing (no obstacle to the sight) in the pot,' we do not grant this, because the perception takes place, when the pot is manifested; for as the manifested pot is perceived by means of a light, so it is not before the light is employed. Therefore the light is not for the means to destroy the darkness, but rather for the means of manifesting the pot, because the perception takes place by manifestation. Sometimes there may be an effort also to destroy what envelops, as in the destruction of a screen, &c., but on this account it is not the rule. A person who wishes the manifestation of any thing, must make an effort to destroy all that envelops.

This follows also from the success of a work, I done in accordance with its rule. We said, that an effect, which abides in the cause, is an

envelops must be different from the thing that is enveloped. The second II refuted on the ground, that different effects in one and the same cause are separated from each other, and do therefore not destroy each other,—A. G.

<sup>\*</sup> That is to say, when the screen, darkness, is removed, there ill no obstacle to its manifestation.

obstacle to another effect. If then an effort is made to destroy an effect, which was before manifested, for instance a lump, or the two halves which were before concealed by the lump, \* then is produced an effect which is called splitting or grinding, &c. A pot, which is enveloped by such effects, is not perceived, and requires therefore another effort; hence a person who wishes the manifestation of a pot, can only be successful, if the needful action of producing a pot meed by him. Therefore the effect exists even before its production.-This follows also from the distinction of the ideas of past and future. It is only reasonable, that the ideas of "there was a pot" and "there will be a pot," like the idea of "there is a pot," do not refer an object that does not exist.-It also follows from the actions of any one, who desirous of a future production; for nobody would act with regard to a desire of things that have no existence.—It follows also from the truth of the knowledge of the Yogis, with regard to past and future events. If a future not were not an existing thing, divine knowledge, from immediate perception with regard to a future pot, would be false. We do not take notice here of an effect, which is perceived at the present time; for we granted before inference for the existence of an effect which has been, or which is to be. - This also follows from the impossibility of the contrary. When potters are engaged in the fabrication of a pot, it is evident by this proof that the pot is to be; for if with any time the future existence of the pot is connected, then to connect with that time a not existing pot, is a contradiction; for a pot, that is to exist, does not exist, means, it is not to exist; it is the same, as if it be said: This pot now before us does not exist.--Purther, let potters be engaged in the fabrication of a pot, and let it be said, the pot does not exist before its production. If then the meaning of the word not existing, is, that the pot does not exist in the same manner, as potters and other artisans, who are eqgaged in their work, exist, then there is no contradiction. Why not? Because the pot exists in its own form, which is to exist; for neither the existence of the lump, nor of the half, is the existence of the pot; nor their future existence the future existence of the pot. Taken in this view, when potters are engaged in making a pot, there is no contradiction in the idea of a pot which does not exist before its production. If

<sup>\*</sup> That is to say, which were before in the state of the lump; for this is a previous effect of the same cause (earth).

ш

you, however, prohibit the idea of the condition of the pot as a future production, there is a contradiction; but you did not prohibit this; for with every action is not only existence or futurity connected (but also the past). Further, among the four privations,\* the mutual privation with regard to the pot is perceived as something different from the pot, as the negation of the pot may be for example cloth; but it never can become the negation of a pot itself; for the negation of a pot, for instance cloth which exists, is never something negative, but something positive. If this I the case, then all the other negations, vis. antecedent negation, destruction and absolute negation, which may take place with regard to the pot, are something positive, different from the pot itself, because they have the same connection with it as the mutual negation. In this manner refer all negations to something positive. If this is the case, the anteredent negation of the pot does not mean a negation of the pot itself before its production. Therefore if you say, "the antecedent negation of a pot," you must acknowledge the (existence of the) pot itself, or you could not connect it with the genitive case (of the pot). If you say, 'it is connected with it by a rhetorical figure, as if one speaks of the body of a stone figure,' yet, if you pronounce such a sentence as the negation of a pot, the connexion of the rhetorical negation | with the pot, and not with the nature of a pot (then the negation is only artificial negation and not the real negation of the pot.) If you say, 'that the negation of a pot is something different from the pot,' then we must refer you to the answer we have given before.

Further, before the production of a pot, there cannot be a connection of the pot which does not exist with its cause which exists, which is, as if you would give horns to a hare, because the connection is always mutual. If you say, 'there is no fault in our case, because the connexion is only an accidental one,' we object, because even an accidental connexion cannot take place for elements, of which the one

<sup>•</sup> Negation or privation is of two sorts, universal and mutual. Universal negation comprehends three species, antecedent, emergent and absolute. Antecedent privation is present negation of that which at a future time will be. Emergent negation is destruction, or constion of an effect. Absolute negation extends through all times, past, present and future. Mutual privation is difference. It is reciprocal negation of identity, emence, or respective peculiarity. (Col. M. E. Vol. I. pp. 286, 289.)

For voracity is death. He created this mind, desiring: May I have a soul. He went forth worshipping. From him when worshipping, the waters were produced. He then reflected: To me, when worshipping, water (Ka) was produced. For the same reason fire obtained the name of Arka. Happiness is indeed produced for him, who in this manner knows the nature of Arka. (1.)

exists, the other not exists; for with regard to two elements that exist, either the necessary, or accidental connexion may take place; but it does not take place for elements, of which the one exists, the other does not exist, or for elements, of which either does not exist.

If therefore proved, that the effect exists before its production. The Upanishad now explains the nature of death, by whom this (universe) was enveloped by the words:

"Who is voracity." The desire to devour is voracity—this is death, for voracity is the true definition of death. By death then whose nature woracity, this universe was enveloped. How is voracity death? The answer is: "For voracity death," The meaning of the word "for" is, that the reason is well known; for he who desires to devour, kills according to his voracity the living creatures. Consequently death represented by voracity; Voracity as a desire is a quality of the soul in its modification as intelligence; therefore this Hiranya Garbha, in his modification as intelligence, is called death. By this death was this effect enveloped, as a pot and other productions are enveloped by earth in its modification as a lump. "He created this mind;" the abovementioned death, by the desire to create the productions, afterwards to be specified, made the so-called mind, capable to reflect on his creation, vis. the internal sense which is possessed with the faculty to ascertain knowledge, and with other faculties. For what purpose did he create the mind? The answer is: "May I have a soul," may, by the soul, in its modification as mind, I have mind. Prajapati, possessed with mind by the manifestation of mind, having adored himself, said : I have succeeded. "From him," from Praispati, "when worshipping, the waters," the element whose quality is taste, "were produced," as the embedied parts of his devotion, that is to say, he created the water after the production of the sky and the other two

Or the waters are Arka. The froth of the waters, which was there, became consistent. This became the earth. On the creation of this he became fatigued. The splendour, the exudation of him, when he was fatigued, heated, was poured out as fire. (2.)

elements,\* m it accords with the authority of another passage of the Srnti, and the improbability of a reverse order of the creation. "He then reflected, when worshipping, (Archate) water (Ka) was produced for me." For the same reason, that death was called Arka, Arka or the fire, in its connection with the sacrifice of Aswamédha, obtained the name of Arka; for the second appellation of fire is Arka. The name of Arka, as derived from Archana, which means to worship for one's welfare, or as derived from its connection with water, denotes a quality of fire. To him who in this manner, as has been mentioned knows the nature of Arka, water, or happiness (the word Ka is used for both) is indeed, of a certainty, produced (1.)

"Or the waters are Arka." Who again called Arka! The waters, the embodied parts of the devotion, are Arka, m produced from Arka or fire. As it said in the Sruti: And in the waters is placed the fire. But they are not directly called Arka, because this is the proper place to describe the fire, but not the water. And afterwards it is also said: This fire is Arks, "The froth of the waters, which was there," like the cream of curdled milk, "became consistent;" the froth of the waters, heated from within and without by the generative splendour, became consistent, "became the earth." The meaning is, that from these waters the mundane egg sprang forth. "On this," on the production of the earth, "he," death, Prajapati "became fatigued;" for every body, after the performance of work, gets fatigued, and it is the great work of Prajapati to create the earth. What does it mean that he got fatigued? From the body of Prajapati, when fatigued, heated, distressed, his creative splendour as exudation, his substance was poured out. Which is the fire that was poured out? II is Prajépati as the Biráj (who is throughout splendour) within the egg, the first-born, containing within himself the whole organism of productions. He is the first embodied soul, as I is said in the Smriti (2.)

<sup>\*</sup> Ale and fire, Taittaréya Upanishad. I. 2.

He made himself threefold, Aditya as the third, Váyu the third. This life parted threefold. His eastern quarter is the head. The quarters on either side are the arms. Then his western quarter is the tail, the quarters on either side are the thighs, the south and north the flanks, the sky the back, the atmosphere the belly; this earth the breast. This fire is placed in the waters; for wheresoever one repairs, there he is placed. This results from the knowledge of the wise. (3.)

This Prajapati, when born, divided his own self, the organism of all productions, threefold. How threefold? besides Agni and Vayu, he made Aditya as the third to complete the number of three; I the same manner, beside Agni and Aditya, Váya as the third, and also, beside Váya and Aditys, Agni as the third, according to their equal power to fill up the number of three. This Prajapati, the life of every individual in the world, was divided threefold according to the nature of Agni, Váyu, and Aditya, especially according to his own nature as death, without giving up the nature of Virai. This first-born fire, as Arka in its connection with the ceremony of the Aswamedha, this fire as Viruj, which is purified according to holy rite, is also represented under the symbol of a horse; for, we said, the whole origin, as above mentioned, is narrated for his praise. Thus is his sacred origin. "His eastern quarter in the head," both being alike by their excellence. "The quarters on either side," viz. the quarters of Isa and of Agnéyi, "are the arms." "Then the western quarter of the fire is the tail," viz. the part of the hip and loins, as being opposite to the front part. "The quarters on either side," viz. Váyu and the seasons, "are the thighs," being like to the corners of the back ; "south and north the flanks," being like by their connexion with the two former quarters. "The heaven the back, the atmosphere the belly," as in the former description; "this carth is the breast," both being alike by their position downwards. "This fire," being like Prajapati, or the fire as the substance of the worlds and of the other created beings, "is placed in the waters." As another passage of the Sruti says : thus are all these worlds within the waters. - For wheresoever any body repairs, there he placed" there he gains his abode. Who? He who thus knows the nature of the fire, which in the manner described is placed in the waters; for this is the fruit of his knowledge, (3.)

He desired: Let a second self of me be produced. By his mind he created speech, created union, viz. the devourer, death. The seed which there sprang forth, became the year. For the year was not before him; he was conceived for the space of such time. After the time, which contained within the space of a year, he created him. When he was born, he opened his mouth against him. He cried. Thus was speech produced. (4.)

"He desired" Who? Death. He by himself, according to the successive order of creation of the water and the other elements, created himself within the egg, as the Viráj, as Agni, containing the organism of all productions. He also made himself threefold, as it was said. How was his activity, while he created? The answer is: "He," death, "desired." What? "Let a second self of me," a body, by which I am possessed with a body, "be produced." Having thus desired, he created, by his before created mind, speech, vis. speech representing the three Védas : he created the union of speech with the mind, that I to say, he reflected in his mind on the creation of the three Védas in their successive order. Who? Death, represented by voracity, as it is said, death is represented by the desire to devour. This here repeated, in order that it be not connected with another. "The seed," the generative seed both of knowledge and ceremonies, the cause of all productions of Prajápati, the first embodied soul, which (seed) he perceived by his contemplation of the three Védas, " which there," in that union, "sprung forth, became" (being conceived in the form of an egg, after he had entered with this generative seed the water, which he had created in accordance with his recollection of its (the water's) production in a former birth) "the year." This means, Prajápsti, the framer of the period, called a year, became the year. "For the year was not before him," before Prajápati, the framer of the annual period. As long as the duration of a year is, so long a time was Prajapati, the framer of the annual period, conceived. What did he do after the completion of this time? "He created him"-which means, he broke the egg. When the habe, fire, the first embodied soul, was thus born, death in accordance with his voracity, "opened his mouth against him" to devour him, upon which the babe, according his natural ignorance, got afraid and exied. "Thus was speech produced" the sound of speech produced, (4,)

He reflected: If I kill him, I shall have only little food. On this reflection he created by that word in union with that soul all this whatsoever, the Rig, the Yajur, the Sama Védas, the metres, the sacrifices, mankind, the animals.

He resolved to devour all that he had created in this manner; for he eats all, therefore he has the name of Aditi. He the eater of this whole universe, this whole universe is his food. He who thus knows him in his nature as Aditi, will obtain the reward of this knowledge. (5.)

"He reflected." When death saw the terrified babe crying in such a manner, he reflected: "If I," however desirous of eating, kill at any time this babe, "I shall have only little food." On this reflection he abstained from eating him; for much food is required for eating a long time, not a little : for by eating this boy, there will be only little food, as by the eating of the seed there will be want of corn. After having thus reflected on the necessity of abundant food, he created by the union of the before-mentioned threefold speech with the soul, the mind, the whole moveable and immoveable universe, "all this whatsoever," viz. the Rig, Yajur, Sama Védas, the seven metres, viz. the Gáyatri and others, the three kinds of Mantras, which are the parts of the body of the Stotra Sastra, with their Gávatri and other metres, the sacrifices to be performed by Mantras, mankind, as the performer of sacrifices, and all the wild and domestic animals, necessary for the performance of the sacrifices. 'How it possible, that he created by the threefold speech in its union with the mind, the Rig and the other Védas when it was said before, that he created them in this manner?' There is no fault in this. The union, which the mind has with the three Védas, does not exist as manifested, and the creation the manifestation of the existing Védas by their application to ceremonies. 'He,' Prajapati, being thus aware of the increase of food, " resolved to devour all that he had created," all the actions, the causes of actions and the effects of actions. Death | therefore called Aditi, because he ests all; for Aditi is derived from Atti, he eats .-- Thus it is said in a Mantra: "Aditi the sky, he the atmosphere, he mother and father." He is the devourer of the whole world, considered as his food, by being the universal soul; otherwise there would be a contradiction: for no individual can be the eater of the universe. ThereHe desired: Let me perform again the great sacrifice. He became fatigued. He performed penance. When he was fatigued, when he had performed penance, glory and power departed. Life solory, power; therefore, when life had departed from his body, his body assumed a swotlen appearance; there was yet mind within his body. (6.)

fore he is the universal soul. "This whole universe is his food." Therefore II III consistent that all becomes his food, because he, as the universal soul, is the eater,—he, who in the aforesaid manner knows this state of Prajapati, death, or Aditi, viz. this state, according to which he eats every thing, will have such a reward. (5.)

"He desired." this said for the purpose to give the description of the horse and the horse-sacrifice. "Let me perform again the great sacrifice." The word again refers to his former birth; Prajapati made the sacrifice of a horse in his former birth. With this recollection came again at the commencement of the present Kalpa.\* Being impressed with the recollection of the ceremonies, the performer and the reward of the horse sacrifice, he desired : " Let me again perform the great sacrifice," and after he had thus desired the great work, he became fatigued like all other people. "He performed penance." When he was fatigued, when he had performed penance, as in the former description, + glory and power departed. The explanation of the words glory and power is given In the Upanishad itself; life, viz. the organs of sense, are glory, as being the cause of glory, for by the means of them renown is gained. In the same manner is power in this body; for if life is extinguished, no glory, no power is possible. Therefore the organs of sense are like glory and power in this body; thus that glory and power of life departed from his body. When the organs of sense, the cause of glory and power, had departed from the body of Prajapati, it presented the appearance of turgesence; and was unpurified. "There was yet mind in his body," although deserted by Prajapati, in the same manner as the mind dwells on a beloved object, however distant it may be. When he thus remained in the body as mind, what did he do? (6.)

<sup>\*</sup> Kalpa, a renovation of the universe, one of the great periods, from the renovation to the destruction of the world.

<sup>†</sup> Vide p. 24.

He desired: Let this my body be pure. Let me have a self by this body. Hence became a horse, because this became swollen. And because it became pure, therefore the ceremony gained the name of the Aswamédha. He who thus knows the Aswamédha, knows also him.

He knows the Aswamedha, who knows him in this manner. Having left it unrestrained, he considered himself as the

The answer is: "He desired." What? "Let this my body be pure," worthy of the sacrifice. "Let me have a self," let me have a body by this body." Thus he entered this body. "Because this," this body by my separation from it, destitute of glory and power, became swollen (Aswad) for this reason it became a horse (Aswa). Hence the name of horse (Aswa) is evidently intended for the praise of Prajapati in this ceremony. Further, "because" that which was before impure (Amédhya) without glory and power, by his entering again "became pure" (Médhya,) worthy of sacrifice, "therefore" the ceremony bearing the name of Aswamédha obtained the name of Aswamédha; for the nature of the sacrifice is to have ceremonies, a performer and effects. This is like Prajapati. That the horse, by which the sacrifice is accomplished, is to represent Prajapati, I evident from the words of the Sruti: "The dawn is the head of the sacrificial horse," &c.

The present passage, however: "He knows the Aswamédha," is commenced, in order that the worship of the sacrificial horse, as an emblem of Prajapati and also of the fire, as it has been described, both of which are equal as being the sacrifice and effect, should be established. This view is obtained first, because in the former passage no verb, enjoining the action, is met with, and secondly because the rite (of the Aswamédha) requires such a verb.\* "He knows the Aswamédha, who knows him," viz. the horse and Arka in his nature as fire, in this manner, he knows the Aswamédha, none beside, which means, that it should therefore be known in this manner. The Upanishad now explains, why Pra-

<sup>\*</sup> Ananda Giri supplies here the defect in the argument; for, ways he, a verb enjoining the action, has been mentioned in the former passage by the words 'He who knows the nature of Aditi.' The rite or action which is by this established, he continues, refers, however, only to a weeship of secondary order; here on the contrary, is the rule of the chief worship established.

horse. After wear he slaughtered it for his own sake, we gave up the animals to the gods. Therefore they slaughter the purified animal, representing in its nature as Prajapati all deities. He is the Aswamédha who shines. His body is the year. This fire Arka. These worlds are parts of his self. They in such a manner are Arka and Aswamédha. They are again one

japati a represented under the symbol of an animal. When Prajapati had desired: 'Let me again perform the great sacrifice,' and had represented himself under the emblem of a sacrificial animal, he considered himself as the horse which he left unrestrained, viz. without rein. After the completion of a year, " he slaughtered it for his own sake," by his nature as Prajapati representing all deities. "He gave up the animals," all the other animals, wild and domestic, according to the deities which they represent, "to the gods." Because Prajapati considered himself as the horse, "therefore" any other person, who, according to the beforementioned manner, represents himself under the emblem of an animal, of the sacrificial horse, will thus think: Let me as the representative of all deities, being purified, slaughtered, be the divinity of myself. Let the other wild and domestic animals, according to the deities of which they are symbols, be slaughtered for the other divinities which are my parts." In accordance with this, the priests slaughter at present the purified animal, which in its nature as Prajapati represents all deities. " He is the Aswamedha who shines." He who is thus the sacrifice which is performed by the means of animals, also described as the visible reward, in the words: "He is the Aswamedha." Who? He who "shines," viz. Savitri (the sun) who manifests the world by his splendour. "His body," viz. the body of him who is at the same time the reward and the sacrifice, "is the year," because his revolution performed within a year.\* And because the sacrifice which represents him, - only performed by means of fire, the reward is described by the symbol of the sacrifice. This terrestrial fire, Arka, is the cause of performing the sacrifice. "These worlds," these three worlds, "are parts of his self," of the body of this Arka, which is called Chiti, when applied at the sacrifice. Thus it was before said: "His eastern quarter," + &c.

<sup>.</sup> The sacrifice il also performed in the space of a year.

<sup>†</sup> Page 22.

divinity; death. He thus conquers the second death. Death does not obtain him. Death becomes his soul. He becomes one of those deities. 7.

<sup>&</sup>quot;They," the fire and Aditya, "in such a manner," as they are described, "are Arka and Aswamedha," the sacrifice and the reward. Arka, the terrestrial fire, as the visible action, is therefore described as like the sacrifice, since the sacrifice is accomplished by fire. And because the reward (Savitri) is the effect of the sacrifice, it (the reward) is described by the emblem of the sacrifice. Therefore it waid, Aditya is the Aswamedha. "They," cause and effect, sacrifice and reward. Agni and Aditya, "are again one divinity." This is death. Being before also one, he was divided to correspond to the division into sacrifice, performer and effect, as II was said: "He divided himself threefold."+ He becomes again in the time, when the ceremonies are accomplished, one divinity, viz. death representing the reward. Whoseever again knows him, the Aswamedha, death, as one deity in this manner :- I am thus death, the Aswamedha, one deity; the state of this is gained by me as being like the horse and the fire,--" he conquers the second death," that is to say, once having died, he is not born again for the second death. Doubting, whether death, although conquered, would still not get him again, it is said: "Death does not obtain him." Why? "Death becomes his seul," the soul of him who knows death in this manner. Or, death being thus the reward, " he becomes one of those deities." I This is his reward.

Life, according to Knanda Girl.

<sup>†</sup> Page 🖿

<sup>\$</sup> Savitri and Arka (sen and fire.)

## Third Brámhuna Udgitha\* Bráhmana.

Twofold indeed | the offspring of Prajapati, the gods and

What is the connexion of the words: "Two-fold indeed the offspring of Prajapati?" The highest reward of ceremonial works, accompanied with knowledge, has been mentioned, viz. the state of death
the reward of the Aswamédha. Therefore the Udgitha Bramhana mow commenced to expound, from whence the origin of ceremonial
works and knowledge which lead to a state identical with death, it derived.

it should be said: 'The state of death has been before declared me the reward of the beforementioned knowledge and ceremonies.! Now will be asserted, that the reward of the knowledge and the ceremonies of the Udgitha is to overcome the condition of death. Therefore, since the reward is different, it is of no use to explain the origin of the former ceremonies and knowledge.'

We answer, there is no fault in this; for as the reward of the Udgitha is the condition of Agni or Aditya, it is the same reward which has been mentioned for the former ceremonies and knowledge in the words: "He becomes one of those deities." 'Is it then not contradictory to say, that he overcomes death f' No, because the overcoming of death means here to be liberated from the contact of innate sin.

To explain the meaning of the questions: Who is that death which is the contact with innate sin? Whence is his origin? By whom is he overcome and how? a narrative is given, commencing with the words: "Two-fold indeed." The word "indeed" used to remind of

- \* The Udgiths, a part of the Sama Véda (second chapter) is a kind of song, commencing with the mystic syllable Om, which the priest called Udgita, sings at the Sama Yága. The Sama Yága is the general name for seven distinct rites, viz. Agul Stoma, Atyagni Stoma, Ukthya, Shorari, Véjapéa Aterátra and Aptóryáma, where the Sama, or moon-plant juice is offered. 6th Chap. of Arwalayana's Sutras.
- † This source of all ceremonies and knowledge in the all-pervating life, as described in this Bramkaga.
  - ‡ As reward of the Aswamédka, performed either symbolically, or in reality.
- § Vis. he who performs the Udgiths, overcomes death, while the reward of the Aswamédha has been stated as death.

the demons.\* Therefore the gods are thus few in number, the demons many. They rivalled in these worlds. The gods of a

a former state, viz. I reminds of the state of the present Prajapati in his former birth. "The offspring of Prajapati," means his offspring a former birth. Who are "the gods and the demons?" The organs+ of Prajapati, speech and the others. How again the divine and demoniacal nature of the offspring? The answer is: The gods (Déva) who derive their name from manifesting (Dyótana) are such as are dedicated to knowledge and works, in accordance with the Sastras: the demons (Asura) as are dedicated to works and knowledge, the necessity of which wisible, in accordance with natural perception and inference. They are different from the gods or Suras, because they are satisfied within their own life, I and also because the knowledge and works of the demons are directed to visible wants. "Therefore the gods are thus few in number, the demons many;" for the desire of the organs to act in accordance with natural knowledge and works is stronger than the desire to act in accordance with knowledge and works, derived from the Sistras, because the necessity of the former wevident. Therefore the number of the gods-is less, because the desire to act in accordance with the Sastran, is less strong; for it can only be accomplished by excessive exertion. "They," the gods and the demons, being alike the parts of the body of Prajapati, rivalled with each other for the sake of the enjoyment of these worlds, which may be obtained by actions and knowledge either in accordance with one's own nature, or in accordance with the Sastras. The contest is for victory or defeat, of the nature of the gods or demons. Sometimes the nature of the organs in accordance with knowledge and works derived from the Sastras, wietorious. When it has the ascendancy, then the demoniscal power of

The same nerrative, which here is given at the commencement of the Udgitha to show the power of life and its unity with the supreme woul, occurs in the Chandogya Upanishad, first Adhyaya, first Prapathaka, where it is also used as an introduction to the Udgitha.

<sup>†</sup> I remind here, that the number of organs, according to the Védanta, is eleven, viz. the five organs of sense, eight, &c., five organs of action, speech, the hand, &c., and the internal organ, the mind. Here, however, the organs of Prajápati, refer only ill speech, smell, sight, hearing and mind, viz. to those which are required for the performance of the Udgitha.

I Asu here III the sense of vital air, life; it means also fire, reflection.

truth spoke. Let us now in this sacrifice overcome the demons by the Udgitha.

the organs which refers to visible wants, and proceeds from knowledge and works in accordance with perception and inference, is defeated. This is the victory of the gods, and the defeat of the demons. Sometimes the reverse takes place, the nature of the gods is defeated, that of the demons in victorious. When the gods are victorious, then, by the prevalence of virtue, an ascension ensues up to the obtaining of the state of Prajapati. When the demons are victorious, then, by the prevalence of vice, a descension ensues down to the state of inanimate matter. When both are alike, then the state of man is obtained. "The gods," who by their own small number and the greater number of the demons, were defeated by them, of a truth spoke: "Let us in this sacrifice," vis. the Jyótí-Stóma, " "overcome the demons by the Udgitha," by taking refuge to the agent of the rite, called Udgitha. By defeating the demons, we shall obtain our own divine nature, as manifested by the Sastras. Thus they spoke to each other.

To take refuge to the nature of the agent of the rite, called Udgitha, knowledge and works are required. The work consists in the recital of some (afterwards to be mentioned) Mantras, and will be established by such passages of the Yajur as: "Let him recite those Mantras." The knowledge will now be determined.

- But is this not rather Arthavada, + as concluding the rite of reciting
- \* The Aguistoma is a modification of the Jyôtistôma, a secrifice, offered by a person who ill desirous of obtaining the enjoyment of heaven. The time of the sacrifice is the spring season. The performer in a brahman who has read the Védas, and entertains the ascred fire. The offering is the Sóma (meon-plant) juice, and the deities to whom the offering is made, are Indra, Váju, &c. The number of priests required in perform the rites, is sixteen, wir. four Hôtas (who read the Mantras of the Rig Véda), the same number of Adhwaryus (who recite the Mantras of the Yajur Véda), of Branchae (who superintend and direct the rites of the sacrifice), and ill Udgâtas (who sing the Mantras of the Sáma Véda.) Each of the priests of the four divisions has again a separate name and office. The corespondes continue for five days. Sabda Kalpa Druma.

† A kind of praise. The opponent reasons in this way. The Udgiths is Arthuvida, a kind of praise, and accordingly not knowledge; for praise of the delities does not contain a true knowledge of their nature and qualities, because it is morely offered for the purpose in make them propitions. A. G.

the Abhyaroha,\* than an attempt to define knowledge? No, because it is said in the Sruti: "He who thus knows." But then I has the object to establish the rite of Udgitha, because in the topic of Udgitha it is authorized by the ancient narrative, commencing with the words: Two-fold indeed is the offspring of Prajanati.' No, because it does not belong to this subject; further, because the Udgitha sestablished in another place; † further, because the rite of reciting the Abhyaroha applied in two ceremonies, I and in therefore not invariable; further, because the knowledge must be applied by a person who is perfect in his knowledge, and is declared invariable; further, because the Sruti says: "He who overcomes this world," &c., further, because life declared pure, speech and the other organs impure; for if life were no object of worship, it could not have been declared pure, nor speech, and the other organs which are mentioned in the same passage with life, impure; for by the reproof of speech, &c. the praise of the principal life is evident, as it was also intended. Lastly, because such and similar rewards are declared as : He who overcomes death, gets resplendent; for a state which resembles that life, for instance the state of the goddess of speech, when like Agui, is the reward.

'Let then the worship of life be granted, but no purity and similar qualities. If you say, this purity, &c. follows also from the Sruti, we reply: it does not, because, as assigned in the act of worship, it is evidently intended as praise.' (Arthaváda.)

We do not admit this; for, as in common life, by acquiring an object that is not contrary to our wishes, we acquire happiness; for in common life a person who obtains an object that is not contrary

<sup>\*</sup> Some Mantres of the Yajur Véda, recited by a person who is desirous of obtaining a divine state, as Ananda Giri explains: Dévabhávam anéma áróhati, iti Abhyaréha.

<sup>†</sup> In the Karme Kanda.—A. G.

There are two classes of aurenomias, the Havir Yaga, (Havir, a kind of oblation, usually clarified butter.—Wilson Dief.) including seven distinct coremonies, viz. Agnyádhan, Darsapsurna Mása, Chatar Másya, Pashu Bandana, &c., and the Sóma Yága, also including seven classes of rites, which have been mentioned before (page 29). The rite of the Udgitha, which is performed by the Udgáta, ill only performed in the Sóma Yága, while the Abbyaráha is included ill either of the two classes. On this account it is said, the Abbyaráha, as occurring ill two places, in variable, and is therefore no object of knowledge, as the Udgátha is.

to his winker, gets his desire, or he turns from the undesired object; but this I not the case by obtaining an object, contrary to our desires. Thus in our case also, by obtaining an object in accordance with the words of the Sruti, we evidently obtain happiness, not otherwise. Moreover, there is no proof against the truth of the object of knowledge, ariging from the word, which is mentioned for the sake of worship. Nor there stated any impediment of the said knowledge. Therefore by proving, that we have acquired happiness, we also acquire the truth of the object of knowledge; otherwise we evidently acquire an unreal object; for he who in common life acquires an object, contrary in his idea, for instance, a trunk instead of a man, or an enemy instead of a friend, has evidently obtained something unreal. If in this way, the notions of the soul, of Iswara, and of the gods in accordance with the Sruti, were not true, then we should obtain by the Sastra something illusive. If this were the case, it would be known, as in common life: but this is not admitted.

'This is not true, because Bramha is represented by differences of name, form, &c.; but such distinctions are evidently excluded from the nature of Bramha. In this perception of Bramha† the Sastra is guilty of the same contradiction, as if a man is perceived as a trunk. Therefore we deny that with your obtaining the truth by the Sastra, you also obtain happiness.

We do not admit this argument, on the ground that here is the same distinction, as it with regard to an image. For your assertion, that the perception of Bramba by such distinctions, as name, form, which are excluded from the nature of Bramba, &c., is in the same way contradictory, as if a man is perceived as a trunk, is not right. Why not? Because by the distinctions of Bramba, who cannot be perceived by name, form and other properties; the perception of Bramba by name, form, &c., is established in the same way, as the perception of Vishnu by an image and similar things. The perception by name, form, &c. is, like the image, a mere appliance; for Bramba is by no means name, form, &c. I by no means in the same way contradictory, as if a trunk, before I is ascertained as such, is perceived as a man.

<sup>\*</sup> Iswara, the supreme ruler. The soul, in which the universality of ignorance, or of unconsciousness, is inherent, and which has the attributes of consiscience, omnipotence... which if the cause of the world, in the universal ruler. Védánta Sára, p. 5.

† That is to say, if Bramha is perceived, or comprehended by each distinctions.

you say, 'that there is only a perception of Bramha, but Bramha himself does not exist; for the perception of Bramha by name, form &c. is just like the representation of Vishnu and other gods by an image, or of the forefathers by a Bramhan,'\* we object; for the Rig and the other Védas are represented under the form of the earth and other substances, that is to my, they are represented under the form of substances that really exist. Consequently, as the representation of Bramha, Iswara, &c. under name, form, &c., is the same with that just mentioned, the reality of Bramha, Iswara, &c. is proved. Hence also follows the objective reality of all those modes of perception, by which Vishnu and other gods are represented by images, or the forefathers by Bramhans.

This (the existence of Bramha, Iswara, &c.) follows also from the necessity, that every thing that is derived, depends upon the thing from which is derived; for as in the five fires\* the fire is only something derived, and hence proves the existence of an underived fire, so is the nature of Bramha in its distinctions of name, form, etc. only something derived, and hence proves the existence of an underived Bramha.

This follows also from the fact, that there is no difference between the passages of the Sruti referring to ceremonies, and those referring to knowledge; for as the ceremonies of the Darespauras Mass have their peculiar reward, their special rites, and a disposal of their parts in a certain order, and as by means of this a transcendent thing which cannot be proved by perception or inference, is taught in its true nature by sentences of the Véda, so also such beings, as the suprems soul, Iswara, the gods, &c., who by their nature exclude the idea of corporeal composition, who overcome death, and who have these and other distinctions, are taught by sentences of the Véda, that ill to say, by proofs different from perception and inference, and it is therefore right that they should be true.

Nor is there a difference in passages of the Sruti referring to ceremonies, and in such referring to knowledge, as to the formation of the true notion of them.

Likewise is the notion (Buddhi) whose object is the supreme soul and the like substances, neither indefinite nor contradictory. If you say: 'This is improper, on the ground that knowledge in no object of

† Here the Vedáte fires, by which the coromonies the homecholder has to perform, are accomplished.

<sup>\*</sup> The Bramban who cats at the Staddha the food intended for the manes, is a representative of them.

ceremonial practice. We may grant, that any rite which has the three parts above mentioned,\* although it is beyond common evidence, may be communicated by ceremonial practice through sentences, explaining the ceremonies; but it in not so with the knowledge of the supreme soul, I swara and other substances, in which there is no possible object of ceremonial practice. Therefore the part of the Sruti explaining ceremonies, and the part referring to knowledge, have nothing in common,

We do not admit this, on the ground that knowledge has objective reality; for the truth of that knowledge does not depend on its possibility to become an object of ceremonial practice, the rites of which have those three parts, and can be performed,—but on its possibility to be obtained by proof. Nor has a notion, whose object is that knowledge, reality, because it can become an object of practice, but because it can be derived from sentences of the Védas.

If you say: 'Admitting the truth of the substance (Bramba) obtained by sentences of the Védas, it is either an object of ceremonial practise, or it is not so. If it is such an object, it can be practised; if otherwise, it cannot be practised. As an object of practice, however, it a not proved by the evidence of sentences; for there is no connexion of words with a sentence, unless there is a ceremonial practice. On the other hand, if it is an object of practice, there also a connexion of words for the sake of the ceremonies. Therefore a sentence, dependent on practice, may be proved, as for instance: This in this manner is by this person to be performed; but words, as, this, by this, thus, how many so ever you may string together, would never form a sentence, unless they be connected with such as : let do, may be done, &c. Therefore the supreme soul, Iswara, etc. cannot be proved by sentences. And if you say, it can be proved by the meaning of the words, we reply, that in this case another kind of proof is necessary. Therefore this Bramha does not exist."

<sup>\*</sup> These three parts are: special reward, special rites, and special arrangement.

<sup>†</sup> I believe this to me the correct translation of the above passage, and the sense would me as follows: you assume, that the supreme substance can be proved by the meaning of the words (Padértha, the single words, me distinction from the whole sentence, Vákya) you have abundoned your argument, which was, that it should be proved by the Védas; for the meaning of words or ideas does not depend upon the Védas, but upon their own contents, and requires therefore an investigation, different from the present.

We do not admit this argument, on the ground that there are sentences which do not require any actions to be intelligible, as for instance, there is the four-coloured Méru; for if this sentence pronounced, there arises no notion referring to any possible practice with regard to Méru. If this is the case, how is it possible to prevent a connexion of words in a sentence, expressing the idea of the supreme soul, Iswara, &c. with the word "exists," in the usual mode of connexion between subject and predicate. If you say, 'this is inadmissible, because the knowledge of the supreme soul has no final end, so the knowledge of Méru, &c. has,' we deny this, for the reward mentioned in such passages of the Sruti, as: "He who knows Bramha, obtains liberation," and: "The bonds of the heart are broken," &c.

The same we evident from the ceasing of the ignorance and other faults which are the origin of the world. And since the knowledge of Bramha does not depend upon any other knowledge, it cannot be considered as Arthaváda\* (praise) as for instance, a special reward is declared by the use of the Juhu.

Moreover the connection of probibitions with punishment is learnt from the Véda, which is also no object of practice. Nor is there, with regard to a forbidden object, any thing required but to refrain from an action; for prohibitory regulations depend in reality upon the knowledge that a certain thing is not to be done. If a hungry man, whose mind is impressed with the notion of food, forbidden on account of its nature or of accidental circumstances, falls in with poisoned; meat, or with impure, rice, and the idea arises in him, that the one actable, and the other not impure, he is restrained from eating by the recollection, that food of this or that kind is forbidden to be eaten. The same is the case with regard to thirst, when a mirrage produces the appearance of water. When by the knowledge of the real nature of a thing, the natural knowledge that opposed to the former, has been removed, there no longer remains the injurious desire to eat what

<sup>\*</sup> Every praise depends upon a regulation, to which I supplies the motive.

<sup>†</sup> Juhu means a speem of leaves, to the use of which in ceremonies, in preference to any other kind of speem, a special reward is attached.

<sup>‡</sup> Poisoned most # the mest of an animal, wounded by a poisoned weapon.

<sup>§</sup> Rice, etc. I impure by the touch of a person who has committed the nurder of a Bramban, or similar crimes.

forbidden by an ature or accidental circumstances. There is a cessation from the desire, which is caused by such an opposite knowledge, and if that desire does not exist, no effort is again to be made. Hence is evident, that the prohibitory regulations depend upon the knowledge of the true nature of things, and not in any way upon the practice of a person. If this is true, then also in our case the regulations, respecting the true knowledge of the supreme soul, &c., are founded solely upon the knowledge of the supreme soul itself. In this manner, when the worldly knowledge, produced by one's own nature, is removed by the recollection of the true knowledge of the supreme soul and of similar substances, a person, whose mind impressed with the knowledge of Bramha, has not any desire, caused by a knowledge, contrary to that of Bramha, because he knows that those desires have no real object.

'The eating of poisoned meat having an undesirable (unreal) object, and there being at the same time a recollection of the knowledge of the real nature of a thing, we may grant, that the natural knowledge, contrary to the former, which refers to its eating, is abolished; yet we must contend, that the absence of a desire to perform what II commanded by the Sastra, is not admissible, because there is no object of prohibition, as there is such an absence of a desire to eat meat as before described.'

We deny this; for there is in reality no difference between the cause of the contrary knowledge and of the cause of the desire of an undesirable object. As the desire to eat poisoned meat, &c., is caused by a false knowledge, and is the cause of danger, so III is also with regard to the desires of what is commanded by the Sastras. Accordingly, a person who perfectly knows the reality of the supreme soul, has consistently no desire of any actions commanded by the Sastras, because all such desires are the causes of false ideas and of danger, and because by the knowledge of the supreme soul, the contrary knowledge III abolished.

'This may be granted for the above mentioned prohibitions and commands, but it cannot be granted for the regular ceremonies; for these are solely produced by the Sastra, and not directed to an unreal object.'

We do not agree; for the regular ceremonies are merely commanded on behalf of such persons who are tainted by ignorance, passion, hatred

and other faults; for as ceremonies whose object a special desire, as those of the Darsa Paurus Miss, are commanded on behalf of a person who is tainted by the fault of desiring heaven, &c. so are the regular ceremonies enjoined on behalf of a person who attained by the fault of ignorance, the cause of all unreality, stained by love and hatred, &c. in obtaining the good and avoiding the evil, in accordance with the impressions of ignorance, and who is anxious to obtain the good and avoid the evil of his indiscriminate desires, caused by love and hatred; hence they (the regular ceremonies) do not merely refer to the Sastras. Nor can ■ be ascertained, whether the Agnihótra, Dass Paurna Mása, Chatur Mésya, Pésu Bandha and Sóma, are by their own nature regular ceremonies, or ceremonies, whose object is a special desire; for their reference to desire only arises, when they have found an agent, who is tainted with the desire of heaven, &c. Therefore the regular ceremonies behoof to one who is tainted with the blame of ignorance, &c., and who is desirous to obtain the good and to avoid the evil, pointed out to him by the promptings of his own nature. On the other hand, for one who has the true knowledge of the supreme soul, no action is found to be commanded, except the subduing of his desires; for by the annihilation of the knowledge concerning all other motives, as ceremonies, causes, divinities, &c. the knowledge of the soul is established. And the knowledge with regard to actions, performer, &c. being once annihilated, there does not arise a desire of any action, because this only takes place, if preceded by a knowledge of a special action, a special motive, &c.; for there is no time to engage into actions for him who has the firm idea of Bramba, removed as it if from all notions of space, time, extension, duality, &c.

If you say 'there is the same time, as if one is about to eat,' we deny this; there is no necessity to engage in enting, &c., all which acts are only necessary in consequence of ignorance and other faults; for if an action is sometimes performed, and sometimes not, it cannot be called a regular action that has been fixed according to a rule. Because eating and other actions are merely done in consequence of faults, there is no certain rule for them, as there is no certain rule for desires and their objects, faults being sometimes prevalent, sometimes subdued. Hence, however, does not follow any uncertainty for regular actions,

The gods then said to speech: "Do thou for our sake sing the Udgitha." Speech, with the words: "Let it be so," sang the

because time and other circumstances have been fixed for them by the Sastra.

If you lastly say, 'even granted, that faults, &c. are the mediate cause of actions, (as eating, &c.) still there may have been fixed a time to engage in such actions, as eating, as according to the command of the Sastra for ceremonies like the Agui Hótra, the times of morning and evening are appointed,'—we do not agree, because rule and action cannot be substituted for each other, viz. a rule is no action, and an action no rule,—hence no objection remains against the supposition of knowledge. Consequently, in accordance with the rule of true knowledge of the supreme soul, which (knowledge) has the power to destroy its contrary knowledge of extension, duality, &c., the reality of the rule by which all actions are forbidden, is established; for the absence of any engagement in actions is the same, as if there were a prohibition. Therefore as the prohibitory regulation of the Sastra is proved, so also the supreme sant, as produced by the Sastra, and as the sale object of the Sastra, has been proved. 1.

"The gods then," after having thus considered, said to Speech, the tutelary goddens of speech: "Do thou for our sake sing the Udgitha," perform the ceremony of Udgitha; for they considered the ceremony of the Udgitha to be performed by the goddens of speech, and moreover by Mantras like this: "Do thou lead us from evil to good," her as the goddens called Japamantra. In this ceremony, speech and the other organs are pointed out as the agents of internal worship and external rites. For what reason?\* Because their own objects and all in-

\* In assigning devotion and rites to the agency of speech and the other organs, an objection may be made, on the ground that all agency depends according to the Sastra upon the soul. If this is the case, it depends either on the supreme soul, or upon the soul in its modification as life. Not on the first; for agency, &c. depending upon speech and other argans to which active power must be sacribed; cannot be sasigned to the soul, which, considered in itself, is wholly without such a power. Moreover, all activity is the effect of ignorance, which is totally opposed to the supreme soul. Not on the second, because life is only the general idea of the senses, and has therefore in reality no agency. Hence it is correct to assign agency and similar notions to the active states. A. G.

Udgitha for them. She sang to the gods all the enjoyment that is in speech. That she speaks well, is for herself.

tercourse concerning knowledge and ceremonies, are in truth only possible by their agency; for by the passage in the sixth chapter\* of this Upanished, "it thinks as it were," "it proceeds as I were," it is evidently declared, that the soul has no agency. In the end of this chapter also it will be proved, that these three, name, form and action, as resulting from work, performer and effect, in their unmanifested state, are the object of ignorance. That, however, which is different from all unmanifested things, and which bears the name of the supreme soul, and without name, form and work, will by such negations, as: it is not this, it is not this, &c., be proved as something distinct, to be comprehended under a different notion. But the mundane soult the existence of which, as arising from all the senses considered as one, is only a fiction, is plainly shown as arising from those senses, considered as one, by such passages as: "Arising from these elements it is also destroyed with them." Therefore it is right to assign to speech and the other senses the reward, obtained by their being the agents of knowledge and ceremonies.

Speech, being addressed by the gods, with the words: Let it be so, performed for them, for the sake of their imploration, the Udgitha. Which is again the special work which speech by the ceremony of

<sup>\*</sup> Vide 6th chapter, 2d Bramhona, 7th Khandika.

<sup>†</sup> There are, according = the Vedánta, four sheaths or cases of the soul. The first is the intelligent case (Vijnánameya Kósa) and is formed by intellect and the five intellectual senses. The second case is the mental (Manbmaya) and consists of mind (Manas) with the five organs of action, vis., speech, hand, foot, &c. (I may here observe that Colebrooke, in stating, (M. E. p. 372,) that the mental sheath consists If the intellect, joined to the five senses and the mind, is not quite correct, as it is mind joined with the five organe of action.) The third is the vital case (Pranameys Kom) formed of the five vital cirs, or faculties, (respiration, inspiration, circulation, &c.) and the five organs of action. The three coset united compose the subtile organism of the soul, which therefore comprehends 17 elements, viz. intellect, mind, the ten organs, and the five vital airs. This subtile organism is inseparable from the soul, as long as it has to undergo transmigration; considered as one, it is universal organism. and the soul, to which this universality is assembed, I Himmyngarbba. (Védánta Sára, pp. 8-10.) In our passage the mundane soul means Hiranyagarbha, the soul which has the three cases, and is therefore in actual relation with the world. The last case is the natrimental (Amaunaya) which is composed of the gross elements,

The demons knew, by this Udgitha the gods will overcome us. Therefore, running up to him, they pierced him with their sin. That I this sin. That one speaks improper words, I the sin. 2.

Udgitha for the sake of the gods? The answer is: "All the enjoyment," which means, all the assistance of speech and all the other organs, which by means of speech is obtained in the intercourse of speaking; for the reward of all in the enjoyment, derived from speaking words, &c. It describes this enjoyment in three Stotras, called Pavamana, and in the nine remaining Stotras III assigns the reward of the Ritwig, as authorized by the Upanichad, by the words: "That it speaks well," that II pronounces well the letters, "is for itself," for me; for the special work of speech is the entire pronunciation of the letters; therefore it is mentioned, "that it speaks well;" but the effect of speaking as to the assistance of all, is for the sake of the sacrificer.

At that time (when the Udgitha was performed) by the contact which takes places between speech and the well speaking, an apportunity was obtained by the demone of entering the deity like a hole.† "The demons knew." What? "By this Udgitha the gods will overcome us," vis. natural knowledge and work, by the light of the Udgitha, which is knowledge and work in accordance with the Sastra. "Therefore," being aware of this, "running up to him," to the performer of the Udgitha, "they pierced," worried him with their sin, viz. the sin of contact, which means, they allied him with their sin. "That is this sin;" "that," the sin which was not cast by the demons upon the speech of Prajapati in his former hirth, "is this sin," which becomes manifest. Which I the sin? "That one speaks improper words," vis., words contrary to themselves, forbidden by the Statra, by which

<sup>\*</sup> Vis. The Ritwig, or priest, who performs the ceremonies, is not entitled to a reward for bimself, because his services are hired, and all the good resulting from the ceremonies, will mobined by the merificer. Hence the special reward here mentioned, does not follow from the sacrifice, but from the word of the Upanishad. A. G.

<sup>†</sup> This means, the delty of speech, having spoken well for its own sake, commits the sin of contact, of the commexion of a desire with external objects. Therefore by this sin an opportunity is given, as III were, a hele of the delty, to please it with their sin.

Then they spoke to the breath: Do thou sing the Udgitha for us. The breath, with the words: 'Let I be so,' sang the Udgitha for them. I sang to the gods all the enjoyment that I in breath. That I smells well, is for itself. The demons knew, by this Udgitha the gods will overcome us. Therefore running up to him, they pierced him with their sin. That II this sin. That one smells improper odours, II the sin. 3.

Then they spoke to the eye: Do thou sing the Udgitha for us. The eye, with the words: 'Let it be so,' sang the Udgitha for them. It sang to the gods all the enjoyment that II III the eye. That it sees well, II for itself. The demons knew, by this Udgitha the gods will overcome us. Therefore running up to him, they pierced him with their sin. That is this sin. That one sees improper colours, is the sin. 4.

Then they spoke to the ear: Do thou sing the Udgitha for us. The ear, with the words: 'Let it be so,' sang the Udgitha for them. It sang to the gods all the enjoyment that in the ear. That it hears well, is for itself. The demons knew,

induced, one speaks indecorous, hateful, false and the like words, even against his own inclination, "is the sin," known by its beforementioned effect, vis., the speaking of improper words, which is found in the speech of the intelligent speakures of Prajapati, which being implied by the speaking of improper words, is also in the speech of Prajapati; for the effect is of the same nature as the cause. 2.

When the gods had in this manner successively examined, whether by the performance of the Udgitha the deities were fit to be manifested by the Japamantra, and to become objects of devotion, they were convinced, that speech and the other deities whom they had successively examined, were unable to perform the Udgitha; for by contact, which their connexion with the special work which they well performed; they were allied with the sin of the demons. Therefore they are not meant by the Mantra: "Do thou lead me from evil to good;" nor are they worthy to be worshipped, because they are not pure, and inferior to the principal life. In the same way as the deity of speech, &c., the deities of touch and of the other organs, although not especially

by this Udgitha the gods will overcome us. Therefore, running up to him, they pierced him with their sin. That is this sin. That one hears improper sounds, I the sin. 5.

Then they spoke to the mind: Do thou sing the Udgitha for us. The mind, with the words: "Let it be so," sang the Udgitha for them. It sang to the gods all the enjoyment that is in mind; that it imagines well, is for itself. The demons knew, by this Udgitha the gods will overcome us. Therefore running up to him, they pierced him with their sin. That is this sin. That one has improper notions, in the sin. In this manner the deities came in contact with sin, were pierced with sin. 6.

Then the gods spoke indeed to this life whose name is Asanya: Do thou sing the Udgitha for us.' That life, with the words: Let it be so,' sang the Udgitha for them. The demons knew, by this Udgitha the gods will overcome us. Therefore running up to him, they wished to pierce him with their sin. As a clod of earth, by falling upon a rock, is destroyed, so they were also

mentioned in the passage, were pierced with ain by the manifestation of good and evil works, which means, they were allied with sin. Thus speech and the other deities, although gradually worshipped, were unable to protect from death. 3—6.

"Then the gods spoke indeed to this, (the word "this" is used to show respect) life, whose name is Ksanya," which means, produced in the mouth, which abides in the inner cavity of the mouth: Do thou sing the Udgitha for us. The principal life, with the words: "Let it be so," sang the Udgitha for the gods who had invoked its protection. This and what immediately follows, is all alike the former description. When the demons, desirous of piercing life with sin, in accordance with the practice which they had acquired by repeatedly entering speech and the other organs, attacked by their sin of contact the principal life which was free from sin, they became destroyed. To show how, an illustration is given. As in common life a clod of earth which is thrown upon a rock to reduce it to dust, is destroyed, reduced to dust itself, so were the demons in different ways destroyed. By this destruction of the demons, speech and the other organs became free from the sins, which

altogether destroyed. Hence they became gods; the demons perished. In this manner he becomes like him. By the soul perishes the enemy, the brother's son, of him, who thus knows. 7.

are the effect of the natural contact, and obstacles to their divinity; and obtained their own nature by the protecting power of the principal life which is free from contact. What did they obtain? The nature of fire, &c. in accordance with their own divinity, which (nature) will be explained hereafter, and which they had already before possessed. this state, when their knowledge was darkened by their natural gin, they had a notion of an individual body alone. The meaning is: By the separation from that sin, they left off the notion of an individual body, and obtained a notion of the nature of speech, &c. in its identity with fire, &c., as it is delivered in the Sastra. Moreover their opponents, "the demons, perished." Perished means, they were destroyed. "In this manner he (the present sacrificer) becomes like him" (the ancient sacrificer) this means: As the former sacrificer.\* described in the ancient narrative, -when he had comprehended the meaning of the Stuti pointed out in this narrative, when he in the order mentioned in the Sruti, had examined speech and the other deities, and abandoned them, because they are tainted with the sin of contact, when he had known by the idea of the soul the principal life free from ain, and abandoned the idea, that the soul is different according to the differences of the individual bodies, as speech and others, which are believed to be the soul, as the former sacrificer obtained the nature of the present Prajapati, as manifested by the Sastra, which is the notion of the body. as Viráj, † and which exhibits speech in its nature as fire, -so the present sacrificer obtains the state of Prajapati in the same manner. " By the soul," in its modification of Prajapati, his sin, contrary to the nature of Prajapati, "the brother's son, periahes;" for the son of a brother, like Bharata and others, may be also not an enemy; but the ain produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, is at the same time a brother's son and an enemy, because it does not acknowledge the nature of the supreme soul. This sin then periahes, is reduced to dust, as a clod of earth, by its contact with life. Whose is this reward? The answer is: "He who thus knows," which means, who thus, like the

<sup>\*</sup> The secrificer in a fermior birth.

They spoke. Where was he, who thus established us? He within the mouth; hence he is Ayssyn. He Augirasa, because he is the essence of the members. S.

ancient sacrificer, comprehends life by the notion of the soul. Having described the reward, the Sruti now shows the reward in the form of the narrative.\* And for what reason? To prove, that the principal life, after the deities of speech, &c. have been rejected, is alone to be worshipped by the idea of the soul, on the ground, that it is the common nature (soul) of such separate substances, as speech, &c., the Sruti goes on in the narrative. 7.

"They," the organs of Prajapati, having, by means of the principal life, obtained their divine nature and their reward, spoke thus: "Where then," the word where shows their reflection. "Where then was he who thus established us," that is to say, united us by the true notion of the soul with our divine nature, which was before separated from us; for whosever assisted by some body, remembers his benefactor. In the same manner the gods, when they had remembered their benefactor, had reflected on him, perceived him in the soul, which | the whole of causes and effects. Why is he within the mouth? Because he is evidently in the ether of the mouth (Asya), as everybody will find on reflection. Thus also the gods. Life is called Ayasya, because, excluding all distinctions of the nature of speech, &c., it was perceived by the gods within the internal ether; therefore is life like Ayasya, since opposed to all distinctions, it united the organs, as speech, &c., with their divine nature. Hence it is called also Angirasa, the essence of causes and effects; for Angirasa . a compound of Anga and Rasa, --- Anga meaning members, causes and effects, and Rasa essence, substance : the whole meaning therefore is the substance, upon which causes and effects depend. It is the essence of every thing, because, unless it were present, all would become without effect. The meaning of the whole is :-Life, as the essence of causes and effects, and as annihilating all distinctions, is the common essence of causes and effects, and also purified;

<sup>\*</sup> Ananda Giri explains this so:—As the reward, following from the worship of the principal life has been set forth, the next words of the Upanishad: "They spoke," &c., refer to a special wership of life. Sankara says therefore, "Having described the reward," which means, having described the reward following from the worship of the principal life, he is to show the wership of life, endowed with special qualities.

The name of that deity is Dúr; for death in far (dúr) from it. Far is death surely also from him who thus knows. 9.

accordingly life, without further reference to speech, &c., II to be considered under the idea of the soul; for the soul can but be perceived by its own notion, since good is obtained by a notion that is not contradictory; otherwise evil is obtained. 8.

II it be thought, that the purity of life has not been proved, we ask, not this notion (of the impurity of life) removed, since life in no place for the sin of contact, as speech and the other organs are by well speaking, hearing, smelling, &c. ? 'This may be so; but since it is called the essence of speech and the other organs as diffused through all, there an apprehension that impurity may ensue through speech and the other organs, as it does by touching a person who has touched a corpse.' To this the Sruti answers: "Life is pure." Wherefore? "The name of that deity is Dur" (far.) The demons, coming in contact with life, were destroyed, as a clod of earth is by a stone. This is the deity which, abiding in the body of the sacrificer of the present time, has been found by the gods as abiding in the mouth. It is a deity, because it is an object of devotion. Because its name is Dúr, (Dúriti,) its purity is proved by the name of Dur. Whence again comes the name of Dur? The Sruti answers, "because death, viz, sin, which defined by contact, is far (dur) from that deity of life. Duriti, as the name of life, shows its purity, because death, although placed near, is yet removed from life, which by its nature is free from contact. The reward of the wise is then mentioned, vis. " Far is death surely from him," from him means from the person who knows in the above described manner. Therefore he worships thus the true life which pure. Upseana (worship) is derived from Ups, which means, Manasa upa-agamya (baving perceived by the mind) the nature of a deity in the same way, as by passages of the Sruti in the Arthavada (praise) it is made known as an object of worship-and from Asana, which means continued reflection without interposition of worldly notions until the idea is manifested, I am that deity, just as in the common notion, I am a man. This is evident from such passages of the Sruti, as: "Having thus become a god, he goes to the gods," and: "What god art thou in the castern quarter?" 9.

This deity then, after having destroyed the sin of the deities, viz. death, made him depart, where the end of the quarters. There it fixed the abode of the sins of the deities. Hence let no one repair to the outer people; let him not follow sin, death. 10.

"This deity then." Is is said, that death is far from it, but why again I death far from him who thus knows? The answer is, because death is opposed to a knowledge of such a kind; for sin, which produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, a opposed to a person, who has the notion, that life is identical with the soul. He is at variance, because he has the notion, that the soul is different according to the differences of speech, &c., and because his knowledge is produced by his own pature. On the other hand, the notion, that life is identical with the soul, I produced by the Sastra. In consequence it is proper to say, that sin is far from one who has such a notion of life, because both are opposed to each other. Therefore by the words: "This deity," the Sruti shows the said meaning to be sin, the death of speech and the other deities; for every body dies by the sin produced by contact of the senses with their objects in accordance with his natural ignorance. Sin is therefore called death. Life, by the sole notion of its identity with the soul, destroyed the death of the deities who had the notion of the identity of life with the soul, and is therefore called the destroyer. Sin then is removed from a person who thus knows by his being at variance with it. What did life again, when it had destroyed the sin, the death of the gods? The answer is: "Life made him depart, where the end is of the quarters," viz. of the eastern and the other quarters. But as there is no end of the quarters, how can life make him depart there? The word "quarter" made for the purpose to designate the abode of the people possessed of the knowledge of the Védas; the country therefore, inhabited by people who do not follow the Védas, is called the end of the country, the desert. Accordingly, there is no blemish in the expression. deity of life made depart there the sine of the deities, and by contempt fixed in various ways their abode among the outer people, who are without knowledge of the identity of life with the soul.

For he we by his nature produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, and is therefore dependent upon the living creatures.

That deity destroyed the sin, death, of those deities. Then life, having overcome death, saved them. 11.

Life, having overcome death, saved the first speech. When speech, having overcome death, was liberated, became fire. That fire, having become free after its separation from death, shines forth. 12.

Then life, having overcome death, saved the smell. That

Hence let them not go to the outer people, not approach them by intercourse in words or other actions. By intercourse with them, intercourse is made with sin; for he is the place of sin. The meaning is —Let none repair to his abode which is defined by the end of the quarters, although II may not be inhabited by the people, nor to that people, although it may be far from that country. 10.

By the words: "That deity," the reward mentioned, which for speech, &c., results from the knowledge, that life is the soul, which reward is to acquire the nature of fire, &c. "Then life, having overcome death, saved them." This means: Life is called the destroyer of sin, death, because sin, death, which causes the separation of the soul from life, has been destroyed by the knowledge that life is one with the soul. Therefore this life, having overcome the natural sin, or death, saved them, which means, caused the deities of speech and the other organs to gain their divine nature as fire, &c., which most separated from them (after the destruction of death). 11.

"Life saved the first speech;" first means the principal, which more efficacious than any other organ to perform the ceremony of the Udgitha. The nature of speech, which was saved, after death was overcome, in thus described: When speech, after ain or death was overcome, had been liberated, it became fire, which means, speech was fire, before in became united with death, and became fire again after its separation from death; so great is its difference after its separation from death. This fire, when released, shines forth after its separation from death. Before its liberation being allied with death, it was not shining, as it is at present; now again after its separation from death, it shines forth. 12.

In the same manner the sense of smell became air, wind. Wind, liberated from death, purifies. All the particulars are as before. 13.

smell, having overcome death, became wind. That wind, having become free after its separation from death, purifies. 13.

Then life, having overcome death, saved the eye. When the eye, having overcome death, was liberated, ■ became Æditya. That Æditya, having become free after its separation from death, burns. 14.

Then life, having overcome death, saved the ear. When the ear, having overcome death, was liberated, it became the quarters; the quarters after their separation from death, are made free. 15.

Then life, having overcome death, saved the mind. When the mind, having overcome death, was liberated, it became the moon. That moon, having become free after its separation from death, is resplendent. In this manner that goddess having overcome death, saves the present sacrificer. Whosoever thus knows, obtains his due reward. 16.

Then life for its own sake praised by the Udgitha the primeval food; for whatever food acten, the same is eaten by life. On this it subsists. 17.

Thus the eye becomes Aditya. He burns. 14.

Thus the ear became the eastern and the other quarters. 15.

Mind is respleadent as moon. As the deity of life, having in its identity with speech, &c. as fire, &c., overcome death, saved the former sacrificer, so she saves also the present one. He who knows, that life is the same with speech and the other four organs in their state as fire, &c., obtains the state of life, which he has worshipped, be in fire, air, &c. 16.

"Then for its own sake," that is to say, as by speech and the other organs for their own sake praise was offered, so also by the principal life, when it had praised in the three Pavamana Stotras the reward which the state of Prajapati, common to speech and the other organs, it praised it in the nine remaining Stotras food for its own sake. The connexion of desire with the agent is authorized by the Upanishad, as has been mentioned before. How again must it understood,

The gods spoke: 'All this is only so much. Whatsoever food there is, is praised by thee for thy own sake. Let us eat of that food.' Life answered: 'Do enter me.' They with the words: 'Let be so,' every where entered life. Therefore whatsoever food is eaten by life, satisfies them. Him

that life praised the primary food for its own sake? To explain this, the cause is mentioned in the words: "for whatever," &c. The word food means here common food; for whatever food in this world is eaten by any living individual, "the same is eaten by life." Pripa (life) with the preposition Pra is derived from Ana. Ana, with a final s, (Pripas) means cart, with a final vowel (Pripa) life. The meaning is: this is eaten by life. And the primary food is not only eaten by life; but life subsists on it, when it is transformed into the substance of [10] (life's) own body. Therefore the primary food praised by life for the sake of its own subsistence. All that is eaten by life, is for its own subsistence; therefore the sin, which arises from the contact with the excellence of performance, is not in life, as it is in speech, &c. 17.

"The gods." But is it not improper to assert, that the food was thus eaten merely by life, since it is evident, that food is also the cause of the support of speech? &c.' There is no fault in this, because the support is obtained by the means of life. How again is the food supplied by life, in support of speech and the other organs? To explain this, it is said: "The gods," (Deva) speech and others, (they are called gods, Déva, because they manifest their own nature.) "spoke" to the principal life. "All this is only so much;" hence there is no more than this. "Whatsoever food," the cause of the support of life, is eaten in the world, "is praised by thee for thy own sake," which means, is transformed by thy praise into thy own substance. We also are unable to subsist without food; therefore let us afterwards for our own sake est of thy food. Life answered: 'All of you, if desirous of fand, every where enter me.' When life had thus speken, they surrounded and entered life with the words, "Let it be so.' Thus the good food, the support of life, which is enten by life, satisfies speech and the other organs which entered life by its command; but by their own will they have no connexion with food. Therefore it is

enter his own; he walks before, he is an enter of food, he is the lord paramount, who thus knows. He who against one who thus knows, amongst his own becomes a rival lord, one of a not able to support his dependents. On the other hand whosoever becomes a follower of such a one, and whosoever, following him, strives to support his own dependents, he is able to provide for them. 18.

He who abides in the mouth, is Angirasa; for he is the essence (Rasa) of the members (Anga.) Life in the essence

proper to assert, that food a caten merely by life. "Therefore" Because the deities of speech and the other organs by taking refuge to life had entered life in accordance with its command, "therefore whatsoever food people eat by life, the same satisfies them," viz. speech and the other organs. Whoseever knows that life in the support of speech, &c., and also knows that the five organs depend upon life, "him enter also his own," his relations, as speech and the other organs enter life, which means, he is the supporter of his relations. "He is the preserver of his own," who have entered him, by his own food, as life is of speech, &c. Thus "he is pre-eminent." "He walks before," he has the precedence, as life among speech, &c. "Thus he is an eater of food," which means, he is without disease. "He is lord paramount," and to guide them he becomes their preserver as independent lord, as life of speech, &c. He "who thus knows," vis. life, will obtain the above mentioned reward. "But he who against one who thus knows," viz., who thus knows life, "amongst his own," amongst his relations, "becomes a rival lord," like the demons who strove against life; "is not able to support his dependents." . On the other hand "whosoever amongst his relations becomes a follower of such a one," of a man who has that knowledge, as speech and others were of life, "and whoseever, following such a person, strives to support his own dependent," as speech and the other senses, following hie, strove to support themselves, "is able to provide for them," not any other who acts on his own will. 18.

All this is declared to be the reward, resulting from the knowledge of the qualities of life. To establish the idea, that life is the essence of the members; for life in the essence of the members; therefore, for the reason, that any member, from which life has departed, becomes dry, life is the essence of the members. 19.

This is also Brihaspati. Speech is Brihastí. Life the preserver (Pati) of Brihasf; therefore it is Brihaspati. 20.

of causes and effects, life is named Angirasa. Before it was said : "This (life) Margirasa;"\* but there was no reason assigned, why it Angirasa ; explain this reason, it is now said : "He who abides within the mouth, is Kngirasa." For it depends upon this reason, that life is the essence of causes and effects; afterwards the dependence of speech and the other organs upon life is stated. How in this dependence in be proved? To answer this, it is said: "He who abides within the month, is Angirasa." Hence it is taken according to its former description. The next sentence: "Life is the essence of the members," is to remind of the meaning that has been explained before. How life the essence of the members? The answer is: "For life;" the word 'for' is used to show, that the essence of the members well known. It is well known, that life is the essence of the members, but not that speech and the other organs are the essence. Therefore it is proper to remind of this by the words: "Life is." How is it again well known? To answer this, it is said, "therefore." The word "therefore," which conveys the idea of conclusion, must be connected with the last part of the sentence. "For the reason that any member, from which life has departed, becomes dry," without essence, "therefore" this is the conclusion, "life is the essence of the members." Hence it is evident, that life is the substance of causes and effects. because, when it is not there, dryness, death, ensues. Therefore all living creatures live through it. Therefore in preference to speech and the other organs, life an object of worship. This latter is the meaning of the whole deduction. 19.

"This is." Life is not only the substance (soul) of causes and effects which have become forms and works, but also the substance of the Rig, Yajur and Sáma Védas, which have become words. By the praise of life as the soul of all, it is exalted for the sake of worship. "This," well known "Angiraga" is also Bribaspati. Why? The answer is: "Speech is Bribati," the Védaic metre Bribatí, composed of thirty-six

This also Brambayaspati. Speech Bramba. Life is the preserver (Pati) of speech; therefore life is Brambayaspati. 21.

syllables: Further, Anustup is speech. Why? Because said in a passage of the Sruti: "Speech is Anustup." And this Anustup, which like speech, contained in the Brihati metre.\* Therefore it proper to declare it as a well-known fact, that "speech is Brihati." Moreover all the Mantras of the Rig Véda are contained in the Brihati, because life is praised as Brihati, as a passage of the Sruti says: "Life is Brihati." "Let it be known, life is the Rig," says another passage of the Sruti. Further: The Rigs are included in life, because they partake of the nature of speech. To prove this, it said: "This life is the preserver of this speech, Brihati, Rig, because it produces the Rig; for the Rig dependent upon the wind, produced by the digestive action of the stomach. Lastly, life is the preserver of speech for preserving it; for speech preserved by life, because without life (breath) it is impossible to pronounce a sound. Therefore Brihaspati in the life, substance of the Rigs. This is the meaning of the whole. 20.

Life is also the substance of the Mantras of the Yajur. Why? "This is also Bramhanaspati. Speech is Bramha," that is to say Yajur, which is a kind of speech. "Of this," speech, Yajur, Bramhans, "life is the preserver" (Pati). Therefore it is called Bramhanaspati, as before. How again is this known? The answer is, Brihati and Bramha mean the Rig and Yajur, not any thing else; for since at the conclusion of this subject it is said : "Speech is Same," it weident that the Sama Veda is the same with speech. In the same manner, if speech is Brihati, speech Bramba, and both therefore the same with speech; it is proper to maintain, Rig and Yajur are Brihati and Bramha. for they are remaining; for as the Sama is mentioned last, the remaining two must be the Rig and Yajur. This follows also from the difference occurring in speech; for Rig and Yajur are different kinds of speech. Therefore I right to say, that they are the same with speech. And also from the fact that if this were not the case, there would not be any distinction between them. The word: "The Sama is the Udgitha," clearly shows the use of a distinct name.

The Auustup, of \$2 syllables, is contained in the Bribatí of \$6 syllables, as the smaller in the larger number.—A. G.

This also Sáma. Speech is Sáma. Sáma is Sá and Amas. Therefore the Sáma has the nature of Sáma.—Because in hike (Sama) a bee, like a gnat, like an elephant, like these three worlds, like this all, therefore again it is called Sáma.

Therefore it is also proper to employ the distinct names of Brihati and Bramha; otherwise, if distinct names were not fixed, there would be no sense. Further, if special names of them were a mere sound, there would be a repetition of the same. And the names of the Rig, Yajur, Sama, Udgitha, are also successively mentioned in the Sruti. 21.

"This is also Same." Why? The enswer is: "Speech is Sa." vis., whatsoever is included in the feminine gender, is Sa, speech ; for the demonstrative pronoun S4 denotes all that is included in the feminine gender. In the same manner, this life is Ama, vis., Ama means all that is included in the masculine gender. How hast thou obtained my masculine names? The answer is: By life. How hast thou obtained my feminine names? By speech, as another passage of the Sruti says. Both, the names of speech and life are included the sound of Sama. Accordingly the name of Sama means a song, consisting of the whole of the letters, words and sentences, and depending upon life; therefore the name of Sama is nothing else but a compound of the names of life and speech, because each letters, &c. is produced by and dependent upon life. "This life is Sama" and "speech is Sama." Because the repetition of Sama shows, that Sama partakes of the nature of speech and life, viz., as compounded of the words 84 and Ama, "therefore the Sama," vis., the songs, which consist of the whole of the letters, words and sentences, must be comprehended under this idea of Sams. The connexion of the next sentence is: "Because it is like" (Sama) all which is afterwards mentioned, "therefore again it is Sama."-The word "again" shows the possibility to explain the meaning of Sama in another way by the term of Sama (like). In what way is the likeness of life assumed? The answer is: It is "like (Sama) a bee," vis., the body of a bee, "like a gnat," vis., like the body of a gnat, "like an elephant," vis. like the body of an elephant, "like these three worlds," vis., like Prajapati, whose body is the three worlds; "like this all," like

<sup>\*</sup> Viz. Speech is speech.

He obtains unity of nature with Sama, or unity of place who thus knows this Sama. 22.

This is also Udgiths. Life is Ut; for by life all this is upraised. Speech ■ Giths. It is Ut and Giths, therefore it ■ Udgiths. 23.

Hiranyagarbha, whose form is the world, which is entirely found in all individual bodies, in the bee as well as in all others, as the idea of cow is found in all individual cows. This is the likeness (identity) of life. Again, this identity is not merely found in the different bodies in proportion to their size, because life has no shape and is everywhere ; nor is the identity in the individual bodies an identity of contraction and expansion, as a light contracts in a jar and expands in a palace; for the Sruti says : 47 All these are like, all are without end." There is. however, no contradiction between its being everywhere and its being limited to a certain size in the different bodies. He, who thus knows the life whose name is Sama, under the idea of Sama (identity), vis., the M/s, whose greatness is declared in the Sruti, obtains this reward, vis., "he gains unity of nature (Sayujya)\* with Same," vis., with life, that is to sav, unity of body, organs and knowledge, or unity of place, that is to say, the same world, according to the power of his meditation, viz., he who knows Sams, life, who meditated on it, until the knowledge of the identity of life with the soul became manifest. 22.

"This is also Udgitha." The name of Udgitha means here neither a portion, a special division, of the Sama-Véda nor the portion, referring to songs (Udgáns) because the Udgitha is included in the description of the Sama, which means here life (S4-Ama). Why then is life Udgitha? The answer is: "Life is Ut; for by life is all this," the universe, "raised up" (Uttabdha) that is, supported. The name Ut, which elucidates the meaning of Uttabdha, shows a quality of life. Therefore, in accordance with the single words in the compound of Udgitha, Ut means life, and Githa speech. This Githa, after the meaning of the name derived from Gai (to sing) is speech; but with the exception of the sound Githa (song) there is nothing in any part

<sup>\*</sup> Sáyujya, a kind of salvation, of which five kinds are stated. 1. Sálókya, the abode in the same world. 2. Sárahti, equal prosperity. 3. Sámipya, vicinity. 4. Sárapya, likensas II foysa. 3. Illumin, unity or identity II nature.

There is also a narrative: Bramhadatta, the grandson of Chikitána, when drinking the resplendent Sóma juice, spoke: 'Let this resplendent juice cut off the head of this man, if Ayásya'ng irana performed the Udgitha by any other than this.' Therefore he performed the Udgitha by speech and life. 24.

He who knows the wealth of that Sama here, obtains its wealth. The musical notes are its wealth. Therefore let one

of the Udgitha which refers to singing. Therefore the assertion, that life is Udgitha, is proper, vis., Ut is life, and Githa speech, dependent upon life; both terms, thus compounded in one term, form Udgitha. 23.

To show the firmness of the just explained meaning, a narrative is commenced with the words: "There," that is, with regard to the meaning just explained, a narrative also known. "Bramhadatts," by name, the young grandson of Chikitána, when drinking at the sacrifice the resplendent Soma juice, spoke : "Let this resplendent juice," that here in the sacrificial cup and drunk by me, "cut off the head of this man," of me speaking falsely, which means, if I speak falsely. How again is the meaning of falsehood implied withe words of the Upanished? " If Ayinya (he is called Avishya Angirass, because his name is derived from the principal life) the performer of the Udgitha in the assembly of the former Rishis, who created the world, "performed the Udgitha by any other," by another deity beside speech and life, "than by this," viz., by the above described life in union with speech,—then let me be a speaker of falsehood, let the Soma cut off the head of me who has asserted something contradictory to the nature of the deity. In these words he made an imprecation, and shows therefore the necessity of the strength of the faith in the knowledge, that life is the Udgitha. The Brati confirms then by its own word the meaning conveyed by the narrative, vis., by speech, dependent upon life, and by life, transformed into his own nature, the Udgata, Ayasya Angirasa, performed the Udgiths. This meaning is established by the imprecation. 24.

"Of that Sama here," The word "that" connects it with the above described life. The term "here" shows II as by a gesture as being present. He who knows the wealth of life which is called by the word Sama, obtains what? "He obtains its wealth." This is the

who is to perform the duties of a Ritwig, desire to acquire the musical notes together with speech. By that speech which has obtained the musical notes, let him perform the rites of the Ritwig. Therefore people are desirous to look during the sacrifice upon the sweet-toned performer of the Udgitha as upon a rich man; for people desire to look upon him who has obtained wealth. He obtains wealth, who in such a manner knows the wealth of this Sama. 25.

He who knows the gold of this Sama, obtains verily its gold. The musical notes are its gold. He verily obtains gold, who in such a manner knows the gold of this Sama. 26.

reward which the Sruti shows as an inducement to the hearer, vis. "The musical notes are its wealth." The musical notes, the sweet sound, produced in the throat, are its wealth, its ornament; for a song, adorned with these notes, obtains wealth. Because this is so, "therefore let him who is to perform the duties of the Ritwig," the song of the Ritwig, vis., the Udgata-who is anxious to exhibit the wealth of the Sama by its note, desire to acquire in speech the musical note, dependent upon speech. This, however, a catablished in the course of explaining the topic, that the tones of the Sama are necessarily musical notes, and that the musical notes are not acquired by mere desire. but by the cleaning of teeth and the drinking of oil, &c. "By that speech," that purified speech, "which has obtained the musical notes, let him perform the rites of the Ritwig." "Therefore." Because the note has become the property (Swabhúta) of the Sama, and Aence the Sama is adorned with the note as with wealth (Swa), "therefore people are desirous to look during the merifice upon the sweet-toned performer of the Udgitha, as upon a rich man; for it is well known, that "people desire to look upon him who has obtained wealth" (Swa). A person who has fully obtained the reward resulting from his knowledge of this quality, is described in the words: "He obtains wealth who in such a manner knows the wealth of this 84ma." 25.

Now another quality of the Same is explained, viz., to be possessed of gold. This refers also to the sweetness of the notes, with the difference, however, that, while the former designated the sweetness of the notes, produced in the throat, the latter means gold only figuratively. "He

He who knows the abode of that Sama here, abides. Speech its abode; for its well known, that this life verily abides speech, some say, that abides in food. 27.

Therefore afterwards the rite of the Abhyaróha of the Pavamana Stótras defined. The praiser verily praises the Sama. Where he praises it, there let him mutter these Mantras: From the

who knows the gold of the Sama, obtains verily its gold." The meaning is, since the same word, Suvarna, denotes a musical note, (Suvarna, from Su, good, and Varna, letter) and gold, (Suvarna) common gold becomes the reward of him who knows this quality. Its musical note is, as it were, M gold. "He who knows the Sama in such a manner, verily obtains M gold," just as I has been described before. 26.

Then the quality of shiding is explained, viz, "He who knows the abode of this Sama." The Sama abides in speech; therefore speech is called the abode. He who knows this quality of abiding of the Sama, "abides." | proper to assign this quality to him, because | is said in the Stuti, according to the manner in which "it is worshipped." To him, who as in the former description, I desirous of the reward, and wishes to know which is the abiding place of the Sama, the answer is given: "Speech is the abode of the Sama." means the organs of sound at the root of the tongue and elsewhere."\* This speech is the abode. Therefore it is said: "For it is well known," because it well known, that this life abides in speech, in the organs of speech, the root of the tongue, &c., therefore speech is the abiding place of the Sama. "Some," others, "say, that abides in food," that it well known to abide there. And because neither of these two opinions blamed, therefore it is optional to assign the quality of abiding either to speech or to food. 27.

For him who thus knows life, the rite of muttering prayers is appointed. The perfection in muttering prayers which one who thus knows has acquired, is called his knowledge. "Therefore afterwards." Because the rite of muttering prayers, the reward of which is the ascension (Abhyáróha) to a divine state, must be performed by one, perfect in knowledge, "therefore" is defined. The muttering of prayers by its connexion with the Udgitha might be performed any time, but

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm o}$  Vis. of the chest, threat, head, root of the toughe, teeth, nose, lips, and palate. — K. G.

unreal lead me to the real, from darkness lead me to light, from death lead me to immortality. In the words of the Mantra: From the unreal lead me to the real, death is the unreal, the real immortality; from death lead me to immortality, which implies, render me immortal. Further in the words: From darkness lead

according to the word of the Bruti: "Pavamanam," a time is faced for them, and although the rite is herewith essigned to the three Pavamana Stotras, yet the time is again restricted by the words: "The praiser verily praises the Sama." "Where," at what time "he," the praiser, "praises," commences the Sama, "there," at that time let him mutter these Mantras. The rite of muttering these Mantras, is called Abhyaroha (ascension) because a person who thus knows, directly ascends (Abhimukhyéna árohati) to the state of a god. The plural E'táni (these Mantras) shows that three Mantras of the Yajur are meant. These Mantras must be read according to the accent, which is in the Bramhapa, and not as it is in the Mantras, as the second case (E'táni) proves,\* and also their being found in the Bramhapa. The rite of muttering must be performed by the sacrificar.

The following are the Mantras of the Yajur: "From the unreal lead me to the real, from darkness lead me to light, from death lead me to immortality." As the meaning of the Mantres is concealed, the Bramhana itself explains it: "In the words of the Mantra: From the unreal lead me to the real, death is the unreal:" "death" it is called, because his knowledge and works arise from his own nature : "unreal" it is called from the very low degree of its existence. "The real immortality," "the real," knowledge and works, derived from the Sastra, are "immortality, because they are the cause of it. Therefore "from the unreal," vis., from unreal works and from ignorance "lead me to the real," vis., to such works and knowledge, as are derived from the States, that is to say, produce the state of the soul, by which the nature of a god is obtained. The meaning of the whole sentence is given the words: "which implies, render me immortal." Thus also in the next Mantra: "From darkness lead me to light, death is darkness;" for every ignorance, from its natural tendency to screen, is dark-

<sup>\*</sup> Otherwise the third case would be required. A. G. † Viz. the Situpatha Brimbana.

me to light, death is darkness, light immortality; from death lead me to immortality, which implies, render me immortal. In the last Mastra: From death lead me to immortality, there is nothing concealed.—Then in the remaining Stotras let him praise the primeval food for his own sake. Therefore in those Stotras he may chose a blessing. Whatever desire he may desire, the same he may chose, viz. the Udgita who thus knows. Whatever desire he may desire, either for himself or the

ness; this as the cause of dying, is death. "Light immortality." the divine nature, contrary to the former (darkness); knowledge, by its natural tendency to manifest, Il light; this is immortality, because its nature is exempt from destruction. Therefore : " From darkness lead me to light," as before, means: " From death lead me to immortality," which implies, "render me immortal," that is, grant me the divine state of Praisnati as reward. The first Mantra means, from a state without causality produce a state that has causality. The second Mantra, however, means, from a state that has causality, but which is yet subject to ignorance, produce a state, where the whole effect is accomplished. In the third Mantra: "From death lead me to immortality," the whole meaning of the two preceding Mantres is given as conclusive. In the third Mantre, therefore, there is not, as in the two preceding, the meaning concealed, but I is the real meaning of the text. "Then," having made the song of the sacrificer in the three Pavamana Stotras, "in the remaining Stotras let him," the Udgata\* who knows life and has obtained the nature of life, "praise the primeval food for his own sake" in the same way, as life did. Because such an Udgata knows life thus, in the manner, before described, and hence, like life, is able to accomplish the desire; "therefore he," the secrificer, "may chose in those Stotras," at the recital of them, "a blessing." "Whatever desire he may desire. the same he may chose," ask as a boon, viz., " the Udgata who thus knows." "Whatever desire the Udgata desires," asks, "either for himself or for the sacrificer, the same III accomplishes by the recital." In this sense I is said, that the acquirement of life in its identity with the soul takes place by knowledge and works, and therein is no apprehension of any doubt. I may, however, be matter

<sup>\*</sup> The priest who knows the Sánia Véde.

sacrificer, the same accomplishes by the recital. This verily overcomes the worlds. There is verily no doubt to be worthy of the worlds for him who thus knows this Sama. 28.

of doubt, whether in the absence of works life is obtained or not. To remove this doubt, . said: "This verily overcomes the worlds." "This verily," this knowledge of life alone, separated from works, "overcomes the worlds," is the cause of gaining the worlds. "There is verily no doubt to be worthy of the worlds," nor even a desire; for if one has the firm knowledge, that life is the soul, he has no doubt that he will obtain it; for a man, who is in a village, does not doubt, like one the desert, whether he may arrive at the village. There may be indeed a doubt for one who has not the knowledge of the soul, which we yet far from him, but not for one who has it; he\* therefore has no apprehension that he should not acquire the state of life as identical with the soul. For whom there not such an apprehension? "For him who thus knows the Sama" to be life, in accordance with the greatness, as has been explained, for him therefore who knows: I am the life, inaccessible to the sins of the demons, which consist in the contact of the senses with their objects; I am purified; I am speech and the other four organs, + which by their dependence upon me have obtained their original nature, as fire, wind, &c. which are free from the blemish of the sins of the demons, produced by the contact of the senses with their objects, in consequence of natural knowledge, and which by dependence upon me are the cause of the connection of the primeval food with all the elements; I am the soul of all the elements as the common essence of them; I am also the soul of speech, embodied in the Rig, Yajur, Sama and Udgitha, because I pervade them and am their cause. When I have obtained the state of the song of the Sama, my external wealth, or ornament in the sweetness of the notes. When I have obtained the golden state, figuratively speaking, the sweetness of the notes, the state of the song, my organs are the various places of the throat, &c. Possessed of such qualities, I pervade with my whole nature all living beings down to the smallest insect, for I am without shape and every where. He will attain such a reward, whose worship manifests such a knowledge.

<sup>\*</sup> Who knows that life is identical with the soul.

<sup>+</sup> Viz. nose, eye, car and mind.

## Fourth Brámhaya.

This was before soul, bearing the shape of a man. Looking

"This was before woul." How the state of Prajapati | obtained by the united effect of knowledge and works, has been described, and also. how it is obtained by the mere knowledge of life, by passages as: "This verily overcomes the worlds," &c. By descriptions of the omnipotence and other attributes of Prajapati, who is the embodied reward, in the creation, preservation and destruction of the world, the eminence of the reward, resulting from knowledge and works in accordance with the Védas, further to described. For this object the present Bran-Ages has been commenced. This description sets forth the praise of the knowledge and works ordained by the practical\* part, because they are of great nower. But it is at the same time intended to show, that the whole reward, resulting from knowledge and works, has a worldly tendency; for it is said, that it is subject to fear and unhappiness; it is further involved in the connexion between cause and effect, and as a manifestation of the gross organism; it is not eternal. The second part of the Védas on the other hand, is intended to show the liberation, regulting from the mere knowledge of Bramba, which will hereafter be explained; for he who is not dissatisfied with the objects of the world, subject as they are to the division of cause and effect etc., has no desire to obtain the knowledge, that the soul is one and all, m a person who is not thirsty has no desire to drink. Consequently the description of the reward, resulting from knowledge and works, is made for the sake of the second part. With regard to this, | will | said: "This should be known by him." "This is better than a son."

"This was before the soul." The soul is here defined as Prajapati, the first-born from the egg, the embodied soul, as the result from his knowledge and works is accordance with the Védas. He was what? "This," produced by the division of body "was the soul," not separated from the body of Prajapati "before," before the production of other bodies. He was also bearing the shape of man, which means, that he was endowed with head, hands and other members; he was the Viráj, the first-born. "Looking round," reflecting, who am I, and

<sup>\*</sup> Corsesonial part of the Véda. Vide page 2, note 2.

<sup>†</sup> Vide p. 40, note.

round, he beheld nothing but himself. He said first: 'This am I.' Hence the name of I was produced. Therefore even now a man, when called, says first: 'It is I,' and tells afterwards any other name that belongs to him. And because he as the first of all of them consumed by fire all the sins, therefore he is called Purusha. He verily consumes him, who

of what nature, "he beheld nothing but bimself," the fulness of life, the organism of causes and effects. He beheld only himself as the universal soul. Then endowed with the recollection of his Védaic knowledge in a former birth, "he said first: This am I," vis. Prajápati, the universal soul. "Hence," therefore, because from the recollection of his knowledge in a former world he called himself I, therefore his name was I. The name of I, as proved in this Upanishad, and therefore in the Sruti, will afterwards be explained.

"Therefore," because this happened to Prajapatl, as the cause, therefore it happens also to the living creatures, his effects; for even now a man "when called," addressed with : 'Who art thou?' says first : 'It is I,' denoting himself with the name of the soul as the cause, and when afterwards asked for his special name, the name of this special individual, he answers by: 'I am Dévadatta, or Yajuadatta,' &c.; he tells the name, which was given to this individual person by father and mother. "And because he," Prajapati in a former birth, which is the cause, as the first of those who were desirous to obtain the state of Prajapati by the exercise of reflection on works and knowledge, viz. "as the first of all of them." of all those desirous of obtaining the state of Prajapati,-consumed by the perfect exercise of reflection on works and knowledge all the sins of contact, which are obstacles to the acquirement of the state of Prajapati, because such was the case,therefore he 📕 called Purusha, because he, Purvam Aushad, (first burned.) As that Prajápati, by consuming all opposite sins, became this Purusha Prajapati, so also any other consumes, reduces to ashes, by the fire of the practice of his reflection on knowledge and works, or only by the force of his knowledge. "He verily consumes." Whom? "Him who before this sage strifes to obtain the state of Prajapati." The sage is pointed out as he who thus knews, who according to his power manifests his reflection on knowledge. But 🔳 🖿 not

before this strives to obtain the state of Prajápati, he namely, who thus knows. 1.

He was afraid; therefore man, when alone, is afraid. He then

useless for any one to strive for the state of Prajápati, if he consumed by one who thus knows? There is no fault in this; for consuming means here only, that the highest state, that of a Prajápati, is not obtained, because the eminence of the reflection on knowledge is wanting. Therefore by the words: "He consumes him," is it meant, that the perfect performer obtains the highest state of Prajápati, he, who less perfect, does not obtain it, and by no means, that the less perfect performer is actually consumed by the perfect; thus it is said in common life, that a warrior who first rushes into battle, consumes his combatants, which means, that he exceeds them in provees.

To show that the reward of knowledge and works, as set forth in the Karma Kanda, which is the state of Prajapati, praiseworthy as it may be, does yet not overcome this world, | said: "He was afraid," viz, this Prajapati, who is called the first-born embodied soul, bearing the shape of man, was afraid, as we and other creatures are. Hence it is said: Because he, bearing the shape of man, endowed with an organised body, was afraid in consequence of his desire against his destruction, " "therefore man," on account of his likeness with Prajápati, also now, when alone, "is afraid." And further as with us, so also with Prajapati, the true knowledge of himself (the soul) is the cause, that the desire against one's destruction is removed. Hence it is said: "He looked round." How! The answer is: "Since nothing but myself," but the soul, not a second thing, exists, " of whom should I be afraid," while there me no cause of my destruction. "Hence," from the true knowledge of himself (the soul) Prajapati's "fear departed," for his fear is merely the effect of his ignorance, which cannot remain with true knowledge, wherefore it asaid: "For whom should be fear," viz. he who fears, and the meaning which is intended. is, when truth has been ascertained, fear removed, since fear arises from a second, from another thing, and a second, another thing, is merely the production of ignorance; for a second thing, of which there is no knowledge, cannot be the cause of the origin of fear. Thus it is said

<sup>\*</sup> Or of his desire for his preservation.

## looked round: Since nothing but myself exists, of whom should

in a Mantra: What blindness of mind, what distress exists for him who conscious of the universal identity? And it is also proper, that by the knowledge that all none, the fear is removed; for the knowledge of a second, from which fear may arise, is removed by the knowledge that all none; hence there is nothing, from whence it could arise. Here it asked: Whence was produced Prajápati's knowledge, that all is one, or who instructed him?

(Either the knowledge manifested itself without instruction. Then the same should be the case with us and similar beings. Or it is derived from impressions received in a former birth; then the knowledge that all is the soul, is useless; for in this case, as the knowledge of Prajapati, although existing when he was in the state of a former birth, did not remove the cause of the contrary ignorance, because on account of his ignorance he was afraid, so also is the knowledge of the identity of the soul useless for every one. And if you, lastly, say, that the knowledge removes the ignorance at the time of death, we also object, because, as before, it must again be connected with a prior knowledge, whence arises the logical fault of a too general argument. Hence it must be concluded, that the knowledge of the identity of the soul is useless.)

We deny this, on the ground that the knowledge of Prajapati produced from the most powerful cause; for as in common life strength of intellect and extent of memory are observed with him from the time of his birth, who has acquired various efficacious causes by his pious actions, so also with regard to the eminent birth of Prajapati, united as it is with efficient causes, which are purified by his having consumed all sins, the effects of opposite causes, by the power of moral merit, of knowledge and of liberty from worldly derires. Hence it proper to maintain, that Prajapati's knowledge of the identity of the soul, produced at the time of his birth, has arisen without any instruction. In this respect says the Smriti: "Whose four qualities, his unbounded knowledge, freedom from passion, power and moral merit are inuate."

If you say, 'if they are innate, fear is impossible, for darkness does not rise with the sun,' we deny this on the ground, that the notion of innate knowledge has merely the meaning to exclude instruction from I be afraid? Hence his fear departed; for whom should he fear, since fear arises from another. 2.

another. II your opinion is, "that faith, devotion and reverence are no causes of knowledge, and that such causes of knowledge, as faith, devotion and reverence, sasigned by the Srnti and Smriti in such passages as: "The faithful whose mind is devoted to only one object, whose senses are subdued, gains knowledge,"\* "Thou shalt know by reverence," +- have no causality,' and if you moreover say, 'that our moral merit in our former birth is the cause of our knowledge (in the next birth) as it in the case with Prajapati," we do not agree, because we must attend to the distinction of general and special causes. as well as of qualified and unqualified causes; for in common life obtains a manifold division of causes with regard to effects dependent upon a cause; in the same manner of general causes. These as well as special causes are again divided into qualified and unqualified causes. To show this by an instance we chose the perception of form, as an effect from a variety of causes. Here the perception of form I the dark. without light, by animals rouning at night, is caused by the contact of the eye with form. The cause of perception of form by the Yogis is the mind alone; for us it is the contact of the eye with form through light. Further by the division of light into sunlight, moonlight, &c. are produced the general divisions of the cause. Moreover there is the other division of light, light, especially qualified and light not qualified. In the same manner the cause of the knowledge of the identity of the soul is sometimes work performed in a former birth, as is the case with Prajapati : sometimes penance, as the Sruti says: By penance search for Bramhs :1 sometimes instruction from the teacher, as follows from such passages of the Sruti and Smriti: "A man, who has a teacher, knows." faithful acquires knowledge." "Do thou know hy reverence." "From the teacher he must be known, seen, heard," &c. Faith, devotion, &c. are direct causes to obtain that knowledge, as they effect separation from vice and from other causes of the contrary. The same is the case with the hearing, thinking and mental intuition of the Védánta.

<sup>\*</sup> Bhagavadgita, Fourth chapter.

<sup>†</sup> Bhagavadgita, e. l.

<sup>\$</sup> Teittariya Upunishad Bhrigu Valli 5th Khanda.

He did thus not feel delight. Therefore no body, when alone, feels delight. He was desirous of a second. He was in the same state in husband (Pati) and wife (Patni) are when in mutual embrace. He divided this self two-fold. Hence were husband and wife produced. Therefore was this only a haif of himself,

because here is comprehended the present object of knowledge itself, and also because by the annihilation of sin and other obstacles the true knowledge of the soul and the mind from its very nature produces that knowledge. Therefore faith, reverence, &c. are real causes of knowledge. 2.

From this also follows the worldly state of Prajapati, viz. because "Ha," Prajápati, "did thus not feel delight," which means, was unhappy, like us and others at the present time; "therefore," in consequence of the state of lonelinese, &c., "no body, when alone, feels delight." Delight means the pleasure, derived from the union with a desired object. Unhappiness is called the state of mental distress. following the separation from an object of desire, which one is attached. For the removal of this unhappiness "he was desirous of a second, of a wife able to destroy the unhappiness. And while he thus longed for a wife, his state was as of one, embraced by a wife. And because by this state he desired something real, he was of such a state. Of what state? In such a state, as in common life husband and wife are, when in embrace for the removal of unhappiness. " He," thus, "divided this "self," which was of such a kind, "two-fold." The term "this" is used in order to define the self and to distinguish it from the Virái, the first cause. He was by the removal of the state of Viráj not in the same state, in which curd is, when its former state. that of milk, is entirely removed. Which was then the state of sensration? By the objective mental power of Viráj, entirely dependent upon himself, there was, beside himself, another body in the state of husband and wife in embrace. And this Viraj of such a kind, "was in the same state," because he is the same subject for two predicates. "Hence," from this division (Patanat) husband (Pati) and wife (Patal) were produced, which I a description of the common state of husband and wife, "Therefore," because this wife is, = it were, the other separated half of himself, "therefore was this," this body, "only the

as a split pea is of the whole. Thus verily has Yajnavalkya declared it. This void thus completed by woman. He approached her. Hence men were born. 3.

She verily reflected: How can he approach me, whom he has produced from himself? Alas, I will conceal myself. Thus she became a cow, the other a bull. He approached her. Hence kine were born. The one became a mare, and the other a

half of himself, as a split pea is of the whole." Of whom was it only a half before his taking a wife? The answer is: "Of his self." Thus verily has Yajnavalkya, vis. the son of Yajnavalka (which denotes him who speaks at the sacrifice) Dévaráti, declared it. In may also mean a son of Bramhá. Because this male half is void, as wanting the female half, "therefore," again\* after the taking of a wife, it is again completed by the female half, as a split pea by its being joined with its other half. "He," "Prajúpati," under the name of Manu, approached her," by name Sátarupá,† his own daughter, under the notion that she was his wife. "Hence," from this union, men were born." 3.

"She," Satarupa, recollecting that it is forbidden in the Smriti to approach one's own daughter, "verily reflected: How happens this improper action, that he can approach me," whom he has produced from himself. "Alas, I will now conceal myself," will conceal myself under the diaguise of another kind. "Thus," having thus re-

<sup>\*</sup> Ananda observes, that the word "egain" refers the same event in a former birth, -- because the world is without commencement.

The notion of Viráj dividing his own autotance into male and female, occurs more than one Parána. So does that of an incestnose marriage and intercourse of the first Menu with his daughter Saturápá, and the commentators on the Upanishad understand that legend to be alluded to in this place. But the institutes sacribed to Menu make Viráj to be the insue of such a separation of persons, and Menu himself to be his offspring. There is indeed, as the reader may observe from the passages cited in the present essay, much disagreement and consequent confusion, in the gradation of persons interposed by Hindu theology between the supreme being and the created world. Col. M. E. Vol. I. p. 65. See also Wilson's Vishnu Purana, where, p. 51, note 5, a very lacid view of the opinions of the different Puránas on this subject in given.

stallion, the one a female ass, the other a male ass. He approached her. Hence the one-hoofed kind was born. The one became a female goat, the other a male goat, the one became an ewe, the other a ram. He approached her. Hence goats and sheep were born. In this manner he created every living pair whatsoever down to the ants. 4.

He knew: I am verily this creation; for I created this all. Hence the name of creation is derived. Verily he who that knows, becomes in this creation like him. 5.

flected, "she became a cow," by herself, and again and again was this the inclination of Satarapá, incited by the impulse of living creatures, as well as of Manu. Therefore "the other became a bull. He approached her," in the same manner, as it has been related before. "Hence kine were born." Thus "the one became a mare, and the other a stallion," thus, "the one a female ass, the other a male ass." There, by the union of the mare with the stallion and the union of the other kinds "the one hoofed kind," viz. horses, mules and asses, "were born." Thus "the one became a female goat, the other a male goat, the one became an ewe, the other a ram. He approached her," vis. the one after the other in the order as they are mentioned. 

Hence goats and sheep were born. In this manner he created every living pair, male and female, whatsoever, down to the ants," viz. he created the world. 4.

"He," Prajápati, having created all this world, "knew." What? "I am verily this creation." The world is created, therefore the created world is called creation. Because the world is created by me, therefore since I is not separated from me, am I the world; it is not distinguished from me. Why is this I? "For I," because "I created this whole world," therefore. Because Prajápati called himself the creation, "hence," therefore "the name of creation II derived." "Verily he becomes in this creation," in this world of Prájapati, "like him;" he becomes like Prajápati, a creator of the world, which is not different from his own self. Who? "He who thus knows," knows like Prajápati, as has been said, that he is the world, depending upon the notion of the soul, of the elements and of the deities. 5.

Then he churned. From his mouth, as the place of production, and from his hands he created the fire. Both therefore

Praiápati, having thus created this world as a succession of pairs, was desirous of creating the guardian deities of the Brahmans and of the other castes, and at the commencement throwing his hands upon his mouth "he churned." Churning with his hands "he created from his mouth," as the organ of production, and also "from his hands," as organs of production, "the fire," the special benefactor of the caste of Brahmans. Because both the hands and the mouth are the organs of production of the fire, whose nature 1 to consume, "therefore both are without hair;" not the whole, however, but only the inside; for both resemble in this respect the female organ of production; "for this is inside without heir." Thus also the Brahman was born from the month of Prajapati. Therefore the fire is the special benefactor of the Brahman, both being sprung from the same source, as the elder brother I of the younger. Therefore the Brahman has the fire as his guardian deity, and the mouth as his strength, as proved by the Sruti and Smriti. Thus he produced from his arms, the seat of power, the slayer of Bala,\* and other gods, the ruling deities of the Kshatriya caste and also the Kshatriya. Therefore the Kshatriya has Indra as his guardian deity, and the arm as his strength, as both Sruti and Smriti attest. Thus he created from his thigh, as the seat of endeavour-the Vasus and others, the ruling deities of the Vis, and also the Vis. Therefore the Vairya is devoted to agriculture, merchandise and similar pursuits, and his guardian deities are the Vasus. &c., according to the evidence of the Sruti and Smriti. Thus he created from his feet Pushana, 6 the guardian deity of the earth, and also the Súdra, who is fitted for servitude, according to the evidence of the Sruti and Smriti. The Upanishad makes here for the praise of

<sup>\*</sup> Indra.

<sup>+</sup> Varuna, &c.

<sup>†</sup> The Vasus were the sens of Vasa. Their names are: Apa, Dhruva, Soma, Dhaba (fire), Anila (wind), Anala (fire), Pratyúsha (daybroak), and Prabhása (light.) —W. V. P. p. 126.

<sup>§</sup> One of the twelve Adityns, some of Kasyapa by Aditi, the daughter of Dakshu.—L. C. p. 122.

are inside without hair; for the place of production inside without hair.

That they speak there this word: Sacrifice to this, sacrifice to this, hence sacrifice to the one or the other god, is not proper. His is really this creation; for he verily all the gods.

the whole creation, the supposition, as if the origin of the gnardian deities of the Kahatriya and the other castes had been related, although III has not been done, and yet remains to be related. By this passage of the Sruti it is accordingly evident, that Prajapati III all deities, because there is no difference between the creator and the creature, and because the gods are created by Prajapati.

The meaning of the subject being thus established, the blame of the contrary notion\* of ignorant people introduced for the praise of the meaning, as it has been established; for the blame of the one is the praise of the other.

"That they," the mere performers of sacrifices, "speak there," during the performance of the ceremonies at the time of offering, "this word: Sacrifice to this," to Agni, "sacrifice to this," to Indra, &c., they do so in accordance with the difference of name, Sastra, Stotra, work, &c. by considering this or the other different god, for instance Agni, &c., as one among others. This should not be thus understood, because "his," Prajapati's, "is really this creation," the whole division of the gods; "for he," Prajapati, as life, "is verily all the gods."

Here we meet with a contradictory opinion. 'Namely, some say, the supreme Hirapyagarbha is meant, others Hirapyagarbha, as being in a worldly state. According to the letter of the Mantras the supreme meant; for the Sruti says: "They call him Indra, Mittra, Varuna and Agni." And another passage: "He who is Bramha, who Indra and Prajapati, is all these gods." Also the Smriti says: "Some call him Agni, others Manu, others Prajapati." And further: He who is no object of the organs, who is unapproachable, who infinitely little, § unmanifested,

<sup>\*</sup> The contrary notion is, that the deities are independent beings; this view is blamed in the next sentence of the Upunished.

<sup>†</sup> The organs W action are here meant, according = Ansada.

<sup>‡</sup> To the senses of intellect, eye, ear, &c.

<sup>§</sup> This is the cause, that M cannot be perceived by the senses.

eternal, whose nature comprehends all elements, who is not conceived by thought,\* sprung thus forth by himself. On the other hand he is also in a worldly state. This follows from the passage of the Sruti, stating: "He consumed all sins;" for unless one is in a worldly state, he cannot consume sins. In follows also from the passage of the Sruti, that he is subject to fear and unhappiness. Also from the other: "Being mortal he created immortals." Further, from the letter of the Mantra: "Lo the birth of Hiranyagarbha." Also from a passage of the Smriti in the chapter which treats on the results of works: Sages call Bramhá, the creators of the world, virtue, the great, the unmanifested, the best condition, which can be obtained by the quality of goodness.† Therefore a contradictory meaning being obtained, the argument is faulty?

We do not grant this, because there is no contradiction, if it considered under a different fictitious view, and a different fictitious view is obtained, if it is connected with a special fictitious attribute. In this manner it is declared in the Sruti:

- \* No object of the mind, the internal sense.
- † This passage is taken from Mann 12, 50. According to Ananda, by the creators are meant Mann and others, by virtue Yama, by the great one (Mahat), intelligence, Buddhi, the first production of Prakriti, or self-existing nature, according to the Sankhya system. Kullúka Bhatta, the commentator of Manu, explains it differently, vis. Bramhá is the Bramhá with four faces, the creators Marichi and the others, Mahat and Avyakta (unmanifested) two of the sautes of the Sánkhya system, here the tutelary deity of the same, virtue the embodied virtue.
- ‡ I translated Kalpana with "fictitious view" and Upadhi with "fictitious attribute, as I was unable to find more adequate words. Kalpana according to the dictionaries means: arrangement, distinction, artificial making; but these words do not express the meaning, which it has according to the Védánta, where II is a predicate, which II assigned II a thing to which it really does not belong, or the conceiving of a thing under an idea which is contrary to its nature, as for instance, II Bramba (the infinite soul, infinite substance) is comprehended under the notion of infinite mind (manas) or infinite intelligence (Buddhi) which notions are more anthropomorphisms. Thus far II the Kalpana indeed an artificial notion, a notion made by some contrivance, or not founded on the real nature of the thing. Upadhi, (according to Wilson discriminating or distinguishing property, disguise, in the Védánta this II especially applied II certain natural forms or properties, considered as dis-

"Sitting he proceeds afar, sleeping he goes everywhere. Who beside me, is able to know the god who is happy and not happy."\* Through attributes he is connected with the world; in reality by himself he is unconnected with the world. This the identity and diversity of Hiranyagarbha, and in the same manner of all living creatures, which follows from the passage of the Sruti: "That art thou." But while Hiranyagarbha, in consequence of the superlative purity of his attributes is, as it were, almost entirely supreme, as Sruti and Smriti declare, although they show his worldly state as it were, at some places, the worldly state of the living creatures, in consequence of the prevalent impurity of their attributes, almost everywhere evident. Divested,

guises of the spirit which I translated with fictitious attribute, is any quality which is assigned by a fictious notion to the infinite soul; for instance, omnipotence, omniscience, &c. If the infinite substance is considered in its own nature, it has no attributes whatever, and the notions under which it is thought, vis., existence, knowledge, happiness, are in reality no different attributes, but they constitute the one identical substance (Atma, soul).

On the other hand, it is necessary to form fictitious views of the infinite substance; for the world cannot be thought in itself, and therefore requires to be thought by the infinite soul, as entirely depending upon it. The set of the mind by which it transfers the notions of the world upon Bramba or the infinite soul, is called Adhyárópa, which is in fact the same idea with that of Kalpaná. Under the condition, that the world is considered in Bramba, Bramba or the infinite soul is also considered under various attributes, as for instance, cumiscience, universal providence, immanent cause of the world, &c. The Kalpaná, the visw, although fictitious, is yet necessary, and the Upadhi, the attribute, although not really an attribute of Bramba, is still indispensable, if he is considered in his relation to the world.

There is therefore according to the Védánta, no contradiction, if attributes are ascribed to Bramka, and desired of him, as long as the notions under which both is done, are kept separate; namely, he has no attributes, if considered in himself; he has attributes in his relation to the world.

\* Katha Upanishad, 2 V. 21. In this passage the contradictory predicates are founded upon the different modes, by which the soul may be conceived. Thus "sitting" refers to its unchangeableness, "proceeding" to its worldly nature, where I proceeds faster than any other being. The Upunisheds generally represent the difficulty to understand the infinite soul by assigning to I contradictory predicates. Thus it I said in the Vájasanéya Up. v. 5. If (the soul) proceeds, it does not proceed; it I far; it is near; it is within this universe; it is without this universe.

Then whatsoever moist, the same he created from his semen, this the Sóma. So much is this whole universe, either food, or the eater of food. Sóma is the food, and Agui the eater of the food. This is the surpassing creation of Bramhá. Because he from the better parts created the gods, and also,

however, of all distinction of attributes, every one obtains the name of supreme according to the evidence of the Sruti and Smriti. But the logicians who, abandoning the authority of the holy writings, reason much about existence and non-existence, agency and non-agency, confound the meaning of the Sastra. Hence the determination of the meaning becomes difficult. But for those who without pride follow the Sastra alone, the meaning of the Sastra with regard a deities is as clear, as if it were an object of perception. Here it is intended to introduce with reference to Prajapati, although he is one God, such distinctions as food, &c. Among them the fire has been mentioned; the Sóma, as the first food will now be mentioned.

"Then whatsoever is," in the world, "moist," in the form of a fluid. "the same he created from his semen," from his seed. Thus it is said in the Sruti: "From the semen sprang the waters." And the Soma is also watery: therefore all that is moist, is created by Prajapati from his semen. This is, "as it were, the Soma." "So much is this whole universe," that is, there is no more than this. What it? "Bither food," which E Soma, as nourishing by its fluidity, or "the enter of the food," Agni, by his heat and harshness. Hence Soms defined as food. From the force of the meaning it is evident, that Sóma is all that eaten, and that Agni is the eater. Sometimes the fire, when offering made by it, becomes Soma, Soma also, when offering is made to it, becomes fire, because it eats. Whosoever in this manner perceives the world, as consisting of Agni and Soma, under the ides of the soul, is not tainted by any blame, and becomes also like Prajápati. "This is the surpassing creation of Bramha," Prajápati. surpassing, because it surpasses his own nature. Which is this creation? "Because he from the better parts," the parts resembling him, "created the gods," therefore is the creation of the gods a surpassing creation. How it again a creation which surpasses himself? The

because he, a mortal, created the immortals, therefore is it a surpassing creation. He who thus knows, becomes in this surpassing creation like Prajápali. 6.

That which is this, was then verily unmanifested. That mani-

answer is: "And also, because he, a mortal," of a mortal nature, "created the immortals," the gods of immortal nature, after he had consumed all his sins by the fire of works and knowledge, therefore is this surpassing creation the effect of perfect knowledge. Therefore "he who knows" this surpassing creation of Prajápati, as proceeding from himself, verily becomes in this surpassing creation like Prajápati, becomes a creator like Prajápati. 6.

"That which is this" was then verily unmanifested." All Védaic causes, † denoted by knowledge and work, depend upon such distinctions as agent, &c., and their ultimate reward is the acquirement of the state of Prajápati; † the effect is "so much," vis. this manifested world. The Upanishad is now to show, how this manifested world, depending upon the connection of causes and effects, was before its manifestation in the shape of a seed (in its original shape) which is inferred, as the tree is inferred from its effect, the sprout; for this tree of the world, whose seed is work and whose field is ignorance, is to be taken up with its root, m by its taking up the liberation of man is accomplished. Thus it maid in the Kathaka: § "Its root is above, its branches go downwards." The same maid in the Gita: "Its root is above, its branches go downwards." It make said in a Purana:

<sup>\*</sup> That which is this. Tad idean (that this) according 

an idiotism 

Sauscrit, where two demonstrative pronouns, this and that, are connected with the same subject, and where tad (that) refers to the past time, and idean (this) 

the present time. The Védénta frequently uses this form of expression to show the identity of the logical subject 

its connexion with predicates which exclude each other.

<sup>†</sup> Védaic causes are here causes of any mode or form of worldly existence, as asserted by the Védas; causes which lead in final liberation from the world, are accordingly in this pessage not referred to; this is evident, says Auanda, on the ground, that a multiplicity of causes cannot be a means of liberation which is of a uniform nature.

<sup>1</sup> Or ■ other words the ultimate reward is the state of death,—K. G.

<sup>§</sup> Káthaka, 2 Adh. 6 Volli. V. 1.

fested itself by name and form, as it is commonly said: "He is of this name, of this form." In the same manner that which

The eternal tree of Bramha, "That," the world in the state of a seed before its creation, is named, on account of its imperceptibility at that time, by the pronoun = that," which denotes any thing that is no object of perception. The word "verily," which is the mark of a narrative, \* I used here for the object to make I easily understood, that the world was in the state of non-manifestation, because it is connected with the past time. If it is said: Thus it verily was, it is easily understood, that the imperceptible state of the world is meant, in the same way, as if it is said: There verily was a king Judhisthira. "Which is this." This denotes the world as manifested by name, form and work, as being in the connexion of cause and effect, in the manner in which it has been described. The terms "that" and "this" denote the state of imperceptibility and perceptibility of the world, and placed upon one and the same subject, they express the identity of the world in its state of perceptibility and imperceptibility. The meaning is: That which this, and this, which is that, was then unmanifested. If this is the case, it is evident that no effect can be produced from any thing that does not exist, and that it cannot be destroyed from any thing that exists.

"That," so described world, which was not manifested, manifested itself by name and form. The reflexive form † "manifested itself," shows, that the soul was manifested by itself. "It manifested itself," it clearly obtained a perceptible form of existence, the limits of whose knowledge are the differences of name and form, which by its own power produced the relations of ruler, agent, and depends upon the action of causes. "He is of this name;" by the pronoun § "he," which is a

<sup>\*</sup> The word for narrative is Itihasa. Iti-ha-fau; thus verily it was, which formula commonly concluding a narrative in the Védus, became the term of a narrative generally.

<sup>†</sup> Karma Kartzi, where the agent (Kartzi) ≡ its own object (Karma.)

<sup>‡</sup> This more mentioned, because according to the Védánta it is necessary, that the world as an effect must have a cause.

<sup>§</sup> The word for pronoun is in Susscrit, Sarvanama, a general name, on the meaning of which the exposition, as given above, ■ founded.

is this also then manifests itself, as it is said: He is of this name, of this form." He entered this world.

name without distinction, a name only is indicated. "He III of this name," viz. Dévadatta, or Jajnadatta is his name. In the same manner the pronoun "this," in the sentence, he is of this colour, denotes colour generally, without distinction of white or black, &c. "That," unmanifested, substance, "which is this, then," at that time, manifests itself, by name and form, in the manner as it is said: He is of this name, this form.

"He,"\* for whom the whole Sastra† is commenced, upon whom by means of natural ignorance the notions of agent, ceremony and reward are transferred, who is the causet of the whole world, from whom, identical with his nature, name and form, not manifested before, are manifested, as dirty foam from clear water, and who, different from either, name and form, of his own nature is eternal, pure, intelligent and free, "he," manifesting the before unmanifested name and form, which are identical with his own nature, "entered this world," these bodies from Bramhá down to inanimate matter, dependent upon works and the consequences thereof, and subject to death and other evils.

'But it was said, that the unmanifested manifested itself; how can it now be maintained, that even the supreme soul, manifesting the unmanifested, entered this world?'

There is no fault in this; first, because the supreme soul was meant under the notion of the unmanifested world; for we said, that the unmanifested world, which produces the relations of a creator, agent, &c., and which is dependent upon the action of causes, &c., manifested itself; secondly, because the term "this" is identical with the term "manifested." As "this" means manifested, when it has obtained the

- \* The Supreme Bramba.
- † Viz. the Véda in its two parts, the Karma Kanda, which relates to ceremonies, and the Juana Kanda, which relates to the knowledge of Bramba.
- ‡ Viz. the only cause, without any difference of the mediate and material cause, in the Sánkhya system.
- § In other words, before it was not said of the soul, that it manifested the world, but it was said, that the unmanifested manifested itself. How it therefore consistent with that doctrine to substitute here the notion of the soul for the notion of the unmanifested.

endless differences of ruler of the world and other agents, of cause, &c., so means "that" unmanifested, when it has not emitted any of its differences. The only difference\* is, that the one is manifest, the other not manifest. In common life also the same word has different meanings according the intention of the speaker, as if for instance it as said : The village is arrived, the village is empty. Sometimes the word village may only mean the dwellings, as in the sentence: the village is empty. Sometimes the inhabitants may be intended, as in the sentence: the village has arrived. Sometimes both meanings may be intended, as in the sentence: let him not enter the village. Thus also in the present case. If it is said: this manifested and unmanifested world, where there I no intention to separate them, the soul and the non-soul are meant. Further, this world, subject to production and destruction. means the world alone. Further, the soul, which mereat, not produced, not extended, which is not this, which I not that, means the soul alone.

But how can it be thought that 'Ae entered' the world, manifested by the supreme manifestor, and everywhere and at every time pervaded by him? For a country, which I not entered before, may be entered by any thing of limited extent, as for instance a village by a man, &c., but not by any thing without limit, as for instance the other, because from its nature it II always present. If he entered it, as a snake a stone, by a modification of qualities, we may grant such a modification for the snake, but not for the supreme soul, because he entered even with his nature. If it be supposed, that, abiding in the effect (the world) he was produced by a modification of qualities, and that by this he entered, as a snake, which is produced at the same time, abides in the stone, or water in a cocoa-nut, we object, because the Sruti says: having created that he entered it; for here it is evident, that the creator himself, without assuming another mode of existence, when he had created the world, entered it afterwards. Thus it is said: having en-

<sup>\*</sup> Between the world in in former and in its present state.

<sup>†</sup> The snake can be considered as a modification of the stone, because according to the Védánta, both are productions of the five elements; therefore there is no contradiction in considering the anake a modification of the five elements which abide in a stone. In the same manner is may be thought, that the infinite soul entered the intellect and other creations by its modification as life.

joyed he goes. There also is a mutual difference between the actions of enjoying and going, referring to the past and present time, but the agent is the same. The same case is here, and it cannot be thought that a modification of existence of him who abides in this effect, is produced.

Nor accords the idea of an entrance, which denotes the separation from one place and the connexion with another, with the idea of the soul, which is without parts and without limits. If it is supposed, that the Sruti refers is an entrance, where parts are admitted, we deny this on the authority of such passages of the Sruti as: for he is radiant, without form, he is complete, without parts, without actions; we deny it also on the authority of such passages as prohibit the application of any differences of qualities whatsoever to the soul.

Nor entered he the world as an image, as there is no separation of a second thing.

Nor did he enter it as a quality enters a substance, because he does not depend upon any thing; for a quality indeed, which in every way depends upon another thing, is considered to enter a substance, but not so Bramha, as depending upon himself according to the Sruti.

Nor as a seed the fruit, because such attributes as an increase and decrease of its parts, a production and destruction, are assigned to this relation; but there are no such attributes of Bramha, of whom it is said in the Sruti: He is not produced, he is without age, &c.

Nor as another separate body; for from the passage of the Sruti: This deity reflected, let me manifest name and form, it is clear that the same deity, which enters, also manifests and acts. Also from these passages: "Having created this, he entered it," and: "Having opened the place, where the hairs divide, he entered by this door."\* Having considered all forms, having made all names, the hero sits inclined." "Thou, O youth, or thou, O maid, thou, old man with a staff, spends thy time," it follows, that no other enters but the supreme soul.+

<sup>†</sup> The first part of the argument was to prove, that il is the supreme soul which enters; the second il to show, that the supreme soul il not affected in its nature by his entrance.

If we thought, that there is a multiplicity in the supreme soul, in consequence of the natural differences of the things which he entered, we object from passages of the Sruti as: "One god he sits at many places; being one, he proceeded to many places. Thou, although one, hast entered many. One god art thou concealed in all beings thou pervadest all; thou art the soul within beings."

We shall at present not enquire, whether the idea of entering has been established or not; but it is clear, that the supreme soul has a worldly form, because the things which he entered, have a worldly form, and are not different from the soul?

We deny this, because the Sruti says: He overcomes death.

If II is thought that he is in a worldly state, on the ground that he is subject to happiness and unhappiness, we object; for the Sruti says: "He is not tainted with the unhappiness of the world, he II free from it."

If you say, this is improper, because it is at variance with perception,\* we do not agree, because the difference in objects of perception or other sources of knowledge is only produced by the notion of dependance on attributes.† Such passages of the Sruti as: "Thou does not behold the beholder of the visible." "How could one know him who knows?" Unknown is he who knows—prove that knowledge has no reference to the soul.1

To what then? Knowledge from perception, declared in such sentences as: I am happy, I am unhappy, is the object of the image of the soul, which is reflected in the intellect and in other attributes.

The same is also shown by the fiction of the identity of the perceptible object (the body) and the subject which perceives (the soul) in a sentence like this, I am this (body). It follows also from the passage of the Sruti: "There is none else but him who sees," by which the idea of another soul is prohibited.

If you say, that happiness and unhappiness are qualities of the body,

- \* With individual perception, as in common life, people say: I am happy, I am unhappy.
  - † And therefore not by the soul, as attributes do not really belong in the soul.
  - Accordingly no perception, for instance, I am happy, I am unhappy.
- § Because here the soul, which is endowed with the perceptible object, the body, is an object of perception, and therefore the soul in itself is not in a worldly state.

because both must be predicated of parts of the body,\* and that therefore from the passage of the Sruti: "For the sake of the desire of the coul,"† it is improper to maintain, that the soul is free from the world!—we do not agree, because the passage of the Sruti: "Where it is, as II were, some other thing," shows, that II is for the sake of the soul, which II II the state of ignorance. From such passages: "How can it behold any one." (B. K. 4th A. 5th B. 15. Bib. I. V. 11. p. 929.) "There is no difference whatsoever with regard III him. (K. U. 4th. V. 11. B. I. V. VIII. p. 130.) "There II no delusion, no grief," (Vij. S. U. 7. B. I. Vol. VIII. 14) which refer II knowledge, II also follows, that unhappiness, III prohibited in them, III no quality of the soul.

If a is said, 'that the unworldly state of the soul cannot be admitted as contradictory to the argument of logicians', we deny this, because by reasoning also a can be proved that the soul is free from unhappiness; for unhappiness, which is an object of perception, cannot be attributed to the soul, which a no object of perception. If you say, 'that unhappiness is in the same manner a quality of the soul, as the sound a quality of the ether,'s we deny this, because the soul and unhappiness are not objects of one and the same hind of knowledge; for a knowledge of unhappiness, which is an object of perception, can never become an object of the soul, which is eternal, and can only be known by inference. Unhappiness cannot become an object of anything whatsoever.

- On the ground, that every one who feels pain or pleasure in any part at the body, refers this part to bimself, as if one says, " my head aches."
- † The desire of happiness; but if happiness is granted, the contrary must be also admitted, because both are inseparable.
- † Of the Vair'eshikas, according to whom the soul has the following fourteen qualities: intellect, pleasure, pain, desire, aversion, endeavour, number, quantity, severalty, conjunction and disjunction, faculty, merit and demerit.
- § Viz. the connexion between substance and quality, which exists between other and sound, of which the one (cound) is perceptible, the other (other) not perceptible, may also exist between seal and hoppingss.
- If This is III accordance with the principle, that where there is a relation between quality and substance, these are both perceived by one and the same kind (source) of knowledge, as for instance a jar and its white colour.

If you maintain, 'that, like a light, the soul, although one, is an object and manifests objects,' we do not agree, because this is impossible at one and the same time,\* and because the soul has no parts. Hence the idea, that there is a perception of knowledge, and that knowledge is at the same time perceived, cannot be admitted with reference to the soul.

If it is said: 'Unhappiness and soul, the one of which is an object of perception, the other of inference, are in relation of quality and substance, as may be known by inference; for unhappiness is constantly an object of perception, and has an equal dependence upon a substance, as form has,' &c. Accordingly, as unhappiness in perceived by the soul through its union with the mind, such attributes as multiplicity. changeableness and transientness, must be also assigned to the soul : for, without a change in the substance which in contact, it is nowhere found that a quality is added or removed. Nor has at any time been found that a substance which changes, is without parts, or that a substance whose qualities are transient, is eternal. Nor is the ether considered as an eternal thing by those who follow the Sruti. Nor is there any other case. + If you say, " that a thing, although it changes may be eternal, because the knowledge, "that is this," yet remains,"we deny this, because the notion of change cannot be thought without the notion of a change of the parts of the thing.

And you say, 'that a thing which has parts, may also be eternal,' we deny this, because a thing that has parts, must before have been joined by a union of parts, by which the idea of a separation astablished.

myou say, 'that this is contrary to perception, as if you see lightning,' we object, because we conclude that its parts have been joined before. Therefore it is impossible to conceive the idea that the soul is the site of unhappiness and other transient qualities.

And if you say, "on the supposition that the supreme soul without unhappiness, and that there exists no other soul which is unhappy;

- \* In accordance with the destrine of the Nyaya, that the mind, through whose agency every object, external or internal, is represented to the soul, can only perceive one object at one time.
- † The supposition of atoms is meant; for the existence of atoms cannot be proved by any evidence.

the S'astra, which is commenced for the purpose to remove unhappiness, is useless,' we object, because it has the purpose to destroy the illusion of unhappiness, which is placed upon the soul by ignorance. And also because the unhappiness of the soul is only fictitious, and is removed in the same way, in a mistake in counting a common number, in which the person who counts, himself is included.\*

As the image of the sun, &c. enters the water, so the entrance of the soul means the perceptibility of the soul in its effect which is manifested like a reflection. Before the production of the world the soul is not perceived; afterwards being perceived within the intellect in the effect which is created, manifested, as the reflection of the sun &c. is perceived in water, &c. is defined, as it were, as entering after the creation of the effect. This is evident, for example, from the following passages:

"He entered this world." (B. A. U. 1st Adh. 3d B. 7. B. I. Vol. II. p. 155.) "Having created this world, he verily entered it." (Taittariya U. 2d Adh. 6th Anu. B. I. Vol. VII. p. 90.) "Having opened the place, where the hair is divided, he entered by this door." (Aitt. U. 3 Kh. 12 Varga B. I. Vol. VII. p. 198.) "This deity reflected: Verily, I am these three deities." (Chh. U. 6th Prapa. 3 Kh. B. I. Vol. III. p. 406.) "Having entered by this life, soul, &c." (Chh. U. 6th Prapa. 3d Kh. B. I. Vol. III. pp. 407—411.)

This is meant by the entering of the soul, but we say, it impossible, that the soul which is all-pervading and without parts, has ever a real entrance, the notion of which is to proceed from one part of space, place, or time to another.

Moreover there is nothing else but the supreme soul, as we said before in accordance with such passages of the Sruti as the following:

This seems we refer to the following tale. Ten friends having crossed a dangercus river, were anxious to know, whether any of them had been lost, and accordingly one of them commenced to count, but omitting himself (A'tma, meaning the soul and himself,) found of course, that there were only nine. The others successively counted also, but doing it in the same manner as the first, the result was the same. Upon this they thought, that one had perished in the river, and broke out is lausentations. A stranger who passed by, perceived the cause of their error, and explaining it to them satisfactorily, changed their distress into rejoicing.

Until the ends of the nails, as a razor is placed in a razorcase, or Viswambhara in the dwelling of Viswambhara. They

"There is nothing else that sees, hears, &c. but he." (B. A. 3rd A. 6th B. 11. B. I. Vol. II. p. 637.)

And the notions of creation, entrance, preservation, and destruction are merely formed for the apprehension of the soul. Again the apprehension of the soul is enjoined for the sake of final liberation, which is evident from such passages of the Sruti as:

"He knew the soul." (B. A. 1st Adh. 4th B. 10. B. I. Vol. II. p. 198.) "Therefore he was this all." (B. A. p. 209.) "He who knows Bramha, obtains liberation." (Taitt. 2d A. 1 Anuv. 1 Kh. B. I. Vol. VII. p. 37.) "He who knows the supreme Bramha, verily becomes Bramha." (3 Mund. U. 2 Kh. # M. B. I. and Vol. VIII. p. 323.) "The person who has a teacher, knows Bramha." (Chb. U. 6 Prapa. 14 Kh. B. I. Vol. III. p. 459.) "He lives as long" (as he has not obtained liberation.) (Chh. U. 6th P. 14th Kl. B. I. Vol. III. p. 460). It also evident from passages of the Smriti as: "Then forthwith." (Bh. G. 18th Adh. 55.) Having known me in truth, he enters me, "For it (the knowledge of Bramha) is the highest knowledge; for immortality is thereby gained." (Manu 8. 12th Add. 85.)

And the passages with regard to a creation, &c. serve for the purpose to establish the knowledge of the identity of the soul, because the notion of difference is prohibited. Therefore the entrance means the parceptibility of the soul, as far as it abides in its effect.

"Until the ends of the nails." (Vide. p. 78.) This means, to the ends of the nails the consciousness of the soul extends. How did he enter the world? The answer II: As in common life, "a rasor is perceived as placed in a rasor-case, or as Viswambhara, which means fire,—so called from its supporting (bhara), the world, Viswa,—is concealed in a nest, vis. in wood, &c.,—it is there; for on rubbing wood, &c. fire II perceived,—and as a razor II placed on one side of the case, and III fire III everywhere pervading wood, &c. so, the soul, generally and specially, abides pervading the body. For there II is observed, performing the functions of inspiration, &c. and of seeing, &c. Therefore "they do not beheld," do not apprehend "him" the soul, which has entered there, endowed as it II with inspiration, &c., seeing and other functions.

do not behold him. For when incomplete, when inspiring only, it is called life, when speaking, speech, when seeing, sight, when

But is not in the sentence: "They do not behold him," a prohibition of a thing, which has not been obtained before? for, no doubt, the beholding has not been obtained in our text.

There is no fault II this, for III the passages, relative III the creation, preservation, &c. which were directed towards the acquirement of the knowledge, that the soul III identical, the beholding (knowledge) of it (of the soul) has been introduced. Thus a Mantra declares: "Being of this and that form, he became every form for the sake of being seen." (Kath. U. 5 V. 9-10 Mantr. B. I. Vol. VIII. p. 138, B. K. U. 2 A. 5 Bramh. 19 Kh. B. I. Vol. II. p. 600 p. 23.)

The reason, why the soul having the functions of inspiration, expiration, &c. In not apprehended, in given in the words: "For when incomplete;" because I in not perfect, when inspiring or performing other functions. Whenes again proceeds the incompleteness? The answer is: for by "inspiring" performing the function of inspiration (Pránana) II is called life (Prána) for by performing the function of inspiration (Pránana) life (Prána) inspires, that I is say, performs no other function, as a wood-cutter, or a cook is so called from his special work. Therefore because it does not include the other functions, it is incomplete.

In the same manner, "when speaking," performing the function of speaking, a called speech, "when seeing," it is called sight; for because the beholder sees (chashte) therefore a is called eye (chakshu:); when hearing (srinwan), a is called ear (srotram.) By the words: "when inspiring, a is called life, when speaking, speech," the origin of the power of action a shown, by the words "when seeing, sight, when hearing, ear," the origin of the power of knowledge; for the objects of the power of knowledge are name and form; for nothing is an object of knowledge, unless having name and form. The organs perceiving them are the eye and the ear, and the action, to be performed by name and form, is intimately united with life. The organ of the manifestation of this action, which depends upon life, is the word. In this manner speech is mantiened here in illustration of

hearing, ear, when minding, mind. These are the names of its actions. Whosever worships one or the other special being, separate from that totakity, he does not know, for that soul is incomplete; it is determined by this or that individual function. The soul; considering this, let a man worship it; for in it all these differences become one.

the other organs of action, vis. the hand, foot, and the organs of excretion and generation; for it will afterwards be said: "for this all is manifested, or this threefold, name, form and action." (B. A. III A. 1st B. 1st Kh. B. I. Vol. II, p. 313.)

. "When minding, mind." Mind (mana:) I derived from minding (manuté), and I is the general organ of the manifestation of the power of knowledge.

These names of inspiration, &c. are the names of "ita," the soul's, actions,' that is many, produced from actions. They are names of action, man were, but not objects of the substance, (of the soul) alone; therefore they do not manifest the whole substance of the soul; for in this manner the soul, although manifested by the action of inspiration, &c. and by its forms and names, derived from and different actions, still not completely apprehended.

"Whosever worships this or the other special being,—" separated from its totality as inspiration, &c. for instance life, or the eye, as a being, unmodified by the totality of the other special actions,—whosever worships this in his mind under the notion, that II II the soul, "he does not know" Bramha. Why? "for that soul is incomplete," not whole, because this soul, as separated from this totality of inspiration, &c. seeing, &c. and determined only by one or the other determining action, excludes all the other attributes. As long as a person knows himself only as determined by the activity of his own nature, which appears in such sentences as: "I see," "I hear," "I touch," &c. so long he does not know perfectly the complete soul.

How again seeing does he know? The text answers: "The soul;" for a called soul (Atma) because it unites (obtains, Apnuvan) all the above mentioned different functions of life, &c. The soul, a this manner including all differences, becomes all; for only in its notion as

substance I unites (obtains) the differences, produced by actions of inspiration, &c. which are the fictitious attributes of the soul. For instance I will I said: "He thinks, as I were, in proceeds, as it were." (B. K. 4th A. 3rd B. 8th Kh. B. I. Vol. II. p. 730.) Therefore "The soul, considering this, let a man worship II;" for in this manner the whole soul is comprehended by its own notion as substance. Why II the whole? Under this doubt it is said in the test: "For in it," "for," because, "in it," in this soul, when considered without fictitious attributes, like the different reflections of the sun III water, the above mentioned differences as life, &c. which are fictitious attributes of the soul, and bear different names from their different functions as inspiration, &c "become one," obtain indifference, therefore "the soul; considering this, let a man worship it."

The passage: "The soul; considering this, let a man worship, it is not a regulation, by which the acquirement of something not acquired before is enjoined, as it is acquired on our part; for the knowledge whose object is the soul, is produced by passages of the Sruti, intended to produce the ides of the supreme soul, for instance: "That present, perceptible Bramha," (B. A. 3rd A. 4th B. 2 Kh. B. I. Vol. II. p. 575.) "Which is soul." (B. A. 4th A. 3rd B. 1st Kh. B. I. Vol. II. p. 723.) Whose nature is knowledge, &c. (B. A. p. 305.)

There by the knowledge of the nature of the soul is removed the ignorance, which is the misunderstanding that the non-soul is the soul, and which creates the fictions of agent, &c. rite and reward. If this ignorance is removed, the thinking of the non-soul is impossible, because desires and other faults are impossible. It remains at last only the thinking of the soul. Therefore the worship of the soul is on our part not enjoined; for E acquired.

We will not now discuss, whether the acquirement of the worship of the soul is called proceeding from the text, or permanent, but at any rate me regulation for something not acquired before, because, if knowledge and worship are the same, it was not acquired before, and the identity of the terms of "knowledge" and "worship," evident from the passage of the Sruti, commencing: "He does not know," where knowledge praised, and concluding: "The soul; considering this, let a man worship it," (B. K. 173), for by such passages of

the Sruti as: "By this he knows this all." (B. A. p. 185), and: "He knew the soul," &c. (B. A. p. 198), knowledge in the same as worship, and as in was not acquired before, it is also the object of a rule.

Nor show people any activity, if only the nature of a thing is related. Therefore that pursues is a regulation for acquiring something not acquired before.

This follows also from its being identical with a regulation concerning rites. As the regulations concerning rites enjoin by such words as: "Let him sacrifice," "let him offer," so by similar words as: "Let a man worship it," and: "The soul should be seen," &c. the worship of the soul is enjoined, wherefore there appears no difference between a regulation enjoining the worship of the supreme soul and the former regulations.

This follows also from the reason, that knowledge is an act of the mind. As a person, by whom an oblation is taken for a delty, should reflect on this delty in his mind, before performing a sacrifice, which is commenced by the word: "Vashad," by which this and other ceremonies are proved as acts of the mind, so the passage: "Let a man worship," indicates, that the soul is to be thought of, to be meditated upon, (B. A. A, 2d A. 4th B. 5th Kh. B. I. V. II. p. 450,) and that the act therefore refers to knowledge. In this manner we maintained that the terms "to know" (Véda) and "to worship" had the same meaning.

This follows also from the reason that our possesses the three parts of a regulation. For instance in the regulation: "Let him sacrifice," &c. viz. what, by what means, and how, the three parts, by which future desires are removed, clearly appear. In the same manner, if the regulation: "Let a man worship," is about to be fixed, and at the same time the intention, what it to be worshipped, by what means or how, the three parts are established by a passage like this: "Let him who is intent on the duties of abstinence (from desires that are forbidden) of the observances of a religious student, of tranquility and self-restraint, of resting (from all ceremonies), endurance, &c. worship the soul by the mind."

And as by the enunciation of the regulation for the Dara'sparmanaes, &c. the application of all its parts is established, so by the enunciation of the regulation for the worship of the soul is established the application of the subject of the worship of the soul as found in all the Upanishads. The application of passages as: "He is not this, me not that. (B. A. 2d A. 3 B. 6th K. B. J. V. II. p. 435) "Without gross body," one, without duality. (Chh. U. 6 P. 2 K. p. 387.) "He overcomes death," &c. is made by showing the speciality of the nature of the soul which is me be worshipped. And the reward in liberation or cessation from ignorance.

Others say: 'By worshipping should be produced another special knowledge whose object is the soul. By this alone the soul is known, and ignorance also removed. The knowledge, produced by the word of the Véda, has not the soul for its object. This sense is also supported by such passages as: "Having known, let him form his knowledge!" 'He is to be seen, to be heard, to be thought of, to be contemplated, to be searched, to be enquired."

We do not admit the latter view, because its meaning does not differ from the former. Nor is the passage: "The soul, therefore, let him worship it," a regulation for something, not acquired before. Why? The words, referring to the nature of the soul, have no other meaning, of any thing to be done by the mind or the external senses, beside the knowledge, produced by the prohibiting sentences with reference to the non-soul.\* For there a rule has taken effect, where, beside the knowledge produced by merely hearing the words, a person is observed as engaged in its execution, as by the rule of the Dars'apúrnamása: = Let him, who is desirous of heaven, sacrifice:" for the knowledge, produced by the words for the regulation of the Dars'spurpamasa, is not also the performance of its ceremonies. This dependent on a perfect knowledge and the other requisites; but here, on the other hand, beside the knowledge, produced by the passage vis. "He is not this, he is not that," establishing the idea of the soul. there arises no activity, as it does with regard to the ceremonies of the Dars'apurnamasa, &c. and this for the reason, that the knowledge, produced by that passage, causes the crasation of every activity; for the knowledge of a person who II unconcerned in any worldly affair,

<sup>\*</sup> That is to say, the sentences which declare the nature of the soul, have the same meaning as sentences, which prohibit to comprehend the soul by attributes of the non-soul.

does not produce activity, because such sentences as: "He III one without duality." (Chh. U. 6 Prap. 2 Kh. B. J. Vol. III. pp. 387, 389, 398.) "That art thou," (&c. pp. 448, 457, 453, 455, 461.) annihilate the knowledge relative to non-Bramha, or the non-soul. And this knowledge being annihilated, no desire for action arises; for it would IIII contradictory.

If we said, 'that by the knowledge alone, produced by such passages (as established the nature of Bramba) there is no annihilation of the knowledge of a non-Bramba, or a non-soul,' we contradict, because it proved by such passages as: "That art thou," "It wot this," "It is not that," "This is the soul," "One immortal Bramba without duality is this," "There is none who sees, &c. but him," "Know this alone as Bramba," (Kêna U. 4, B. I. Vol. VIII. p. 43.)

Nor do those passages set forth the object of a rule with reference to Bramhs to be seen, &c. because this, as we already said, does not differ from the meaning first stated. And we said before, that,—because by passages, setting forth the true nature of the soul, such as: "That art thou," the knowledge of the soul was established at the time of hearing them,—no performance, different from the regulation for the object of the seeing, was necessary."

- 1. If you say, 'that, without a rule, by the mere declaration of the nature of the soul, a knowledge of the soul could not arise,' we object, because the knowledge of the soul is produced by hearing passages setting forth the soul, and why do you want to do once more what has been done already?
- 2. If you say, 'knowledge does not arise by hearing such passages,' we do not admit this, because we should otherwise have a retrogress in infinitum. For as (according to your opinion) by hearing the meanings of passages, declaring the soul, without a regulation, knowledge does not arise, it would follow, that also by hearing the meanings of the words of the regulation, knowledge could not arise without a regulation, and this would require another regulation.
- \* Where then is the regulation? If there is one, ill either takes place—1, with reference to the knowledge of the soul, or 2, to the hearing of the passage, setting forth the knowledge of the soul, or 3, to the continuation of the recollection of its meaning, or 4, to the subduing of the state of the mind. But ill these cases it is impossible, as will be shown presently. A. G.

The same would take place on hearing the latter, so that a retrogress infinitum is evident, (by your assumption.)

3. Further, I you assume, 'that by the continuation of the recollection of the knowledge of the soul, arising from Véddic passages, a meaning is produced, different from the knowledge of what is merely heard, (and that therefore a regulation is necessary)' we do not agree on the ground, that the meaning has been obtained. At the time indeed that the knowledge, whose object II the soul, arises from hearing passages which produce the idea of the soul, II the same time this knowledge, at the moment of its production, annihilates the false knowledge with reference II itself. And if the false knowledge whose object is the soul, II annihilated, the natural recollections also, which are the effects of that false knowledge and which manifest the differences of a substance not the soul, cease to exist.

This follows also from the knowledge of the unreality of every other thing; for if the knowledge of the soul is true, it is also known, that every other substance is unreal, as tainted with transientness, unhappiness, impurity and many other faults; also from the fact, that the substance of the soul is free from them. Therefore, if the soul is known, there are no longer any recollections of a knowledge with reference to a non-soul. And as it last there exists in reality a continual recollection of the knowledge only of the soul, no regulation can be established.

This follows also from the annihilation of all faults, as grief, delusion, frar, weariness and other kinds of unhappiness;\* for grief, delusion and other faults arise from a knowledge, contrary to that recollection, as is proved by such passages of the Sruti as: "What delusion is there." (Vajan. S. U. B. I. Vol. VII. p. 14.) "The wise is not afraid." "You have, D Janaka, obtained fearlessless." (Br. A. p. 702.) "The bonds of the heart are broken," &c. (Mund. 2d Kh. 8th M. B. I. Vol. VII. p. 302.)

4. If you say, 'but then subduing has another meaning; therefore there ought to be a regulation; for the subduing of the state of the mind (Chitta) has a meaning different from the knowledge, produced by the words of the Véda. And in other philosophical systems also

<sup>\*</sup> This may also be rendered .... and other kinds of unhappiness, which is effected by that recollection; for grief, delusion and other faults arise from a contrary knowledge.

† In the Yoganistra.

the nature of a rule is known by that which is to done. We deny this, because it (the subduing of the state of the mind) is not known as effecting liberation; for in the Védánta nothing else but the knowledge of the soul as Bramha is considered as effecting the final end of man. This follows from a hundred passages such as these: "He knows the soul alone; hence he became this all." (B. A. p. 209.) "The knower of Bramha obtains the supreme Bramha." (Taittiriya U. 2d. A. 1 A. 1. M. B. I. Vol. VII. p. 51.) "Whosoever verily knows the supreme Bramha, becomes Bramha." "A person who has a teacher, knows." (Chh. 6th P. 14th K. B. J. V. III. p. 459.) "To him all becomes for ever without fear." "Whosoever thus knows, becomes Bramha," &c.

Moreover the *eforessid* subduing depends not upon another cause; for beside the uninterrupted recollection of the knowledge of the soul, no other cause exists for the subduing of the state of the soul, and in this sense it has been stated before;\* without a knowledge of Bramha, however, nothing can be thought as cause of liberation.

Further, there is no intention, and therefore is no regulation. What has been said before, "that, as an intention with regard to a regulation,—for instance when it said: "Let him sacrifice," where the three parts of a regulation, viz. what, by what means, and how, are present,—is removed by understanding the effect, cause and the necessary acts, so is also here established with reference the regulation for the knowledge of the soul," we say, this is not true, because every intention annihilated at the very time, when the meaning of passages as the following is understood: "One even without duality." "That art thou." "He is not this, he is not that." "That soul, which is not within, which is not without, is Bramha," &c.

Nor in the application of a regulation possible, in order in understand the meaning of a sentence. And we have already explained the fault of a regress in infinitum, following from the application of another rule.

Further, no rule can be understood to proceed from passages such as: "One Bramha even without duality," because it is abolished by the declaration of the nature of the soul.

And if you say, 'there is no proof that this takes place by the mere declaration of the nature of a substance. For instance as in the

<sup>\*</sup> Viz. that the state of the mind, beside the knowledge of the soul, has no other cause.

passage: "He wept (arodit); because he wept, therefore Rudra (a name of fire) obtained the name of Rudra," (Taitt. S. 5th Pr. 1st Kh.) there is no proof by the mere declaration of the nature of the substance, so there is also no proof in passages, setting forth the nature of the soul,'—we object, because there is a difference. Neither the declaration of the nature of a thing, nor the declaration of rites is the cause of the proof or non-proof of a passage of the Védas, but is the production of knowledge, united with is necessary effect. Where such a passage is met with, is also proved, and where not, it is not proved.

Moreover we would ask you: Do you admit, that in passages, engaged in declaring the nature of Bramha, a determined knowledge, which is at the same time united with its effect, is produced, or is not produced? If it is produced, why is not proved? Do you not see the effect of the knowledge in the disappearance of the faults of ignorance, grief, delusion, fear, &c. which are the causes of the world? Or do you not hear: What delusion, what grief is there for one who knows the identity. (V. U. K. 7, p. 14.) "I know even Mantras, not the soul." "Being such, is most venerable, I am grieved. O venerable, do teach me to overcome grief." And of the same kind there are a hundred other passages of the Upanishads.

It then in such passages as: "He wept," &c. the knowledge a determined one and united with its effect, or it sot? If it is not, we may admit, that there is no proof for it. If there is no proof for it, what does it matter with reference to the passage, producing the knowledge, which is determined and united with its effect? And if there is no proof for the latter, what confidence can be placed in passages, referring to the Darsápúrpamása and other ceremonies?

'But are the passages, referring to the Daraspuroamasa and other ceremonies, not proved by their producing a knowledge, causing man act? The same I not found in passages, referring to the knowledge of the soul.'

This true; however, it is no fault, because we have obtained that which is the cause of proof. The cause of proof, namely, is what has before been mentioned,\* and nothing else.† This, however, is

<sup>\*</sup> Viz. such passages as produce a knowledge which is determined and = the same time united with its effect.

<sup>†</sup> For the cause of a proof is neither that which produces a knowledge, by which

rather a morit then a fault, because, if there is something, producing a knowledge united with its effect, which annihilates the cause of every action, a cannot a maintained, that there is no proof of the passages, producing the knowledge of the soul.

'But passages, mentioned before, such as: "Having known, let him form his knowledge," are rather destined for the cause of worship, without the knowledge of the meanings of the passages.' This we admit; but they do not refer to a rule for something not acquired before, but what has been necessarily acquired in our text.

'How again can the worship of the soul have been acquired in the text? For it has been said, that by the annihilation of the non-soul, there remains I last the permanent continuation of the recollection of the knowledge of the soul.'\* Very good. If this if the case, although perfect knowledge has been obtained, yet by the necessary effects of works which are the causes of the assumption of a body (transmigrat tion) activity will be indispensable; for action, having gained entrance in word, thought and body, more powerful than is the velocity of arrows, &c. when in motion. Hence the efficacy of knowledge, acquired the course of discussion, is weak. Therefore we said before, that the uninterrupted recollection of the knowledge of the soul is so be effected by dependance upon the force of abandoning worldly desires, of indifference to them and of the other essential causes; but it anot a regulation for something, not acquired before, because the idea of a necessity to act has not been obtained. Accordingly such passages as: " Having known let him form his knowledge," are intended to establish a rule for the uninterrupted recollection of a knowledge, which obtained; for another meaning impossible.

'By employing the term "considering this," (vide. p. 86.) the worship of the non-soul† (under the idea of the soul) II rather enjoined,

action is produced, because otherwise no proof of passages were possible, by which something II forbidden,—nor that which produces a knowledge prohibitory of an action, because otherwise no proof of passages were possible which enjoin setion,—nor that which II different from either, because, in this case, no proof were possible in accordance with the first supposition.

- \* That is many, it has not been obtained from the text, but from something clas, not connected with it, vis. the annihilation of the idea of the non-soul.
  - † This is an objection . the view of the Sankhyas viz. the nog-soul is the

as if II were said: "the dear, considering this worship it." Here is not the quality of "dear" to be worshipped, but the worship of life, &c. which has the quality of = dear," &c. is here enjoined. In the same manner it must also be understood in the passage under consideration, that by employing the term "the supreme soul," the substance of the non-soul, determined by the qualities of the soul, is to be worshipped. This II also clear from the difference of that passage from any other, where the worship of the soul is enjoined. Thus for instance it will afterwards be said: "Worship the soul as the place of dependance." In this sentence the worship of the soul is evidently intended by using the accusative case. In the sentence under consideration, however, the accusative is not employed, and there = the soul, considering this worship" the term "soul" means something different. Therefore it is evident, that not the worship of the soul is enjoined, but of something else possessed of the qualities of the soul."

We deny this, for as at the end of the passage the idea of the worship of the soul is understood; it also understood, that the soul alone is to be worshipped, viz. "This, even this is to be conceived in this all, viz. this soul," Because this soul is more internal," "He knew the soul."

If you say "that there does not arise the notion of the worship (of the soul) in the beholding is probibited for him who entered; for which soul is said to enter, for the same the beholding in forbidden, as is evident from the passage, obtained in the text, viz. "They do not behold him," (p. 85.) Accordingly the soul is no object of worship.'

We deny this, for the beholding merely prohibited, in consequence of the fault of non-totality, with the intention to guard against the fault of non-totality, not with the intention to guard against the worship of the soul, because the soul is determined in that passage by the differences of inspiration and other actions.

And I you say, 'that the worship of the soul was not intended,' the consequence were, that the passage, referring to the non-totality of the soul, which I determined by individual functions, as inspiration, &c.

Pradhána (the chief) or Múla Prakriti (nature as the root of all things) which, according in the passage, would obtain the attributes of the soul, or the non-soul is in be thought of under the attributes of the soul.

This, even this to be conceived in this all, viz. This soul; for by this, one knows this all. As verily by a foot-print one

would be without use, viz. " for that soul is incomplete; it | determined only by this or the other individual function," (vide p. 86.) Hence it is proved, that the soul, possessed of many functions, on account of its totality, is an object of worship. The final application, however, of the term "soul" after the term "considering this," in truth only to show that the real notion of the soul is no object whatever of the inflective base of the word atman (soul) and its inflectional terminations, (that is to say, the supreme soul cannot be described by any words,) otherwise the accusative case would have been used: " Let him worship the soul." If this were the meaning, (that is, if, without the formula 'sconsidering this" the word atma should be applied) then the inflective base and one of its terminations would be applied to the soul. But this not admitted, as is clear from such passages of the Scuti as : " It is not this, it is not that," "How should one know him who knows," "Unknown is he who knows." (B. A. p. 473.) = From whom words and the mind return, without having obtained him." (Taitt. U. 2d A. 9 A. B. J. Vol. VII. p. 119.) The passage, however: "Let him worship the soul as the place," is not a different word, because it is designed to remove the worship of the non-soul.

If it is said: 'Both the soul and non-soul are to be known, as they have the common notion of not being perfectly known. Why then is here made an effort worship the soul alone, as it is said: "The soul, considering this let one worship it," and not also an effort with regard to the other knowledge?' The answer to this is: "This, even this," which is obtained in the text, "is to be conceived," to be comprehended, nothing else, "in this all" (the sixth case in Sanscrit, "Asya Sarvvasya," is, for the sake of determination, used for the seventh, "Asmin Sarvvasmin,") "this soul," the nature of the soul. Shall this not be known? If so, why not also what will different? Because, when known, another knowledge wont required, different from the knowledge of the soul. Why? "for," because, "by this," knowledge of the soul, "one knows this all," all this other which is non-soul.

If the objection made, 'by another knowledge is not known another

finds cattle, so a person who thus knows, finds fame and completeness. 7.

thing,' we shall refute it afterwards by the passage, referring to the dram, &c. (B. A. U. p. 452.)

How again this to be concrived? The answer is: "As verily," in common life, "by a foot-print" (a foot-print is called a place marked by the hoof of a cow, &c.) "one" who makes a search by means of a foot-print, "finds," recovers, cattle that have been lost, and whose recovery is desired, thus, when the woul is obtained, all is obtained.

'If the soul is known, all other is known, and knowledge, we may admit, wobtained in the text. But then how can was called obtaining, a term which is not authorised by the text? This is not the case. because the terms of "knowledge" and of "obtaining" have one and the same meaning according to the intention of the speaker; for the non-obtaining of the soul is even ignorance. Therefore is knowledge the obtaining of the soul, and the obtaining of the soul is not,-like the obtaining of anything which is not the soul,—to be comprehended by the notion of an acquiring of what was not acquired before, because there is no difference (here) between the obtainer and what is to be obtained; for where the non-soul is to be obtained by the soul, there is the soul the obtainer, and the non-soul what is to be obtained. This non-soul) is not acquired, as concealed by the action of producing, &c. and is to be obtained when producing a special action by the production of a special agent; but it falls under the notion of an acquirement of something not acquired before, and is transient, because is the effect of an action in accordance with a desire, produced by false knowledge, as the obtaining of a son in a dream. But the soul, which is contrary to the former (non-roul), is by its nature as soul not concented by the actions of production, &c. Therefore, as there exists the nature of something eternally obtained, is ignorance only concealment. As the non-apprehension of mother-of-pearl, although apprehended,\* which appears through error as silver, is merely a concealment of the opposite knowledge, so 🔳 apprehension even mere knowledge, because knowledge has destroyed the concealment by the opposite knowledge. Thus in this case also is the non-obtaining of the soul the concealment

<sup>\*</sup> According to its nature, adds K.

This dearer than a son, dearer than wealth, dearer than any other thing, dearer than all, because this soul is more internal. Whoseever says to one, saying any thing else to be dearer

of merely by ignorance. Therefore by knowledge must be understood an obtaining, which annihilates ignorance merely, and no other obtaining. Therefore we shall say afterwards, that in respect of the obtaining of the soul, any cause, which has any meaning different from knowledge, is useless. Therefore the text, without any doubt, intending to set forth the identity of the terms of "knowledge" and "obtaining," after having mentioned knowledge in the text, says: "One finds" (as Vindati, "to find," has the meaning of Labha "obtaining," this is stated as the effect from the knowledge of the qualities.)

As that soul, by entering with name and form, acquired fame, vis. with the names and forms of the soul, &c., and as it obtained completeness (Sloka) that it to say the totality of life and other actions, so a person who thus knows, "finds," obtains, "fame," (kirti) celebrity, and "completeness" (Sloka) a multitude of followers, together with his friends.

Or the passage may also thus be understood. A person who knows the substance in the declared manner, obtains the knowledge of identity (which is understood by the term "kirti,") desired by those who strive for liberation, and the fruit of that knowledge (which is understood by the term Sloka) liberation, that is to say, the principal fruit. 7.

And why then is the nature of the soul to be known without regard to other things? The answer is: "This," the nature of the soul, "is dearer," more beloved, "than a son;" for a son is generally known as dear. By saying, that it is more beloved even than this, it shown, how exceedingly dear it is. In the same manner it dearer than a wealth," gold, jewels, &c., in the same manner "dearer than any other thing," which is generally known as dear, that is to say, therefore thearer than all.

Why then the nature of the soul more beloved, why not rather life. &c.? The suswer is: The totality of life is more internal, is nearer the soul than what the external, a son, wealth, &c., the because this soul," this nature of the soul, "is more internal" than what is more internal. For a person who in common life is exceedingly dear, must be obtained

than the soul: "What is dear, is to perish," he is the lord, therefore verily it should be so. A person should worship and dear even the soul. Whosoever worships as dear the soul, to him in not dear a perishable object. 8.

by every effort. In this manner the soul the dearest of all that the dear the common life, that is to say, great efforts should be made to obtain it. Why again, discarding an effort, considered as a duty, with regard the obtaining of other dear objects, shall,—by the abandonment of either of the dear objects, the soul and non-soul,—with reference the obtaining of the production of one, the abandonment of the other rather be made by the obtaining of the production of the soul,—why not the contrary?

In reply to this it is said: "Whosoever (viz. a person who holds that the soul is the dearest object) says to one saying any thing else," some particular non-soul, for instance a son, &c., ' to be more beloved than the soul," what? "What is dear," in thy opinion, a son or the like, "is to perish" (rotsbyati) is to obtain concealment, or ceasing of life (Pranasanrodham) that is, " is to be destroyed." On what ground can he say so? Because "he is the lord," because he is able, fit may so, therefore it should be so, that he obtains the ceasing of life. - has been said by him; for he is a speaker of truth; therefore he is the lord to say every thing. Some understand by the term " Iswara" (which has before been explained by able, and whose original meaning is ruler, lord) "quick." If it is accomplished, then, abandoning every other dear object, a person should worship as dear only the soul, "Whosoever worships as dear even the soul, to him, it is considered, is the soul alone dear, and no other object. Having come to the certainty, that any other worldly object which is dear, is even in reality not dear, he worships, he meditates; "to him," who thus knows, "is not dear a perishable object," an object whose nature a subject to death. This sentence (let him worship as dear even the soul) is either only a repetition of what is certain (of the sentence by which the knowledge of the soul | produced) because for one who knows the soul, nothing | dear or not dear, or it is said for the praise of the knowledge, that the soul dear, or for the determination of the fruit of the attribute of "dear,"

This they declare to be the knowledge of Bramha by which men think, we shall become all. What then knew that Bramha, by which he became all? 9.

because it is producing faith in the perishable nature of all dear objects in the mind of one whose knowledge of the soul is of a singgish kind. 8.

The knowledge of Bramba has been declared if the aphorism: "The soul, considering this, let a man worship it." Wishing to explain this very aphorism, for which the whole Upanishad has been composed, the text exemplifies if under the desire of setting forth its necessity (end.)

"This," vis. the thing we set forth, to be manifested in the next sentence, "they declare" they, vis. the Brambanes, those who are desirous we know Bramba, having repaired to the Guru (spiritual teacher) the vessel over the future shoreless ocean of the pain of exertion, caused by the uninterrupted revolution of the wheel of birth, old age and death, who are desirous of crossing over to its shore, who are separated from the nature of cause and effect, vis. the cause of virtue and vice and its consequences, and who are desirous of obtaining the eternal incomparable good, free from those causes.

What do they declare? The answer is: "the knowledge of Bramha;" Bramha means the supreme soul; the knowledge, by which this is comprehended, is the knowledge of Bramha, "men, think, we shall become all" the totality. The term "man," is used for the purpose to indicate the special subject of this knowledge; for men alone are the subjects of effecting in a special manner either a state of elevation or of liberation. This is the intention of the text. As with regard to works they think, that fruits will necessarily follow from works, so they think, that from the knowledge of Bramha the fruit, which the state of universal identity, will necessarily follow; for us to their being proved by the Véda, there is no difference between them (the works and Bramha.)

There‡ is something§ contradictory indicated. Therefore we ask: "What then knew that Bramha," by whose knowledge men think,

<sup>\*</sup> In the text is adhibing, which means "possession," " competent knowledge." I translated adhibari, as conveying the idea more exactly.

<sup>†</sup> In the scale of sxistones, viz. the state of happiness in the different worlds.

<sup>‡</sup> In seeigning to man the notion of subject.

<sup>§</sup> Something means here the effect from knowledge. A. G.

Bramha verily was this before; therefore he knew even the soul, (himself.)

we shall become all? What knew that, "by which," knowledge, he became this all, and Bramha is all according to the Sruti.

If he, (Bramha) not entirely knowing any thing, became all, then a (all) may also belong to others, and of what use would then be the knowledge of Bramha? If knowing something it became all, then, as it is effected by knowledge, all (the effect from knowledge) which the effect from work, and therefore the notion of transientness is in fact applied. Moreover, the effect from a knowledge of Bramha, which (effect) with the state of the nature of all, has the fault of a regress into infinitum, namely knowing something else than this, he became all, and further knowing something else, &c. &c. However, if knowing all, it became all, there is not the fault of a contradiction of the meaning of the Sastra, and therefore not the fault of a transientness of the effect. If, knowing something only, this Bramha became all, we ask: What then knew that Bramha, by which he became all!" 9.

Having thus said, the text gives an answer, untouched by any blemish: "Bramha," 'vis. the inferior Bramha, because it is obtained under the notion of a 'cause of the nature of all; for it is impossible to obtain by knowledge the nature of all with regard to the supreme Bramha, and the text speaks of an obtaining of the nature of all by means of knowledge, in the words: "Therefore this became all." Therefore the words: "Bramha verily was this before," cannot mean any thing else but the inferior Bramha."

Or: 'By making man the subject of the knowledge, a Bramhana who is to become this Bramha, may be designated. For in the passage: "Men think, we shall become all," men are obtained in the text, and it is said, that they are in a special manner the subjects to effect elevation or liberation; this is not said either of the supreme Bramha, or of the inferior Prajapati. Therefore, on account of his knowledge of Bramha, is here designated a Bramha (Bramhana), who is to become a supreme Bramha, who by the knowledge of the inferior

\* Here the opinion of the Vrittikrits is given, which in the view of the Bhartriprapanche Bháshya, vis. because the nature of all in obtained under the notion of something to be accomplished (of an effect, vis. from knowledge) in impossible, that the supreme Branche should have obtained the nature of all by knowledge.

Bramha, vis. the knowledge, that duality is identity, a knowledge which is connected with works, has obtained the state of an inferior Bramha, who has renounced all enjoyment, and who by obtaining all, has broken the bonds of desire and work. It appears also in common life, that a term is applied with reference to its future state, for instance in the sentence: "He cooks the boiled rice; (Odana means rice which is boiled,) and also in the Sastra, for instance: "Let a Paribrájaka (a person who has already obtained a state, entirely devoted to the contemplation of God) give to all beings the blessing of fearlessness." In this manner some explain a Brámhana as a person who is to become Bramha.

This is not right, because, if the nature of all | obtained, the fault of transientness we committed; for it is impossible, that in this world any person should in reality obtain by any cause another state, and at the same time be sternal. In the same manner, if by means of the knowledge of Bramha the nature of all should be obtained, and this state be at the same time eternal, there is a contradiction. If on the other hand, it (viz. the nature of all) is considered as non-sternal, the before mentioned fault takes place, viz. that there is a resemblance with the effects from works. But if you think, that the abolition of the nature of non-totality (individual existence) which (nature of nontotality) in the effect of ignorance, and the obtaining of the nature of all, is the effect of a knowledge of Bramha,' then the fiction of a person who is become Bramba, useless. But if you think, that even before the knowledge of Bramba every creature by its possessing the nature of Bramhs, has eternally obtained the nature of all, that the state of non-Bramha and of non-totality is superimposed in reality by ignorance and that, as silver is superimposed on mother-of-pearl, or as any special place (Atala means, literally, a division of the infernal regions) of standing or unclearness on the sky, so are also here the notions of non-Bramha and of non-totality superimposed by ignorance and removed by the knowledge of Bramba; if you think thus, then it in right to say, that in reality the supreme Bramha was, because in the passage: " Bramha verily was this before," the literal meaning of the term "Bramha," is supreme Bramhs; for the Véda declares a meaning, as it in reality is; but the fiction, according to which a person who is to become Bramhs, a called Bramha, at variance with the meaning of the term "Bramha," I not

right, because a hypothesis, by which the meaning of the Sruti is lost, and a fiction is made of something, not acquired in the Sruti, is inadmissible, unless there be some strong necessity.

If you say, 'that, without being produced by ignorance, there may exist the nature of non-Bramha and non-totality,' we object, because it is impossible, that in this case they could be removed by the knowledge of Bramha; for it is never observed, that knowledge either destroys or creates the attributes of any actual thing, but it is everywhere observed as the destroyer of ignorance. In the same manner let also in our case the knowledge of Bramha remove the nature of non-Bramha and non-totality, as the productions of ignorance; but the knowledge of Bramha is never able to create or destroy any real thing. Therefore the hypothesis, by which the meaning of the Sruti is lost, and a fiction is made of something, not found in the Sruti, is even without use.

If you say, 'that ignorance with regard to Bramha is impossible,' we do not agree, because knowledge is enjoined with regard to Bramha; for if mother of pearl has become an object of the eye, then its nature never known by such a sentence: This is mother-of-pearl and not silver, unless there is a superimposition of (the notion of) silver upon it. In the same manner, from such passages as: "This all alone existing." "This all alone Bramha," "This all merely the soul," "This is not duality, non-Bramha," the knowledge of identity with reference to Bramha could never be enjoined, unless there is a superimposition of ignorance upon Bramha. We do not say (like you), there a superimposition with regard to mother-of-pearl, so there is not a superimposition of the nature of non-Bramha (atad.) What then? Bramha is not the cause of the superimposition of ignorance.

We admit, that Bramha is not producer of ignorance nor deluded; but do not admit, that there is another deluded conscious being (beside Bramha) which could be the producer of the ignorance of non-Bramha. This evident from such passages of the Sruti as: "There no other knower than him." "There nothing else which knows but him." "That art thou." (Ch. U. p. 448.) "He knew the soul." (B. U. 184.) "I am the soul." (B. K. p. 205.) "Another he, another am I." "He does not know." And also from such passages of the Smriti

as: "Whosever behelds God as the same in all beings," etc. (Bhag. Git. M Adh. 27th Sl.). "I am the soul, O Gudakés'a, dwelling in the heart of all beings." (B. G. 10th Adh. 20th Sl.) "The wise see the same... in the dog and the man who lives upon dog's-meat." (Bh. G. 5th Adh. 18th Sl.) And from such Mantras as: "Who beholds all beings in the soul," and "In whom all beings are like the soul." (Váj. S. U. M. 6 and 7 B. i. Vol. VII. p. 13.)

But III this is the case, then is the advice of the S'astra without any use?" Well, this may in this manner be admitted, if Bramks III known; but if you say, "also the knowledge is useless, we object," because the cessation of non-understanding is visible." If it is said: "That cessation III even impossible, because there is identity," we object, because this is contradictory to what is visible; for it is "visible, that there is a cessation from non-understanding by the knowledge of identity."

If any one says, 'that even, when seen, it is impossible,' it is contradictory to what is visible; and a contradiction to what is visible, is by no body admitted.

Nor, when visible, is any thing in reality impossible, because it is even seen.

If it is said, 'that the seeing is impossible,' the same argument holds good.

If it is said: 'From such passages of the Sruti, as the following: "He becomes boly by holy work." (B. K. p. 546.) "Knowledge and work entered him." "The Purusha is the comprehender, agent, the knowing soul," it is evident, that there is another mundane soul, different from the supreme soul. And this different soul is the supreme Bramha, which follows from such passages of the Sruti as: "He is not this, he is not that." (B. K. p. 485.) "The soul liberated from sin, without old age, without death." "The ruler of this universe." (B. K. p. 628.)

Also in the Sastras of Kanada, Akshapada† and others, God (Iswara) is proved as different from the mundane soul; for through the desire to remove the unhappeness, arising from the world, activity is perceived

<sup>\*</sup> The constion of son-knowledge is either different from Brambs, and than there non-dustity I lost, or I is not different, and then it cannot be comprehended under the idea of knowledge.

<sup>†</sup> A name of Goutama, the founder of the Nykya.

I am verily Bramha. Therefore he became all.

with the embodied soul (and not with God), and hence the difference between God and mundame soul is clear.

And the Sruti and Smriti declare: "He, without speech, without honour:" "There is nothing, II Partha, to be done by me in the three worlds." (B. G. 3d A. 32.) "He is to be searched, to be enquired into." (Ch. U. p. 571.) "A person, knowing him, II not contaminated." (B. A. B. I. Vol. II. p. 913.) "Whoseever knows Bramha, obtains the supreme Bramha." (T. U. II A 1st Au. 1st M.) "He (Bramha) II to be contemplated in a uniform manner." (B. A. 6th Adh. 4th Br. 20. B. I. Vol. II. p. 889.) "Knowing that which III undestroyable, O Gargi." (B. A. 5th Ad. 8th B. 10. B. I. Vol. II. p. 636.) "The firm, knowing him." (B. A. p. 829.) "The great word (the letters A. U. M. being joined) is like a bow, and the soul like the arrow; and Bramha (what) is simed at by it." (2d Mund. U. 4th M. B. I. p. vol. vii. p. 228.) In all these passages there II a declaration of agent and action.

This is also evident from the declaration, that the roads to elevation are different from the road of him who strives after liberation. If there were no difference, to what place could there be proceeded by any one? And if there were not, a difference of the northern and southern roads and of the places to be proceeded to, would be impossible; but if the mundane sout is different from the supreme, all this possible.

This follows also from the declaration with reference to the causes of knowledge and work. If the mundane soul is different from Bramha, the declaration addressed to the former about work and knowledge, as being the causes either of elevation or liberation, I consistent, but it is not with reference to God, because all desires are obtained by him.'

If it therefore (on all those grounds) said, 'It proper, that the term Bramha' means a person who is to become Bramha,' we object, because the application of the declaration with regard to Bramha would be useless; for the mundane soul,—which is not Bramha, but is to become Bramha, on the strength of the passage: "knowing the soul, I am verily Bramha,"—should become all, the advice with regard Bramha, would be undoubtedly useless, because the effect, which is the state of the nature of all, would be accomplished by the knowledge

of the soul, which is to be accomplished; because that knowledge, (viz. the knowledge of the regulation concerning work) could never be applied to the accomplishment of the last aim of man.

If it is said, 'that the declaration with regard to Bramha, in the passage: "I am Bramha," is for the make of transforming the mundane soul to the nature of Bramha," we object; for, if the nature of Bramha is not perfectly known, what can the passage, "I am Bramha' transform? If his nature is known, a transformation is possible. By passages in our text such as: "That soul is varily Bramha." (B. A. 6th Adh. 4th Br. 5. B. I. Vol. II. p. 849.) "That present perceptible Bramha." (B. A. 5th Ad. 4th Br. 1. B. I. Vol. II. p. 575.) "Which soul." (B. A. p. 575.) "This is true." "This soul." "He who knows Bramha, obtains the supreme," (T. U. 2nd A. 1st A. 1st M.) and by such a conclusion as: "From this very soul," the terms "Bramha" and "soul" are more than a thousand times placed on the same ground, and therefore is evident, that they have the same meaning; for transformation of another takes place to the nature of another, and not to identity.

And the passage: Because this all is that soul," proves the identity of the soul, which has been set forth in the text, and which is in be seen afterwards. Therefore, it is impossible, that the soul could be transformed to the nature of Bramha.

Il is also impossible to conceive with regard to Bramha any other effect, because in such passages as: "He who knows Bramha, becomes Bramha," the obtaining of Bramha only is declared.

'If the transformation in the obtaining of Bramha,' we object; for another state of another impossible.

If it is said, 'that, according to the word of the S'ruti the obtaining of the state of Bramhs, even implies transformation,' we object, because transformation is only a notion (not something real), and we said, that knowledge had no other agency but to destroy a false knowledge; a word has no power to produce any thing, for the Sistra teaches, but does not create; this is evident,

<sup>\*</sup> If # wid, that the advice with reference to Bramba is useful, under the condition, that it is the object of a regulation, we sak, whether # is a regulation regarding work, or regarding works? Either assumption is contradictory.

From such passages as: "He entered this world;" (B. K. p. 77.) it is certain, that it is the supreme Bramha who entered; therefore it is not right mexplain the term "Bramha" by a person who is to become Bramha.

This also evident on the ground, that the meaning, which you wish a establish, an obstacle to the admitted meaning; for the knowledge that, like a lump of rock-salt, Bramha of the same taste (substance) without difference without or within,—which the meaning intended to be expressed in all Upanishads, is clearly established at the end of two Kandas (of this Upanishad, viz. the Madhu Kanda and Muni Kanda) viz. "This is the declaration" (p. 503) and: "So far extends this cause of immortality." (B. K. B. I. Vol. II. p. 930.)

In the same manner is the knowledge of the identity of Bramha the certain meaning of the Upanishads of all the S'ákhas.

If by the passage: "He knew even the soul," (p. 101.) a mundane soul, different from Bramha, is assumed, it would be an obstacle to the admitted meaning. If a were so, then, by the contradiction of the commencement and of the conclusion of this Statra, an inconsistency would be assumed.

Also the declaration would be impossible; for if by the passage: "He knew even the soul," a mundane soul were assumed, there could not be a declaration of the knowledge of Bramha, because then the passage: "He knew even the sou," would refer to the knowledge of the mundane soul alone.

is said, 'that the term "soul' means something different from the knower,' we object, because it is the predicate of I, in the passage: "I am verily Bramba," and if any thing else were the object of knowledge, it would have been determined: "This is that," but not: "I am." As in the passage: "I am verily Bramba," Bramba in the predicate, and as it is determined in the passage: "He knew even the soul," it is distinctly understood, that the soul alone is Bramba. If this is the case, the declaration of the knowledge of Bramba is possible, not otherwise; for the knowledge would be otherwise.

Nor is the knowledge of Bramka possible, if the nature of Bramha and non-Bramha were belong to one subject, because there would

For instance the road which leads upwards through the fire, that ■ to say through sacrifices etc. A'. G.

be in reality a contradiction, as darkness is contradictory at the manifestation of the sun.

Nor, if both (Bramha and non-Bramha) were the objects of knowledge, would the definite declaration of a knowledge of Bramha be proper, because in this case there should be a knowledge of Bramha and of the mundane soul.

Nor it proper assume a substance with contradictory attributes; for in this case, the knowledge of the truth being declared, doubt would arise in the mind of the hearer, and a definite knowledge is required to accomplish the last end of man. From the following passages of the Sruti and Smriti: "Of whom there is even no doubt," "A person who doubts perishes," it is evident, that whoseever is desirous of the welfare of others, must not say the meaning of a sentence which is doubtful.

If it is maintained, 'that in (your explanation of) the passage: "He knew even the soul; therefore he became all," the not very skilful assumption is made of Bramha being, like us and similar beings, an agent' (vis. as the subject of knowledge) we protest, because this notion is conceived in the Sastra; for it is not our assumption, but that of the Sastra; therefore the Sastra is to be blamed (which you would probably not do.) And by him, who is anxious about his welfare, must not be given up the literal meaning of Bramha by an assumption at variance with the meaning of the Sastra.

An obstinacy on your part which goes so far, also not proper; for all difference with regard to Bramha is only a fiction, and must considered under the notion of identity, as follows from a hundred passages, such as: "Here is nothing different;" "for where there is duality, as it were;" "one alone without duality." Every action of man in fact with regard to Bramha is a fiction, wherefore it is said very little, that this assumption is not skilful.

Therefore (in the passage: Bramha verily was this before) "Bramha' means the Bramha who entered, who is the creator. The term "verily" weed for the sake of determination. "This," all that is perceived as embodied (in the shape of a body) "Before." Previously even to apprehension (swakening) was Bramha alone, and all this; but from the moment of the apprehension there is the opinion,—"I am non-Bramha," I am not all,—the effect of superimposition; hence the

superimposition: "I am agent, I am performer of ceremovies, I am the mundane soul, the enjoyer of fruits, happy, unhappy, &c. but in reality there is Bramha alone, and this all which is separate from him. "He" (tat), who has in any manner been made known by a merciful teacher in the sentence: "Thou art not the mundane soul,"—" knew even the soul," in its own nature, and the term "even" means the soul, free from any differences, superimposed by ignorance.

'Say then, when i the soul in its own nature which Bramha knew as the soul?' Do you not recollect the soul? for it has been declared thus: "He, who having entered this world, inspires, causes to descend, diffuses, expires and equalises?"

But then you declare him in the same manner, as if you say : "This is a cow," "this is a horse;" you do not show him directly."

Well then, the soul is the beholder, the hearer, the thinker, the knower.

But then, here also you do not show directly the nature of the agent of the actions of seeing, hearing, &c.; for the action of going is not the nature of the goer, nor the action of cutting the nature of the cutter."

Then let us say, the soul is the beholder of the beholding, the hearer of the hearing, the thinker of the thinking, the knower of the knowing.

But how differs this from the mere beholder? Whether there is a beholder of the beholding, or the beholder of a jar, there is in every instance a beholder alone; but whether it is a beholder of the beholding, or a beholder of a jar, there is no difference; for it is even a beholder.

There a difference. The beholder of the beholding, at the beholding, constantly sees the beholding; the beholding is at no time not seen by the beholder; therefore the beholding belongs permanently the beholder. If the beholding of the beholder is transient, then the beholding, which is to be seen, does at some or the other time not behold, as for instance, where a jar and the like is seen by a transient beholding. Moreover, the beholder of that beholding sees never the beholding.

'But then, there are two beholdings of the beholder, one, the permanent, which is invisible, and the other a transient one, which is visible.'

Be so. On the one hand, the transient beholding of the beholder is evident from the appearance of blindness and non-blindness; for if this beholding were constant, no one would be blind. The permanent beholding of the beholder, on the other hand, evident from the

passage of the Sruti: "For there is no variance between the beholder and the beholding." (B. A. B. I. Vol. II. p. 803) In follows also from inference; for it is observed, that in a dream, there is a beholding of the blind, by which a jar for instance in an object of manifestation. This beholding of the beholder then is not destroyed by the destruction of the other beholding. By this unperishable permanent beholding, which is identical with itself, which is called self-manifesting light, seeing the other transient beholding, which remains in a dream, and which among the two beholdings resembles the knowledge of an impression, the beholder is the beholder of the beholding. If this is the case, the beholding is even his nature, like the heat of the fire, and there is not another conscious beholder, as in the opinion of the followers of Kapáda. "This," Bramha, "knew even the soul," vis. the soul, like the permanent beholding, and free from the transient beholding, superimposed by ignorance.

But then the knowledge of the knower is prohibited, as follows from the passage of the Sruti: "Thou dost not know the knower."

We say, No; for there is no prohibition of knowledge. In the same manner must be understood the beholder of the beholding.

This follows also from its independence of another knowledge; for, if it is known, that the beholding of the beholder is permanent, no other beholding, of which the beholder is the object, is expected; for it ceases the desire of a beholding, which is the object of the beholder, me this mimprobable; for no one has a desire, if there is no object (of the desire.) Nor has the visible beholding the power to make the beholder its object, so that one could desire it. Nor is there any desire of any one whose object is one's own nature. Accordingly, by the passage: "He knew even the soul," it is said, that there me a cessation from ignorance, but not, that the soul made an object.

How did he know? The answer of the text is: "I" the beholder of the beholding, the soul, "am verily Bramha," and "Bramha" means the present, perceptible soul, which is within all, has overcome kunger, &c., which is not this, which is not that, and has therefore the characteristics, not to be of gross body, not to be an atom, &c.

This alone am I, not another mundane soul, as you said. Therefore from a knowledge of such a kind "he" Bramha "became all." From the disappearing of the superimposition of non-Bramha by the cessa-

Whosoever among the gods comprehended it, he alone became it; thus whosoever among the Rishis, whosoever among men.

\*Knowing that this I that, the Rishi Vámadéva verily obtained these Mautras: I became Manu, I became the Sun. Whoso-

tion of the effect of II (the superimposition of non-Bramia) he became all. Therefore II is alone proper to say, "men think, that by the knowledge of Bramha we shall become all." What has been asked before: "What then knew that Bramha, from which he became all," is now defined, vis. "Bramha in truth was this before, is knew even the soul, therefore he became this all."

In respect of this "whosoever among the gods comprehended" the soul, in the manner explained (viz. according to its true notion) "he alone," the enlightened soul "became it" Bramha; "thus whosoever among the Rishis, thus whosoever among men" (comprehended the soul, became this all.)

By the terms "among the gods," &c. a reference made to the different worlds, and therefore the knowledge of Bramha is not mentioned thereby, but we declared, that by the passage: "Bramha (Purusha) entered before," Bramha everywhere entered within. Therefore the terms "among the gods" are used with reference to the knowledge of the different worlds, which is produced by the superimposition of body, &c. In reality, however, was Bramha here and there before, viz. before comprehension, in the bodies of the gods, &c.; otherwise he would man object of investigation.

The meaning of the passages: "He knew even the soul," and also "he became all" is, that the fruit of this knowledge of Bramha is the obtaining of the nature of all. To establish this meaning firmly, the Sruti quotes some Mantras. How? "Knowing, that this" Bramha, "is that," the soul: "I am Bramha," from this knowledge of Bramha alone "the Rishi Vamadéva," by name, verily "obtained" these Mantras. He, firmly established in the knowledge of the nature of Bramha, saw these Mantras: "I became Manu, I became the sun," &c.

By the words: "Knowing that this is that," Bramha, the knowledge of Bramha ahown; by the words: "I became Manu, I became the sun," &c., the text shows, that the fruit of the knowledge of Bramha the obtaining of the state of all. Knowing, he obtained the fruit,

ever knows this Branka also in this time in the said manner: "I am Branka," even the gods verily are not able to prevent him from its possession. (The possession of the state of all.)

the state of the nature of all; thus by this declaration the text makes known the liberation, which is effected by means of the knowledge of Bramha, as he who eats gets satisfied.

Some one may have the impression: 'The obtaining of the state of all by the knowledge of Bramha was possible for the great gods by their extraordinary power, but not at present for beings of the present Yuga, especially not for men, because they have only little power.' To remove this objection, the text says: "Whosoever," free from external desires, "knows this" Bramhs, -set forth in the text, as having entered all beings and possessed of the characteristics of knowledge, action, &c. "also in this," the present, "time," whoseever knows this as the soul alone in the said manner: I am Bramha, who, having discarded all differences, superimposed on knowledge by delusions, produced by fictitions attributes (upadhi), knows: I am only Bramha, not affected by any worldly characteristics, who is not within, who is not without,-he, from the cessation of the state of non-totality, becomes this all by the knowledge of Bramha. There is no difference with regard to Bramha or to the knowledge of him among persons of great power, such as Vámadéva, and persons of little power, such as the present men. there a doubt, whether the present men are adequate to obtain the fruit of the knowledge of Bramha. To remove this, the text says: "Even the gods," of extraordinary power "are not able to prevent him," who knows Bramha in the said manner, "from im possession," from obtaining the state of all like Bramha. How then others? But there no doubt, that the gods and the like are able to create obstacles to obtaining the fruit of the knowledge of Bramha, as it "said: "The mortals are in the condition of debtors towards the gods;" for the Sruti shows, that man, even when born, is indebted to the Rishis by the duties of a religious student, to the gods by sacrifices, and the forefathers by his offspring. This follows from the declaration, that men are like beasts to the gods, and also from the passage: "Then he or the soul is the place of all beings."

From this argument: that the gods from the desire to preserve the state of the soul, create obstacles to men, subject to another's will,

like debtors, to obtain the state of immortality,—arises that apprehendion. The gods preserve their beasts like their bodies; for the text shows afterwards, that the maintenance of gods, etc. which depends upon work, becomes greater, because each single man is worth many beasts; for it will be said: "Therefore it is not pleasant to them, that men should know this." (p. 119.) "As one for his own body verily wishes welfare, so also wish all beings welfare for one who knows thus." (B. K. p. 255.) If a man has the knowledge of Bramha, then, with the ceasing of dependance, there exists no longer the nature of one's own body and of a dependance like cuttle; this is the intended meaning, as is evident from the two passages about "the not being pleasant," and the "welfare." Therefore the gods raise even to the knower of Bramha, obstacles to obtaining the fruit from the knowledge of Bramha; for they are powerful.

But then, if this is the case, the gods raise obstacles also to obtaining other effects of works, like the drinking of what is drinkable. Also then, there is an end of the faith, that practice (of ceremonies and knowledge) is the cause of elevation and liberation. In the same manner, on account of his inconceivable power, God is able raise obstacles, in the same manner, time, action, charms, drugs and penances; for that they are causes of obtaining or not obtaining the fruit, is evident from the S'astra and common belief; hence there is no faith in any practice in accordance with the S'astras.

We reply to this, No; for all things are produced by their necessary causes, and the world shows a variety (of happiness and unhappiness,) both of which I impossible under the supposition, that all arises from its own nature. But when the supposition, that (ceremonial) work I the cause of (the enjoyment of) happiness and unhappiness and of other consequences, is firmly established and confirmed by the statements of the Véda, Smriti, argument, and common belief, it is clear, that the gods, I swara and time are not opposed to the acquirement of the fruit of works, because works are the causes of what is desired; for the good or bad work of men, independent of the gods, time, I swara and other agents, is by itself without effect (liter, does not produce itself) and if I should even have effect by itself, it I not able to give the fruit, as it I the nature of action to be produced by agents and other numberless causes. Therefore the gods, I's'wara, etc. favour actions, and hence there cannot III a disbelief

For he becomes the soul of them.

as I the obtaining of the fruit. Sometimes actions are subject also to them (to the gods) because their power cannot be destroyed; but whether the nature of action or time, gods, things, etc. If the first or second, in not determined, and is difficult to be understood; hence arises the delusion of the people. Some say, action is the cause, and not any thing else, with reference to the obtaining of the fruit; others, it is the gods; others, time; others, the nature of the things; others, all these together. With regard to this, the statements of the Véda and the Smriti acknowledge action as the principal cause, as for instance: "Holy gets a person by holy action, sinful by sinful." Even if one or the other of those agents with regard its own object should become principal, while at the same time the principal power of the others were stopped, yet it could not be said, that work is not the principal agent as to the acquirement of the fruit, because the principal agency of work is established by the S'ástra and argument.

(But if ■ be asked, whether the gods do not hinder the acquirement of the effect of knowledge, we answer:) No, because on the mere cessation of ignorance the effect is the obtaining of Bramha. In respect of what has been said, "that the gods raise obstacles to the effect, which is the obtaining of Bramba," the gods have no power to raise obstacles. Why! because there is no time intervening between the knowledge of Branks and the effect, which is the obtaining of Bramha. How? As in common life at the very time when light is in contact with the eye of the beholder, there is the manifestation of colour, so is the very time when the knowledge, whose object is the soul, takes place, there he the disappearance of the ignorance, whose object is the soul. Therefore, when the knowledge of Bramha exists, an effect contrary to it impossible, just as in impossible, that the effect of light is darkness. Where (when the knowledge of Bramha exists) the knower of Bramha is the very soul (substance) of the gods, how can they in any way raise obstacles to him?

The text then declares, what is this very nature, viz. Bramha, identical with himself (whose nature is the soul) who is to be thought of, who may in known from all the S'astras; "for he," the knower of Bramha "becomes the soul of them," of the gods, in the very time, when there

exists the knowledge of Bramha, by the mere constion of the acreen of ignorance, like the nature of mother-of-pearl, which appears as silver, as we said before. Therefore the endeavour of the gods has no success against the soul; for where an effect ensues, not referring to the nature of the soul, and where there is a difference of space, time and causes, there, in respect of any thing whose object is not the soul, the endeavour of the gods to raise obstacles is successful, but not in respect of the effect referring to the nature of the soul, which takes places at the time of the knowledge without any difference of space, time and causes, because an opportunity (to raise obstacles) is impossible.

But then, since there is no continuation of the (first) apprehension of the knowledge of Bramka, and since (after the first apprehension) the contrary apprehension (= non-coul), and its effects are apparent, only the last apprehension of the soul destroys the ignorance, and not the first.\*\* (If the last knowledge destroys ignorance, it is either, because it is the last, or because its object is the coul.) Not under the first alternative, because the last could not be determined. (Not under the second) for if the first apprehension, whose object is the soul, does not destroy the ignorance, then also not the last, because the object is the same.

If it is said, 'this being the ease, the continuation of knowledge destroys the ignorance, not a single act of knowledge,' we object; for as long as life, etc. remains, a continuation (of knowledge) is impossible; for as long as there is an apprehension, of which life, etc. is the cause, the continuation of the apprehension of knowledge is impossible, because it is contradictory.

\* As S'ankars has set forth his argument rather enigmatically, I give II II the more explicit form of Ananda Giri. \*\* But then, an opponent may say, the knowledge which destroys the ignorance, cannot be the first, because after the first knowledge there II an continuation of it, and because afterwards the opposite knowledge and its effects take place.' To show the fatility of this objection, S'ankara assumes the following alternative, II the first knowledge does not destroy the ignorance, either the last knowledge destroys it, or the continuation of knowledge.

If the last knowledge destroys the ignorance, it is either, because it is the last, or because its object. If the soul; but not under the first of these alternatives, because I could not be determined (which is the last); not under the second, (because its object. If the soul) because in this respect. If is the same as the first knowledge, which, according to admission, does not destroy ignorance." The second part of the argument is clearly given by S'ankara, wherefore we do not repeat it here.

If you say: 'But then by the disappearance of the apprehension, of which life, etc. In the cause, there remains a continuation of knowledge until death,' we object, because the continuation of a so-much-ness of the apprehension is not defined, and there in hence the fault, that the meaning of the S'astra is not defined. By saying,—the continuation of a so-much-ness of the apprehension destroys the ignorance,—there is nothing defined, and hence the meaning of the S'astra II undefined. And this is not desired.

If it is said, 'that the meaning of the S'astra is even defined, when there is a mere continuation,' we object, because there is no difference between the first and last knowledge; for either the first knowledge is the continuation of the apprehension, or the last until the time of death; but as in this way no difference is found between the first and last apprehensions, the two above mentioned faults apply.

If it is said: "This being the case, then knowledge does not even destroy ignorance," we object, because our text declares: "Therefore he became all," the same is also declared in other passages of the S'ruti "The bond of the heart "broken," and "There is no delusion, etc."

If it said: 'It is merely for the sake of praise,' (Arthaváda) we object, because etherwise the same applies to the Upanishads of all the S'ákhas (Védáis schools) viz. that they are merely for the sake of praise; for the Upanishads of all the S'ákhas set forth neither more nor less than this meaning.

If it is said: 'Let it be so, (that they are for the sake of praise,) since the object of the soul is evident from perception;' we object, because from the said statement (that knowledge destroys ignorance, grief, delusion, fear and other faults have ceased.

If it is said "from perception," the answer has already been given. Therefore it cannot be fixed, whether the knowledge is the first, or the last, a continuation, or not a continuation, because the ultimate effect of knowledge is the cessation of ignorance and other faults. That apprehension, whether the first or the last, continuation or no continuation, is alone knowledge, which produces the effect, viz. the cessation of the faults of ignorance, etc. Having come to this conclusion, there remains nothing to be fixed.

But what has been said before: "Since the contrary apprehension and its effects are apparent, only the last apprehension of the soul

destroys the ignorance and not the first," (p. 115) this also holds not good, because the last action (in a former birth) in the cause of the production of a body (in this world). The action,—which is the cause of the production of the body, and which, by means of the fault of the opposite apprehension of the work, which is of such a nature and which has the fault of the opposite apprehension, able to produce the fruit,-this action puts into effect the opposite apprehension, and the faults of passion, etc. by the continuation of the enjoyment of the effect, as long as life lasts, and only so long, because the entering upon the enjoyment of the effects follows necessarily from their cause, viz. action. like arrows which are shot (continue their course, until their velocity is spent). Therefore knowledge does not destroy action of such a kind (which is the effect of actions in a former world) because ill is not opposed to it. What then (does it destroy)? The effect of ignorance, opposite to its own (knowledge's) nature, which (effect) has the tendency to produce another body (in a future world) even from ignorance, which is its support, (it destroys it,) because it determines it; for it (the action) has not yet arrived, (not yet been done) the other action (which is the cause of the present body) has been produced (is passed, and cannot therefore be destroyed by knowledge). Moreover, the opposite apprehension (opposite to true knowledge) is not produced for the knower, because it (the opposite apprehension) is without object; for the opposite apprehension, when produced, is produced as dependent upon generality, when the nature of its particular object has not been ascertained, as silver to on mother-of-pearl. But this (opposite apprehension) by the destruction of the site of opposite apprehensions without number, does not arise for one who has ascertained the differences of objects, as the delusion of eilver does not again appear, when a perfect apprehension of mother-of-pearl has taken place.

If you say, 'that recollections,—manifesting opposite apprehensions, and arising from impressions, produced from opposite apprehensions, which were made previously to the knowledge,—that these recollections in the moment of their birth sometimes cause of a sudden the obtainment of opposite apprehensions, and that, in the same manner, as for one who is perfectly acquainted with the divisions of space, yet of a sudden a confusion may arise with regard to space, so also for the perfect knower an opposite apprehension may be produced, as is was

Then whoseever worships another deity in such a manner, as: "He another, another am I," he does not know; like a

before' (the attainment of knowledge) then it would follow, that there is no confidence also in perfect knowledge, that hence an activity with regard to the knowledge and the works in accordance with the meaning of the S'astra would inconsistent, and that proof had become non-proof; for a difference between proof and non-proof were impossible.

Hereby it is ascertained, for what reason there is no liberation from the body (immediately) after the attainment of perfect knowledge. But that consequent to knowledge, from the very same time, there is a destruction of the actions which are collected for a future birth and whose fruits have not commenced, has been proved from our text, which prohibits any obstacle to the obtaining of the fruit; also from such passages of the S'ruti as: "His actions also are annihilated," (1st Mund. B. I. Vol. VIII. p. 302.) —All is his forever," "All sins are shaken off," "Having known him, they are not tainted by sin," (B. K. B. I. Vol. II. p. 913.) "Him alone do those two not trouble, him good and bad actions do not torment," (l. e. p. 910.) "Him he does not torment, he is not afraid of any thing;" (S'. U. B. I. Vol. VII. p. 119.) also from passages of the Smriti such as this: "The fire of knowledge burns all actions to ashes." (Bh. G. 4th Adh. Sl. 37.)

But what before (p. 112) has been said, that he is bound by debts, I also not applicable, because this refers to ignorance; for the ignorant is a debtor; for him the notion of agency etc. is true; thus it will be said afterwards: "Where some other thing exists, there another sees it otherwise," and the term "other" means here, what differs from the true substance, the soul. Where ignorance exists, there it I another thing, as it were; there, like the moon on the second day after its darkness, is an action of showing (one saying, I is this, another, I is not this, etc.) dependent on the many agents through ignorance; and the effect, arising from this (ignorance) also shown by passages such as this: "Another sees it otherwise." (B. A. p. 813.) Where, however, knowledge exists, by the removal of the numberless illusions, arising from ignorance, there is shown the impossibility of actions by such passages as: "Therefore he sees all." (p. 94.) Therefore the state of a debtor I described as referring to ignorance alone,—because

beast, he ased by the gods.

As verily many beasts maintain a man, so every man maintains the gods. It munt pleasant, even if only one beast taken away, how then, if many? Therefore it munt pleasant

that state is the consequence of actions, -not as referring to knowledge. This we shall in the next passage explicitly show by our explanation, as follows: "Then whosoever," not knowing Brambs, by praise, reverence, offering, (of flowers, scents, etc.) oblation (gift of eatables), attention and contemplation, "worships" (represents to himself the state of the excellencies of a deity) "another deity," a deity different from the soul "in such a manner as: He is another," not the soul, different from me, "another am I," subject to him, I, like a debtor, have to propitiate him, -whoseever through such a belief worships, "he" through such a belief "does not know" the truth. Such a person is not only ignorant, or has the faults of ignorance and the like, but like a beast, a cow, etc. is used for the benefit of conveyance, of giving milk, etc. so for the various benefits he affords through offerings, etc. he used by each of the gods. Therefore he is, like a beast, subject to works of all kinds-this is the meaning. For the effect of the work in accordance with the word of the Statra, be it work, united with knowledge, or be it work alone, done by a person who is ignorant, a partaker of the division of caste and the orders of life,\* and dependent, the effect of such a work is elevation from man, etc. to Bramha; the effect, however, of work, which is at variance with the word of the S'astra and which is done by the promptings of one's own nature, is descension from man, etc. down to inanimate matter. For as here, so we shall say the same at the end of this chapter by the passage: Again there are three worlds, etc. (B. K. p. 301."-That the effect of knowledge is the obtaining of the state of all, has been briefly shown; for this whole Upanishad II engaged to show the divisions of knowledge and ignorance (or the whole knowledge within this Upanishad I not very extensive as it describes the divisions of ignorance).

And that this I the meaning of the whole S'astra, we shall afterwards show. Because I is so, therefore the gods are able to raise

<sup>\*</sup> There are four, viz. of the religious student, of the house-holder, of the anchorite (Vanaprasthe, who retires to the wood,) and of the mendicant.

to them, that men should know this. 10.

obstacles or to show favour to an ignorant man. This asid in the words: "As verily" in common life, "many beasts," cows, horses, etc. "maintain a man," their owner, ruler, "so every" ignorant "man," who stands for many beasts, "maintains the gods," (the plural "the gods," is here used to indicate also the forefathers, etc.) under the idea : "Indra and the other gods, who are different from me, are my lords; I am like a servant of them. If I adore them by praise, reverence, gifts, etc. I shall obtain the rewards, given by them, vis. elevation and liberation." Thus as in this world it wery unpleasant for a possessor of many beasts, "even if only one beast is taken away," is seized by a tiger, etc., so, if one man who stands for many beasts, rises from the state of a beast, it is not surprising, that it is uppleasant to the gods, as the taking away of many beasts is to the house-holder. "Therefore it is not pleasant to them," to the gods, -what ! " that men should" in any way "know this," truth of the nature of Bramhs. In this view it is said by the venerable Vyása in the Anugites (a part of the Mahábhárata) "The world of the gods is closed for the performers of works; for the gods do not wish, that men abide above." Therefore the gods try exclude, like cattle from tigers, men from the knowledge of Bramha, as it is their desire, that they should not be elevated above the sphere of their use. Whom they wish to liberate, to him they impart belief, etc. and unbelief to him whom they wish not to liberate. Therefore let a person, desirons of liberation, be intent on the adoration of the gods, on reverence and faith, submissive, and assiduously striving for the acquirement of knowledge, or for knowledge, as is implied in the words of fear: "How then, if many." This is the meaning of the passage: "It is not pleasant to the gods." 10.

The meaning of the S'astra has been declared in the Sútra: "The soul, considering this, let a man worship it." (p. 86.) The relation and the necessity of this Sútra, which was to be explained, has been determined by the words: "They declare, that by the knowledge of Bramha, etc." (p. 100.) together with its Arthaváda (by the words: "Therefore, whosoever among the gods," etc. (p. 101.),—and the subjection of ignorance to the world in the words: "Then whosoever worships another deity, etc." (p. 118.)

Bramha verily was this before, one alone. Being one, he did not extend. He with concentrated power created the Kshatra of elevated nature, viz. all those Kshatras who are protectors among the gods, Indra, Varuna, Soma, Rudra, Parjanya, Yama, Death, and Ya'ana. Therefore none is greater than the Kshatra;

There is has been said, that the ignorant is a debtor, and subject the will of another by the necessity to perform, like a beast, the works of the gods and others. What again is the means of performing the necessary works of the gods and others? The different castes, and orders of life. Which then are the eastes? In reply to this the present passage is commenced, vis. to show how that ignorant person, dependent on another's will and subject to works in connexion with their agents, is busy, like a beast, in this world.

The creation of Indra, etc. has not been described above after the creation of Agni (v. p. 70.); but the creation of Agni has been described to complete the creation through Prajapati. The creation of Indra, etc. Accepter, should there have been shown, because it is its conclusion; but it is here told in order to show, that the ignorant is the proper subject for the performance of works.

"Bramha verily was this before." "Bramha," by the creation of Agni having obtained the nature of Agni (he is called Bramha by believing himself to be the caste of Brahmanas) "verily was this," the caste of Kahatras, etc.; the undivided Bramha, "one alone." There was no distinction of the Kahatriya and other castes. "Being one," without the distinctions of Kahatra, etc., of preserver, etc. "he," Bramha, "did not extend," which means, was not sufficient for extensive work.

Then "he," Bramha, reflecting, I am a Bramhana, I, who by nature desire to perform the work to be done by the Bramhana caste, have to discharge such and such duties, "created" for the amplification of work and agent, "with concentrated power the Kshatra of elevated nature." Which again is the Kshatra, the Kshatriya caste, created by him? To show this, the text specifies the individuals, vis. "all those Kshatras who are protectors among the gods." Those who are anointed kings, are here specified "Indra," the king of the gods (Dévas) "Varuna" of the aquatic animals, "Soma," of

therefore the Bramhana, under the Kahatriya, worships at the Rajasúya ceremony. The Kahatra alone gives him his glory. Bramha thus the birth-place of the Kshatra. Therefore, although the king obtains the highest dignity, he at last takes refuge in the Bramha as in his birth-place. Whosoever despises him, he destroys his birth-place. He a very great sinner, like a man who injures a superior. 11.

A the Bramhanas, "Rudra," of beasts, "Parjanya," of lightning, etc.

"Yama" of the forefathers, "Death," of persons in ill health, etc.

and Is'ana of splendours. These and others are the Kahatras among
the gods. After them (the divine Kahatras) he created the Kahatras
who are ruled by Indra and other Kahatras deities, viz. the families of
the moon and sun, as the king Pururavas, etc. For this reason the
creation of the Kahatras among the gods has been set forth.

Because the Kahatra was created by more concentrated power than the Brahmana, "therefore none is greater than the Kahatra," who is also the ruler of the Brahmana caste. "Therefore the Brahmana," although the source of the Kshatriya, placed "under the Kshatriya," "worshipa" him who is placed above. Where? "At the Rajasuya ceremony." "The Kshatra alone gives him his glory," his repute as Bramha. The Ritwig, -at the Rajasuya ceremony addressed by the king, who is anointed and seated on the royal chair, by the words : "O Bramha," -replies again to the king: "O king, thou art Bramha." He alone is called Kahatra who bestows glory. "Bramha is thus the" well known "birth-place of the Kahatra." "Therefore, although the king obtains the highest dignity," in virtue of the ancieting at the Rajasúya ceremony, "he at last" at the completion of the ceremony, "takes refuge in the Bramha," in the Bramhavical caste, "as in his birth-place," that is, he appoints a family priest. "Whosoever" again from the pride of power, "despises," lowers, "him" his birthplace, the Brahmspical caste, the Brahmspa, he destroys his "own birth-place." "He," by so doing, "is a very great sinner;" (in former times the Kahatriya was also a sinner) by his wickedness, because he injures his producer, "like," in common life, "a man who injures," defeats, "his superior" is a very great sinner. 11.

He did not extend. He created the Vit. He is all those gods who, according to their classes, are called Vasus, Rudras, Kdityas, Vis'wédévas, and Maruts. 12.

He did not extend. He created the caste of the S'údras as the nourisher. This earth is the nourisher; for it nourishes all this whatsoever. 13.

He did not extend; he created with concentrated power justice of eminent nature. This justice is the preserver (Kshatra) of

<sup>(</sup>As Branha did not extend before the creation of the Kahatra), so even after the creation of the Kahatra "he" Bramba, "did not extend" for the work. He did not extend, because there was none to procure wealth. "He created the Vit." to procure wealth for the performance of coremonies. Who again is the Vit? "He is all those gods according to their classes" (for almost all the Vits are called so, as they are counted in classes; for commonly they are only capable of collecting wealth, when joined together, and not singly); the class of "the Vasus," is eight in number, of "the Rudras," eleven, of "the Adityas" twelve, of "the Vis'wédévas," means either the thirteen sons of Vis'wa, or all (sarve-vis'we) the gods, and of "the Maruts" is seven times seven. 12.

<sup>&</sup>quot;He" in want of servants, "did not extend. He created the caste of the S'údras." Which again is the caste of the S'údras, created by him? "The nourisher," (Púshaṇa) because he nourishes. Who again is that Púsha? The text determines this in a special manner. "This," earth, "is Púsha: for it nourishes all this whatsoever." 13.

<sup>&</sup>quot;He," after having created the four castes, did not extend. "He," from a fear of the ungovernableness of the Kshatra on account of his fiery nature, "created by concentrated power justice of eminent nature." "This justice," created of eminent nature, "is the preserver," the governor even "of the Kshatra," more fiery even than the fiery. "There is nought higher than justice," because it governs even the Kshatra; for all are ruled by it. In what manner? To this is replied: "Even the weak is confident to defeat the more powerful" than himself, "by" the power of "justice," "as" in common life "the house-holder by the king," who is the most powerful. Therefore

the Kshatra. There mought higher than justice. Even the weak monfident to defeat the more powerful by justice, as a homeholder by the king. Verily justice is true. Therefore they say of a person who speaks the truth, he speaks justice, or of a person who speaks justice, he speaks the truth. In this manner verily it moth. 14.

This is the creation of the Bramha, the Kahatra, the Vit and the S'údra. He was in the form of Agni (fire) among the

m this manner evident, that justice is governing all, because it is more powerful than all. "Verily justice," vis. a case which has been decided according | legal evidence, "is true." Here " true" means, in accordance with the meaning of the S'astra, and justice means, what is transacted in such a manner: it is true, if understood in accordance with the meaning of the S'astra. Because this is so, "therefore they" those who are sitting near, who are aware of the arguments on both parts, "say of a person who speaks," at the time when a suit is transacted, the "truth" that is, according to the S'astras. "He speaks justice," vis. he speaks according to fitness, which is well known and pursuant to usage. Thus, on the other hand, they say " of a person, who speaks in accordance with justice," to usage, "he speaks in accordance with truth," he speaks what does not deviate from the S'astra. "In this manner verily it," which has been mentioned before, "is both," vis. the justice, which must be made known and which must be practised. Therefore justice, as an object of knowledge and of practice governs all, as well those who know the S'astras as those who do not know them. Therefore, it is the preserver even of the Kshatra. Hence an ignorant person, who is proud of justice, acknowledges for the practice of its different parts, its difference which be the cause of the Bramha, Kahatra, Vit and S'údra castes. These differences are by their own nature the causes of the different agents. 14.

The passage: "This is the creation of the four castes" of the Bramha, the Kshatra, the Vit and S'údra," serves as an introduction into the next sentence. "He," Bramha, the creator, "was in the form of Agni among the gods," that is to say in no other form. "As

gods as Bramha, he was the Bramhana among men, in the form of Kahatriya Kahatriya, in the form of Vais'ya Vais'ya, in the form of S'údra S'údra. Therefore among the gods the place (loka) a desired through Agni only, among men through the Brahmana, because in their forms Bramha became manifest.

Brahma," the Brahmana caste, he was the Bráhmana," in the form of a Bráhmana Brahma was among men. Assuming other modifications among the other castes, he became in the Kahatriya form a Kahatriya, whose tutelary deities are Indra and other gods (dévás;) in the Vaishya form a Vaishya, and in the S'údra form a S'údra.

Because Bramha the creator assumed other and other modifications among the Kabatriya and other castes, and remained unmodified only in Agni's form, "therefore among the gods the place," the fruit of works, "is desired through Agni only," that I to say, by the performance of works, dependent on Agni: for on this ground is this Brahma evidently represented under the form of Agni, the locality of works. Therefore it is established, that by the performance of work through Agui, the fruit, resulting from it, desired. "Among men through the Brahmana." If among men there is a desire of the fruit to be derived from works, there is no dependance upon works, of which Agni, etc. I the cause. How then? The object of man is effected only by reliance upon the nature of the castes. Where, however, the accomplishment of the object of man is subject to the gods, there it is dependent upon work in conjunction with Agni. etc. This follows also from the passage of the Smriti: "By muttering prayers, the Brahmana, no doubt, a successful, whether he performs other work (work, dependant upon fire) or not. Friend is called the Brahmana."\* This is also evident from his leading the life of a religious mendicant. † Therefore among men the place, the effect of works, is desired through the nature of the Brahmana alone, "because Brahma," the creator, "in their forms," in the forms of the Brahmana and Agni, the forms upon which the agents of work are dependent, "became manifest."

By giving to all beings the blessing to be without fear.

<sup>†</sup> Which is remounce all worldly objects—the cause of obtaining the world of Bramba.

Then whosever, through this world not seeing the self-like world, dies, him the latter, because unknown, does not preserve, as the Véda which is not read, or as other work, which is not done.

With reference to this, some\* say, 'it is the place of the supreme soul, the obtainment of which | desired through Agni and the Brahmana.' This not true; because a subjection to ignorance existing, the division of the castes is introduced for the subjection to works, it is not true, moreover, because it differs from the next passage; for if here (in the present passage) by the term "place," even the supreme soul were declared, then in the next passage: "Not having seen the self-like place," the predicate "self-like," would be absurd; for if there the common place (world) which is desired by dependance upon Agni, is different from the self-like place, then the predicate "self-like," is proper, because the meaning is the annihilation of the common place (world) after death, and because by the term "self-like" there is no going astray from the place of the supreme soul; but the works, performed by ignorance, would go astray by the term "self-like." And by the passage: "Perishes certainly," the going astray of all the effects by works will be set forth. By Bramha the castes were created for the sake of work, and this work, because it rules all castes by the notion of duty and accomplishes the object of man, has the name of virtue.

<sup>\*</sup> The commentary, called Bhartriprapanchika.

Even the great and holy work, which a person who does not know in this manner, performs, all this work of him, verily perishes at last. Let a man worship the soul as his place. Whosoever worships the soul alone as his place, his work does verily not

(vide p. 83.), does not preserve himself,—by the removal of grief, delusion, fear and other faults. And "as" in common life, "the Véda, which is not read," does not teach work and whatsoever arises from it, "or as other work," in common life, for instance ploughing, "which is not done," not manifested by its own nature, does not preserve him by the yielding of its fruit, so the soul, if unmanifested by its self-like nature, which is the sternal soul, does not preserve him by the annihilation of ignorance.

But then, does it not follow from the necessity that work obtains its effect by preserving the cause of the knowledge of the self-like world, and from the abundance of work, which is the cause of the desired effect, "that its preserving cause is undestroyable?" No. because every effect is liable to destruction. Therefore it is said in the text: "Even the great," as for instance many As'wamedha sacrifices, "and holy work," which obtains its fruit, as if it were desired (although there has been no desire of its fruit) "which a person," of extraordinary magnanimity, "who does not know in this manner," who does not know the self-like place in the said manuer, continually "performs," under the notion, that he shall thereby gain immortality, "all this work of him," of the ignorant person, "verily perishes at last," at the end of the enjoyment of the fruit, because desire, the effect of ignorance, is its cause, like some wonderful superhuman power (perished) which appeared by the delusion of a dream. Since the causes of it (of the work) viz. ignorance and desire, are not permanent, it is necessary, that its effect is also liable to destruction. Therefore there is no hope to preserve for ever the fruit of holy work. "Therefore let a man worship the soul alone" as the self-like place; the soul is the self-like place; for in this meaning is the self-like place set forth in the text, and here is the term of "self-like" not applied. "Whosoever worships the soul as Ais place," what of him? II is replied in the text: "his work does verily not perish," because there is even no work; this is repeated here in order to to establish it firmly. The meaning is, as there a constantly worldly unhappiness, consisting in the decrease

perish. For whatsoever he desires from the soul, the same he obtains, 15.

of the effect derived from work, so there is not for him (the wise) as one thinks: if Mithila is burned, nothing burns me. Others\* explain it to mean, 'that the work of the knowing worshipper of his ownself (soul) does not perish by the connexion with a person who does not know. Further, for the term of "place," intimately connected with work, they in fact assume two meanings; one is the place in the state of manifestation, depending upon work, and bearing the name of Hiranyagarbha. Whosoever worships this place, which intimately connected with work, which is manifested and finite, the work of him, who knows the finite self as engaged in work, verily perishes. But whosoever worships the world, which is intimately connected with work, comprehending it in its unmanifested state under the notion of sause, his work does not perish, because he knows the infinite self (soul) as engaged in work." This assumption is ingenious, but it does not accord with the B'ruti, because by the term "the place of self" the supreme soul, set forth in the text, is denoted."

The text having mentioned (before) "the place of self," omits (now) the term "of self" and introducing the term "soul," shows its identity with the former by the words: "whosoever worships the soul alone as his place." There is here no possibility for the assumption of a place, intimately connected with work.

Moreover it (the place intimately connected with work) is different from the supreme place, whose object is knowledge alone. By the passage: "The soul is not the place," it is distinguished from the places, which are gained by inferior knowledge and the work of a son. Therefore "the soul is not the place," and also: "His place is not measured by any work; this is his highest place." By these sentences, because they are determined, a corresponding meaning is proper. Thus here also, because by the words "the place of self," the predicate is fixed.

If it said 'that according to the passage: "for whatsoever," is improper, that the place of self is the supreme soul; for if it is certain, that by the worship of him he becomes the supreme soul; whatsoever

<sup>\*</sup> Vis. the explanation, given by the Bhartriprapancha.

## Next that soul (self) verily is the place of all beings.

desires, we obtains from the soul, then the declaration with regard to the fruit improper, unless it is to obtain that soul," We contradict, because it has the object to extol the worship of the world of self; for the meaning is, from the place of self alone all that is desired is obtained; any thing different from it is not to be asked for, because all desires are satisfied, as it is said in another passage of the S'ruti (Chh. U. 7th Pr. 16th Kh. B. I. Vol. III. p. 524): "From the soul is life, from the soul hope." Or it means to show the state of the universal , soul as before (p. 105). For if the supreme soul m declared, m is right to apply the term "soul" in the passage: "for .... from the soul," (p. 128) and it means, from the place of self, which is set forth as soul in the text. Otherwise it would have been said with a predicate, " from the place of work in its unmanifested state" in order to remove thereby the idea of a place of the supreme soul in accordance with the text, and to remove the state of manifestation; for since it set forth in the text and determined, another state, not authorized by the S'ruti, impossible. 15.

"Next that soul verily." It has before (in the last section, describing the state of ignorance) been said, that the ignorant, in the conscious pride of caste and order of life, etc., and governed by the law, is, like a beast, subject to another by the necessity of performing the works of the gods and others. Which again are the works by the necessary performance of which he becomes, like a beast, subject to another? And who are the gods and others whom he assists, like a beast, by works? Both mexplained in the text. The term "next" is intended as an introduction to the present sentence. The house-holder, as set forth in the text, who is subject to work, ignorant, and endowed with body, senses, etc., here called "that soul." The meaning is "he is the place," the object of enjoyment, "of all beings," from the gods down in the ants, because he affords assistance to all by works which are commanded with reference to the different castes and orders. By what special works again affording assistance does he become the place, for what special beings? The answer is: "By what he," the house-holder, "offers and sacrifices." Sacrifice with reference to the deity an ahandonment of wealth (to the deity). performed until the sprinkling of the water (on the head of the sacriHe is the place of the gods by what he offers and sacrifices, further of the Rishis by what he learns, further of the fore-fathers (Pitris) by what he gives to the fore-fathers, and by his exertions about offspring, further of men by housing them and giving them food, further of cattle by finding them grass and water, further of beasts of prey, birds, etc. down to anta, by sustaining their life in his dwelling. As every one desires the continuance of his place, so verily desire all beings

ficer), it is Homa. By this work, vis. by Homa (offering) and sacrifice (Yaga) which it is his duty to perform, "he is," like a beast, subject to the will of another, "the place of the gods." "Further by what he reads," vis. his daily reading of the Védas, "he ii the place of the Rishis." "Further by what he gives to the forefathers." vis. the oblation, water, etc., "and by his exertions about offspring," by that work, which he is bound to perform, "he is the place of the forefathers." "Further by housing men," by giving them place, water, etc. in his house, "and giving them food," viz. them who ask him for it, whether they live in his house or not, "he is the place of men." "Further by finding," giving "them grass and water," he is the "place of cattle." "Purther of beasts of prey, birds together with ants by sustaining their life in his dwelling," with grains and (particles of food gained) by the cleaning of the sacrificial vessels, "he is the place of them." Because by those works he affords asaistance to the gods, etc., therefore "as every one desires continuance of his own place," of his own body, desires the preservation of his nature, from the fear of losing his nature sustains it always by nourishing, protecting it, etc., "so verily desire all beings," the gods and others, the above mentioned continuance of life "for him who thus knows," who thus frames his soul: "I am to be enjoyed by all beings. I. like a debtor, am bound to make returns;" that is to say, they preserve him for the continuance of their own existence, as house-holders preserve cattle. Therefore it is said: "It is not pleasant to them." "This verily," that the said works must necessarily be done, like the paying of a debt, "is declared," in the chapter of the five great sacrifices, (the five great sacrifices, viz. to all beings, to men, to the forefathers, to the gods, and to Bramha) "and considered," and proved as necessary by

welfare for one who thus knows. This verily is declared and considered, 16.

Self (the soul) alone was this before; he was even one. He

consideration in the chapter of the distribution of the things, required for the five great sacrifices (Avadánaprakarapa), 16.

"Self alone was this before." If the knower of Bramha is liberated from the state of cattle, which consists in the bondage of duty, by whom then has he been made subject to the bondage of work, and not again to the practice of knowledge, which ill the means of liberation? . Is it not said : "The gods preserve?" Certainly, but they preserve those who by the performance of work obtained their own (the gods') state: otherwise it would be in their power to bestow the effects of actions not done, or destroy the effects of actions done, (that is to say a otherwise, they would be partial) but they do not preserve a common man, who has not obtained a special perfection. Therefore it must be the same (who makes him subject to the bondage of work) by whose power a person subject to work is getting out of his own place. Is that not ignorance? for the ignorant, getting out of himself, is engaged in work? No, ignorance also is not the cause which makes one to engage in work; for its character is m conceal the true nature of a thing a but it may be the cause of making one to engage in work, in the same manner, as blindness is the cause, that one is liable to fall into holes. etc. If this is the case, then say, what causes one to engage in work? This is set forth, vis. it willing, desire. Thus it is said in the Kathaka (4 to P. 2. B. I. Vol. VIII. p. 123): "Remaining in their natural ignorance, youths engage in actions; follow external objects of desire." In the Bhagavadgitá: "It is desire, it is anger, etc." (B. G. 3rd A. 37.) And in Manu: " Desire is the cause of all engagements in action, (M. S. 2d. A. 4.) This meaning in all its detail proved throughout this whole chapter. - Self alone was this before." Self alone vis. the person who ignorant by his own nature, who is to be comprehended under the notion of effect and cause, the Brambachári (the religious student). "Before." Previous to the union with a wife he is called self.

There was no object of desire, as a wife, etc. different from this self. "He was even one," possessed of ignorance, the cause of the desire of a wife, etc., he, was even alone; he was pervaded by ignorance,

desired: Let me have a wife; again,—let me be born; again,—let me have wealth; again,—let me perform work. So far extend, verily desire. For without desire one does not get more than

the nature of which is to assign to one's own soul the assumptions of agent, action and fruit. "He desired" What? "Let me have a wife." Let me, the agent, have a wife, the cause of the performance of work; without her I am not a fit agent for work; therefore to accomplish the performance of work, let me have a wife. "Again,-let me be born," let me be produced as offspring. "Again,-let me have wealth," cows. etc., by which work accomplished. "Again let me perform work," the cause of elevation and liberation, vis. let me perform work, -by which, liberated from my debt, I may obtain the places (worlds) of the gods, etc., -and the ceremonial rites for objects of desire which are the causes by which a son, wealth, heaven, etc. are effected. "So far extends verily desire," which means, desire is limited to those objects. So far extend the objects which are be desired, viz. a wife, son, wealth and works. viz. the desire as cause. The three worlds, the world of man, the world of the forefathers, and the world of the gods, are the effects of this desire as cause ; for the desire, as cause referring to wife, son, wealth and work, for their sake. Therefore this is one degre; and the other, the degre of the worlds, which is also a desire, depends upon a cause; in this manner desire is two-fold. Hence it will be afterwards (B. K. p. 592), said : "These two desires." Because every action is undertaken on account of its effect, therefore it should be understood, that by the passage: "So far extends desire" the worlds are necessarily implied, and therefore declared," for if eating is mentioned, it is not necessary to mention also satisfaction, because eating is merely for the sake of it. These two desires, characterised as cause and effect, are the longing, by which compelled, the ignorant, who is subject moork, like the silkworm, encases his self (soul); that is to say, on the road of action not attending to himself (the soul), and having got out (of himself ), he does not know his own place (the place which is like himself). Thus it said in the Taittariya: "Bewildered by fire, teased by smoke, he does not know his own place." How again is it ascertained, that desire extends so far, because desires are infinite, for desires have no end? On this account the reason stated : Because without desire one does

this. Therefore also now a person, when alone, desires: Let me have a wife,—again, let me be born,—again, let me have wealth,—again, let me perform work. As long as he does not obtain one them, so long he thinks himself incomplete. His completeness this, that the mind is his self (soul) and speech his wife.

not get more than this," which consists of effect and cause; for, beside cause and effect, nothing, either perceived or not perceived, is to be gained at this world; for the object to be obtained a desire, and as this does not exist without them (cause and effect) it is proper to say : "So far extends verily desire." Hereby it is said: Wish, the object of action of the ignorant subject, is two-fold desire, viz. either desire as effect, or as cause, whether its object is perceived or not perceived. Above this wish the wise must be elevated. Because in this manner the ignorant self (soul) being desirous, before desired, and also he who preceded him, --- (for this is the law of the world, and in the same manner was this the creation of Prajapati; for it is said, (p. 64) "he was afraid" by ignorance. Then (pp. 67-68): "Hence, excited by desire, a person is not happy when alone; to remove the unhappiness, he desired a wife; he approached her; hence sprang forth this creation,"-"Therefore," after this creation, "also now," at the present time, "a lonely person," before his being married, desires in accordance with what has been said : " Let me have a wife,—again, let me be born,—again, let me have wealth, again, let me perform work." "As long as he," who is thus desirous and endeavouring of getting all, a wife, &c., " as long as he does not obtain one of them," one of what has been mentioned, a wife, &c., "so long he thinks himself incomplete." At last when he obtains all of them, his completeness ensure; but when he cannot accomplish his completeness. he in the state of incompleteness; then for the accomplishing of his completeness, the text says: "His completeness," the completeness of him, who, as before mentioned is conscious of incompleteness " is this." What? (To show this), the totality of effect and cause is divided. Here (in this division) "the mind is" (for every thing else, produced from the totality of cause and effect is governed by it), by its superiority, "his self (the soul)" which means like his self, as the master of the family like the soul of the wives, &c., because his wife, son, &c., follow him. In the same manner the mind here assumed as the self for the sake of

Life is their offspring, the eye the wealth of man; for by the eyes one obtains it,—the car the wealth of the gods; for by the ear one hears it; self is even his work,—for by self one performs work.

The sacrifice is five-fold, the animal five-fold, the man five-fold, five-fold this all whatsoever. Whosoever thus knows, the same obtains this all. 17.

completeness; "and" thus also "speech his wife," on account of speech, being in like manner governed by the mind. Speech, viz. sound, whose characteristic directing, &c., is received by the mind through the ear, &c., considered and revered; in this manner is speech the wife of the mind. From both, speech and mind, represented as wife and husband, was produced life for the performance of work; therefore "life in their offspring," as it were. There (if self, wife and offspring are present) work characterised by the effort of life, &c., is to be accomplished by the wealth perceived by the eye, and therefore is "the eye the wealth of man." Wealth is two-fold, wealth of man and other wealth; therefore it is said, "of man," to distinguish it from other wealth; for cattle, the wealth connected with man and perceptible by the eye, is accomplishing action; therefore it represents it. Hence by connexion is the eye the wealth of man; "for," because, "one obtains," perceives, "It," the wealth of man, cattle etc., "by the eye" (therefore is the eye the wealth of man). What again is the other kind of wealth? "The ear the wealth of the gods," because knowledge the object of the gods. Knowledge is the wealth of the gods. Therefore in our case in the ear even the object of wealth. Why? "For by the ear;" because by the ear "one hears it," the wealth of the gods, knowledge, therefore is the ear even the wealth, because knowledge dependent on the ear. How again is work to be performed by those agents, the first of which is self, and the last wealth? The answer is: "Self is even," Self means here the body. How again a self (the body) the representative of work? because it is the cause of work for him (the sacrificer). How is II the cause of work ? " For by self," by the body, "one performs work." In this manner is the completeness, whose characteristics are external objects, as a son, &c., accomplished for him, who thinks himself incomplete. In this manner therefore, "The sacrifice is five-fold," to is performed by five, even

## Fifth Brámkena.

Of the seven provisions which the father created by under-

in the case of a person who has ceased from work, where I to be performed by symbols alone. How again is the symbol by the mere apprehension of five, called a sacrifice? Because an external sacrifice also I accomplished by men and animals, and there are five men and five animals, by the application of the mentioned five, mind, speech, &c. Therefore it I said: "The animal five-fold,—the man five-fold." Although the notion of animal is found also in man, yet there I a difference between them, wherefore "man" has been reparately mentioned. What more? "Five-fold this all," the cause and effect of the work, "whatsoever." "Whosoever thus knows," whosoever in this manner represents the five-fold sacrifice as himself, "the same obtains this all," the world under the notion of himself. 17.

There (in the last Bramhana) ignorance has been introduced by the words: "Whosoever worships another delty in such a manner:" "He is another, another am I," the same does not know." (B. A. p. 118). It has also been said (p. 129,) that the person, who has the consciousness of casts and of the different conditions of life (viz. of a religious student, a house-holder, of one who retires to the forest, and of one who lives merely for the contemplation of God), who is ruled by his duties, who, compelled by desire, affords assistance to the gods, forefathers, &c., through sacrifices and other rites, is the place for all beings. And m by each and all of his works he has been created as the place to be enjoyed by all beings, thus he has created all beings and the whole world for his own enjoyment. The meaning is, in this manner everybody, in accordance with his knowledge and work, with enjoyer and enjoyment, the agent and the object of action of the whole world. To understand the identity of the soul, we shall say with reference knowledge in the chapter treating on the knowledge of the universal essence (madhu lit. honey,): "All is the effect of all, one universal essence."

He created by the five-fold work whose object in desire, vis. by the five-fold sacrifice, &c., the world for Ais enjoyment, and also by knowledge (for his consideration). This whole world is seven-fold divided according to its being cause and effect. They (these parts) are called provisions, because they are objects of enjoyment. Hence

standing and penance, he assigned one as the common to all, and two to the gods, three he made for himself, one may gave to the animals; for upon this all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever breathes not. Why are they not destroyed, although always consumed? Whosoever knows the cause of the non-destruction, he cats the principal food; he goes to the gods, he lives eternally. 1.

The Mantra: "Of the seven provisions which the father created by understanding and penance; for the father created by un-

(by the creation of them through work and knowledge) he is the father of those provisions. Those Mantras: "Of the seven provisions," &c. are here assumed as Sátras, because they show, compendiously, the meaning of those provisions, together with their application. (The term = Yad" here an adverb in connexion with 'he created.') By "understanding," knowledge, and "penance," work; for knowledge and work are the meanings of the terms "understanding," and "penance," because they are topics of the text, and not the literal meanings of them "understanding" and "penance," because they are not topics of the text; for the five-fold work, to be accomplished by a wife, &c. and afterwards knowledge by the words: "who thus knows, &c.," have been set forth in the text. Therefore I must not be doubted, that understanding and penance are well known. Again: "The seven provisions which the father created," by knowledge and work, here II must be supplied: the same I will mention.\* 1.

Here is the sense of the Mantras on account of their obscurity difficult to be understood; hence the Brámhana engaged in the explanation of them. There what means the Mantra: "Of the seven provisions which the father created." "The answer given by the term for" which is show, that the meaning well-known; for the meaning is, that the meaning of the Mantra is well-known, and therefore by the repetition of the Mantra: "The provisions he produced," is to indicate, that the meaning is well-known. Therefore the Brámhana says without hesitation: "For the father created by understanding and penance." But how then the meaning well-known? The answer

<sup>\*</sup> There was necessity for seasoning the proposed ellipsis, and I have, therefore, not followed S'aukura's explanation in the translation.

derstanding and penance." "He assigned one as the common to all." The common provision to all, in that which is eaten.

the causes, producing the worlds, of which the first is a wife and the last work, is evident, and it is also declared by such passages as: "Let me have a wife." There it has been declared, that the wealth of the gods,-vis. knowledge,-work and a son are the cause of the creation with reference to the worlds in their nature as effects (that to say, as the result of good or bad actions.) And also that which will be said, well known. Therefore it is proper to say: "By understanding, &c;" for desire, whose object is (enjoyment of ) fruit, is well-known in common life, and also desire, whose object a wife, &c., which is set forth in the passage: "So far extends desire;" but to the object of the knowledge of Bramha (which liberation), desire impossible, because then all one and the same. Thereby (by showing, that the cause of the world is desire, produced by ignorance) it is also said, that the world a created by natural, unscriptural knowledge and penance. This follows also from the reason, that work and knowledge are the cause of consequences which are not desired, down to the state of inanimate matter. But it was intended to explain the relation of effect and cause in accordance with the S'astra; for in the desire to establish the knowledge of Bramha, there is included the intention to describe the state, in which the world is disregarded; for this whole world, whose nature is manifested and not manifested, in impure, transient, a compound of cause and effect, the object of unhappiness and ignorance, and therefore for him who has no regard for this world, the knowledge of Bramha is to be commenced.

There, by the division of the provisions, their application is set forth. "He assigned one as the common to all," this is a part of the Mantra. Its explanation is as follows: "The common provision to all" to all enjoyers, what is it? "that which is eaten," enjoyed by all beings day by day; this common food, the object of all enjoyers, the father assigned after the creation of the provision.

"Whosoever worships,"—which means is attached to, (for worship means also attachment, as it is clear from common expressions such as: "He worships the teacher," "he worships the king," "it"—vix. the common provision, which is to be enjoyed, the cause of the preser-

Whoseever worships it, the same does not turn from sin; for it

vation of all living beings, whose principal object is the enjoyment of provision for the sake of the preservation of the body, and not work for the sake of something unseen,—"the same," being of such a nature, "does not turn," is not liberated, "from sin," from vice. Thus a Mantra says: "He enjoys useless food," &c. (Kr. Yajur Brámhana 2d Adh. 8th Pr. 8th Anva.) Also the Smriti: "Let him not cook food for himself" (alone). He, who cats, without giving to the guests, is a thief. Even a person who procures abortion,\* becomes free from sin, if he cats food, after he has first distributed it."

Why again does he not turn from sin? "for it is mixed;" for the property, which is enjoyed by the living creatures, is undivided (and therefore it does not belong to one, as the property, left by a father, does not belong to any of his sons, before it is divided) because it the object of enjoyment for all. Even the morsel, which is put into the mouth, is observed to cause pain in the mind of another; for, while there is the desire, let me have it, the hope of every one is thereby excluded. Therefore it impossible to take even a monthful of food, without giving pain to another. Thus it is said in the Smriti: "It is the guilt of man," &c.

Some (the Bhartriprapancha) say: The food, called that of the Vis'wadévas which adaily given by the house-holder, is here meant. This is not evident, like the food, which is an object of enjoyment for all living beings; nor does it agree with the passage: "that which is eaten." Because the food, which is called the food of the Vis'wadévas, included in the food, which an object of enjoyment for all living beings, therefore it is proper to understand the food which may be eaten by a dog, a Chándála, &c., for it is the food which, beside the Vis'wadévas, is taken by a dog, Chándála, &c. In this case the expression; "that which is eaten," is proper; for if food is not to mean general food, then it must be said, that it has neither been created nor applied by the father; but it has been granted, that all food has been created and distributed by the father; and it is very improper to say, that a person who has performed the work, which is called that of the Vis'wadévas, and which is in accordance with S'ástras, is not re-

<sup>\*</sup> According Manada s person, who kills a high caste Brakesans.

mixed. "And two to the gods," viz. the sacrifice and the oblation. Again, others say they mean the ceremonies at the

leased from all sin. Nor is there a prohibition of it; nor it, like the action of catching fish, blamed for its own nature, because it is performed by good people, and because the S'ruti declares in, if it not done, moreover, otherwise also sin dobtained; for it is said in a Mantra: "I am food, I eat him who eats food."-" And two to the gods." This is a part of the Mantra. Which are the two provisions, which after their creation he assigned to the gods? The , answer is: "The sacrifice (Hutam) and the oblation (Prahutam)."\* Sacrifice an offering in the fire. The oblation at the taking of the offerable food after the sacrifice. Because the father made over these two provisions, the sacrifice and the oblation, to the gods, therefore at this time house-holders also "sacrifice to the gods," thinking, this food must be given by us to the gods, " and offer," which means, and having sacrified, take the offering. "Again others say," the two provisions which were given by the father to the gods, are not the sacrifice and the oblation; what then? "They mean the ceremonies at the new and full moon (Dars'apurnamasau). Here, according to the first supposition, it will be said, because there is no difference with reference to the dual number (dwe, two provisions, which may be as well applied to Hutsprahute as to Darwapurpamasau) and because they (the Huta and Prahuta) are otherwise known, it means the sacrifice (Huta) and the oblation (Prahuta). But although the dual number accords also with the sacrifice and the oblation, yet the ceremonies at the new and full moon are also performed by the Stauta fire, † and the notion of their being provisions, wet better known, because they are declared in a Mantra. † And if a quality and the thing to which it refers, are obtained (at the same time) the latter must first im comprehended; but to the ceremonies at the new and full moon the idea of priority must

<sup>\*</sup> The Brahmana has twice daily to perform the ceremony of Homa (the offering of ghee in fire). When the food is ready, a part of it to be offered in the fire to the gods; this is called Hutam, while another part is thrown on the ground as an offering to those gods, who are called Dharmadi.

<sup>†</sup> The house-holder has to perform his daily caremonies, &c., by the Smarta fire (the fire ordained by the Smriti) and the ocremonies at the new and full moon by the Srauta fire (the fire, ordained by the S'rati).

new and full moon. Therefore it is not their nature to be associated with desires. "One he gave to the animals." This milk; for before men as well as animals subsist on milk alone. Therefore they feed the child, when born, either with melted butter, or put him to the breast. Then they call the babe born; for he does not eat grass.

be assigned rather than to the secrifice and oblation. Therefore is proper to think of them by the words: "And two to the gods." Because those two provisions under the name of the provisions the new and full moon, were designed by the father for the gods, "therefore," in order to remove any objection, that they are made for the gods, "it is not their nature to be associated with desires" (ishti;) that the term "ishti" means desire, is clear from the S'atapatha Bramhana, (the affix uka in the word, "Ishtiyayuka," means is natural inclination).

"One he gave the animals." Which is the one provision which the father gave to the animals? "This is milk:" How again is it known, that the animals are the possessors of that provision? The text answers: " For before" because before, at first, " men as well as animals, subsist on milk alone," therefore this provision is proper for them; how could they otherwise before subsist on it in accordance with a law? How do they before subsist on it? The answer is: Because men as well as animals subsist on that provision (as this application is made at the commencement, although there existed also other provisions) "therefore they," the three castes, "either feed," cause to eat, "the child, when born," at the ceremony of its birth, " with melted butter," together with gold,\* "or they put him afterwards to the breast," they cause him afterwards to drink milk. As it is natural for the others, (vis. for animals, different from men) they put the young animal first to the breast. "Then they call the babe born." Of what size (that to say "age") the babe? To this question it is replied: " for he does not est grass," even until this day he does not est grass, which means, that a very young child even until this day lives upon milk. And what has before been said, "that at first at the ceremony after birth they subsist on melted butter, and others on milk (viz. that

<sup>\*</sup> is only a touching of the tengue with melted butter, mixed with gold.

Upon this all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes; for on milk all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes. The saying: "a person, offering throughout the year with milk, overcomes the

they subsist upon milk merely; this makes no difference) for melted butter is of the same nature as milk, being a modification of it. Why II the provision for animals, which was before (in the Mantra) given in the seventh place, explained kere in the fourth? This is done, because thereby the work II accomplished; for all work which refers to burnt-offerings, &c., depends upon milk as its cause.

And this work (milk) which to be effected by wealth, to effect the three provisions which will be mentioned, as the before mentioned two provisions of the ceremonies at the new and full moon (are the cause of the three provisions); therefore it (milk) being a part of the work (ceremonial work) is declared to be identical with work. Moreover, since there in no difference as to its being a cause (as this provision, milk, is a cause in the same way as the former two provisions are) and hence the meaning (of the two former provisions with milk) is similar, the succession (in which the topics are described in the Mantra) is disregarded (lit. is no reason, that the explanation should not have been made in a different order.) It is also done, because the explanation if thereby made more easy; for the provisions, when treated one after another, can be easily explained, and, when explained, are easily understood.

"Upon this all is founded, whatsoever breathes and whatsoever not breathes." What is the meaning of this? The answer is: "Upon this," the animal provision, "all," be it characterized as belonging to the soul, or the material sphere, or to the superintendence of deities, the whole world, "is founded," "whatsoever breathes," endowed with the effort of breathing, "and whatsoever not breathes," immoveable matter, as rocks, &c. And there (in the text) it is explained by the term "for," which illustrates any thing already well known. How can it be said that the notion " to be the foundation of all," belongs milk? On account of its being considered as cause. And it is the intimate cause of all the works connected with burnt-offerings, and by its nature a modification of the oblation of a burnt-sacrifice. That it is the whole

second death," let none understand this in such a manuer. Which day a person sacrifices, the same day he overcomes the second death. A person who thus knows, will overcome the second death that day on which he offers; for he gives all the eatable food to the gods. For what reason do they not decrease, although they are continually consumed? The soul is

world (which is founded thereon) is established by a hundred passages of the S'ruti and Smriti, wherefore it is proper to explain it by the term "The saying:" in other Bramhanas, "a person, offering throughout a year with milk, overcomes the second death" (here by the ' year are in fact meant three hundred and sixty days, and in them there are seven hundred and twenty burnt-offerings.) By the eacrificer the bricks\* which are collected in accordance with the Yajur Véda and the days and nights of the year, obtains Prajapati in the shape of the fire. which is called Sambatsara (the annual fire). Having performed in this manner offerings throughout the year, a person overcomes the second death; having died he becomes like the gods, and does not die again. This, which is said in the passages of other Brambanas; "let none understand this in such a manner," let it not be explained thus. " Which day a person sacrifices; the same day he overcomes the second death," it does not depend upon the practice of the whole year. " A person who thus knows," viz. what has been said, that "Upon milk all is founded." because all is a modification of the burnt-offering of milk, obtains the nature of the world (of Prajapati) in one day. Thus it is said: " He overcomes the second death;" the sage, once dying, being separated from the body, becomes the universal soul (the nature of all) which means, does not assume a finite body for dying again. What again is the reason, that by gaining the nature of all he overcomes death? It is replied: "for he gives," because he gives all "the catable food to the gods," to all of them, by his morning and evening burnt-offerings; therefore it is proper, that he,-after having made his whole self like a burnt-offering, after having gained one identical nature with all the gods by his being the provision of all the gods, and after being like all the gods, -should not

<sup>\*</sup> The sacrificer, or in his stead his appointed substitute, in after every daily ceremony to put down a brick to mark the number of ceremonies he has performed in the year.

verily the cause that they do not decrease, for he again and again produces this provision.

"Whosoever knows the cause that they do not decrease,—the soul III the cause that they do not decrease; for he produces this provision by understanding, by understanding and by works; I he did not produce it, it would verily decrease,—"he eats food

die again. In this manner it is also said in a Bramhana. "The selfexisting Bramha (in the form of Hiranyagarbha) performed penance. Then he reflected: "Verily, there is a limit of penance; alas, let me 'offer myself in all beings, and all beings in myself," therefore, having offered himself in all beings and all beings in himself, he obtained by his excellency over all beings, his kingdom, his dominion." "For what reason do they not decrease, although they are continually consumed ?" From the time that the provisions after their creation were given by the father to the seven different enjoyers, they are consumed by them. Since they (the provisions) are the cause of their (of the enjoyers') preservation, and since there "continually," without interruption, is a decrease of what has been made, their decrease is proper. But they do not decrease, as is evident, from the world continuing in an unshaken manner; hence there must be a cause for it, that they do not decrease. Therefore it asked: "For what reason," again, "do they not decrease." The reply is: "The soul is verily the cause, that they are not decreased." As the father was before the creator of the provisions by understanding, and as he was enjoyer by the five-fold work in connexion with a wife, &c., in the same manner also those, - whom the provisions are given, although they are enjoyers of those provisions, are fathers; they produce by understanding and penance those provisious. It is then declared: the soul which is the enjoyer of the provisions, the cause, that they do not decrease. How is it the cause, that they do not decrease? The answer is: "For he," because he " again and again produces this provision," vis. this seven-fold provision, which is to be enjoyed, the characteristic of which is to be cause and effect, and which consists in the effect of work,-" by understanding, by understanding," by knowledge which will take place at this or the other time, "and by works," the efforts of speech, mind and body. "If he did not produce it," the mentioned seven-fold provisions, even for

In the true manner,<sup>39</sup> true means principal; therefore he eats food in the principal manner, he goes to the gods, in lives upon atrength; this is said for the sake of praise. 2.

an instant, by knowledge and works, then he would be separated from the permanent enjoyment, "it would verily decrease." And the meaning is, therefore, because the soul, the enjoyer of the provisions, uninterruptedly produces them according to his knowledge and action. therefore is the soul the cause that they do not decrease, m it is a constant agent; therefore the provisions, although consumed, do not decrease. Hence the whole world, the totality, characterised by knowledge and the result of action, consisting of effect and cause, being the effect resulting from action,-because it is an expanse, concreted by the actions and desires of numberless embodied souls, joined together,—of a moment's duration, impure, without substance, like the continuation of a light reflected on the current of a river, without substance like the trunk of the plantain-tree, like foam, like a deception, like the water in a mirage, like a dream, &c., although changeable and transient, appears to be substantial to those whose knowledge is formed by it. For the sake of disregarding the world it is said: "He produces this provision by understanding, by understanding and by works. If he did not produce it, would verily decrease;" for in this manner the dieregard of those who disregard the world, has success: - therefore the science of Bramha will be commenced in the fourth chapter. " Whosoever knows the cause that they do not decrease."—the three provisions to be mentioned are by this opportunity explained; in this manner the effect of true knowledge act forth. "Whosoever knows the cause that they do not decrease, -the soul - verily the cause that they do not decrease; for he produces this provision by understanding, by understanding and by works; he did not produce it, would verily decrease,—he eats food in the true manner." The sense of this is explained: "in the principal manner;" whosoever knows in the principal manner that the cause of the non-decrease of the provisions is the father, the soul, "he ests the food;" a person who knows in not placed, as the ignorant is, in an accidental relation to the food; having become the substance of the provisions, he is alone the enjoyer; does not become an object of enjoyment (for others) " he goes to the gods," obtains a godlike state; " he lives upon

"Three he made for himself," viz. mind, speech, and life; these he made for himself. "I was absent in mind, I did not see. I was absent in mind, I did not hear;" in this manner it is evident, that a person sees with the mind, hears with the mind. Desire, determination, uncertainty, belief, unbelief, steadiness

strength," and immortality, "this is said for the sake of praise," it has not another meaning relative to an invisible effect. 2.

The three provisions, mentioned before which are the effects of the five-fold work, exceed in value the others on account of their nature as · effects and of their extensiveness. For the separate explanation of them, the present section, from the words: "Three he made for himself," until the end of this Bramhans is commenced. What is its meaning? The answer is: "Mind, speech and life, these," three provisions, these mind, speech and life, "Ae," the father, at the commencement of the creation, "made for himself," for his own sake. With reference to them there is a doubt as to the existence and nature of the mind; hence it is said, the mind exists as something different from the ear and the other external organs, because it is well known. that, although there is a connexion of the soul with the objects of the external organs, yet a person does not (always) apprehend a present object. A person, when asked: Dost thou see this form? answers for instance: My mind wandered somewhere else, "I was absent in mind, I did not see." In the same manner, when asked: Dost thou hear my word? he answers: "I was absent in mind, I did not hear." Therefore, it is evident, that that,—in the absence of which a knowledge of form, sound, &c., by the eye, ear, &c. (the instruments fit to apprehend forms, sounds, &c.) does not take place, although they (eye, ear, &c.) are in connexion with their respective objects, and by the presence of which such a knowledge takes place,—is something different, named the mind, the internal organ, connected with the objects of all the other organs. Therefore "in this manner it is evident, that a person" every person "sees with the mind, hears with the mind," because, the mind being disturbed, there is no seeing, hearing, &c. The existence of the mind having been proved, the following is said with reference to its nature : "Desire,"—the wish to be united with a woman, &c., " determination," -the ascertaining of a present object of white, blue, &c., "uncertainty,"

non-steadiness, shame, intellect, fear, all this in the mind alone. Therefore, when touched from behind, a person knows by the mind. Every sound whatsoever in speech; for it extends as far as the end; for it in not an object of manifestation. The vital air, which goes forwards, the vital air, which goes downwards, the

-doubt, "belief,"—the knowledge of the existence of actions whose effects are not perceived, of deities, &c., "unbelief,"—the knowledge, opposite to the former, "steadiness,"—the firmness in pain of the body, &c. "non-steadiness,"—the opposite of the former, "shame,"—bashfulness; "intellect,"—knowledge; "fear,"—apprehension, "all this, &c. is the mind," are forms of the mind, of the internal organ. Another reason is also mentioned for the existence of the mind. Because, "when touched from behind," by some body unperceived by the eye, a person is aware by discrimination, this is a touch of the hand, that a touch of the knee, therefore exists an internal organ, called mind. If there were nothing which discriminates, called mind, how could there in discrimination by the mere skin; therefore the mind, the cause of the possibility of discrimination, exists. Its nature has already been shown.

The three provisions, the effects of actions, viz. mind, speech and life, are here to be explained insamuch as they are subservient to the soul, as they have their material sphere, and as they are apperintended by deities. Among them, vis. among mind, speech and life, as subservient to the soul, the mind has been explained. Now speech will be explained. "Every sound whatsoever," viz.-either that which is characterized as a letter, &c. to be pronounced through the palate and other organs by the agency of living beings, or the other kind, produced by drams, clouds, &c., every sound "is speech." Such is the nature of speech, now its effect will be mentioned. " For it," for speech = extends = far = the end," the conclusion of what is = be named, the determination of the same; " for it," again, as being the manifester, is not to be manifested, as is what is to be named, because its nature is to manifest like a light, &c.; for what manifests, a a light and the like, is not manifested by some other manifestation. In the same manner speech which manifests, and manifested itself. Thus the S'ruti removes the regressum infinitum (that any thing which manifests, supposes some thing else by which it manifested, &c). It is not an object of manivital air, which goes everywhere, the vital air, which goes upwards, and the vital air, which equalises, vital air, all this life (Prana:). Thus modified the soul is the modification of mind, the modification of speech, and the modification of life. 3.

They are even the three worlds; speech is even this world, mind the atmospheric world, life that world. 4.

festation, and the meaning is, manifestation is the effect of speech .- Now "the vital air, which goes forwards," (respiration, Prana :) Il explained. Respiration is the function of the heart which is to be discharged by the mouth and nose. Respiration (Prana is derived from Pranavana. taking forwards). "The vital air which goes downwards," (Flatulence, Apána) whose function is downwards, from the carrying away of excrements, urine, &c., the vital air which goes every where," (circulation : Vyána) has its place from the navel (throughout the whole body) and is called Vyana from its function of regulating (Vyayemana); it is the union of the functions of respiration and flatulence and the cause of energetic action, "The vital air, which goes upwards," (Eructation, Udána) is the getting stout, it is the cause of going upwards, the function of rising from the sole of the feet to the head; "the vital air, which equalises," (assimilation, Samana) because it equally (Samam) carries (distributes) what | eaten and drunk; its place is the atomach, and its function to digest the food; vital air (Ana) is the common function of all those special functions, and united with the common effort of the body. "All this," the whole of the mentioned functions of respiration, &c., is life (Prana),-Life, as having a function and subservient to the soul. is not mentioned; but its action has been explained by showing the division of its functions. Explained are the provisions called mind. speech and life, in their relation as subservient to the soul. "Thus modified," viz by the modifications commenced by mind, speech and life, as referring to Prajapati.

Which is that soul, the whole of causes and effects, the thus or thus modified totality, which by those who do not discriminate, from want of distinction, is thought to be of the nature of the soul? The mentioned totality is the modification of speech, the modification of mind and the modification of life; this also is said for the purpose to determine life. 3.

The material sphere (Adhibhantika) of these provisions of Prajapati

They are even the three Védas; speech is even the Rig Véda, mind the Yajur Véda, and life the Sama Véda. 5.

They are even the gods, the forefathers and men; speech even the gods, mind the forefathers, and life men. 6.

They are even father, mother and child; mind is even the father, speech the mother, and life the child. 7.

They are even that which known, which is wished to be known, and which is not known; whatsoever known, is of the nature of speech; for speech is known; speech being of such a nature, preserves a person. 8.

Whatsoever is wished to be known, is of the nature of the mind; for the mind is desired to be known; the mind being of such a nature, preserves a person. 9.

will now be stated. "They" speech, mind and life, are even "the three worlds," earth, atmosphere and heaven. Their distinction is "speech even this world," mind the atmosphere, and life that world. 4.

In the same manner: "They are even," &c.; the meaning of these words is plain, 5.—7.

"They are even that which is known, which is wished to be known, and which is not known." Their distinction is, "whatever is known," fully known, "is of the nature of speech;" and the text gives the reason for this; "for speech is known," because its nature is to manifest. How can that be unknown, which makes other things also known? "By speech the universal king is known as a companion," will be said afterwards. The effect of knowing the nature of speech is "speech being of such a nature, being known, preserves him," viz. the person who knows the mentioned perfections of speech, that is to say, in the form of knowledge becomes a provision, an enjoyment, for him. 8.

In the same manner, "whatsoever," how indistinct soever, desired to be known distinctly, "is wished to be known, is of the nature of the mind, for," because, "the mind" on account of its doubtful nature, "is desired to be known." As before, is described the reward for one who knows the perfection of the mind. "The mind," being of such a nature, viz. which is wished to be known "preserves him," obtains the nature of a provision by its own characteristic to be some-

Whatsoever is not known, is of the nature of life, for life not known; life being of such a nature, preserves a person. 10.

Of this speech **a** earth the body, its illuminating nature that fire. Therefore as far as speech extends, so far extends the earth, so far fire. 11.

thing wished to be known. In the same manner "whatsoever is not known," is not an object of knowledge, nor doubted, "is of the nature of life; for life is not known," not known in its nature, since it is not heard to be determined. As the distinction of speech, mind and life is established by the division into what is known, what is wished to be known, and what is not known (viz. by a division, comprehending all objects of knowledge) the three worlds, &c. are only mentioned for the sake of illustration. By the passage showing, that the nature of what is known is every where, &c., its rule is to be remembered.

Life, being of such a nature, preserves him," which means life with its unknown nature becomes his provision. Teachers, fathers, &c. appear be doubted and their assistance to be not known by disciples, sons, &c. In the same manner is obtained the nature of the provisions of mind and life, which are doubted and not known. 10.

The material extent of speech, mind and life has been explained: now their sphere, as superintended by deities, is commenced. "Of this speech," which is shown in the text under the notion of a provision of Prajapati, "is earth the body," the external locality; "its illuminating nature," the manifesting organ, located upon the earth, " that" earthly, "fire;" for twofold I Prajapati's speech, viz. effect, locality. which does not manifest, and secondly organ, which is placed in that locality, and which does manifest. Both of them, earth and fire, are the speech of Prajapati. "Therefore (tat, explained by S'ankara with tattra, " with reference to this") as far as, in measure "speech, extends," in its divisions, viz. in its subscribency to the soul and in its material sphere, "so far extends the earth," which is established everywhere under the notion of locality as effect, "so far goes that fire," as located in the form of sense, it entered so far with its illuminating nature the earth. The last (relation, viz. the sphere superintended by deities) | the same (and therefore not commented on). 11.

Again, of this mind is heaven the body, its illuminating nature that Aditya. Therefore as far as mind extends, so far extends heaven, so far that Aditya. They united in love. Hence life was produced. He is Indra, he is without rival. A second verily is a rival. Whosoever knows this, has no rival. 12.

Again of this life are the waters the body, the illuminating nature that moon. Therefore as far as life extends, so far

<sup>&</sup>quot;Again of this mind," which has been declared a provision of Prajanati "is heaven," the place of heaven, " the body," the effect, the loca. . lity. "its illuminating nature," its organ, that which is to be located, "that Aditya." There "as far as." in measure, " mind." as subservient to the soul, or in its material sphere, " extends, so far" in expanse, in measure, is fixed heaven to be the locality for the illuminating organ of the mind, "so far that Aditya," the illuminating organ, which requires be located. "They," Agni and Aditya, speech and mind, in their nature as superintending deities, mother and father, " united in love," Both having the intention, I will do the action, it was-between the two places (heaven and earth), -produced by the mind, Aditys, as father, and manifested by speech, Agni, as mother. "Hence" by the union of both of them, "life," air, " was produced" for the sake of motion, of work. "He," who was born "is Indra," the supreme lord (Paramés'wars) and not only Indra, but "without rival." Without riva means a person, for whom there exists no rival. Who again has really a rival? "A second verily," a second who approaches with the intention of contention, "is" called "a rival." Accordingly, although speech and mind have the nature of a second, yet they entertain no rivalvy; for they have a friendly intention towards life. - The fruit, arising from the knowledge, that there is no rivalship from those who were united in love, is like that derived from the subserviency to the soul, as follows: "Whosoever knows this," life as it has been described, as being without rival, vis. he who has true knowledge, "has no rival," no antagonist. 12.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Again, of this life,"—of the life, set forth in the text, which is a provision of Prajapati, not the life which has been declared as offspring, and described immediately before, "are the waters the body," the

extend the waters, so far extends that moon. They are even all alike, all infinite. Whosoever worships them as finite (beings) conquers a finite world; again whosoever worships them as infinite (beings) conquers an infinite world. 13.

That Prajapati in his likeness of the year consists of 16 parts (Kala). His nights are even parts; his fixed part is the

effect, the locality for the organs, "the illuminating nature," as before. "that moon." There "as far as," in measure, "life extends," in its divisions m subservient to the soul and m material sphere, "so far extend" pervade, "the waters," in their measure, "so far" "extends that moon" which is to be located, so far, having entered the waters, and being of the nature of sense, it extends, as far as the sphere, subservient to the soul, and the material sphere extend.—Those three provisions, created by the father through the five-fold work, bear the names of speech, mind and life. The whole world in its sphere, subservient to the soul, and in its material sphere, is pervaded by them, or, besides them, there is nothing whatsoever, either effect or cause. But all these are Prajapati. "They," speech, mind and life, " are even all alike," having the same extent, are as far pervading, as the objects of living beings together with the sphere subservient to the soul and the material sphere. Therefore they are "infinite;" because they are present in all bodies; for a body cannot be conceived without cause and effect; for it is said, that they consist of cause and effect. "Whosoever worships them." which are of the nature of Prajapati, "as finite," limited, under the notion of their material sphere and their sphere, subservient to the soul, "conquers" as the reward in accordance with his worship, "a finite world," a world which is limited, which means, "he does not get their nature." "Again, whosoever worships them as infinite," as possessing the nature of all, the nature of all living beings, as unlimited conquers an infinite world." 13.

It has been said, that the father, after having created seven provisions by the fivefold work, produced three provisions for his own sake. They are explained to be the effects of the five-fold work. How again are they called the effect of the five-fold work? Because even in these three provisions the five-fold work perceived, as wealth and work

sixteenth. He becomes full and wanes by the nights. At the day of the new moon, during the night entering with this sixteenth part all that is endowed with life, he is then the next day born in the morning. Therefore let in that night nobody

are there probable, therefore is their cause also similar. There (as to the three provisions) the earth and fire are the mother, heaven and Aditya the father, and the life (air) which is in the middle of them, is their offspring, as it has been explained. On account of this wealth and work should be produced; this means to imply the commencement: "That Prajapati, in his likeness of the year," who has been set forth in the text as consisting of three parts, is determined in a special manner by the nature of the year as consisting of sixteen parts. He, consisting of sixteen parts, is the year, the nature of time. "His," Prajápati's, as the substance of time, "nights," days and nights-meaning lunar days (tithi) are fifteen parts. "His fixed," permanent, "part" remains, as "the sixteenth," as the part (Kalá) which completes the sixteenth. "He" becomes full and wanes by the nights;" by the lunar days which are called parts (Kalá); for by the first day of a lunation, and by those which succeed it, the moon, Prajapati, gets full in the light half of the lunation, it grows by the increasing parts (Kalás) until the orb I full at the day of the full moon; it wanes by the decressing parts in the dark half of the lunation, until the one permanent part remains in the dark night. "He," Prajápati, the substance of time, "at the day of the new moon during the night," "entering with this sixteenth part," which has been called the nermanent part, "all that andowed with life," all living beings, viz. all that drinks water and all that eats annual plants, pervading all this by his identity with the annual plants (or with water) and abiding during the dark night, "is then" the next day, "born in the morning," united (the moon) with its second part .- In the following manner | Prajapati of five-fold nature. The heaven and sun are the mind, the father, -the earth and fire speech, the wife, the mother; and their offspring life, the days of the moon, the parts (Kalá) are the wealth, because by their increasing and decreasing they are like wealth, and the work of those parts is what effects the change of the world. In this manner the whole Prajápati, has become the effect of the five-fold work, in accordance

cut off the life of any one endowed with life, not even of the chameleon; it is intended for the honour of this deity. 14.

That Prajapati who under the likeness of the year is possessed of sixteen parts, is even this. That "this" a person who thus knows. His wealth is the fifteen parts, his soul (self) is his sixteenth part. He gets full and wanes even by wealth.

with the desires: "Let me have a wife,"-again "Let me be born,"again, "Let me have wealth," again,-"Let me perform work;" for as the cause so is the effect, is a principle even with the common people. Because the moon, having that night entered all living creatures, possessed of its permanent part, "therefore," on this ground, "let in that night nobody cut off the life of any one endowed with life," let him not destroy a living creature, " not even of a chameleon," for the chameleon, as being wicked, is naturally destroyed, since is considered to forebode bad luck, when it I seen. 'But then, enmity to living beings is prohibited according to the passage of the Smriti: Not killing living creatures, except at holy places." Very good, it is prohibited; but yet it must not be said, that the sentence means make an exception for any other time than the day of the dark night, or an exception for the killing of the chameleon. What then does it mean? "It is intended for the honour," the adoration, "of this daity, viz, the deity of the moon."

"That Prajápati," bearing the name of the invisible, "who under the likeness of the year is possessed of sixteen parts," must not be thought m absolutely invisible, because he "is even this," he is perceived visibly. Who is that "this?" "He in the person who thus knows," who knows, that Prajápati whose nature consists of the three provisions, is like himself. By the similarity with what is he Prajapáti? The answer is: "His wealth," the wealth in cows, &c. of a person who thus knows, "is the fifteen parts." For the aske of his completeness, "his soul," the individual self, "is his" (the knowing person's) sixteenth part, representing the permanent Kalá. "He," like the moon, "gets full and wanes even by wealth." "It is well known" in common life, that "the soul," the individual self, "is like the nave of a wheel, wealth like its periphery," represented by the family, the external part of the wheel, vis. spokes and circumference, &c.

It is well known, that the soul is like the nave of a wheel, wealth like its periphery. Therefore, although he suffers the loss of all, if he lives, he is bare of the periphery, as it is said. 15.

Again there are verily three worlds, the world of man, the world of the forefathers, and the world of the gods. The world of man is to be conquered by a son, and not by any other work, (or knowledge,) by work the world of the forefathers, by science the world of the gods. The world of the

"Therefore, although he suffers the loss of all," of his property, suffers distress, "if he lives," "by the soul," represented by the nave of the wheel, "he has bare of," he has lost "the periphery," the external wealth, the family, as a wheel, deprived of spokes and circumference, "as it is said," and the meaning is, if he lives, he is again increasing in wealth, represented by the spokes and circumference. 15.

It has been explained, how by fivefold work in connexion with science, the wealth of the gods, Prajápati is possessed of the nature of the three provisions; it has afterwards been said, how the wealth of a wife, &c. is represented by the family. There (in the former section) it has only generally been understood, that a son, work and inferior science (knowledge of the Védas with reference to ceremonies), are causes of obtaining the worlds, but the rule of the special connexion of a son, &c., with the effect, which is the obtaining of the worlds, has not been understood. To explain the special connexion of the effects with their causes, viz. a son, &c., the present section is commenced. The term "again" has the object to introduce the sentence "there are verily," verily is to show certainty, "three worlds," alone fit to be causes, stated by the S'astra, neither more nor less. Which are they? The answer is : "The world of man, the world of the forefathers, and the world of the gods," Among them "the world of man" is to be gained by, is the effect of "a son," as cause (how it is m be gained by a son, will afterwards be said) "and not by any other work," or knowledge, as must be supplied here; "by work," alone, as for instance the daily burntoffering (Agnihotra) "the world of the forefathers," to be gained. and not by a son, nor by knowledge,-" by science the world of the gods," not by a son, nor by work. "The world of the gods I the

gods the best among the worlds. Therefore they praise science. 16.

Hence again the making over. When the father thinks he to die, then he says to his son: "Thou art Bramha, thou art the sacrifice, thou art the world." The son repeats: "I am Bramha, I am the sacrifice, I am the world." Of all that

best," the one most deserving of praise, "among the" three, "worlds."
"Therefore they praise science," because it is the cause of it. 16.

In this manner the three causes, vis. a son, work, and knowledge, find their application according to the division of the effect, viz. the three worlds which are to be accomplished. But a wife, because it necessary for the sake of a son and of work, is not a separate cause, and is therefore not separately mentioned. Also wealth, as it is the cause of work, is not a separate cause. It is evident, that knowledge and work are means of conquering the worlds in consequence of their recovering their own nature. But a son not being of the nature of work, it mot clear, in what manner he is the means of conquering the worlds. "Hence," it is to be explained, in this manner "again," afterwards is commenced, "the making over;" this is the name of the work which is to be now related; for the father makes over the duties he has himself to perform, in the manner to be mentioned, to his son : hence this work bears the name of "making over." At what time is this to be done? "When the father thinks, he is to die," by inauspicious signs and the like, "then he says to his son (after having called him) : Thou art Bramhs, thou art the sacrifice, thou art the world." Thus addressed, he "repeats." That is to say, being instructed before, he knows, I have to do this. In this manner he says the three sentences : "I am Bramha, I am the sacrifice, I am the world." Under the idea, that the sense of the same is concealed, the S'ruti continues for | explanation: "Of all that has been read" the remainder of what has been read, that is to say, read or not read "is Bramha the identity," is the identity in the term of Bramha. The practice of reading with reference to the Védas, which was hitherto thy duty, henceforth thou, Bramha; which means, must be done by thy agency. In the same manner, " Of all the sacrifices that were to be performed by me," may they be performed by me or not performed, "is sacrihas been read, is Bramha the identity. Of all the sacrifices that are to be performed, is sacrifice the identity. Of all the worlds that are make conquered, world the identity. Thus far extends verily all this. All this multitude preserves me

fice the identity," is the identity in the term sacrifice. The sacrifices which were to be performed by my agency, are henceforth "thon sacrifice." which means, are to be performed by thy agency. "All the worlds that are to be conquered," by me, whether they are conquered or not conquered. world the "identity," is the identity in the term "world." Henceforward they are "thou world," to be conquered by thee, henceforward the sacrifice, which it was my duty to perform by reading (the Védas) offerings and conquering of the worlds, is laid by me upon thee, but I am free from the sacrifice consisting in the hondage of duty. And the son has understood all in this manner, because he was instructed (before). The S'ruti, having considered there the intention of the father, gives this explanation: "Thus far extends verily all this," this is the limit of all the duties of a householder, viz. that the Védas are to be read, the sacrifices to be performed and the world to be conquered. "All this multitude" (for all this burthen, to which I am subject, when taken from me and placed upon you) preserves me, saves me from this world. (The past Abhunajat in Sanscrit has in the S'ruti the meaning of the future: Palayishyati). Because a son, who thus knows, is to liberate Ais father from this world, from the bondage of duty, "therefore they," the Bramhanas, "call a son who be thus instructed, Lokya," which means, good for his father obtaining the worlds. "Therefore they," the fathers, "instruct a son" under the expectation, that he will be their Lokya (procurer of the worlds). "When he," the father, "having such a knowledge," after having delivered to the son the sacrifice of duty, "departs from this world," dies, " then he enters with those lives the son," pervades him with the speech, mind and life set forth in the text. When the cause of distinction relative to the soul (which cause is ignorance) is removed. the speech, mind and life of the father enter all with their nature as superintended by deities, vis. in their likeness with the earth, the fire and the sun. Together with those lives the father also enters, because the father is transformed into the nature of speech, mind and life; for from this world. Therefore they call a son who is instructed, Lokya; therefore they instruct him. When he, having such a knowledge, departs from this world, then he enters together with those lives the son. If by him any thing through negligence,

the father transformed in such a manner as: "I am the infinite speech, mind and life, extending as far as the distinctions of what relates to the soul, to matter and to the superintendence of deities." Therefore his life a continuation of the father's, and it I hence properly said, "then together with those lives he enters the son;" for it Ill said, he becomes the soul (substance) of all and (therefore also) of the son : and the meaning is, the father who has a thus instructed son, continues in this world, is by the likeness with the son not to be thought dead. In this manner it is said in another passage of the S'ruti; "He made his other soul by holy works." (A. U. B. I. vii. p. 226, M. 4.) Now the text gives the derivation of Puttra (son). "If by him," by the father at any time, "any thing through negligence, remains," meanwhile, "undone," which ought to be done, "the son liberates him from all this," which, bearing the nature of duty, was left undone by the father, and which is an obstacle to gaining the worlds,—he liberates him, by completing it (Púrayitvá) through his own practice. "Therefore," because he saves the father by completing (Púranéna) hence the name of a son (Puttra). This is the true notion of a son (Puttrasva Puttratvam) that by filling (Puravittva) the hole of the father he saves Aim. "He," the father, "continues," although dead, yet immortal, "by a sou," of such a kind. "in this world." In this manner the father conquers (obtains) by the son this world of man, -not so, however, the worlds of the gods and the forefathers by knowledge and work, but (he conquers them) by assuming their nature alone; for without assuming their own nature, knowledge and work cannot possibly become causes of conquering the world by dependance upon another, as it is the case with a son. "Then," after the father has made over his duties, "those divine" belonging to Hiranyagarbha, "immortal," whose nature it is not to die, "lives," speech and the others, "enter him." How? The answer I given in the next section by the words: " The divine speech, &c."

In this manner it has been shown by the S'ruti itself, that a son, work and inferior knowledge have the power of accomplishing the

remains undone, the son liberates him from all this. Hence the name of a son (Puttra). He continues by a son alone in this world. Then those divine, immortal lives enter him, 17.

worlds of men, of the forefathers and of the gods. In respect of this some wranglers (the Mimansakas are meant) not knowing the special meanings of the words of the S'ruti, say, that a son and the other causes have the power to effect liberation. To silence them this passage has been given by the S'ruti, commencing with: "Let me have a wife," and all the other fivefold work which accomplishes desire, continuing with : " So far goes the desire," of a son, &c., and concluding with the application with special effects to be accomplished. Hence it weident, that the passage of the S'ruti about debt refers to an ignorant person, and not to the knowledge of the supreme soul. And it will be said (p. 903): "Of what use it a son to us, to whom the soul is the world." Others, (the Bhartriprapanchika) on the other hand, maintain, that the conquering of the worlds of the forefathers and gods | even an exclusion from them. Therefore a person, who by the joint performance of a son, of work and of the inferior knowledge is excluded from those three worlds, obtains liberation by the knowledge of the supreme soul: in this way the causes of a son, &c. successively have the power to effect liberation. To elence them also the other subsequent passage of the S'ruti is engaged in showing the effect, resulting for the father, whose duty is the performance of work, who has the knowledge of the nature of the three provisions and who makes over the performance of what has been left undone. But it cannot be said, that the effect is that of liberation, because it has been shown, that by the effect derived from understanding and penance in connexion with the three provisions, the provisions are again produced. This follows also from the passage, referring to the decrease (of the provisions). " For what even does not produce it, decreases," also from the nature of the means of the effect in the passage: "The body is like light," and lastly from the conclusion with the nature of name, form and work, in the passage: "Threefold is this, &c. Nor it possible to infer from this passage, that the three causes, when united, have the power to effect one's liberation and another's, obtaining the nature of the three provisions, because the passage merely intends to show, that the effect of a son and of the other causes the obtaining of the nature of the three provisions. 17.

From the earth and the fire the divine speech enters him. That speech is verily divine, by which, whatever he says, comes to pass. 18.

From the sky and the sun the divine mind enters him. That verily the divine mind, by which he becomes joyful; henceforth he does not grieve. 19.

From the waters and the moon the divine life enters him. That verily is the divine life, which, whether issuing forth, or not issuing forth, is not afraid, again which is not lost. The

"From the earth and the fire the divine speech, (divine refers to its superintending deity,) enters him," after he has made over his duties; that is to say, the divine speech, as characterised by earth and fire, is the last cause of the speech of all; for speech is obstructed by the faults of attachment, &c. with reference to the material elements, and as the faults of a person who has knowledge are removed, it (speech) pervades him, like water and the manifestation of a light, on the breaking of what conscaled them (for instance a vessel). This is the sense of the words: "From the earth and the fire the divine speech enters him." "That speech is verily divine," free from the faults of untruth and the like, pure, "by which" (divine speech) "whatever he says," either for himself or another, "comes to pass," that to say, his word is not in vain, is not obstructed. 18.

In the same manner: "From the sky and the sun the divine mind enters him." And, "that is the divine mind," by the purity of its nature, "by which he (the father) becomes joyful," happy, "henceforth" moreover, "he does not grieve," because there I no connexion of such a cause as grief (with him.) 19.

In the same manner: "From the waters and the moon the divine life enters him. That verily is the divine life;" viz. of what nature? the answer is,—"Which, whether issuing forth," in all the distinctions of life, "or not issuing forth," in its nature as totality or speciality, or also, issuing forth in all movemble things, and not issuing forth in all immovemble things, "is not afraid," is not connected with fear, of which unhappiness is the cause, "again, I not lost," not destroyed, is not injured. "The person who knows thus," the before

person who thus knows, becomes the soul of all beings. As that deity, so also he. As all beings preserve that deity, so also preserve all beings a person who thus knows.

Whatever grief the children suffer together with their children, remains united with them alone; what is holy approaches him; for sin does not approach the gods. 20.

mentioned view of the nature of the three provisions, "becomes the soul of all beings," becomes the life of all beings, becomes the mind of all beings, becomes their speech, that is to say, becomes omniscient by being the nature of all; and also omnipotent. "As that deity," the before mentioned deity, named Hiranyagarbha, "so also" (also shows, that there in no obstacle to his omniscience and omnipotence) "he" which term concludes the illustration. Moreover: "As in beings, "preserve" worship, "that deity," the deity called Hiranyagarbha with offerings, &c. "So also preserve all beings a person who thus knows," which means, they worship him always with offerings and the like.

It has been said, "he becomes the soul of beings." Now it may guestioned, whether, by his being the nature of cause and effect of all beings, he not also allied to the happiness and unhappiness of them. This I not the case, because his intellect is unlimited. As to persons whose intellect and nature are limited on being censured, &c. a connexion with unhappiness is evident, as if we say: "I am censured by that man;" but in virtue of his being the soul of all, there in no unhappiness from such causes produced, as there in no distinction of intellect with regard to the nature of the soul bebetween the one who is censured and the other who censures, and also, as, like the unhappiness of death, there is no cause. For instance, If any body dies, unhappiness is produced for somebody (showing itself in such words as:) He is my son, my brother, where the cause (of the distress) is a son, a brother. If there is no cause of such a kind, unhappiness I not produced, even should person behold the death of a person, &c. (who is not related him). In this manner no

<sup>\*</sup> Kinha (moreover) is in text connected with the preceding sentence, commencing with "Sa," I believe, by a clerical error, as in this place it has no meaning, or a meaning which destroys the simplicity of the text.

Next therefore the deliberation of observance. Prajapati verily created the actions. When they were created, they vied with each other. With the words,—"I will speak," speech kept the observance; with the words,—"I will see," eye; with the words,—"I will hear," ear; in the same manner the other actions

unhappiness arises for god whose nature is unlimited, because he has not the faults of false knowledge, &c. which produce the unhappiness of pride, &c. This II meant by the words,—" Whatever grief his subjects suffer together with their children," "the same," the unhappiness which is the cause of the grief, &c., "remains united with them," with his subjects, because it is produced from a limited intellect. But what of the universal soul can be united with or disunited from what thing? "What is holy," what II good,—the desired reward means here holy; for a great many holy actions are done by him, therefore its reward, "approaches him who now occupies the place of Prajápati." "For sin does not approach the gods," and the meaning is, sin, its effect, unhappiness does not approach the gods, because there is no opportunity for the effect of sin. 20.

By the words,-" They are all alike, they are all infinite," (p. 151) the worship of speech, mind and life has been declared in general, that to say, without their mutual distinction. Must this now be understood in the mentioned manner, or is there any possible distinction with regard to observance on considering the case? The answer is,-"Next therefore," then follows "the deliberation of observance," of worship, which means the consideration of the action of worship. And the consideration turns upon this, which is it among those organs, whose work is be conceived under the idea of observance? "Prajapati verily," after having created his offspring, "created the actions." which means the organs, speech and others (for being capable of action, they are called actions) and the meaning is : He created speech and the other organs. Again "when they were created, they vied," rivaled, "with each other." How? "With the words, -- I will speak," let me not rest from my business, speaking, "speech kept the observance." III there is likewise another, my equal, who does not require rest from his business, let him show his prowess. In the same manner, "with the words, -"I will see," eye; with the words, "I will hear," ear; in (Karmani) according to their action. Death, being there as fatigue, seized them, he made them his own; having made them his own, he arrested them. Therefore speech gets even fatigued, the eye gets fatigued, the ear gets fatigued. Again he did not make his own that life. They resolved to know that central life. "He verily is amongst us the best, who moving and not moving, not suffers, is not injured. Well then, let us all become of the nature of him." Thus all of them became of his nature. Therefore they are named by this "Pránáh." After him, who

the same manner the other actions," organs, "according to their action."
"Death," the destroyer, "being there as fatigue," in the form of fatigue "seized them," took hold of them. How! "He made them," the organs engaged in their business, "his own," he appeared under the form of fatigue, and "having made them his own, he" death, "arrested them," made them cease from their work. "Therefore," even now, "speech," having entered upon its work, "gets even fatigued," is made to cease from its work, seized by death in the form of fatigue. In the same manner = the eye gets fatigued, the ear gets fatigued." "Again he," death in the form of fatigue "did not make his own that life," the principal life. "They" the other organs, "resolved," made up their mind, "to know that central life" which even now not fatigued by him (death) enters upon its work.

"He verily is amongst us the best," the most praiseworthy, the greatest, "who moving and not moving not suffers," again, "is not injured. Well then" now "let us all become of the nature of him," of life, that is to say, let us consider ourselves as life. Having "thus" ascertained, 'our observances are not sufficient to protect us from death,' "all of them became of his nature," considering themselves as the nature of life, kept the observances of life. Because by the nature of life, viz. by its nature to move and by its nature to manifest, the other organs get their nature,—namely, without life nothing could move; for only after the action of moving they are observed to engage in their own work,—"therefore they," speech and the others, "are named by this," name of life, "Pránáh" (lives). "After him," the wise person, who in this manner knows that all the organs have the nature

thus knows, we verily called the family in which he born. Whosoever rivals one who thus knows, after having wasted, dies at last. This is what refers to the soul. 21.

Next what refers to the superintendence of deities. With the words,—"I will burn," fire kept the observance, with the words,—"I will heat," the sun, with the words,—"I will shine," the moon. In the same manner the other deities according to their divine nature. As this central life amongst those organs, so appears Váju (the air), amongst those deities;

of life, and are called by the term "life" (Prána) "is verily called the family," by the people. The family, in which he who thus knows, "is born," gets famous by the name of the wise person, it is his family, as this is the family of Tapatí. "Whosoever thus" in the manner mentioned, "knows" that speech and the other organs have the nature and the name of life, gets a reward of such a kind. Again, "Whosoever rivals," being his antagouist "one who thus knows," knows the nature of life, wastes in this body, "after having wasted, dies at last," not on a sudden. "This is what refers to the soul," in the mentioned manner, the knowledge of the nature of life. This conclusion is intended to introduce the exposition of what refers to the superintendence of deities. 21.

"Next what refers to the superintendence of deities," the thinking as to the deities is described. In is the question, of which special deity is it best to keep the ordinance? All In here like the former description about what referred to the soul. "With the words,—"I will burn," fire kept the observance, with the words,—"I will heat," the sun, with the words,—"I will shine," the moon. In the same manner the other deities according to their divine nature." Here is an illustration.

As this central life," with reference to the soul, "amongst those organs," speech and the others, was not seized by death, was not compelled to cease from its work, was not disturbed in its own observance of life, so also not "Vaju amongst those deities," Agni and others; "for the other deities," Agni and the rest, like speech &c. with regard to the soul, according to their divine nature, "decline," go down, cease from their works, = not Vaju," goes down

for the other deities decline, not Váju. This Váju indeed an unrestrained deity. 22.

Here follows this Sloka,—" Whence the sun rises and where he sets,"—he verily rises from life and sets into life,—" this the gods made their sacred law. This sways to-day, this sways to-morrow." What they kept then, they will also observe

as the central life (does not decline). Therefore = this Váju indeed is an unrestrained deity." The reference to the soul and the superintendence of deities having in this manner been considered, it ascertained, that the observance of the nature of Váju (alone) remains unbroken. 22.

"Here" in elucidation of the said meaning "follows this Sloka." Whence," from which wind "the sun rises" (with reference divine superintendence) and from which life (as regards its reference to the soul) he rises by the nature of the eye, again into which wind and life evening and at the sleeping-time of men he sets, "this the gods made" kept, "their sacred law." This means, the gods, speech and others, and fire and others, having before considered the observance of life and the observance of Vaju. "This sways to-day, this sways to-morrow," this will be followed by them at the present and future time. Here the Brahmana explains briefly the meaning of the Mantra, "He," the sun, "verily rises from life and sets into it." "This the gods made their sacred law; this sways to-day, this sways to-morrow." What does this mean? It answered by the text: "What," what observance, observance of life and of Vaju, "they," speech and the rest, and Agni and the rest, "kept then," at that time, "the same they will also observe to-day," the same observance they will keep unbroken. But any observance of speech, &c. gets even broken, it has been shown, that the time of their setting they decline into Váju and life.—It said elsewhere,—"When man sleeps, then speech, mind, eye, car, all become life; when he awakes, then they are born again from life. This is their reference to the soul. Next their reference to divine superintendence. When fire is extinguished, disappears in Váju (air). Therefore I is said, it lost in it; for it extinguished in the air. When the sun sets, he enters into the air, into the air the moon enters; in the air are placed the

to-day. Therefore let a man follow only one observance; let him breathe, let him expel. Alas, let death not seize me. If a person follows it, let him strive to accomplish it. Thereby a person gains union and dwelling in the same world with that deity. 23.

## Sixth Brahmana.

This (world) is a triad, name, form and work. The names are

quarters. From the air they are born again." Because then the observance is found in speech and the rest, and also in Agni and the rest, because the observance, whose nature is to move, is we be obeyed by all the gods, "therefore let a man follow only one observance." Which is it? "Let him breathe," let him perform the function of breathing (Prana), "let him expel," let him perform the function of expelling (of the descending air); for there is no cessing of the function of life (Prana) of which the functions of breathing and expelling are here given as examples. "Therefore let a man follow only one observance," abandoning the functions of all the other organs. "Alas" (this term expresses apprehension) "let not death," = fatigue-" seize me," The meaning is, let a person, who is afraid that he will be seized by death on his abandoning that observance, keep the observance of life, "If a person follows it," once has commenced the observance of life, " let him strive to accomplish it;" for if he ceases from the observance, life is despised, and also the gods are; therefore let him accomplish it even. "Thereby" by that observance, -for by the obtaining of the nature of life, my functions, for instance speech, &c. and Agni, &c., are in all beings, and (my) soul as life is the moving cause of all, -by the keeping of that observance, "a person gains," obtains " union," identity of nature, "and dwelling in the same world," identity of place, " with that deity," with the deity of life. 23.

"This" manifested world, characterised as cause and effect, further the reward, which consists in obtaining the nature of life (Hiranyagarbha), both of which have been set forth as being included in the idea of ignorance, and lastly the state of the world before its manifestation, which is called "unmanifested" like the seed of a tree, "is a triad." What is this triad? The answer is,—"name, form and

speech; the latter is the foundation, for from the same all names spring forth. This is their community; for it I in common to all the names; it is the Brahma of them; for it upholds all names. 1.

work," that is to say, non-soul, not the soul which is the present, visible Brahma. Therefore the Brahmana, of which the first words are,-" this is a triad," is commenced for the object, that man should turn from this (world); for the knowledge, resulting from the great sentence, -"I am Brahma,"-to adore the soul alone as the proper place, is without effect for one whose thought is not averted from this (world) which is not the soul—the actions (of the mind) with regard to external objects and the absolute soul being at variance. In this manner it is said in the Katha (4, 1.) "The self-existent subdued the senses which turn to external objects; therefore (man) sees the external objects, not the internal soul, (but) the wise with eye averted (from sensual objects) and desirous of immortal nature, beholds the absolute soul," Why again falls this world, which is manifested and not manifested, and the same time effect, cause and reward, under the notion of name, form and work, why should it not rather be thought by the notion of the soul?

To answer this, it said,—"The names" in the order in which they are introduced, "are speech," as scalled the general term of all sounds. Every sound is even speech; it is speech in consequence of being spoken, the meaning of a sound is merely the general term of sound. "The latter their foundation," the special cause, of the special names, as a hill of rock-salt the cause of (all) the grains of rock-salt. For this reason it is said,—"for from the same," general term of names, "all names," as Yajuadatta, Dévadatta, according to this or any other division, spring forth," are produced, separated, as grains of salt from a rock of salt; and the effect is not different from the cause. In the same manner the special (names) being included in the general term, how can there be a state of generality and of special objects? (To show this it said),—"This," the general term of the sounds, "is their community," of the special names, community from its being

Further, the forms are objects of the eye; the latter in their foundation, for from the same all forms spring forth; this in their community; for it is common to all the forms. It in the Brahma of them; for it upholds all forms. 2.

Further, the works are self (atma); the latter is their foundation; for from the same all works spring forth; this is their

common, viz. the general term; "for it is common to all the names," which are different from it, (and) moreover, because the special names are not different (from it) by baving obtained it (the general idea). (for) nothing is perceived to differ from that whose nature it has assumed, as a jar differs not from the earth (of which it is made). How are the special names said to have obtained it (the general idea of speech)? The answer is, because "it," the thing called by the name of speech, "is the Brahms of them," their soul; for hence the names obtain it (the generality); for nothing is found differing from the nature of sound. This the text explains: "for this," the general notion of sound, "upholds all names" by giving them its own nature. This, the relation of cause and effect, of the general idea and the special objects and of the communication of the own nature (of one thing to other things) being proved, it is evident, that the special names are only sound. The same explanation holds good for the two other things. 1.

"Further, the forms," white, black, &c., "are objects of the eye," are the general idea, named "object of the eye," the general idea of forms, all that may be manifested. "For from the latter all forms spring forth; the same is their community; for it is common to all the forms. It is the Brahma of them; for it upholds all forms." 2.

Further all special works, as well such as thinking, seeing, &c. as also such as refer to motion, are said to be contained in the general notion of effect. How? All special works are "self," the body, the general notion; self are called the works of self; for it is said, that by the self, by the body, people perform their work. Moreover, in the body every work is manifested. Therefore by its being sited there (in the body) "the latter" work, the general idea of work, "is the founda-

community; for it is common to all works; it is the Brahma of them; for it upholds all works. Those three are existing, one, that self (átma); that self, one, existing, is the three. This immortal (being) is concealed by existence. Life is verily the immortal being, name and form existence. By them that life concealed, 3.

tion of them," just the same as before. "Those three," name, form and work, as mentioned before, being in mutual dependence, being the mutual cause of manifestation and being united for their mutual destruction, "are," like the (mutual) support of the three vows of the devotee, "existing, one." Why is the idea of unity connected with the self? It is answered,—"That self," that lump, the combination of cause and effect; in the same manner as in the following passage (B. K. I, 5, 3); "Thus modified is the soul, the modifications of mind, speech and life, "for so far extend this all, both manifested and unmanifested, as name, form and work."

"The self (soul) one," the combination of effect and cause, "existing," in relation to the soul, the elements and the deities, "is the three," as defined, name, form and work. "This immortal (being)" be mentioned, "is concealed by existence." The text itself explains the meaning of the preceding sentence, viz. "Life is the immortal being," in its nature as cause, the internal support of work, being the same with the soul, immortal, indestructible. "Name and form are existence;" they have the nature of effects and abide in the body; but life having the nature of cause and being the support of them, "is concealed," not manifested, by them, which are external, corporeal, liable to increase and decrease, and mortal. The fourththe chapter is commenced for the purpose to show, that the soul, the object of knowledge, must be comprehended.

<sup>\*</sup> To subdue his mind, his senses and his speech.

<sup>†</sup> The fourth chapter of the Brahmana, the second of the Upanishad.

## SECOND CHAPTER.

## First Bráhmana.

There was a great speaker, Gárgya the proud son of Baláká. He said Ajátas'atru, the king of Kásí,—"Let me explain thee (the nature of) Brahma."\* Ajátas'atru said,—" For such a word,† I will give thousand (of cows)." (Hearing) Janaka, Janaka,"; people verily run (after a man of such a character). 1.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the sun." § Ajátas'atru said,—" Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing that, excelling all beings he is their head, their king, I adore that apirit. Whoever thus adores him, excels all beings and becomes their head, their king." 2.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the moon." Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he I great, clothed in a white dress, Soma,\* (and) king, I adore that spirit. (For him) who thus adores hlm, is day by day produced and reproduced (the Soma); his food does not decrease."

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in lightning."† Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of

- In the first chapter the difference between knowledge and ignorance has been defined, and ignorance generally described; in the second knewledge, or the science treating on Brahma, is set forth. This is done in the form of a currative,—in which the Brahma, Gargys represents the imperfect ideas, entertained on the nature of Brahma, while king Ajátas firm represents the perfect knowledge of Brahma—with a view of showing, that this knowledge cannot be obtained by mere arguing, in consequence of the subtle nature of its object, and that it requires both a disciple believing in the existence of Brahma, and a teacher who has a full knowledge of him S'.
  - † Even for the mere word, whether thou he able or not to explain Brahma.
  - 💲 "Janaka 🖩 a liberal donor, Janaka is a scalous hearer." 8'.
- § The spirit who shiding in the sun and in the eye has outsred the heart through the eye. S'.
  - || And in the mind. S'.
  - I Because water is the body of life, in the form of the moon. S'.
  - \* As Soma, the moon-plant, in the merifice. S'.
  - † In the lightning, in the skin, and in the heart. S'.

him. Knowing, that he is glorious, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, becomes glorious; glorious becomes his offspring.<sup>29</sup> 4.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the ether."\* Ajátas'atra said,—" Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is full and immoveable, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, has plenty of offspring and cattle, and his offspring in never removed from this world." 5.

Gárgya said.—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the wind."† Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is Indra, whose strength is indomitable and whose hosts are unconquerable, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, becomes a conqueror, is unconquerable by foes, and conqueror of his step-brothers."

Gargya said,—" I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the fire." Ajátas'atru said,—" Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is a destroyer, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, becomes a destroyer, and his offspring becomes a destroyer." 7.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the waters." Ajátas'atru said,—Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is the same, it is I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, obtains him as the same, not as not the same. Again from him is born what is the same with him." ¶ 7.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the looking-glass."\* Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is resplendent, I adore that

<sup>\*</sup> In the other as alement, and also in the other of the heart S'.

<sup>+</sup> In the wind, in the vital air of the body, and in the heart. S'.

<sup>‡</sup> And in the understanding of the heart. S'.

<sup>6</sup> In the waters, in the semen and in the heart. S'.

<sup>||</sup> In the S'ruti and Smriti. S'.

<sup>¶</sup> A son like hipsself.

<sup>\*</sup> In the looking-gloss, in other reflective things, and in the heart. S'.

spirit. Whoever thus adores him, becomes resplendent, and resplendent his offspring; he overcomes in splendour all those with whom he meets." 9.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who, when proceeding, is followed by noise."\* Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is life, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, obtains the full age in this world; life does not leave him before the (appointed) time." 10.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the quarters."† Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he double and inseparable,‡ I adore him. Whoever thus adores him, becomes double,§ and his followers never part from him." 11.

Gargya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the shadow ! Ajátas'atru suid,—Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is death, I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, obtains (his) full age in this world; death does not approach him before the time." 12.

Gárgya said,—"I adore as Brahma the spirit who abides in the soul,"¶ (in self.) Ajátas'atru said,—"Do not boast, do not boast of him. Knowing, that he is possessed of soul,\* I adore that spirit. Whoever thus adores him, becomes in this world possessed of soul, and also his offspring." Then Gárgya was silent. 13.

Ajátas'atru said,—" Does (Brahma) so far extend?" (He answered),—" So far."—(Ajátas'atru said),—" Brahma is not

<sup>\*</sup> Life.

<sup>†</sup> In the quarters, in the car and in the heart. S'.

<sup>1</sup> Like the Asyms who are the tutelary deities of the quarters,

<sup>§</sup> By the number of his servants, K. G.

<sup>#</sup> The spirit, abiding in the shadow, externally as darkness and internally, in the heart as ignorance.

The spirit, abiding in the soul, in Prajapati, and in the intellect of the heart, S'.

<sup>\*</sup> Of much understanding.

comprehended by (a knowledge) which so far extends only."\*
Gárgya said,—" Let me approach thee as disciple." 14.

Ajátas'atru said,—" verily goes against the grain that a Bráhman should approach a Kahatriya for the purpose of learning (the nature of) Brahma from him. I will explain (him) thee." (Thus saying) he took him by the hands and rose. They went to a man who slept. They called him by the name, "Mighty one, clad in white garments, Soma, king." He did not rise. Squeezing him with the hand, he awoke him. He then arose. 15.

Ajátas'atru said,—"Where was the spirit whose nature like knowledge, at the time when he thus profoundly slept? Whence did he come?" Gárgya did not know this.

Ajátas'atru said,—"When the spirit whose nature is like know-ledge, thus profoundly slept, then the ether,† in the midst of the heart drawing in, together with the knowledge of the senses,‡ (their actual) knowledge,§ slept therein (in the ether). When the spirit draws in that (knowledge of the senses), then he sleeps indeed. Then life is drawn in,¶ speech is drawn in, the eye is drawn in, the ear is drawn in, (and mind is drawn in). 17.

When he is in the state of dream, then become such (conditions as the following) his worlds, then he becomes like a great king, like a great Bráhmap, he proceeds as it were to higher and lower places. As a great king, assembling his followers, sends them about in his kingdom according to his pleasure, so that (spirit resembling knowledge, drawing in) the organs, sends them about in his body according to his pleasure. 18.

<sup>\*</sup> Why then eavest thou boasting. -I will teach thee the nature of Brahma?

<sup>†</sup> The soul | independent nature. S'.

I The intellect of the heart - which Brahma is reflected.

<sup>5</sup> The faculty of the sensor of manifesting their respective objects; that is may, melesp, intellect withdraws the sensor from their objects, and concentrates them in the heart, where intellect itself melesphene in the nature of the soul.

Il The soul within its own salf.

I Means here, according to S'ankern, the sense of smelling.

Again when he profoundly sleeps, he does not know of any thing. There are 72,000\* arteries called the good, which from the heart proceed every where to the body. Returning with them he sleeps in the body. As a youth, or a great king, or great Brahman, sleep, enjoying excessive bliss, so does he (the apirit resembling knowledge) sleep.

As the spider proceeds along with its web, as little sparks proceed from fire, so proceed from that soul all organs, all worlds, all the gods, all beings. The nearest conception of him is this, that he is the truth of truth. The organs are the truth, he is the truth of them." 20.

## Second Brahmana. I

Whoever knows the young animal with its abode, the upper part of its abode, its pillar (and) its rope, destroys the seven

<sup>\*</sup> Vld, Pras'na Uponishad, 3, 6.

<sup>†</sup> What "the truth of truth" means, will be explained in the two next Bráh-manss. S'.

I The connexion between the former and the present Brihmana is shown by S'ankara, as follows. The topic of Brahme has been introduced by the words,-"I will explain Brahms," (p. 172) and declared,—The one Brahms | he from whom the world is produced, of whom it consists and into whom is discoved. Or what nature again is the world, which is produced and dissolved? I consists the five elements, which consist of name and form. Moreover has been said, that name and form are truth (satys). The truth of the truth, (consisting of the five elements) is Brahms. Why again are the elements truth? This question is answered in the Brahmana, whose subject is to show the nature | what has form and what is without form. On secount of their having form and not having form, the elements, consisting of effect and cause (are truth, and thus) also the organe (Prayah). To define the nature (Sattva) of the elements, conelating of cause and effect, the two next Brihmapes are commenced. This Upanished I to be explained, for Brahma is determined as the truth of the truth by the determination of the reality (Sativa) of effect and cause. There (in the former Brahmana) I has been said,—" The organs are the truth ; he is the truth of them." Here by the connexion of the Brahma Upsnished in this manner,-" Which are the organs, of which nature their objects, and which the Upanishads," he determines the nature of the cameou, the sume, as well, gardens, the seem on a road, are determined.

inimical brother's sons.\* The young animal is the central life.† This; is its abode, this, the upper part of its abode, life the pillar, food the rope. 1.

The seven (deities) who cause (its) indestructibility,\* surround it† (the infant) worshipping, viz. Rudra, adores it with the red lines in the eye, Parjanya with the water in the eye, Aditya with the sight, Agni with the black in the eye, Indra with the white, the earth adores it with the lower, and the heavens, with the upper eye-lash. The food of him is not destroyed who thus knows it. 2.

This is said in the following memorial verse,—"There is a Soma-cup, whose mouth is below, and whose foot is above; therein is put glory of various kinds. On its margin there are seven Rishis, and speech is the eighth, as holding communication with Brahma." The Soma-cup whose mouth is below and whose foot is above, is the head; for it is like a cup with mouth below and foot above. "Therein is put glory of various kinds." With the various kinds put (therein),‡ it (the memorial verse) means the vital airs.§ "On its margin there are seven Rishis. "With the Rishis it means the vital winds."

- \* For the sons of a brother may be inimical or friendly. Here the attachment to the objects of the senses is denoted by the brother's sons. The senses are the seven ornices by which objects are perceived, the attachment produced by them are the brother's sons. S'.
- † The subtle body, dwelling in the midst of the body; subject in it are the organs; it is a babe, because it is unwitted, while the senses are keen with regard to their objects. S'.
  - I The body. 8',
  - 4 The head with its seven orifices. S'.
- || Life, means, according to S'ankers, the strength produced by food, according || others, respiration.
  - I By which the young animal is bound to the pillar.
  - \* Thus I rendered "Akhaita" after S'. They cause the indestructibility of food,
  - + The babe, when dwelling in the upper part of the abode it rises at the eye. S'.
  - 1 As the Some-juice in the cup. S'.
- § The vital airs by which the senses are moved to the performance of their functions.

"Speech is the eighth, as communicating with Brahma;" for speech as the eighth communicates with Brahma.\* 3.

These (ears) are Goutama and Bharadvája, this (ear) is Goutama, the other Bharadvája. These (eyes) are Vis'vámittra and Jamadagni; this is Vis'vámittra, the other Jamadagni. These (nostrils) are Vasistha and Kasyapa; this is Vasistha, this Kasyapa; speech is Attri; for by speech food consumed; for Attri is verily derived from the root Attih (to eat, consume); he is the consumer of all. All becomes the food of him who thus knows. 4.

## Third Bráhmana.

There are two modes† of Brahma,‡ what has form, and what has no form,§ the one mortal, the other immortal, again finite the one, and the other infinite, || again the one existing and the other beyond. 1.

What has form, I is what is different from the air and the ether; this is mortal, this is finite, this is visible. The essence of what has form, what is mortal, finite and visible, I the being that heats (the sun); for he is the essence of what exists. 2.

Again what has no form is the air and the ether; this is immortal, this is infinite, this is beyond. The essence of what has no form, what is immortal, infinite and beyond, is the spirit who (abides) in this universe.† This refers to the divine relation. 3.

- \* Brahms II the whole of sounds, and speech has communication with it, by pronouncing it. K. G.
  - † I use mode here in the sense of " arcidental modification of substance."
- ‡ Brahma the supreme soul, who, in his absolute nature, is without those two modes. S'.
- § At the time that their differences are not evolved. Their differences are stated afterwards. S'.
- A Sthitancha yachcha, explained by S' sthitam parichchhinnam gatipurvakam sthasnu, yachcha yatuu yadvyapyaparichchhinnam, what is statiouary, himited, what after motion has the tendency to stand, and what moves, therefore what is pervading, unlimited.
  - ¶ What = formed of parts, a compound. S'.
  - \* Namely, the other three elements, earth, water and light.
  - † Hiranyagarbha.

Now the relation to the soul. What has form is different from the air and from the ether in the midst of the body.\* This is mortal, this is finite, this existing. The essence of what has form, what is mortal, finite and existing, is the eye;† for this is the essence of what exists. 4.

Now what has no form is the air, and the ether in the midst of the body. This is immortal, this is infinite, this is beyond. The essence of what has no form, what immortal, infinite and beyond, in the spirit (Purusha) in the right eye; for he is the essence of what is beyond. 5.

The form of this spirit; is, as cloth dyed by turmeric, § as the smoke colour of sheep-wool, as the red colour of the Indragopa insect, as the bright colour of the fire-flame, as the white colour of the lotus, as the lightning shines forth at one moment, (thus are the modifications of the desires of that spirit.) The glory of him who thus knows, shines forth at one moment. After this therefore the definition (of Brahma),—He is not this, he is not this, &c. || There is another name, different from that (definition),—" He is not this, he is not this," viz. the truth of truth. The organs are the truth, he is the truth of them. 6.

- \* That is to say, the three elements, light, water and earth, as constituents of the body, independent of other and sir. S'.
- † Because by the eye the whole body assumes substance, and because the eyes were first produced, in accordance with the passage, "Aditya (the sus), becoming eye, entered the eyes." S'.
  - I The subtle spirit, the spirit, who is the cause of manifestation.
- § As cloth, dyed by turmeria, so is the desire of that spirit in contact with special objects.
- II II maked, how by those negations the "truth of truth" defined, the answer is,—by the prohibition of any allegation which may be made with regard to the nature of Brahma, name, form, action, quality, &c. must denied of Brahma; for there is no distinction in Brahma; if he is defined, this definition refers only to qualities which are alleged of him; but his own nature, can in no manner be determined, except by stating, that every attribute in denied in him.

## Fourth Bráhmaya.\*

"Maitréyi," said Yájnavalkya,† "Behold, I am desirous of raising myself from the order; (of house-holder); therefore, let me divide (my property) amongst thee and Katyáyaní there." 1.

Maitréyí said,—" If, O Venerable, this whole world with all its wealth were mine, could I become immortal thereby?" Yájnavalkya said,—" Like the life of the wealthy thy life might become; by wealth, however, there is no hope of (obtaining) immortality." 2.

Maitréyi said,—"Of what use would be wealth to me, if I did not become thereby immortal. Tell me, O Venerable, any (means of obtaining immortality) of which thou knowest." 3.

Yájnavalkya said,—" Behold, (thou wast) dear to us before, (and now) thou sayest what is dear. Come, sit down; I will explain to thee (the means of obtaining immortality); endeavour to comprehend my explanation." 4.

He said,—"Behold, not indeed for the husband's sake the husband is dear, § but for the sake of the self, || is dear the husband. Behold, not indeed for the wife's sake the wife is dear, but for the sake of the self, is dear the wife. Behold, not for the sons' sake the sons are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear, but for the sake property is dear, but for the sake of the self is property dear. Behold, not for the Brahma's sake the Brahma is dear, but for the sake of the self is the Brahma dear. Behold, not for the Kshattra's

It is the object of this Brahmana to show that the state of a Sannyani, vis. the retiring from the world in the forest and the remunciation of all ceremonies, is indispensable for the knowledge of Brahma. S'. The same conversation between Yajnavalkya and bis wife Maitréyi is, with elight variations, repeated in the 5th Brahmana of the 4th ebapter.

<sup>†</sup> A Rishl, Yájnavalkys by same. S'.

<sup>‡</sup> To the higher stage of a Sannyani.

f To the wife,

h Kima, the self, the soul; for the self of the wife, for her own soul.

sake the Kehattra is dear, but for the sake of the self the Kehattra is dear. Behold, not for the worlds' sake the worlds are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the worlds. Behold, not for the gods' sake the gods are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the Védas' sake the Védas are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the Védas.\* Behold, not for the elements' sake the elements are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the elements. Behold, not for the sake of the universe the universe is dear, but for the sake of the universe the universe. Behold, the self (átmá) is verily to be seen, heard, minded (and) meditated upon. Behold, O Maitréyí, by seeing, hearing, minding, knowing the self, all this (universe) is comprehended. 5.

The Brahma should disown a person,† who considers the Brahma (east) as something different from (his) self (atma); the Kshattra should disown a person who considers the Kshattra (east) as something different from (his) self; the worlds should disown a person who considers the worlds as something different from (his) self; the gods should disown a person who considers the gods as something different from (their) self; the elements should disown a person who considers the elements as something different from (their) self; the universe should disown a person who considers the universe as something different from (its) self. This (own) self is this Brahma, this Kshattra, these worlds, these gods, these elements, is this universe,—6.

As a person, when a drum (unseen by him) is beaten, is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it (as sounds of a drum), but on the perception of the drum the sound of a drum beaten is perceived,—7.

As m person, when a shell (unseen by him) is blown, is unable to perceive the sounds, proceeding from it (as sounds of a

The last sentence is omitted in the Sansorit text, published by the As. Soc.
 † A person, belonging to the Brikminical casts.

shell) but on the perception of the shell the sound of a shell blown is perceived.—8.

As a person, when a lute (unseen to him) is played, is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it, but on the perception of the lute the sound of a lute played is perceived.\*—9.

As from fire, made of damp wood, proceed smoke, sparks, &c.† of various kind, thus, behold, is the breathing of this great being the Rig Véda, the Yajur Véda, the Sáma Véda, the Atharvángirasa, the narratives (Itihása,‡) the doctrines on creation (Purápa), the science (Vidyá), the Upanishads, the memorial verses (Slokas), the aphorisms (Sútras), the explanation of tenets (Anuvyákhánáni,) the explanation of Mantras, (Vyákhyánáni,) all these are his breathing. 10.

As the only site of all the waters is the sea, thus is the only site of every touch the skin, thus the only site of every taste the tongue, thus the only site of every smell the nose, thus the only site of every colour the eye, thus the only site of every sound the ear, thus the only site of every determination the mind, thus the only site of every knowledge the heart, thus the only site of every act the hands, thus the only site of every pleasure the organs of generation, thus the only site of every evacuation the anus, thus the only site of every motion the feet, thus the only site of every Véda speech. 11.

 <sup>\*</sup> S'ankars supplies the above comparisons with,—so ill the identity of Brahma with the individual soul only apprehended by general and special knowledge.

<sup>†</sup> Dhumah (smoke), the plurel denotes, according to S., smoke, sparks, athes, &c.

<sup>‡</sup> S. asserts, that the Itihasa, &c. are the eight topics of the Brahmanas, vis. Itihasa, narrative, as for instance that of Urvasi, and Pururavaşa; Purana, doctrines on creation, as for instance "this was before;" vidya the science of the gods, for instance, "he knows;" Upunishada, doctrines about adoration, for instance, "the soul, therefore let it be adored;" Slokas, Mantras, occurring in the Brahmanas, as "there follow these Slokas;" Sutras, sentences, which give the pith of a thing, m "the soul, therefore it should be adored;" Anuvyakhyanani, explanation of Mantras; Vyakhyanani, praise in honor of a deity, &c.

As a piece of salt, when thrown into water, is dissolved into mere water, and none is capable of perceiving it, because, from whatever place a person might take (water), it would have the taste of salt (but be no piece of salt), thus, behold, this great being, which is infinite, independent and mere knowledge. Springing forth together with those elements,\* (he)† is destroyed, when they are destroyed. After death, no conscience remains;† thus, O Maitréyi, I hold." Thus said Yájnavalkya 12.

Maitréyi said,—"With regard to him (Brahms) thou hast bewildered me, ▶ Venerable, by the saying, §—After death no conscience remains." Yájnavalkya said,—"Behold, I verily do not create bewilderment, behold, this (Brahms) is sufficient for knowledge." 13.

For where || there is duality,\*\* as it were, there sees another,†† another thing, there smells another another thing, there hears another another thing, there speaks another of another thing, there minds another another thing, there knows another another thing; but how does one to whom all has become mere soul (átmá), smell any thing, how see any thing, how hear any

- \* I give here, in a somewhat different language, some of the images, which S'ankara uses II explanation of this passage. As the appearance of the sun and moon in water is a mere reflection, and nothing real, or as the appearance of red in a white crystal is a mere reflection from a red substance and nothing real;—for on removing the water, the sun and moon only remain, not their reflections, or on removing the red substance, the whiteness of the crystal continues unchanged,—thus the elements and the individual souls are reflections of the one soul upon ignorance, and nothing real; for on removing the ignorance by knowledge the soul alone remains, while those reflections cease to exist.
- + He, the individual soul, or as S'ankara has it, the division of a particular soul.
  - ‡ For him who has the true knowledge of the sternal soul.
- § Because this seems contradictory to the former statement, that Brahma is the fulness of knowledge.
- || In the individual soul, produced by the substrate of ignorance as the totality of causes and effects. S'.
  - \*\* Difference from the supreme soul.
  - # Any individual coul.

thing, how speak of any thing, how mind any thing, how know any thing? How should he know him by whom he knows this all; behold, how should he know the knower?"\*14.

## Fifth Bráhmana.†

The earth is honeyt for all beings, for the earth are all

- \* The argument which S'ankara advances in support of this doctrine is essentially as follows,—Every effect requires a cause; or, without cause there is no effect; therefore, if there is ignorance, there is the samumption of effect, cause and reward as the effect of ignorance; but this assumption is not made on a knowledge of Brahma; for if all is out or Brahma, there is, beside the soul, neither cause, nor effect, nor reward. A knowledge of a cause can take place, if there is a difference between the cause and the object of knowledge, and there may be an enquiry on the subject of the knowledge (the knowing soul) and the object of the same, but not of the soul. If the latter be the case, such a knowledge (the knowledge of the knowing subject, the soul) would in either produced by the soul itself, or by something clae. Not the first; for the soul is not an object of the soul, not by something clae; for there is nothing else but the soul, and there is therefore no object of its knowledge.
- † S'ankara explains the connexion between the present and the preceding Brahmana, as follows :- The Maitreyi Brahmana has been composed for the purpose to state that that which independent of occumouses and the cause of immortality, worthy of explanation. This the knowledge of Bruhma, pertaining, as has been declared, to all the duties of a Sannyasi. Moreover, since by the knowledge at the soul the whole universe is known, and the soul is dearer to every one than every thing clas, " the soul should be beheld." " I to be heard, to I minded, to be pondered upon." The different modes of beholding the soul, have been stated before. To be heard is the soul, by means of the teacher, in he pondered on by means of disquisition, and disquisition has been explained. The proposition, "the soul is this all," I proved by the argument, that the one soul is the only cause if the generality, of the production and of the dissolution of the world, and as this may be doubtful, the present Brahmans, is composed to remove any doubt regarding it. Because the whole world, earth, etc. is in the mutual dependence of support and supported, and dependent upon one cause of generality, production and dissolution. Or with other words, after the proposition (Pratijes) " soul alone 🖿 this all," has been proved by the argument, that the soul in the cause of the creation, preservation and dissolution of the world, the conclusion (Nigamana) of the proposed meaning is again made in the Madhubrahmana, conformably to the definition of logicians, that the conclusion in a syllogism is the repetition of the proposition, after the argument has been stated.
  - † Vid. Chand. Up., 3, 1-5, where a similar comparison in made.

beings honey\*. Both the immortal, luminous spirit; (abiding) in the earth, and the immortal, luminous spirit, who exists in the body according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them.) This; is that soul, this, is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 1.

The waters are honey for all beings; for the waters are all beings honey. Both the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in the water, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the semen according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 2.

The fire is honey for all beings; for the fire all beings are honey. Both the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in the fire, and the immortal luminous spirit, abiding in speech according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 3.

The wind is honey for all beings; for the wind all beings are honey. Both the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in the wind, and the immortal, luminous spirit, who is life according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 4.

Aditya is honey for all beings; for Aditya all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in that Aditya, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in speech according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings,

- \* As the been collect homey from every kind of flowers, which again serves as nourishment for the been, so all the beings are nourishment for the one earth, are changed into its very substance, and again the earth is nourishment for all beings; it is changed into all; that is to say, they are mutually dependent; there is no real difference between them; they are the same,—Brahms.
  - + The Sanscrit term is Purushu.
- ‡ This (ayam) this fourfold division, viz. the earth, all beings, the spirit abiding in the earth, and the spirit abiding in the body. S'.
  - § This (idam) the knowledge, whose object is the fourfold division. S'

and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 5.

The quarters are honey for all beings; for the quarters all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in the quarters, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the ear according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 6.

The moon is honey for all beings; for the moon all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in the moon, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the mind according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 7.

The lightning is honey for all beings; for the lightning all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in lightning, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in the light (skin) according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 8.

The thunder is honey for all beings; for the thunder all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in thunder, and the immortal, luminous spirit, abiding in sound and note according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all the beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 9.

The ether is honey for all beings; for the ether all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in the ether, and the immortal luminous spirit, abiding as ether in the heart according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 10.

Justice is honey for all beings; for justice all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in justice, and the immortal luminous spirit, produced in justice according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This in that soul, this in immortal, this is Brahma, this all. 11.

Truth is honey for all beings; for truth all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in truth, and the immortal, luminous spirit, produced in truth according to his relation to the soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them). This is immortal, this Brahma, this all. 12.

Mankind is honey for all beings; for mankind all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit, (abiding) in mankind, and the immortal luminous spirit, produced in mankind according to his relation to the soul, (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them.) This is that soul, this is immortal, this Brahma, this all. 13.

The soul is honey for all beings; for the soul all beings are honey. Both, the immortal, luminous spirit (abiding) in the soul, and the immortal, luminous spirit who is that soul (are honey for all beings, and all beings are honey for them). This is that soul, this is immortal, this Brahma, this all. 14.

This soul is verily the lord of all beings, the king of all beings. As all spokes are fastened in the nave and the circumference of the wheel,\* thus also all beings, all gods, all worlds, all organs, all souls, are fastened in that soul. 15.

This honey Dadhich,† the son of Atharvana, explained to the

<sup>\*</sup> See a similar comparison, 2 Mund, 2, 6.

<sup>†</sup> The tale, alluded to in the text, is contained in the Taittariya Brihmana, in the part which treats on the Pragarvya sacrifice, and is given by S'ankara. Its drift is, as follows,—Dadbich, the son of Atharvana, explained to the two Asvins, the physicians of the gods, the Madhu Brihmana. When they came to him for instruction, he told them, that Indra had threatened him to cut off his head, if he repeated the Madhu Britmana to any body clae. The Asvins promised is save him from the consequence of Indra's angar. They would place his head somewhere cise, and meanwhile put a horse's head on his body; when Indra should out off the head, they would replace it by his own. He consented, and explained to them the Madhu Britmana by means of the head of a horse which they had placed upon

two As'vins. Beholding their deed the Rishi\* said,-"O ve men, I will manifest your cruel deed+ (undertaken) for your advantage, as Tanyatut (manifests) rain (from a cloud). The honey which Dadhin', the son of Atharvana, explained to you through the head of the horse, (is this honey)." 16.

This honey explained Dadhin', the son of Atharvana, to the two As'vins. Beholding this deed, the Rishi said,-"O As'vins, ye placed a horse-head on Dadhin', the son of Atharvana. To keep his promise, he explained to you, O Destroyers, the honey of Tvastar (Aditya) and also the honey, which is to be concealed." 8 17.

This honey explained Dadhin', the son of Atharvana, to the two As'vius. Beholding their deed, the Rishi said,-" (He) created the bodies (purah) of bipeds (and then) the bodies of quadrupeds. At first, (purah) being a bird, The entered as Purusha the bodies (purah). This Purusha is called thus, because he sleeps in all bodies (Paris'aya)." From him nothing is concealed (within), from him nothing concealed (without), 18,

This honey explained Dadhin', the son of Atharvana, to the two As'vins. Beholding this deed, the Rishi said,-" He became to every nature of every nature; therefore to manifest the nature of him, Indra\* appears of manifold nature by his Mayahs; for his hundred and ten senses are attached (to the body as horsest to a car), it (the soul) is the senses; it is ten. it is many thousands, may infinite, it is Brahma who has not

his body instead of his own, and on Indra cutting off the horse-head, they restored in him his own. This tale, mys S'ankers, is to illustrate the superiority of the knowledge of Brahms: for the knowledge, possessed by Indra III difficult even for the gods, to gain, and was gained but with great trouble by the As'sins.

- \* The Mantra. S'.
- † The cutting off the head of Dadhin'.
- § The honey of Trustur E knowledge, referring to rite; the honey to be conceuled, the knowledge of Brahma, S'.
  - | Vid. Katha U. 5 10.
  - T Parames'vara, S'. That . say, being of subtile body. Parames'vara, S'.
- † The word " Mari," means in Sangerit " sense" as well as " horse," and denotes here both of them.

a Before nor an After, nor a Beside, nor a Without; this in the soul, Brahma, the perceiver of all.\* Such is the doctrine.

## Sixth Bráhmana.

Next follows the school.† Pautimashya succeeded Gaupavana,—Gaupavana, Pautimashya,—Pautimashya, Gaupavana, Gaupavana, Kaua'ika,—Kaua'ika, Kaundinya,—Kaundinya, S'andilya,—S'andilya, Kaua'ika and Gautama,—Gautama, 1.

Agnivés'ya,—Agnivés'ya, Sándilya and Anabhimláta,—Anabhimláta, Anabhimláta,—Anabhimláta, Anabhimláta,—Anabhimláta, Gautama,—Gautama, Saitava and Práchínayogya,—Saitava and Práchínayogya, Párás'arya,—Párás'arya, Bháradváya,—Bháradváya Bháradvája and Gautama,—Gautama, Bháradvája,—Bháradvája, Párás'árya,—Prárás'arya, Vaijavápáyana,—Vaijavápáyana, Kaus'ikáyani,—Kaus'ikáyani, 2.

Ghritakaus'ika,—Ghritakaus'ika, Párás'aryáyana,—Párás'aryáyana, Párás'arya,—Párás'arya, Játúkarnya,—Játúkarnya, Asuráyana and Yáska,—Asuráyana, Sraivani,—Sraivani, Aupajandhani,—Aupajandhani,—Asuri,—Mánti,—Bháradvája,—Bháradvája,—Atréya,—Mánti,—Mánti, Gautama,—Gautama,—Gautama,—Gautama,—Gautama,—Vátsya,—Vátsya, Sándilya,—Sándilya, Kais'orya Kápya,—Kais'orya Kápya, Kumárahárita,—Kumárahárita, Gálava,—Gálava, Vidarbhí Kaundinya,—Vidarbhí Kaundinya, Vatsanapát Bábhrava,—Vatsanapát Bábhrava,—Patháh Saubhara,—Patháh Saubhara, Ayásya Angirasa,—Ayásya Angirasa, Abhúti Tvás'tar,—Abhúti Tvás'tar, Visvarupa Tvástar,

\* This passage may also be translated,—This Brahma is without a Before, without an After, without a Beside, without a Without, is this soul (the individual soul); Brahma is the enjoyer of all.

Or,—This is Brahms, who is without a Before, without an After, without a Beside, without a Without, it is this soul (the individual soul), 

is Brahms, the enjoyer of all (the universal soul).

† Two more lists of teachers are given in this Upanished, vis. 4, 6, and 8, 5. S'ankara observes about the present list, that it is the list of the Madhu Kánda and given for the praise of the knowledge of Brahma. The school itself means the succession of teachers for the four preceding chapters of the Bráhmana, or the two first chapters in the B. A. U.

—Visvarupa Tvástar, the Asvins,—the Asvins, Dadhin' Atharvana, —Dadhin' Atharvana, —Atharvana Daiva,—Atharvana Daiva, —Atharvana Daiva, —Mrityu Prádhvasana, Pradhvasana, —Mrityu Prádhvasana, Pradhvasana, —Prádhvasana, Ekarishi, —Ekarishi, Viprachitti,—Viprachitti, Vyashti,—Vyashti, Sanáru,—Sanáru, Sanátana,—Sanátana,—Sanátana,—Sanásana,—Sanaga,—Sanaga, Paraméshti,—Paraméshti, Brahmá\*,—Brahmá ■ the self-existent; salutation to Brahmá.

<sup>\*</sup> Paramosthi Virát, and Brahmá, denotes Hirayyagarbha. S'.

٠.

#### THIRD CHAPTER.

## First Brahmana.\*

Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas, performed the sacrifice, named Bahudakahina.† There were assembled the Bráhmans of the Kurus and the Panchálas. Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas, had a great desire to know, who among those Bráhmans knew best the Védas; for this purpose he tied a thousand cows (in a stable); the horns of each of them were surrounded with ten Pádaha (of gold). ‡ 1.

He said to them,—"O venerable Brahmans, whoever amougst you is the best knower of Brahma, shall drive home those cows." The Brahmans did not venture (to come forward). Then said Yajnavalkya to his Brahma student,—"O gentle one, drive home those (cows)." "(As you command), O knower of the Sama Véda, §" with these words (the disciple) drove them home. The Brahmans became angry (thinking),—How dare he call himself amongst us the best knower of Brahma? There was then As'vala, the Hotar of Janaka, king of the Vidéhas. He asked him,—"Art thou in very deed amongst us the best knower of Brahma, O Yajnavalkya?" He said,—"We bow to

The present Kánda, or the Yájnavalkya Kánda, treats the same subject as the Madhu Kánda; but will no repetition, for while the latter exhibits the knowledge of Brahma in the form of more enunciation, the former establishes who argument. The narrative is given when preise of the knowledge of Brahma, and also we show liberality as a means conducive to that knowledge. S'.

<sup>†</sup> Bahudakshina III either a sacrifice of this name, which has been explained III another S'ákha, or the As'vamédha sacrifice, as in the latter great donations are required. S'.

<sup>1</sup> A Pada is according - S'ankars, equal to the 4th part of a Pala, or equal to a Suvarpa of gold, which, according to Wilson, is equal to about 176 grains Troy.

<sup>§</sup> That ■ to say, knower of the four Védas, as the Same Véda was ■ be studied after the three other Védas.

<sup>|</sup> The Ritrig, one who knows the Rig Véda, or III who arranges the sacrifices,

him who is the best knower of Brahma; we are even desirous of getting the cows." Hence the Hotar As'vala undertook in question him. 2.

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, all this is pervaded by death, all this is subject to death. By what means overcoming the grasp of death the sacrificer liberated?" (He replied)—, "By speech which is fire in the shape of the priest, called Hotar. The speech of the sacrificer,\* is verily the Hotar. This speecht is this fire; this (fire) is the Hotar, this (fire of the Hotar) is liberation, this (liberation) absolute liberation." & 8.

He said,—" Yājnavalkya, all this is pervaded by day and night, || all this is subject to day and night. By what means, overcoming the grasp of day and night, is the sacrificer liberated?" (He replied,)—" By the eye, which is Aditya (the sun) in the shape of the priest called Adhvaryu. The eye of the sacrificer is verily the Adhvaryu. This eye this Aditya, this (Aditya) is the Adhvaryu, this (Adhvaryu) liberation, this (liberation) absolute liberation." 4.

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, all is pervaded by the light and dark halves of the lunar month; ¶ all this is subject to the light and dark halves of the lunar months. By what means, overcoming the grasp of the light and dark halves of the lunar month, is the sacrificer liberated?" (He replied),—"By the vital breath, which is the wind in the shape of the priest, call-

<sup>\*</sup> With reference to the sacrifice. S'.

<sup>+</sup> With reference to the deities, S'.

<sup>2</sup> Cause of liberation. S'.

<sup>\$</sup> Absolute liberation I here the gaining of the state I speech and I the delty of fire. S'.

if The cause of the continual change of such rites as the Ders's and Parqumass, if time; for although time is included in work, yet, independent of the performance of work, time, before and after work, the idea observed in change the causes of rites, for which reason the liberation from time must in separately explained. S'.

<sup>¶</sup> Although time, as containing lunar days, is included in time, characterized by day and night, and although the sam in the Rader of day and night, yet this in only the case in general, but not with regard to days and nights, where there is an increase or decrease which are ruled by the moon, and in this respect a liberation from time as represented by lunar days, in necessary. S'.

ed the Udgatar. The vital breath of the sacrificer is verily the Udgatar. This vital breath is this wind, this (wind) is the Udgatar, this (Udgatar) is liberation, this (liberation) absolute liberation." 5.

He said,—"Yājnavalkya, this atmosphere is without foundation, as it were; by what approach\* does (man) approach then the place of heaven?" (He replied),—"By the mind which is the moon in the shape of the priest, called the Brahma. The mind of the sacrificer werely the Brahma; mind is this Brahma, this (moon) is the Brahma, this (Brahma) is liberation, this (liberation) absolute liberation." So far the absolute emancipation (from death). Next the means.† 6.

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, by how many Riks† of the Rig Véda does this Hotar in this sacrifice to-day perform the praise?" (He replied),—"By three."—"By what three?" "By those, to be recited before (the sacrifice,) by those to be recited for the sake of the sacrifice, and by those to be recited for the sake of praise." "What does he conquer by them?" "All that bears life." ?

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, how many oblations is does this Adhvaryu offer to-day in this sacrifice?" (He replied),—"Three." "Which are these three?" "The oblations which flame upwards; the oblations which make a great noise; the oblations which fall downwards." "What does he conquer by them?" "By the oblations that flame upwards, he conquers the world-

- \* In 3.5, the liberation of the ascrificer from death has been declared, but not the means by which he effects it. These means are explained in the present section. S',
- † Means are either all the appliances recessary for the performance of sacred rites, or the knowledge of those means. S'.
- ‡ A Rig does not mean here a single verse of the Rig Védu, but refers to certain kinds of Rig-verses, which may include any number of Mantras.
- 5 The three worlds, according to 8, eince they are the supporters in life, and the three worlds correspond with the three kinds of Rike.
  - || Oblations, fire offerings.
- In the oblations, flaming apwards, butter; in those making a great noise, meat; and in those falling downwards, milk or the Some juice is offered,

of the gods; for the world of the gods (déva) shines (dipyaté) as it were; by the oblations which make a great noise, he conquers the world of the fore-fathers wery noisy,\* as it were; by the oblations which fall downwards, he conquers the world of man; for the world of man down below, it were." 8.

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, by how many deities† does this Brahmá, (seated on the chair) to the right, protect to-day this sacrifice?" (He replied)—, "By one." "Which the one?" "The mind; the mind is infinite, infinite are the Visvédévas; he conquers thereby the world of the Infinite." 9.

He said,—"Yājnavalkya, how many hymns of praise, || will the Udgātar sing to-day in this sacrifice?" (He replied),—"Three." "Which are these three?" "Those to be recited before (the sacrifice,) those to be recited for the sake of the sacrifice, and thirdly, those to be recited for the sake of praise." "Which are those (three) according to their relation to the soul?" "The vital air that goes forwards (respiration) is those to be recited before, the vital air that goes downwards those to be recited for the sake of the sacrifice,\* the vital air that equalises those to be recited for the sake of praise." "What does he conquer by them?" "By the Mantras to be recited before, he conquers the world of man, by those to be recited for the sake of praise, the world of the heavens." Hence the Hotar As'vala became silent. 10.

<sup>\*</sup> The noise refers to the lumentations of those who are punished for their crimes, S'.

<sup>†</sup> The plural instead of the singular according to S'., is either used to connect this question with the former ones, or to deceive Yéjnavalkya.

<sup>?</sup> For by the mind is Brahms meditated upon.

<sup>5</sup> This means, that the modifications of the mind are infinite.

<sup>[]</sup> Riks either III the Rig or Sams Veda.

<sup>¶</sup> Both going upwards.

<sup>\*</sup> As the wind going downwards cances a noise.

### Second Brahmana.

Then asked\* him Artabhága,† from the family of Jaratkára,—
Yájnavalkya," said he, "how many fetters‡ are there, and how
many auxiliaries to them?" (He replied),—"Eight fetters and
eight auxiliaries." "Which are the eight fetters and the eight
auxiliaries?" 1.

The vital air that goes forwards, is a fetter; it is enhanced by the vital going downwards, which is its auxiliary; for by the vital air that goes downwards, smell is perceived. 2.

Speech is a fetter; it is enhanced by the word which is its auxiliary, for by speech words are pronounced. The tongue is a fetter; it is enhanced by taste which is its auxiliary; for by the tongue various kinds of taste become manifest. 4.

The eye I fetter; it is enhanced by colour which is its auxiliary; for by the eye colours are seen. 5.

The ear is a fetter; it enhanced by sound which is its auxiliary; for by the ear sounds are heard. 6.

The mind is a fetter; I is enhanced by desire which is its auxiliary; for by mind desires are formed. 7.

The hands are a fetter; it is enhanced by action which is its auxiliary; for by the hands actions are done. 8.

The skin a fetter; it is enhanced by touch which is its

- \* The absolute liberation from death in the relation of the latter III time and work, has been explained in the preceding Briamana. What then is the nature of death itself? Death is an attachment, produced from the natural ignerance of man, m to the material world and to the soul. Death II therefore a bond, and the senses and their objects by which death is characterised, are called chains and their enhancers, and it II the object of this Briamana to show the liberation from death, m characterised by the sensual chains and their enhancers, the sensual objects. S'.
  - † The son of Ritublaga.
- † The terms in the text are "graha" and "ati-graha," graha, by which something is seized and atigraha, which sids in the saizure, by which the saizure becomes stronger.
- § The vital air that goes forwards is here the some of smell, from its connexion with the present topic. S'.
  - || The vital air that goes downwards is here the sensation of smell, S'.

auxiliary; for by the skin the various kinds of touch become known. These are the eight fetters and the eight auxiliaries."

He said,—"Yajnavalkya, all this is the food of death. Which then is the deity whose food is death (himself)?" (He replied),—"Fire verily is death; the same is the food of the water; (thereby) death again is conquered." 10.

He said,—"Yājnavalkya, when this spirit (Purusha) dies, do then the organs\* ascend from him?"† Yājnavalkya said;—"No, by no means, no, by no means; there‡ united they are dissolved in him; he becomes swollen, he becomes full of wind, when blown upon: the dead sleep." | 11.

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, when this spirit dies, then what does not leave him?" (He replied),—"The name. The name indeed is infinite, infinite are the Visvédévas; he conquers thereby the world of the l'infinite." 12.

He said,—"Yájnavalkya, —when the speech\* of the spirit departed is dissolved into fire, the vital air into the wind, the eye into the sun, the mind into the moon, the ear into the quarters, the body into earth, the soul† into the ether, the hairs of the body into annual herbs, the hairs of the head into trees, blood and semen into the waters, where then does this spirit remain?"—(He replied),—"Take, O gentle Artabhága, my hand, (and let us go to a lonely place, there) we shall know (the

<sup>\*</sup> The fetters above mentioned, as speech, and their auxiliaries are the impressions of words, etc.

<sup>+</sup> From him who knows Brahma,

I In him who knows Brahme.

<sup>§</sup> In the supreme Brahma; they become of the same nature with him.

<sup>[]</sup> There I no actual death; when the bonds are destroyed, the liberated doss not proceed any where.

In mand If, the state, which is obtained by the liberated, has been mentioned. What then does become after death of him who is not liberated? The answer may given in the present section.

<sup>\*</sup> By speech, the vital airs, etc., according to S'. the tatelary deities are here to understood, and not the organs, because they do not depart.

<sup>†</sup> The soul (atms) means here the place of the soul, the other of the heart.

answer of) this question; this our (question) cannot be (decided) in a crowded place."—Going there they deliberated. What they said there, was work,\* what they praised there, was work. By holy work verily a person becomes holy, unholy by unholy." Hence Krtabhága from the family of Jaratkára became silent. 18.

### Third Brahmana.+

Then asked him Bhujya, the son of Lahya,—"Yajuavalkya," said he, wandering (once) in the country of the Madras for the sake of studying the Védas, we came to the house of Patanchala of the family of Kapi. His daughter was possessed by a Gandharva.; We asked him,—'Who art thou?' He answered,—'I am Sudhanvat, of the family of Angirasa.' When asking him about the boundaries of the world, we said to him,—'Where are the Párikshitas?' I (now) ask thee, Yajnavalkya, where are the Párikshitas?' 1.

He (Yájnavalkya) said,—"Verily he (the Gandharva), said,— They (the Páriakhitas) went where the performers of the Asvamédha-sacrifice go." "Where then go the performers of the Asvamédha-sacrifice?" "This world§ extends to thirty-two days (of the journey) of the car of the sun; the earth extends twice as

- \* Work as the material cause of man again assuming a body, etc.
- † This Brahmann, according to S'., is to show, that the rites have only worldly effects, and that absolute liberation I thereby impossible. The highest among all rites I the performance of the horse-sacrifice, in its double form, either as an actual enerifice, accompanied with knowledge, or an a symbolical sacrifice by mental representation. The effect resulting from the horse-sacrifice, is, either individual, vis. the obtaining of the nature of fire and other deities, or universal, as referring I the deity of the universe, vis. the obtaining of the nature of Hiranysgarbha, the first-born, that is to say, by neither of those effects absolute liberation from the world, or identity with Brahma II obtained.
- ‡ A being of superhuman power. By saying, that he has his knowledge from such a being, Bhujya means to assert, that his knowledge must be aspector to that 

  Yéjnavalkya, as not derived from such a source. S'.
- § This world II, according to S'. the space, enclosed by the mountains of the rising and the setting sun.

far everywhere; the ocean extends twice as far as the earth everywhere. There\* as far as the edge of a rasor, or the wing of a fly (extends), so far extends the ether in the midst. Indra,† in the shape of a falcon surrendered them (the Párikshitas), to the wind; the wind placing them upon himself‡ carried them there, where the performers of the Asvamédha-sacrifice abide. In this manner he (the Gandharva) praised the wind; therefore the wind is indeed the individuality, the wind the totality. Whoever thus knows, conquers the second death." Hence Bhujya, the son of Lahya, became silent. 2.

### Fourth Brahmana.

Then asked him Ushasta, the son of Chakra,—"Yājnaval-kya," said he, "do explain to me that Brahma who is a witness and present, it that soul, which is within every (being)." (He replied),—"It is thy soul which is within every (being)." "Which soul is within every one, O Yājnavalkya?" "That which breathes

There, according to S'.'s explanation, means at the aperture of the two halves of the mundane egg. To this end of the world leads a road of the length, above described, and through the aperture, which is the other, the perfurmers of the horse-secrifice go, to be united with the wind that surrounds it.

<sup>+</sup> Paramés'vara. 5'.

<sup>#</sup> Making them like himself.

f The connaxion between the present question and the two preceding ones in thus stated by S'. It has been declared that, seized by the fetters and their auxiliaries, viz. the senses and their objects, which are produced by virtue and vice, man roams about in the world, again and again shaking off those fetters, and again and again assuming them. The highest elevation to be guined by virtue has also been explained, that is to say, the acquirement of the same nature with the two-fold nature of illianyagarbha, viz. with his nature as separated within individual existences. The present question il to enquire, whether ill who, seized by the senses and their objects, assumes one body after the other, exists or not, and if he exists, what is his nature, that ill to say it shows, how to distinguish the soul from every other thing as the indispensable means of liberation; for the nature of the soul being comprehended, liberation ensues from the above described bondage.

ii Witness and present, the first, according to S', means " not obstructed by any thing," and the second " without attributes."

by the breath, is thy soul\* which within every (being); that which descends by the descending air, is thy soul which is within every (being); that which goes everywhere by the air going everywhere, in thy soul which is within every (being); that which ascends by the ascending air, is the soul which within every (being); this thy soul is within every (being)." 1.

Ushasta, the son of Chakra, said,—"As some one may say: This a cow, this is a horse, thus is this (Brahma) described by thee.† Do (now) explain to me that Brahma who is a witness and present, that soul which is within every (being)." "It is thy soul which is within every (being)." "Which soul is within every (being), Yajnavalkya?" "Thou couldst; not behold the beholder of the beholding; thou couldst not hear the hearer of the hearing; thou couldst not mind the minder of the minding; thou couldst not know the knower of the knowing. This thy soul is within every (being); every thing different from it, is transient." Hence Ushasta, the son of Chakra, became silent. 2.

# Fifth Bráhmana,

Then asked him Kahola, the son of Kushitaka,—" Yajnavalkya," said he, " do explain to me that Brahma, who is a witness

<sup>\*</sup> The soul, whose nature in knowledge, S'.

<sup>†</sup> To show Bratuma by such signs as respiration, etc. is the came, as ill some body who engages to define a cow, etc. defines it merely by signs, saying for instance, the animal which goes there is a cow; that ill to say, thy definition is an improper one.

<sup>†</sup> Yájnavalkya declines we give a definition of Brahma with way, as we is given of a jor, etc. And his reason for declining it, is that such a definition is contradictory to the nature of Brahma. For the nature of Brahma consists in the agency described as beholding, etc. The soul is the beholder of the beholding. The beholding, hearing, knowing, etc. is therefore twofold, the common one and that to which with in reality applies. The common beholding, etc. we a modification of the internal organ by means of its connexion with the eye, etc. This is an effect, and has therefore a commencement and an end; but the beholding, etc. of the soul, the beholding of the beholding, has, by its own nature, mb beginning and no end.

and present, that soul, which it is within every (being)."\* (He replied),—"It is thy soul, which is within every (being)."
"Which is (the soul), O Yájnavalkya, that is within every (being)?" = (It is the soul) which conquers hunger, thirst, grief, delusion, old age, (and) death. When Bráhmanas know this soul,† then elevating themselves from the desire of obtaining a son,‡ from the desire of wealth, and from the desire of gaining the worlds, they lead the life of wandering mendicants; for the desire of a son, is also the desire for wealth; the desire for wealth\$ is also the desire for the worlds; for both are even desires. Therefore knowing wisdom let the Bráhmana arm himself with strength. || Knowing wisdom and strength¶ the

- \* In the first three Brahmanes the bondage of the soul together with its cause has been declared. In the fourth the existence of the so bound soul and its independence on every other thing has been set forth. The fifth Brahmana is to show the knowledge of the soul in connexion with the renunciation of the world as the cause of the liberation of the soul from bondage. In this and the preceding Brahmonas the question, " Explain to me that Brahma, etc." is the same, and it might therefore be justly saked, whether the two questions refer to one and the same soul, or to two, the supreme and the individual souls. The latter case appears the correct one on the first giance; for if it is one and the same soul, the second question seems uscless; on a closer consideration, however, this view must be abandoned; for one and the same whole of causes and effects is possessed of soul merely by one poul. The difference between the present and the preceding questions is this, that in the latter the soul is described, as far as it has existence and is independent of the body, while in the former the particular knowledge, in connexion with the renunciation of the world, is described, by which the liberation from that bondage takes place. S'.
  - † Know the soul, that is say, their own soul as the supreme Brahma.
- ? The desire of being united with a wife for the purpose of obtaining a son, by whom they were able to conquer the world. S'.
- § Wealth I twofold, human and divine wealth. Human wealth supplies the means III perform rites; by these rites, if unaccompanied with knowledge, the world of the forefathers is gained. Divine wealth is knowledge; by rites, connected therewith, the world of the gods is gained, and the same also by mere knowledge. From this knowledge also an elevation is necessary to obtain perfect liberation from the world; for in reality that knowledge II ignorance. S',
- || Wisdom, Pándityam, the knowledge of Brahma, strength, such as results from the knowledge of Brahma.
  - I The thinker, Muni, explained by S', mananat Muni, that a Yogi,

thinker,\* knowing the thinking and the not thinking of Brahma, will become a (true) Bráhmana." "By what (work) will the Bráhmana live?" = He will remain such an one by any (work).† Any (state) different from this (state of a Bráhman) is perishable." Then Kahola, the son of Kushítaka, became silent. 1.

### Sixth Bráhmana.

Then asked; him Gargi, the daughter of Vachakau,—"Yajnavalkya," said she, "all this (earth) is woven and rewoven on the waters; upon what then are the waters woven and rewoven?" (He replied),—"On the wind, Gargi." "On what then is woven and rewoven the wind?" "On the worlds of the atmosphere, Gargi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the atmosphere?" "On the worlds of the Gandharvas, O Gargi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the Gandharvas?" "On the worlds of Aditya, O Gargi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of Aditya?" "On the worlds of the moon, O Gargi." "On what then are woven the worlds of the moon?" "On the worlds of the stars, O Gargi." "On

<sup>&</sup>quot;Not thinking and thinking, thus I have translated literally, different from S','s explanation, who explains "Amaunam," the knowledge of soul and the removing a belief of non-soul, and "Maunam the ultimate effect from removing a belief in the non-soul.

<sup>†</sup> The sense is, for him who has acquired the true knowledge of Brahma, every work is indifferent; he is above work.

<sup>‡</sup> In the last two Brahmanas it has been declared, that the soul in within all ; the next three Brahmanas are to give a more exact definition of this. K. G.

<sup>5</sup> This II the case, because the earth every where from without and within II pervaded by the water; otherwise it would be scattered every where like a handful of pounded rice. Here applies this argument, all that II an effect, that is finite and gross, is pervaded by something, which is a cause, which II infinite and subtile; and this commences from the five elements up to the soul. S'.

Il Instead of "on the wind," the snawer "on the fire" should be here expected; but says S', the fire has no existence of its own, independent of water and earth, and is therefore not mentioned separately.

The worlds of the atmosphere are composed of the five elements. S'.

what then are the worlds of the stars woven and rewoven?"
"On the worlds of the gods, O Gárgi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of the gods?" "On the worlds of Indra, O Gárgi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of Indra?" "On the worlds of Prajápati, O Gárgi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of Prajápati?" "On the worlds of Brahma, O Gárgi." "On what then are woven and rewoven the worlds of Brahma." "Gárgi," said he, "do not ask an improper question,\* in order that thy head may not drop down. Thou askest the deity which is not to be questioned. Do not question, O Gárgi." Thence Gárgi, the daughter of Vachaknu, became silent. 1.

## Seventh Bráhmana.

Then asked him t Uddálaka, the son of Aruna,-" Yáinavalkya." said he, "in the country of the Madras we abode in the house of Patanchala of the family of Kapi for the sake of studying the science of offering. His wife was possessed by a Gandharva. We asked him (the Gandharva), 'Who art thou?' He said, 'Kabandha, the son of Atharvana.' He said to Patanchala, of the family of Kapi, and to (us) priests, 'O Kapya, knowest thou that Thread by which this world, and the other world and all beings; are bound together?' Patanchala, of the family of Kapi, said,—'I do not know it, O Venerable.' said to Patanchala, of the family of Kapi, and to (us) priests §,-Knowest thou, O Kapya, that inner Ruler who within rules this world and the other world, and all beings?' Patanchala, of the family of Kapi, said, 'I do not know (this). O Venerable.' He said to Patanchala, of the family of Kapi, and to (us) priests, 'O Kápya, whoever knows the Thread and the

Do not ask an improper question, because it cannot be decided by argument, but only by the Shatra, S'.

<sup>†</sup> Patanchala's pupils.

<sup>‡</sup> From Brahma downwards to insuimate matter, S'.

<sup>§</sup> Patanchala's pupils.

Inner Ruler, knows Brahma, knows the worlds, knows the gods, knows the Védas, knows the elements,\* knows the soul, knows all.' (Then the Gaudharva) said (all about the Thread and the Inner Ruler) to them. Therefore do I know this. If thou, O Yájnavalkya, ignorant of the Thread and the Inner Ruler, hast taken away the Brahma cows,† thy head will certainly drop down." "I know verily, Gautama, the Thread and the Inner Ruler." "Any one may say this, I know, I know; but tell the manner, in which thou knowest." 1.

He said,—"The wind, O Gautama, is the Thread; by the wind as by a thread are this world, the other world, all beings bound together, O Gautama. Therefore, O Gautama, it is said of a dead man, that his members are relaxed; for by the wind, O Gautama, as by a thread, they are bound together." This is so, O Yájnavalkya, (now) explain the Inner Ruler."2.

"He who dwelling in the earth is within the earth, whom the earth does not know, whose body is the earth, who within rules the earth, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 3.

"He who dwelling in the waters is within the waters, whom the waters do not know, whose body are the waters, who within rules the waters, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal, 4."

He who dwelling in the fire is within the fire, whom the fire does not know, whose body is the fire, who within rules the fire, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 5.

"He who dwelling in the atmosphere is within the atmosphere, whom the atmosphere does not know, whose body is the atmosphere, who within rules the atmosphere, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal, 6.

"He who dwelling in the wind is within the wind, whom the wind does not know, whose body is the wind, who within rules the wind, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 7.

"He who dwelling in the heavens is within the heavens, whom

<sup>\*</sup> Or the beings.

<sup>†</sup> The cows destined for the best knower of Brahma.

<sup>1</sup> The drity of the carth.

the heavens do not know, whose body are the heavens, who from within rules the heavens, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 8.

He who dwelling in the sun, is within the sun, whom the sun does not know, whose body is the sun, who from within rules the sun, in thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal.

He who dwelling in the quarters, is within the quarters, whom the quarters do not know, whose body are the quarters, who from within rules the quarters, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 10.

He who dwelling in the moon and stars, is within the moon and stars, whom the moon and stars do not know, whose body are the moon and stars, who from within rules the moon and stars, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 11.

He who dwelling in the ether, within the ether, whom the ether does not know, whose body is the ether, who from within rules the ether, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 12.

He who dwelling in the darkness, is within the darkness, whom the darkness does not know, whose body is the darkness, who from within rules the darkness, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 18.

He who dwelling in the light, within the light, whom the light does not know, whose body is the light, who from within rules the light, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal.

This is (his) relation to the gods. Next of (his) relation to the elements. 14.

He who dwelling in all elements, is within the elements, whom the elements do not know, whose body are the elements, who from within rules the elements, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. This is (his) relation to the elements. Next of (his) relation to the soul. 15.

He who dwelling in the vital air,\* is within the vital air, whom the vital air does not know, whose body is the vital air,

<sup>\*</sup> In smell, accompanied by the air of respiration. S'.

who from within rules the vital air, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 16.

He who dwelling in speech, within speech, whom speech does not know, whose body is speech, who from within rules speech, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 17.

He who dwelling in the eye, is within the eye, whom the eye does not know, whose body is the eye, who from within rules the eye, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 18.

He who dwelling in the ear, is within the ear, whom the ear does not know, whose body is the ear, who from within rules the ear, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 19.

He who dwelling in the mind, is within the mind, whom the mind does not know, whose body is the mind, who from within rules the mind, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 20.

He who dwelling in the skin, is within the skin, whom the skin does not know, whose body is the skin, who from within rules the skin, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 21.

He who dwelling in knowledge, is within knowledge, whom knowledge does not know, whose body is knowledge, who from within rules knowledge, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. 22.

He who dwelling in the seed,\* is within the seed, whom the seed does not know, whose body is the seed, who from within rules the seed, is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. Unseen he sees, unheard he hears, unminded he minds, unknown he knows. There is none that sees, but he, there is none that minds, but he, there is none that minds, but he, there is none that knows, but he.† He is thy soul, the Inner Ruler, immortal. Whatever is different from him, perishable." Hence Uddálaka, the son of Arupa, became silent. 28.

<sup>\*</sup> In procreation. S'.

<sup>+</sup> Vid. Br. K. S, 4, 2, Frag'ns U. 419, and Katha U. 6, 12. Mund 3, 3, Taitt. 2, 4, Talay. U. 1, 3.

## Eighth Bráhmana.

Then\* the daughter of Vachaknu said,—"O venerable Brahmans, I wish to ask him (further) two questions. If he answers them, then indeed none of you will ever defeat him in argument concerning Brahma." (They replied),—"Ask (him), I Gárgi." 1.

She said,—"As the king of the Kás'is or of the Vidéhas, the offspring of heroes, when he has bound the string to the stringless bow, rises in eight with two foe-piercing arrows in his hand, so I will rise before thee with two questions. Do thou make answer to me."—"Ask, O Gárgi." 2.

She said,—"What is above the heavens, O Yájnavalkya, what is beneath the earth, what is between, and what is these two, heavens and earth, and what is called the past, the present and the future,—upon what is all this woven and rewoven?" 3.

He said,—" What is above the heavens, O Gargi, what is beneath the earth, what is between, and is these two, heavens and earth, and what is called the past, the present, and the future,—all this is woven and rewoven on the ether." 4.

She said,—"I bow to thee, O Yájnavalkya, who hast explained this (question) to me; be prepared for the other." "Ask, O Gárgi." 5.

She said,—"What is above the heavens, what is beneath the earth, what is between, and what is these two, heavens and earth, and what is called past, present, and future,—upon what is all this woven and rewoven?" † 6.

He said,—"What is above the heavens, O Gargi, what is beneath the earth, what is between, and what is these two, heavens and earth, and what is called past, present, and future—is woven and rewoven on the ether." "Upon what then is the ether woven and rewoven?" 7.

<sup>\*</sup> This Brahman as independent of any attributes. S'.

<sup>†</sup> The second question, which is the same with the first, is intended firmly to establish the truth deciared before, S."

He said,—"It is called by the Brahmana\* the indestructible one, O Gargi. (This) is not of a gross body, it is not subtile, not long, not wide, not red,† not viscid,‡ not shadow, not darkness, not air, not ether, not adhesive,§ not taste, not smell, not eye, not ear, not speech, not mind, not light, not life, not entrance, i not measure, not within, not without. It does not consume any thing, nor does any one consume it.¶ 8.

"By the command of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, sun and moon stay upheld in their places,\*\* by the command of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, heavens and earth stay upheld in their places; by the command of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, minutes, hours, days and nights, the half months, the months, the seasons, the years stay upheld in their places; by the command of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, the eastern rivers†† flow from the snowy mountains (to the castern quarter), the western!! to the western quarter, and the others to the quarters, (ordained for them). By the command of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, men praise the giver, gods follow the sacrificer, (and) fore-fathers the oblation. 9.

"Whoever, ignorant of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, in this world performs offerings, adores the gods (and) practises austerities even many thousands of years, consumes at last the (fruit of his works). Whoever, ignorant of this indestructible (being), O Gárgi, departs from this world, becomes a miserçç again; whoever, knowing this indestructible (being), Gárgi, departs from this world, is a (true) Bráhman. 10.

- \* The Brahmens are here such as know Brahmu, St.
- † Prásna U. 4, 9, Mund 1, 5.
- 1 Like fire. S'. & Like water. S'. A Like lac. S'.
- ¶ Not entrance, to whom there is no cotrance, unapproachable.
- \*\* All these negatives are m establish the conviction, that Brahma has no attributes. Vide. Véj S. U. S. Katha U. 3, 15. Mund 1, 6.
  - †† Katha U. S, Z. Taitt. U. Z, S. †† The Ganga, etc. ‡‡ The Sindhu, etc. S'.
  - Stores up the effects from works, like a miser riches.

"This indestructible (being), and Gargi, although unseen sees, unheard hears, unminded minds, unknown knows. There is none that sees, but he, there is none that hears, but he, there is none that knows, but he.\* On this indestructible (being), O Gargi, the ether is verily woven and rewoven." 11.

She said,—"O venerable Brahmans, highly respect this (my word) and acquit yourself towards him (Yajnavalkys) with salutation. None among you will ever become his conqueror in argument concerning Brahma." Hence the daughter of Vachaknu became silent, 12.

## Ninth Brahmana,

Then asked+ him Vidagdha, the son of S'akala,—"How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" He (answered),—"This can be learnt from the Nivit;‡ as many (gods) me are mentioned in the Nivit of the Vais'vadéva (Sástra), (so many are there), (vis.) three and three hundred, and three and three thousand (3,306)."\$ He said,—"Om! How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" "Thirty-three."—He said,—"Om! How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" "Three." He said,—"Om! How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" "Two." He said,—"Om! How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" "Two." He said,—"Om! How

Prag'ns, 4, 8. Hd. Talavakára U. 1, 4—8.

<sup>†</sup> After it has been stated, that the earth, the other elements, etc., by their successively more and more subtile nature are, one to another, in the state which has been called "woven and rewoven," Brahma has been declared to be within all. (Bráhm. 4-6.) It has been farther stated, that Brahma has the attribute of "Ruler" in the divisions of the thread which pervade the whole manifested world, for all that is manifested requires, to be understood, the Ruler as its cause. The present Bráhmapa undertakes now to show, how the sature of Brahma as a witness, and as present, can be comprehended by the maximum and minimum numbers of the gods. II.

<sup>.</sup> The title of a set of Mantres, defining the number of deitles, S'.

<sup>§</sup> This II no doubt the amount of the number of the text, according to the explanation of S'ankars; the number given in the Tiká 3,336, II probably owing to the mis-apprehension of a copyist who added "trinsfat" (36.)

"Om! How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" "Adhyardha."\* He said,—"Om! How many gods are there, O Yájnavalkya?" "One." He said,—"Om! Which are these three and three hundred, and three and three thousand." 1.

He said,—"This even for their glory; there are (in reality) thirty-three gods." "Which are those thirty-three?" "Eight Vasus, eleven Rudras, twelve Kdityas. These are thirty-one; besides Indra and Prajápati. These are thirty-three." 2.

"Which are the Vasus?" "The fire, the earth, the wind, the atmosphere, the sun, the heavens, the moon, and the stars. These are the Vasus, for upon them this all is founded; this means Vasu, therefore they are called Vasus." 3.

"Which are the Rudras?" "The ten organs (Pránah) in man, and the soul as the eleventh. When they leave this body after death, they weep. Therefore, because they weep (Rodayanti), they are called Rudras." 4.

"Which are the Adityas?" "The twelve months of the year are the Adityas; for taking all this; they pass. Because taking all this they pass (ádadáná yanti), therefore they are called Adityas." 5.

"Who is Indra, who is Prajápati?" "The cloud is Indra, the sacrifice Prajápati." "Which is the cloud?" "The lightning." "Which is the sacrifice?" The animals." 6.

"Which are the six?" "The fire (Agni), the earth, the wind, the atmosphere, the sun and the heavens;‡ for they are six; for this all is six." 7.

"Which are the three gods?" "The three worlds, § for within

- Adhyardha, which is more than half, half of two would be one; to distinguish
  it from the next, this term appears to have been chosen; see the explanation,
  given in 9.
  - † All this, the age of man and the fruit, derived from works. S'.
  - ‡ In this number the moon and the stars are omitted.
- § Earth and fire together are here considered as one god, the atmosphere and the wind as the account, and the heavens and Aditys (the sun) as the third god. K. G.

them all those gods are (comprehended)." "Which are the two gods?" "Food and life." "Which is the Adhyardha?" = He who purifies."\* 8.

"Here it is objected,—He who purifies, is one even; how then is he Adhyardha?"† "Because all obtains increase in him,‡ therefore is he Adhyardha." "Which is the one god?" "Life; this is called Brahma, this what is beyond." § 9.

"Whoever knows that spirit (Purusha), whose abode is the earth, whose place (of sight) is the fire, whose light is the mind,—as the highest locality of every soul, is in truth the one who knows." "I know indeed, O Yájnavalkya, that spirit, the highest locality of every soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit who abides in the body." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said, "Immortality." 10.

"Whoever knows that spirit, whose abode is desire, whose place (of sight) is the heart, whose light is the mind, as the highest locality of every soul, is in truth one who knows." "I know indeed, O Yájnavalkya, that spirit, the highest locality of every soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit, whose nature is desire." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"Women." 11.

"Whoever knows that spirit whose abode are colours, whose place (of sight) is the eye, whose light is the mind, as the highest locality of every soul, is in truth one who knows." "I know indeed, O Yájnavalkya, that spirit, the highest locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit in the sun." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"Truth." 12.

<sup>•</sup> The wind. † The objection seems to be made from the literal meaning of Adhyardba, which ■ "half."

<sup>‡</sup> Adbyárdhnotadhi ridhim prápnoti.

<sup>4</sup> Vid. 2, 3, 1.

<sup>||</sup> Place (of sight) lokah, lokayati anencti. 8'.

The heart, intellect. S'.

Adayana yanti.

"Whoever knows that spirit, whose abode is the ether, whose place (of sight) is the ear, whose light in the mind,—as the locality of the whole soul, is in truth one who knows." "I know, O Yájnavalkya, the spirit, the locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit who abides in the ear, to whom all hearing is subject." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"The quarters." 18.

"Whoever knows the spirit, whose abode is darkness, whose place (of sight) is the heart, whose light is the mind,—as the highest locality of the whole soul, is in truth one who knows." "I know indeed, O Yajnavalkya, the spirit, who is the highest locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit, whose nature is shadow." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"Death." 14.

"Whose replace (of sight) is the eye, whose light the mind,—as the highest locality of the whole soul, is in truth one who knows." I know, O Yájnavalkya, the spirit, who is the highest locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit who abides in the looking-glass." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"The breath." 15.

"Whose replace (of sight) is the heart, whose light the mind, as the locality of the whole soul, is in truth one who knows." "I know, O Yájnavalkya, the spirit, who is the highest locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He is the spirit who abides in the waters." "Say, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"Varuṇa." 16.

"Whoever knows the spirit, whose abode is in the semen, whose place of sight in the heart, whose light is the mind, as the highest locality of the whole soul, is in truth one who knows." "I know indeed, O Yájnavalkya, that spirit, as the highest locality of the whole soul, of whom thou speakest. He in the spirit who abides in the sun." "Tell, O Sákalya, which is the deity of the same?" He said,—"Prajápati." 17.

Yájnavalkya said,—"O S'ákulya, these Bráhmans have made thee cindera." 18.

S'ákalya said,—O Yájnavalkya, (does this thy boast), that thou hast defeated these Kuru and Panchala Bráhmans in argument, (arise from a conceit that) thou knowest Brahma?" "I know the quarters with their deities and their localities." "If thou dost know the quarters with their deities and localities—19.

Which deity art thou in the eastern quarter?" "The sun." "Where is the sun located?" "In the eye." "Where has the eye its locality?" "In colours; for by the eye colours are seen." "Where is the locality of colours?" He said,—"In the heart; for colours are produced by the heart; the heart therefore is the locality of colour." "Thus is it, Yájnavalkya. 20.

Which deity art thou in the southern quarter?" "The deity of Yama." "Where is the locality of Yama?" "In sacrifice." "Where is the locality of the sacrifice?" "In the bestowal of gifts."\* "Where is bestowing of gifts?" "In faith; for if a man have faith, he gives gifts; faith therefore is the locality of bestowal of gifts." "Where is the locality of faith?" "In the heart," said he; "for by the heart he knows faith; the heart therefore is the locality of faith." "Thus is it, O Yájnavalkya. 21.

Which deity art thou in the western quarter?" "The deity of Varuna?" "Where is the locality of Varuna?" "In the waters." "Where is the locality of the waters?" "In the semen?" "Where is the locality of the semen?" "In the heart; for it is said of a son, who resembles (his father), he is dropped from (his) heart, as it were, he is made of (his) heart, as it were; the heart therefore is the locality of the semen." "Thus is it, O Yájuavalkya. 22.

Which deity art thou in the northern quarter?" "The deity of Soma." "Where the locality of Soma?" "In the

<sup>\*</sup> To the officiating priests, from whem the sacrificer purchases the sacrifice. S'.

initiatory rite."\* "Where is the locality of the initiatory rite?"
"In truth; for we say concerning a person, who has performed the initiatory rite,—speak the truth; the heart therefore is the locality of the initiatory rite." "Where is the locality of truth?" "In the heart," said he; "for by the heart man knows truth; the heart therefore is the locality of truth." "Thus is it, O Yájnavalkya. 23.

Which deity art thou in the polar quarter?" † "The deity of Agni?" "Where is the locality of Agni?" "In speech." "Where is the locality of speech?" "In the heart." "Where is the locality of the heart?" 24.

Yajuavalkya said,—"O Ahallika,‡ if thou believest III any time that the heart is in any other place than in our (body), if the same is in any other place than in our (body), then why do the dogs not eat it, or the birds not tear it?" 25.

Where art thou and where is self located?" "In "the vital air that goes forwards." Where is the location " of the vital air that goes forwards?" In the descending air." "Where is the location of the descending air?" "In the circulating air." "Where is the location of the circulating air?" "In the ascending air." "Where is the location of the ascending air?" "In the equalising air. This soul, which is neither this, nor that, nor aught else, is intangible, for it is not to be laid hold of; it is not to be dissipated, for it cannot be dissipated; it is without contact, for it does not come into contact; it is not limited; it is not subject to pain, nor to destruction.-There are eight abodes, eight places (of sight), eight deities, and eight Purushas. Whoever understands those Purushas in their division, | and again in their union, | has overcome (the world). I ask thee about the Purusha in the Upanishads. And thou explain not him to me, thy head will

A rite previous to the ascrifice. This may be also rendered, "In the initiatory Mantra," the Mantra which the sacrificer receives previous to the sacrifice.

<sup>†</sup> The quarter which is above those who dwell on the mountain Meru. S'.

<sup>1</sup> Aballika, shani liyate, a being who disappears in the day time, a ghost. B'.

<sup>§</sup> Atma means here the body. S'.

In their eight-fold division. S'.

T As they are united in the heart. S'.

fall off." S'akalya knew him not, so his head fell off. Moreover robbers took away his bones, mistaking them for some thing else.\* 26.

Then he said,—"O venerable Brahmans, let any one among you who wishes it, question me, or do you so all together. Or I will question any one among you who desires it; or I will question you altogether." The Brahmanas dared not. 27.

He questioned them by these Slokas,-"As the tree is identical with the lord of the forest, + so is the Purusha identical with truth. His hair is the leaves, his skin the external bark. From his skin blood flows, as sap from the bark; therefore if he be hurt, blood proceeds from him, as sap from a wounded tree. The parts of flesh are the layers! (of wood); the inner bark is firm like the tendons. The bones are the inner layers of wood; the pith is made like the marrow. If a tree be cut down, it springs up anew from the root. From what root rises mortal (man), if cut down by death? Do not say, from the semen, because this is produced from the living. The tree springs (also) from seed; after it has died away, it is visibly produced (again from seed). If the tree be destroyed together with its root, it does not spring up afresh. If then mortal (man) be cut down by death, from what root shall he spring up? He who has (once) been born, cannot be born (any more), Who (then) produces him afterwards again?" [

It is Brahma, who is knowledge and bliss, the highest aim of the giver of wealth¶ (as he is) of that one who abideth (in Him) and knoweth him.\* 5.

While his body was being carried for the performance of the funeral rites by his disciples to his house, S'.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;Vanaspati," lord of the forest, is another name for "tree."

<sup>‡</sup> This evidently refers to the outer woody layer or alburnum.

<sup>4</sup> Or with its seed. S'.

<sup>||</sup> Here is the end of the narrative. The Brahmana could not answer the question of Yajnavalkya with reference to the last came of the world, and thereby the superiority of his knowledge was established. In the next sentence the answer is given by the S'ruti itself, S'.

I Of him who performs ocromonies, of the sacrificer, S'.

<sup>\*</sup> Of him, who no longer practising rites known Brahma in his true nature, S',

#### FOURTH CHAPTER.

#### First Bráhmana.

Janaka,\* the king of the Vidéhan, sat (on his throne). Then came Yájnavalkya. He said,—"Why hast thou come, O Yájnavalkya? Is it seeking cattle, or subtile (questions)?" = Even both, O king of kings," said he. 1.

"Let us hear, what any has taught thee." "Jitvá, the son of S'ilina taught me, speech is Brahma." As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, the son of S'ilina taught, speech is Brahma—for of what use is a person who cannot speak? He, no doubt, taught thee his (Brahma's) place and site."† "He did not teach me that Brahma II only one-footed,! O king of kings." "Then, O Yájnavalkya, do

- The connexion of the two next Bransmans with the preceding chapter II thus explained by S'ankars,—It has been stated, that the eight Purushan (Vid. 3, 9, 10—17), are separated from each other, and again united in the beart; that this again separates into five according to the division of the quarters (L. c. 16—24); again, that they, the heart and the body, in their mutual dependence are one, in the Sútra or the soul of the world, Samána by name, whose nature III present in the five vital airs (c. l. 26); and lastly, that the Parasha of the Upanishad surpasses the soul of the world or the Sútra and is to be defined by negations, and at the same time (positively) in the present Brahma, and the substantial cause of all in the words "Knowledge and blass, etc." (c. l. 28.) In the sext two Bráhmanas III will be explained, in what manner this Brahma may again be comprehended by the deities of speech, etc. Ananda Giri expresses the councaion briefly thus,—In the former chapter the nature of Brahma, as existence, knowledge and IIIss, has been defined after the manner of a wrangling dispute, in the present it is explained in a formal argument.
- † According S'. place (ayatana) means body, and site (Pratishtha) the continual existence in the past, present and future times, where body seems E denote the transient, and site the permanent nature of Brahma with regard to time.
- † This passage is rather obscure and admits of several explanations. The literal translation may be two-fold. First, "he did not tell me so. This Brahma is one-footed, O king of kings." And the apparent meaning is, Thou hast determined Brahma by two attributes, as having place and site. But this in not correct; for,

thou give us the explanation." "Verily, speech\* is the place, the ether, the site, the knowledge! In this view t let one meditate on him (Brahma)." What knowledge, t O Yájnavalkya?" He said, -" Verily, speech, O king of kings. By speech, Wking of kings, a friend made known : (so are made known) the Rig Véda, the Yajur Véda, the Sáma Véda, the Atharvans and Angiras the narratives, the doctrines of creation, the science, the Upanishads, the memorial verses, the aphorisms, the explanation of tenets, the explanation of Mantras, & the fruits of sacrifices, of offerings, of bestowing food, of bestowing drink, this world and the other world and all beings. By speech, O king of kings, is Brahma known; speech, O king of kings, is the supreme Brahma. Speech does not desert him who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahma), all beings approach him; || having become a god, verily he goes to the gods!" "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. Yájnavalkya said,—"My father admonished me ---where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)." 2.

being single in all respects, Brahma can have only one attribute. This explanation, however, does not agree with the next passage, where speech, representing Brahma, has four attributes, viz. place, ether, site, and knowledge; unless the last attribute (knowledge) be considered as the true one which defines the nature of Brahma. Or secondly,—" He did not tell me, that this (Brahma) is only one-fouted,"—as thou supposest it to be, but rather four-footed. S'ankara adopts neither of these interpretations, but explains the passage as follows,—" The other, (Yájnavalkya) said,—" He did not tell me (so)" The other (the king) said,—" If this is the case, then is Brahma one-footed." "(The idea of) Brahma is without effect, if he meditated upon me having only three feet, O king of kings." " If so, then explain the matter to me according to thy knowledge, O Yájnavalkya." S.'s explanation agrees in sense, although not in detail, with the second version, above given."

- · Speech, the speech of Brahma, represented by the deity of speech. S'.
- † By this knowledge, being the fourth foot of the Brahma of the Upanishad. S'.
- ‡ This question means, is it knowledge itself, or the cause of knowledge? Is it distinguished from Brahma, as his place and foundation are, or it is not distinguished from him? And the answer implies, that it is not distinguished. S'.
  - § Vid. p. 179, where the above terms have been explained.
  - With offerings and presents.

"Let us hear, what another has taught thee." Udanka, the son of S'ulbasa, told me, life is Brahma." " As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, the son of S'ulbasa taught, life Brahma; for of what use is a person who has no life? He. no doubt, taught thee his place and site." "He did not teach me that Brahma is only one-footed, O king of kings." "Then, O Yajnavalkya, give us the explanation." "Life is even the place, the ether, the site, that which is dear to us! In this view let one meditate on him." "What dear object, O Yájnavalkva?" He said,—"Verily life is the dear object, O king of kings. For love of life, O king of kings, one desires what is not desirable, seizes on what is not to be seized; for the desire of life, O king of kings, arises dread of being killed\* wherever one goes. Life, O king of kings, is the supreme Brahma. Him who that knowing meditates on that (Brahma), does life not desert, him all beings approach; having become a god, he even goes to the gods!" "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. Yájnavalkya said,-"My father admonished me-where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)." 3.

"Let us hear, what another has taught thee." "Barkku, the son of Vrishna, taught me, the eye is Brahma." "As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, the son of Vrishna taught, the eye is Brahma; for of what use is one who does not see? He, no doubt, taught thee his place and site." "He did not teach me that Brahma is only one-footed, O king of kings." "Then, O Yájnavalkya, give us the explanation." "Verily the eye is the place, the ether, the site, the truth! In this view let one meditate on him." "Which truth, O Yájnavalkya?" He said,—"The eye, O king of kings. When one who sees with the eye, is asked, O king of kings, hast thou seen? (Should) he answer, I have seen, then that (which he has seen) is truth. The eye,

<sup>\*</sup> From robbers, etc.

O king of kings, is the supreme Brahma. The eye not deserts him who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahma); all beings approach him; having become god, verily he goes the gods." "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. Yájnavalkya said,—"My father admonished me—where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)." 4.

"Let us hear, what another has taught thee." "Gardabhívipíta, of the family of Bharadvája, taught me, the ear is Brahma."\* "As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, Bháradvája taught, the ear is Brahma; for of what use he who does not hear? He, no doubt, taught thee his place and site." "He did not teach me that Brahma is only one-footed, O king of kings." "Then, O Yajnavalkya, give us the explanation." "Verily the ear is the place, the ether, the site, the infinite! In this view let one meditate on him." "What infinite, O Yajnavalkya?" He said,-"Verily the quarters are infinite, O king of kings. Therefore, O king of kings, none comes to the end of any quarter which soever: for the quarters are infinite. The quarters, O king of kings, are the ear; the ear, O king of kings, is the supreme Brahma. The ear not deserts him, who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahma), all beings approach him; having become a god. verily he goes to the gods." "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. Yájnavalkya said,-"My father admonished me-where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)." 5.

"Let us hear, what another has taught thee." "Satyakama the son of Jabala, taught me, the mind is Brahma." "As one having an excellent mother, father and teacher, the son of Jabala taught, the mind is Brahma; for of what use is he who has no mind? He, no doubt, taught thee his place and site."

He did not teach me that Brahma is only one-footed, O king

<sup>\*</sup> And thus the eye differs from the other senses, for instance from the evidence ill words where doubt may arise.

of kings." "Then, O Yájnavalkya, give us the explanation."
"The mind is the place, the ether, the site, happiness! By this let one meditate on him." "Which bliss, O Yájnavalkya?" He said,—"Verily the mind, O king of kings. By the mind, I king of kings, one has a desire after a wife; through her a son similar (to one-self) is born; he (the son) is bliss. The mind, O king of kings, is the supreme Brahma. Mind not deserts him, who with this knowledge, meditates on that (Brahma), all beings approach him; having become a god, verily he goes to the gods." "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. Yájnavalkya said,—"My father admonished me—where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts). 6.

"Let us hear, what another has taught thee." "Vidagdha, of the family of S'akalya, taught me, the heart is Brahma." "As one, having an excellent mother, father and teacher S'akalya taught, the heart is Brahma; for of what use is he who has no heart. He, no doubt, taught thee his place and site." "He did not teach me that Brahma is (only) onefooted. O king of kings." "Then, O Yajnavalkya, give us the explanation." "Verily the heart is the place, the ether, the site, the locality l" "Which locality, O Yajaavalkya?" He said,—"The heart, O king of kings, is the place of all beings; the heart, O king of kings, is the site of all beings; in the heart, O king of kings, are all the beings sited. The heart. O king of kings, is the supreme Brahma. Heart not deserts him who with this knowledge meditates on that (Brahma), all beings approxim him; having become a god, verily he goes the gods." "I will give thee a thousand cows, big as elephants," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. Yájuavalkya said,-"My father admonished me-where one does not instruct, one should not take (gifts)." 7.

## Becond Bráhmana.\*

Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas, (rising) from (his) throne, approached (Yájnavalkya) with humility saying,—"I bow to thee, I Yájnavalkya, do thou instruct me." He said,—"As one who is going to travel a great distance, takes a chariot or a boat, so art thou prepared in mind (for the knowledge of Brahma) by those Upanishads;† thus art thou venerable, wealthy; thus hast thou read the Védas, and art instructed in the Upanishads.‡ (I now ask thee), when liberated from this (body), where art thou to go?" "I know not, O Venerable, where I am to go." "Then I will tell thee, where thou art to go." "Say, O Venerable." 1.

Indhas is verily the name of that Purusha who dwells in the right eye. Him whose true name is Indha, they call Indra, by an indirect name; for the gods like indirect names, and dislike to be named directly. 2.

Again, that which in the shape of a Purusha dwells in the left eye, is his wife, the Virat. The union of them\* is the

- \* In the antecedent Brahmana have been mentioned some modes of meditation as conductive towards the knowledge of Brahma; the present Brahmana is to set forth the states of waking, etc. as means of atteining the knowledge of Brahma. K. G.
- † The term "Upanisheds" means here of course not the knowledge of Brahma, but certain meditations with regard to Brahma which have been explained in the antecedent Brahmana.
- ‡ But though thou art furnished with all these appliances, yet then hast not attained the highest object of man, unless thou have the knowledge of Brahms. S'.
- § It is intended to show the fourth (or suprema's Brahma by re-capitulating what has been said before with reference to Brahma considered as Vis'wa, (or the soul, endowed with a gross body), so Thijasa, (or the soul, endewed with a subtle body) and as Prájna (or the soul endowed with a causal body). A. G.
  - il Vide a similar passage in the Aitar. U. 1, 3, 14.
- T Vais'wanara, although one, is, in the present sentence and in the preseding section, represented, as a comple, vis. Indra and Indrani, for the purpose of meditating upon him. A. G. That A'. is right, is evident from the term Virát, another name of Vais'wanara, which refers to both Indra and Indrani and points out the idea according to which they are to be comprehended as one.
- \* The union of Indra and Indrana, which in the state of waking II called Vis'wa (Vais'wauars, Virht), bears in the state of dream the name of Taijasa. K. G.

ether within the heart;\* again their food is the red lump within the heart; again their place of retreat is the net-work† within the heart; again the road to be travelled† on, is the artery which rises upwards from the heart. As a hair a thousand times divided thus do its (the body's) veins, called the good, become which are located within the heart. Proceeding by these (veins) that (food) proceeds. There is, as it were, nourishment yet more subtile than that. From this corporeal soul (is nourished the higher soul).

Its¶ eastern quarter are the vital airs that go to the east, its southern quarter the vital airs that go to the south, its western quarter the vital airs that go to the west, its northern quarter the vital airs that go to the north, his ascending quarter the ascending vital airs, his descending quarter the descending vital airs, all quarters all vital airs. This soul

- \* The union of them (as Taijasa) takes place in the other of the heart, and the heart is here the lump of flesh on which they subsist. To understand this, it is necessary is know the different kinds of food for the different parts of the body. Food undergoes a double modification by the process of digestion, a gross and a more subtile son. The product of the former is carried off by excretion. The product of the latter is again modified by the digestive heat and converted into two juices. The one juice of mean subtility, consisting of the five elements, nourishes the gross body by its change into blood, &c. The other juice is very subtile, and constitutes the red lump which, after it has entered the fine blood-vessels of the heart, supplies the sustemance of the subtile body of Indra (as Taijasa), when united with Indrant in the heart. S'.
- † It mike a net-work from the countless number of apertures between the aubtile blood-vessels. S'.
  - I The road from the place of waking to the place of dream. St.
  - 4 Vid. Aitt, U. 1, 3, 14.
- Or, there is yet (a higher soul) than the one mentioned, whose food (the food of the higher soul) is more subtile. The corporcal soul is Vis'wanara, and the higher, Taijasa.
- Taijess, whose abode is in the heart, when supported by subtite life, becomes life (Prána), that it is say, the Prána which is called Prájas (the soul of imperfect knowledge, or the third state of the individual soul, corresponding to the third state in the universal soul, which is called I's wars, the Raler). This refers to him who knows, and who from the state of Vais' wansers has gradually obtained the state of Taijasa and of life (Prána). S'.

which is neither this, nor aught else, which is intangible—for it cannot be laid hold of—, not to be dissipated—for II cannot be dissipated—, without contact—for it cannot come into contact—, not limited, not subject to pain nor to destruction; this fearless (soul), O Janaka, is obtained by thee," thus said Yájnavalkya. Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas, said,—" May that fearless (Brahma) become thy own, O Yájnavalkya, which thou, O Venerable, hast taught us. I bow to thee; let this kingdom of the Vidéhas and this myself be thine. ‡ 4.

## Third Brahmana,

Yájnavalkyaş went to Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. He bethought him, I will not say aught. (It happened that formerly), when Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas, and Yájnavalkya

- \* One who thus knows, attains gradually the general soul. When he has identified the individual soul with the universal soul, he obtains the fourth Brahms by such negations as he in not this, he is not that. S'. Vid. Br. A. 3, 9, 26.
  - † Fearless, because it is beyond any cause of fear, as buth, death, etc. B'.
  - ‡ Vid. Chh. U'., 3, 12, 6. and Katha Up. 1, 27-29.
- In his introduction to the present Brahmana, showing its connexion with the preceding section, S.' recapitulates the principal points of the third obspter. The visible and present Brahma is also the supreme Brahma whose nature is knowledge, which is evident from such passages as, "There is none that sees but he," (3, 8, 11). This (Brahms), after be has entered the body, is divided according to the functions of speech, etc. In the Madhukanda, in the part relating the conversation of Ajátasattru, Brahms - conceived, by the attributes of dominion and enjoyment of life, etc. (2, 4, 17), (as something different from life, etc.). Again in the question of Ushasta, representing him under the attributes of life, etc. (3, 4), in is comprehended in a general manner by such words as, "I That which breather by breath, etc. (l. c.), and in the full power of his nature by the words, " He in the beholder of the beholding." (If it is now saked why he should enter upon a worldly state, which not his true nature, the enswer is), The worldly state of him is the attribute of the suprems one (ignorance). As it is an effect of ignorance, if a rope, a desert place, a mother-of-pearl shell, the other, etc. be respectively considered as a snake, an water, silver, impure, etc., as this is an effect of ignorance, and not their own nature (so is an effect of ignorance, if a worldly state he attributed to the soul). (Brahma) who is without attribute and unattainable by word (or mind), must be defined by negations, as "He is not this, he most that, etc., and is (effirmatively) comprehended as the visible present soul (3, 5), pervading all, as the

had held converse together about the fire-offering.\* that Yájnavalkya had given him (permission to ask) a boon. boon la chose was, to question (Yajunvalkya) according to his pleasure. He granted that boon to him. The king of kings first asked him, +-"Yajnavalkya, of what light is this Purusha?" He said,-"Of the light of the sun. O indestructible Brahma (S, S), as the Inner Ruler (S, 7), as the Purashs of the Upanishads (3, 9, 26), as the Brahma whose nature is knowledge and bliss (4, 1). This Brahma is again conceived under the name of Indha (4, 2) as the soul, nourished by subtile food (Vais'wanara); (again) as the soul of the subtile body (lingatms, Taijasa), nourished by food, more subtile than that (of Vais'waners). Greater than this (or both, Vais'wanara and Taijasa) is the soul of the world, having the attribute of life. From this again a distinguished by knowledge the soul of the world, having the attribute of life, by the words,--- He is not this, be ill not thus, as a anake, ato, (is distinguished by knowledge) from a rope, etc., and thus the visible and present Brahma (the fourth) who is within all, is comprehended, (4, 1). In the same manner has Janaka obtained the fearless Brahma by the succinct instruction of Yajnavalkya according to the doctrine of the S'ruti. There (4, 2), are the states of waking, dream, profound sleep and of the fourth mentioned for another purpose (for the purpose of showing the gradual liberation of the soul from the attachment to the world as the effect of those kinds of meditation, A', G.) viz. Indha (4, 2, 2), he, whose nourlabment is subtile (4, 2, 3), all life (4, 2, 4) and 4 He is not this, in is not that," (a. l.) In the present Britmaga (Orahma) is to be comprehended by means of the states of waking, dream, sto. through the operation of profounddiscussion, as the fearless; the existence of the soul (is to im comprehended) by removing any doubt arising from dispute, its nature (is to be comprehended) as independent, pure, similar with light, of omnipotent power, as infinite bliss and as being without duality. For this purpose the present Brahmapa is commenced.

\* And Yajuavalkys was gratified by the blog's knowledge.

† i. e. On the present occasion, the introductory spisode of the boon and sight to put questions being concluded.

2 Furusha, the being, who comists of the combination of causes and effects, and has head, hands, etc. Of what light, means, by what light as cause does man discharge the business of life. S'. S'. makes here some very good reflections about the sature of this light, whether II II external or internal, separated or not separated from the body; but they are rather S'.'s reflections than those of the Upanished, and we will therefore omit them. The progress from one idea to another in the Upanished it very clear, viz. any light which in found in external litture, is incorpable of being the cause of the intellectual functions of men, for it ceases to set at certain times; the light by which must sots, must therefore be something distinct from any of them.

king of kings. By the light of the sun (man) sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home)." "It were so, Tajnavalkya." 2.

"Of what light, O Yajnavalkya, is this Purusha, when the sun has set." "The moon is even his light. By the moon-light he sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home)." "It is even so, O Yajnavalkya." 8.

"Of what light, O Yajnavalkya, is this Purusha, when the sun has set and the moon has set." "The fire is even his light. By the fire-light he sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home)." "It is even so, O Yajnavalkya." 4.

"Of what light, O Yajnavalkya, in this Purusha, when the sun has set, the moon has set, and the fire is at rest?" "Speech\* is even his light. By the light of speech he sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home). Therefore, O king of kings, at a time, the when one can not distinguish his own hand, he resorts there, whence speech proceeds." It is even so, O Yajnavalkya." 5.

"Of what light, O Yájnavalkya, is this Purusha, when the sun has set, the moon has set, fire is at rest, and speech is at rest?" "Soulo (átma) is even his light. By the light of the soul he sits down, walks about, performs his work and returns (home)." 6.

<sup>\*</sup> Speech means here sound, the object of the sense of hearing. When this sense is enlightened, reflection is produced in the mind. By the mind effort is obtain external things is made; for by the mind one sees, one hears. S'.

<sup>†</sup> When ■ ■ dark from clouds, or when every other light has ceased to shine. S'.

<sup>†</sup> Where sound rises, in it the neighing of horses or the braying of donkeys, etc. Speech serves here only as an illustration and includes the other senses. S'.

<sup>§</sup> Different from the whole of the causes and effects in the body and its parts, manifesting the effects and causes, like the sun and other external lights, and not manifested by any thing class. It is not perceived by the sys or any other organs like the sun, but inferred from its effects. S'.

"Which soul?" "That soul which among the organs has the nature of knowledge, which abides in the heart, and is the Purusha who is light. He, being the same (with the heart), migrates to both worlds. He, as it were, thinks;

- Although it has been proved, that the soul has an existence, independent (of the gross body), and abides within (the body), yet, seeing that (the sun, etc.) which assists (the body in the operation of its functions), is of the same kind (with the body), there may proceed the delunion (that the soul which ill light may also be of the same nature with the body, etc., there being no difference between the kinds of assistance), and from the want of distinction the question may arise, is the soul one of the organs, or some thing different from them? Or in other words, though it is proved, that the soul is different from the body, yet all the organs partake of the nature of knowledge, and since no distinction is apprehended between the soul and the organs, the question of the text is necessary, "which soul." S'.
  - † The whole sentence may be explained, according to S.', in a thresfold manner.
- The first part contains the question, "Which soul?" and the second the
  answer in it, "The Purusha who among the organs has the nature of knowledge
  and is the light of the heart."
- 2. Or "Which is the soul smong the organs that has the nature of knowledge?" The answer in this case is, "The Purusha who is light alone, abiding in the heart."
- 3. Or "Which is the soul that among the organs has the nature of knowledge and is the Purusba, who is light alone, abiding in the heart?"

S'ankara prefera the first explanation. "Has the nature of knowledge" means, according to him, resembles knowledge or intellect (buddhi), and "heart" the intellect which has its place in the organ of the heart.

- † He, the Purusha. The Purusha who is light alone, who manifests and is not manifested by any thing else, cannot be comprehended in the state of waking, since none of the organs as an object of perception, and since from the confusion, arising from the united action of so many causes and effects of the senses, the soul is nature as light alone cannot be shown. The Upanishad, therefore, explains it by means of the state of dream. S'.
- § Being the same, or similar. This refers to the "heart," as ill clear from the topic and from the relations which the soul has ill the heart (or intellect). As light, manifesting rad, green, etc. colours, becomes itself red or green, so the Purusha, manifesting intellect, manifests thereby the whole body, and by this intellect is becomes also like every other thing. S'.
- [] The cause III his migration is his similarity with intellect; on his own accord such a migration does not take place. He migrates by leaving his present body and entering another and another III andless succession. S'.
  - T Both worlds, the future and the present world.

he, as | were, moves; \* for, having become dream, † | quits this world, † (he quits) the forms of death. § 7.

This Purusha, when born, when assuming a body, is allied to sins; when rising upwards, when dying, he lays saide the sins. + 8.

There are even two places of this Purusha, this place, and the place of the next world; the place of dream, which is between them, is the third. Abiding in this middle place, (man) sees both places, this and the place of the other world. In proportion to the endeavour with which one is (striving to obtain) the place of the other world, does he accordingly see sin or bliss. When he sleeps, (when), putting on a rudiment

- \* He as it were thinks, that is to say, in reality he does not think or reflect; for reflection does not belong to his neture, S'. (and is the effect of itle being considered under the attribute of intellect).
- † He becomes all that intellect becomes, and intellect assuming the state of dream, the Purusha also assumes it. S'.
  - I This world, characterised by the functions practised during waking. S'.
  - § Death means, work, ignorance, etc.; his forms, causes and effects. 8'.
- As the Purusha in this body, when assuming the state of dream, quits the forms of death, and remains in his own light, so when born... he is stilled to sine. S'.
  - T When born, when assuming the state of the soul within a body. S'.
  - \* Sins mean causes and effects, depending upon vice and virtue. S'.
- † He lays them saide, he becomes free from them. As the Purusha, abiding in one and the same body, in consequence of his similarity with intellect, by assuming and laying saide causes and effects in the form of ain, continuity migrates from the state of waking to that of dream and vice versh, so by assuming and laying saide those causes and effects, he continually migrates through birth and death to this and to the other world, until he is finally liberated. It is therefore evident, that the soul, which has the nature of light, is different from sing as causes and effects, because it is joined to and separated from them.
- ‡ Dream is not a world, but only the union of two worlds, as the place between two villages 

  ■ not a village itself. S\*.
- § How then is it proved, that there is another world, different from the place of union, or dream? The answer is, because the Farnaha sees in dream both the present and another world.
  - Il The endeavour being considered as the seed from which the tree of the body rises,
  - ¶ Sin, the effect of ain, unhappiness. He sees them in the shape of impres-

(only) of this world which consists of all elements,\* himself prostrating (his body), himself raising a building† by force of his own splendour and manifestation, he sleeps—then becomes this Purusha unmingled light. 9.

No chariotat are there, on horses, nor roads; then he creates chariota, horses and roads. No pleasures are there, no joys, nor rejoicings; then he creates pleasures, joys and rejoicings. No tanks are there, no lakes, nor rivers; then he creates tanks, lakes and rivers; for he is the agent. 10.

Here apply, these Slokas, "In dream, prostrating the body, himself dreamless, he develops the modes of dreaming. After he has assumed the pure (form), the goldlike Purusha, the one wanderer, proceeds; again to the place (of waking). 11.

By (the power of) life preserving (from death) the inferior nest, | and roaming outside of it, he, the immortal one, the gold-like Purushs, the one wanderer, proceeds where his desire leads him. 12.

sions, received in a former world. What is the proof of this? Because he sees in dream many things, not to be perceived in this birth; for dream means not a perception of things sees before, which is called remembrance. Therefore, beside the places of waking and dream, there exist those two worlds. S'.

- Which consists of all elements (servávan), admits of a double explanation. Servem avail, he preserves all, or serváván-servaván, which contains every thing. And this element magain the cause of creation.
- † A dreamlike body.
- ‡ What has been said before that the Purusha in dream in life alone, is here expressed in another manner. A'. G.
  - § There, in dream, where there are no objects of the seases as ill waking. S'.
  - | He | the sgent for the dream-land. S'.
- ¶ Slokas means here Mantras, (memorial verses). They apply here, that in say, to the view, that the Purusha is light alone,
  - \* Annihilating the setion.
  - † Gold-like, he whose mature is the light of consciousness. S'.
  - I As the consequence of his action, S'.
  - § Life II its division into the five vital airs.
  - || Nest, the body.
- T Although h e Perusha, while yet is the body, sees drams, yet he considered to be outside, because he has no connexion with it. S'.

In his dream passing from high to low,\* he, the god, displays manifold forms, either playing with women, or laughing, or beholding fearful sights. 13.

His pleasure-grounds† are visible; but he is visible to none; they say, none comprehends the Pervader. Difficult to be cured is the body, when the Purusha attains not that (door of the senses).‡ (Some) say indeed, (his place of Dream) is (the same as) his place of waking; for he sees in sleep the same (forms) which he does when awake. This is not so; (for) here the Purusha becomes a self-shining light." "I will give thee, O Venerable, a thousand (cows). Speak next of liberation." 14.

"Having in the state, where there is perfect bliss, || enjoyed bliss, wandered about, and seen what is holy and what is inful, he proceeds again in a reverse order to the place of his birth, to Dream. He is not chained by what he sees\* there

- \* Becoming, as fancy leads him, a god or a brute creature, S'.
- † Pleasure grounds in the shape of impressions. S'.
- I translated thus in accordance with S'.'s explanation. The meaning is,—If the Purusha does not return to the waking state through the same door of the senses through which he entered into the state of dream, if it re-cuters in any other manner, then discusses are produced, such as blindness, doubtess, etc., which are difficult to cure.
- § The proposition at the commencement of this Britanana, that the soul is self-shining light, has been proved by the text "There that soul becomes self-shining light." But with regard to the text "Being dream, he quits this world," the meaning is doubtful; for it may be said, he may indeed quit the forms of death, but not for this reason death himself; for it is evident, that, although separated from effect and cause, one may yet experience in dream, joy, turror, etc., therefore he does not in truth quit death; for death being work, his effects, joy, terror, etc., are visible. And I one of his own nature be bound by death, liberation I not obtained by him, as he cannot be liberated from his own nature. Therefore, we must conclude, death cannot be one's own nature, and liberation from him is possible. To show this, is the object of Yajuavalkya I his answer to the question of the king. S'.

<sup>#</sup> That is to say, in the state of profound sleep; for there none experiences any grief. S'.

The effects of them. S'.

<sup>\*</sup> Because, in dream, he does not actually do what I holy and evil, III is not

(in dream\*); for the Purusha is untouched† (thereby)." "This is so, O Yajnavalkya, I will give thee, O Venerable, a thousand (cows). Speak next of liberation." 15.

"Having in that dream enjoyed bliss, wandered about, and seen what is holy and sinful, he proceeds again in the reverse order to the place of his birth, to the waking state. He is not chained by what he sees there; † for the Purusha is untouched!." "This is so, O Yajnavalkya. Speak next of liberation." 16.

"Having onjoyed bliss, wandered about and seen what is holy and sinful, during his waking state, he proceeds again in the reverse order to the place of his birth, to dream." 17.

As a large fish; glides between both banks, the right and the left one, so glides the Purusha between both boundaries, the boundary of dream and the boundary of the waking state. 18.

As an eagle\* or a falcon, roaming in the sky, fatigued, folds

chained by either; for good or evil actions and their consequences are not imputed in the more spectator of them. Therefore in dream he does not only overcome the forms of death, but death himself. He does not act in dream, and is therefore different in nature from death who is work, and therefore he is free from him. St.

- \* As is evident from common experience; for no one is blamed or praised for what he does in dram. S.'
  - + in dream.
  - i Untouched, se being without form. S."
- § But how can be introuched in the waking state, as in does good and evil acts and sees their consequences? This is not the case, says S', for in there is an agent, the notion of agency is admissible. It has been declared, that the soul is a self-shining light, and that, manifested by its own light as cause and effect, it is an agent. Therefore in he be comprehended under the notion in an agent, attributes are seeigned to the soul which in has not of its own nature, and this is done by means of intellect. In this passage the soul is, however, considered, as its own nature, and not as represented by attributes taken from its connexion with some thing else. S'.
- If That the Purusha is not touched by sin, has been shown in the three preceding, sections; this is here illustrated by a simile. S'.
  - Without being seized by the current of the stream. S'.
- \* He has been further proved before, that the soul in not in itself the cause of the worldly attributes, and that its worldly state is impated to it through ignorance;

his wings and is drawn\* to his nest, so proceeds that Purusha to the boundary,† where, asleep, he desires not any desire, nor sees any dream. 19.

Hist vessels, which are called good, are of the fineness of a hair, a thousand-fold divided, and filled with white, blue, yellow, green and red juice. Therefore all the objects of terror, which a man sees when awake, are, through ignorance, fancied by him, (in dream,) when any body seems to kill him, seems to subdue him, an elephant seems to put him to flight, (or when) he falls into a pit; again when he seems to be conscious I am a god, I am king, I am even all this, he has attained his highest place. 20.

this, however, has only been described separately, for the scal in its three states, and the identity of the scal has not been shown comprehensively; to set this forth, if the object of the present section. S'.

- · Of his own accord.
- † To his own self, free from every worldly attribute and from the distinctions of sgent, work or fruit. S'.
- ‡ It is the nature of the Parusha to be free from worldly attributes, which are the effects of ignorance. Here the question arises, whether ignorance belongs to his own nature, or is only accidental? If it he accidental, liberation from its possible. Further, is there any evidence of ignerance heing accidental, and in what manner is ignorance an attribute of the non-soul? The present section has the object to show the nature of ignorance.
- if Food, when digested, becomes blue, if there he an abundance of the stry humour, yellow by an abundance of bile, white by an abundance of phlogm, green by a deficiency of bile, and red by an equal mixture of all the humours, and in this manner, the vessels also through which the humours flow, assume the same colour seconding to the Sus'rute. A'. G. In those very fine vessels, abldes the aubtile body consisting of i7 parts (the five organs of intellect, the five organs of action, the five vital virs, intellect and mind). Dependent upon the aubtile body are all the impressions produced by the belief of the worldly attributes of a higher or lower state. S'.
- If Again... I give here in substance the train of Sankara's ideas on this subject Ignorance being subdued and knowledge prevailing, what is the object of knowledge and what its character? The highest place, the highest state of the soul, III, when it exists as the soul of all, in its own inherent nature. On the other hand, III the soul be considered in different from the soul of all, however little the difference may be, the state of ignorance is the consequence. The result of ignorance are the lower worker down to the state of ignorance matter, where the na-

This is his (true) nature, which is free from desire, sin\* and fear.† As in the embrace of a beloved wife one is unconscious of aught, from without or within; so, embraced by the all-knowing; soul, this Porusha is unconscious of all, without or within. This is his (true) nature, when all desires are satisfied, where the (only) desire is for the soul, where there is no desire, where there is no grief. 21.

Then | the father is no father, the mother no mother. the worlds no worlds, the gods no gods, the Védas no Védas. Then the thief is no thief, the murderer of a Brahman\* ture of the soul is not comprehended. Beyond the worlds which are the objects of worldly action, the state of the universal soul, which is all-pervading, and which is without an Other and without an Out, is his highest state. Therefore, ignorance being subdued, and knowledge having attained its perfection, the state of the universal coul, liberation occurs. In the same manner ignorance prevailing and knowledge having disappeared, the effect is described in the passage, "When any body seems to kill him." The respective effects then of knowledge and ignorance are the state of the universal soul and that of the assividual soul. From pure knowledge the state of the universal soul proceeds, from Ignorance, a state which is not universal. Therefore the state of ignorance consists in this, that the universal existing soul is conceived under the notion of the soul which is not universal, and that some thing, different from the soul, and which does not exist, is substituted for the soul. The nature of ignorance has been explained together with its effects, and the effect of knowledge, the state of the universal soul, has been shown to be opposite ignorance. We therefore must conclude, that ignorance is not an attribute of the soul, and that liberation from it is possible.

- \* Sin mount here, both sin and virtue.
- † Though the state, where no fear exists, has been already referred to at the close of the last Brahmana (p. 219), yet it has been there only enunciated, while it m here established by discussion. S'.
  - 3 All-knowing, Prajus, the supreme soul according to its own nature.
- 4 Knowledge, its object, and the agent who knows, not existing, there can be no manifestation of a special knowledge, as a desire. S',
- If Then, when the Purusha has obtained the form, free from ignorance, from dealer and from work, in which state profound sleep is the type. And this change takes place, because the notions of a father, mother, etc., express a relation, eatablished by work, which relation of course ceases when work has coased. S'.
- Third means here, according to 5'., one who steals the gold of a Brahman, as indicated by its connexion with Bhrannas.
- \* Bhrúpaha means literally the murderer of an embryo; I have translated II in accordance with S.'s and A'. G.'s explanation.

no murderer of a Bráhman, the Chándála\* no Chándála, the Paulkasa no Paulkasa,† the religious mendicant (Srámana) no religious mendicant, the ascetic no ascetic; he is unconnected with aught that is holy, he is unconnected with sin; for he is then beyond every grief of the heart.† 22.

It in not true, that, being thus, (in profound sleep,) seeing, § he sees not; he does see; for there is no loss of sight to the see-er, since it indestructible; || and there is no second, ¶ no other, separated from him which could see. 23.

It is not true, that, being thus, smelling, he smells not; he does smell; for there is no loss of smell to the smeller, since it is indestructible; and there is no second, no other, separated from him which could smell. 24.

It is not true, that, being thus, tasting, he tastes not; he does taste; for there is no loss of taste to the taster, since it is indestructible; there is no second, no other, separated from him which could taste. 25.

It is not true, that, being thus, speaking, he speaks not; he does speak; for there is no loss of speech to the speaker, since it is indestructible; and there is no second, no other, separated from him which could speak. 26.

It is not true, that, being thus, hearing, he hears not; he does

- Chápdáis, the son of a Bráhman woman by a S'údra.
- † Paulkasa, the son of a Kshattriya woman by a S'adra.
- ‡ Intellect, abiding in the heart.
- § But I I no contradiction, that the soul whose nature I perfect knowledge, should not know? It I not, from the reason assigned in the text. S'.
- If But here the following inference seems to apply, seeing is an action, as it is performed by the agent who sees, and every action is transient. However, the inference II not applicable; because the seeing is only seeing, and includes no agent. It II the nature of the seel to manifest, and this not through the intercession of an agent, as there II nothing else but the seel. St.
- I ill has been declared, that what is known in waking or in dream, is a second, and does not (really) exist, and that no difference is known in profound sleep. If this latter state be the nature of the soul, from what proceeds the difference, and if its nature is ill know the difference, why does ill not know this difference (in profound sleep)? S'.

hear; for there is no loss of hearing to the hearer, since it is indestructible; and there is no second, no other, separated from him which could hear. 27.

II is not true, that, being thus, minding, he minds not; he does mind; for there is no loss of minding to the minder, since it is indestructible; and there is no second, no other, separated from him that could mind. 28.

It is not true, that, being thus, touching, he touches not; he does touch; for there is no loss of touching to the toucher, since it is indestructible; and there is no second, no other, separated from him that could touch. 29.

It is not true, that, being thus, knowing, he knows not; he does know; for there is no loss of knowing to the knower, since it is indestructible; and there is no second, no other, separated from him that could know. 80.

Wherever some other thing, as it were, exists, there let another see another thing, another smell another thing, another taste another thing, another speak another thing, another hear another thing, another mind another thing, another touch another thing, another know another thing. 31.

Like water (purified), the one see-er without duality, is the Brahma world,\* O king of kings;" thus Yájnavalkya instructed him. "This is his highest aim, his highest wealth, his highest world, his highest happiness. Of this happiness, all other beings enjoy only a part. 32.

(The bliss of one)† who among men is perfect in limb, wealthy, a sovereign lord of others, and who has the fulness of all human enjoyments, is the highest bliss of men. Further a hundredfold the bliss of men is one bliss of the forefathers who have overcome the worlds.† Further a hundredfold the bliss

<sup>\*</sup> The Brahms world, the highest world. And this is the state of the soul is profound sleep. St.

<sup>+</sup> Vide Taitt. Up., 2, 8, which corresponds almost literally with this whole section.

<sup>‡</sup> Those who by such ceremonics as the Sráddha have obtained the world of the forefathers.

of the forefathers who have overcome the worlds, is one bliss of the world of the Gandharvas. Further a hundredfold the bliss of the world of the Gandharvas is one bliss of the ritual gods\* who gain their divinity by rites. Further a hundredfold the bliss of the ritual gods is one bliss of those who are gods by birth, and of him who knows the Védas, and is free from sin and desire. Further a hundredfold the bliss of those who are gods by birth is one bliss of the world of Prajapatit and of him who knows the Védas, and is free from sin and desire. ‡ Further a hundredfold the bliss of the world of Prajapati, in one bliss of the world of Brahmas and of him who knows the Védas and is free from sin and desire. Further the highest bliss is even the world of Brahma. \* O king of kings:" thus said Yájnavalkya. (He said),-"I will give thee, O Venerable, a thousand cows. Speak next of liberation." Then Yainavalkya was afraid, that the wary king should drive him from all his last positions. + 33.

"Having enjoyed bliss,‡ wandered about and seen what is

- \* The ritual gods are such as have gained their divinity by the performance of Vedaic rites, as the fire-offering, etc. S'.
  - † Of Prajapati in the body of the Virit. S'.
- ‡ And who at the same time knows this, that in many, who has meditated on the soul in its form as Virát. S.'
  - Or Hiranyagarbha. S'.
  - || And who has also meditated on the nature of Hiranyagarbha. St.
- ¶ All the happiness up that of the world of Brahma, compared with this happiness is like a drop of water, compared with the sea. And this state is gained by him who comprehends himself as the one identical Brahma. S'.
- \* For the sake of comparison, I give here the scale of the degrees of happiness in the Taitt. Up.,—Man, Gandharvas, Divine Gandharvas, Forefathers, Ritual Gods, Gods by birth, Indra, Bribaspatl, Prajápatl and Brahma.
- + From the solutions of the questions which he had given. He was not afraid, because he was deficient in knowledge, but he was afraid that the king who by the acuteness of his understanding always observed some point not yet wholly defined, should, under the protence of Yájnavalkya's promise to answer any question of the king, allure him to communicate to him all his knowledge. S'.
- The antecedent exposition (vis. of the waking state, of dream, and profound sleep) serves only as an illustration (of the soul in its bondage and liberation).

holy and sinful during his dream, he proceeds again in the reverse order to the place of his birth, the waking state. 84.

As\* a well laden cart moves on noisily, so the embodied soul, directed by the omniscient soul, at the time, when breathing its last. 35.

When sains its subtle states, when it obtains its subtle state by old age or disease, then, as the fruit of the mangos tree, or of the glomerate fig tree, or of the holy fig tree becomes free from its bond, becomes free this Purusha from those members and proceeds again in the reverse order to its birth-place for (the obtaining of) a body. 36.

As,‡ on the approach of the king, men of violent deeds,§ (and) such as are addicted to every crime, charioteers and governors of villages stand prepared with food and drink and

The following sections undertake to describe liberation and bondage themselves, bondage being like the state of dream and waking, and liberation like profound sleep. The present section (34) seems to be an episode to recapitulate what has been said before about the nature of dream.

- \* Hence to the end of this Brahmana and the commencement of the next the worldly state of the soul is described. To explain the first proposition that the soul proceeds from the body, of which it is presently possessed, to another body in the same manner as is proceeds from dream to the state of waking, an illustration is given. S'.
  - + Directed by the driver. S'.
  - I The supreme soul, which is self-shining light in its own nature. S'.
- § At what time does the soul attain this state, by what cause, in what manner, and for what end? The answer is given as follows. The time is, when man assumes the subtile body, the cause of it is either old age or disease, the manner illustrated by a number of similes to show the various ways in which the event may happen, and the end is the assuming of another body.
  - || This Parasha who shides in the subtile body. St.
- I from the eye and the other members of the body which he does not preserve as before in profound sleep (vid. p. 224 (12).) by the agency of life. S'.
  - As before, when quitting one body and assuming another, S'.
  - † In the order opposite to that of his entrance into the body. S'.
- ‡ But how can the Purusha build emother body, himself having no power, in absence of any assistants? The present section gives the answer. S'.
  - § Ugra either means people of a particular tribe or men of violent deeds. S.

palaces, (saying), he comes, he approaches, so on (the approach of) the conscious one\* all beings; stand prepared, (saying), this Brahms comes, this Brahms approaches. 87.

As, when the king is desirous of coming, men of violent deeds, (and) such as are addicted to every crime, charioteers and governors of villages go to meet him, so the time of death all the organa; go to meet the soul, when breathing its last. 88.

## Fourth Brahmans.

When the soul,\* after having come to a state, where it has no strength (as it were),† comes to a state of unconsciousness, as it were,‡ then the organs go to meet it. Having wholly seized those organs which are throughout resplendent with light \u00e5, the soul enters the heart. \u00e4 When the Purusha dwelling in the eye,¶ altogether returns, then (the soul) \u00e4 unconscious of colour. 1.

- · Evam vid, he who knows the fruit derived from work, the worldly soni. S'.
- † All beings, such as Kditys, upon whom the existence of body depends, and who render assistance to the organe for the performance of their work. S'.
  - # Speech and the rest. S'.
- \* In has been declared, that the Purusha is liberated from his bodily members; at what time and in what manner this liberation takes places, if now more fully to be described. S'.
- † No strength; the want of strength of the body is here transferred to the soul, although in reality it cannot be attributed to it. S'a
- 1 No consciousness, no power of reflection, as reflection also in the effect of the set of transferring; for in the seal neither reflection more its absence can insertined; this sense is indicated in the text by the term "as it were " 8'.
  - I They are resplendent by their power of manifestation. S'.
  - The heart, the other of the heart. S'.
- The Purushs, dwelling in the eye, is a part of A'ditya (the delty of the sun), who for the benefit of the soul in its worldly functions remains in the eye as long as life lasts. After death he quite his office, and is reunited with A'ditya. . B'

He is one; does not see, as it is said. He is one; he does not taste, as said. He wone; he does not speak, as it is said. He is one; does not hear, as wis said. He is one; he does not mind, as wis said. He is one; he does not mind, as wis said. He is one; he does not touch, wis said. He is one; he does not know, as wis said. The entrance to the heart becomes luminous; through this, when thus illuminated, the soul; departs either from the eye, or from the head, or from other parts of the body. When it departs, life departs after it; when life departs, with the organs depart after it. It is endowed with knowledge; endowed with knowledge it departs. Knowledge and work and the knowledge of (its) former (life) pervade it wholly. 2.

As a leech when arrived the top of a blade of grass, in order to gain another place of support, contracts itself; so the soul, in order to gain another place of support, contracts itself, after having thrown off this body and obtained (that state of) knowledge.\* 3.

As a goldsmith, taking a piece of gold, forms another shape, which is more new and agreeable, so throwing off this body and obtaining (that state of) knowledge, the soul forms a shape, which is more new and agreeable, either suited to the

With ill his organs; or they become one with the subtile soul.

<sup>†</sup> Becomes luminous, as in dream, by the light of the soul. &'.

<sup>#</sup> The soul, characterised by knowledge, and placed in the subtile body. S'.

<sup>§</sup> From the eye, in order to obtain the world of Aditya, from the head, ≡ obtain that of Brahms, and so with regard to the other parts ≡ the body, according ≡ man's good or evil actions. S'.

<sup>||</sup> Endowed with knowledge, viz. with such knowledge || || luss in dreum, which || a knowledge of impressions referring to their respective objects. And this knowledge || the effect of actions, not of the soul. S'.

I Knowledge, which is esjoined, such as refers to the seul, which is prohibited, such as looking as a maked women, which is not enjoined and not prohibited, knowledge of indifferent objects. The same elastification applies to work. A. G.

<sup>\*</sup> Which I founded upon impressions as in a drame.

world of the forefathers, or of the Gandharvas, or of the gods, or of Prajápati, or of Brahma, or of the other beings. 4.

This soul,\*—which is Brahma,† which resembles knowledge,‡ mind, life,§ eye,|| ear, earth,¶ water, air, ether, light, not light,\* desire, not desire,† wrath, not wrath, virtue, not virtue,‡ which resembles all, which is this, which is not this,—becomes as are its works,§ and conduct. He whose works are good becomes good; he whose works are evil becomes evil. By holy works one becomes holy, by evil works, evil. Likewise (others) say,|| this Purusha has the nature of desire. As his desire, so is his resolve, as his resolve, so is his work, as his work, so is his reward.¶ 5.

Here applies this memorial verse,—He who is attached\* (to worldly objects), obtains by means of work the object to which his mind as the cause is attached. Having arrived at the last (effect) of the work which he here performs, he comes from this world again to this world in consequence of (his) work. Thus he who desires (wanders from world to world). But the organs of him who does not desire,† who has no desires,

- \* The soul, which proceeds from one bedily state to another. S'.
- + Brahms in his tree nature.
- 1 Intellect. 5 The five vital functions.
- If y the perception of colour, and thus it resembles the other organs by the perception of their objects.
  - T By the secuming of an earthly body. St.
- \* Light, by assuming the hody of a god, not light, by assuming the body if a brute, etc. S'.
  - † When discovering, that a desire is wrong. S'.
- † Through desire and wrath, etc. man becomes vicious or virtuous, no action being possible without previous desire; by the performance of good or bad actions in becomes all, in the world in its manifestal state is the effect of virtue and vice. S'.
- § Works which are expressly enjoined or prohibited, conduct which I not expressly enjoined, or prohibited.
- It is true, that vice and virtue are the causes of worldly existence, provided they are preceded by desire, etc. S'.
  - Therefore is desire the root of the whole world. St.
  - \* Has a desire to obtain any object.
  - † For he who does not desire, does not act. S'.

who is beyond desires, whose desires are satisfied, whose desire

in the soul, do not depart (from the body).\* Being even
Brahma†, he obtains Brahma.† 6.

Here applies this memorial verse,—"When all desires, dwelling in the heart, have been quitted, then the mortal becomes immortal; (then) he enjoys here Brahma." "As the slough of a snake as (something) dead a shandoned on an ant-hill, so is this body (by the soul). Then this uncorporeal, immortal life\* is even Brahma, even light." "I will give thee, O Venerable, a thousand (cows)," said Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas. 7.

"Here apply these memorial verses,?—"The narrow, wide-extended, ancient road is touched by me, fully obtained by me. On this (road) proceed also the (other) sages who know Brahma, to beaven, to (their) place, when liberated from this body. 8.

Here (is this diesent).; (Some) call it white, some blue,

- \* There being no cause for it. 6'.
- † In this world, although yet remaining in the bedy, &.
- 1 After his douth. 8'.
- 1 intellect.
- || The desires which refer to what is not the soul, characterised by ignorance, are death; by separation from death, immortality enems. S'.
  - ¶ In this body. S'.
  - \* Life means here Brahme, the supreme soul.
  - † The self-shining light of the soul, by which the world is manifested.
- † The following Slokes are intended to explain more fully the view, obtained in the Mantra Brákmana, that liberation encace for him whose only object of desire is the soul and who has the knowledge of Brahma. S'.
  - § Nerrow from the difficulty in discovering it. S'.
- || Instead || vitets (wide extended) another reading, mentioned by S'., is vitera (which causes man to turn from the common road || Brahms),
  - T Because it is taught by the eternal S'ruti. S'.
- \* Proceed to obtain liberation, the effect resulting from the knowledge of Brabma. 8'.
  - † Heaven means here the supreme Brahum.
  - \$ Among those who are desirens of liberation. &'.

some yellow, or green, or red.\* That road I fully penetrated by Brahma. On this (road) proceeds he who knows Brahma, who has been a doer of good, whose nature is like light. 9.

Those who worship ignorance,‡ enter into gloomy darkness;§ into still greater darkness those who are devoted to know-ledge. 10.

To the so-named blissless¶ worlds, covered with gloomy darkness, go all the people, when departing (from this world), who are ignorant, unintelligent.\* 11.

If one knows; the soul; so as to comprehend it as his own self, then for what desire or for whose wish should be suffer the ills of the body? 12.

He whose soul, penetrated (and) illumined (by the supreme Brahma), has entered this (body) which abounds with doubts and perplexities, is the creator of the universe; for he is the lord of all; he is the place of it; he is even the place.§ 13.

- \* They consider the read either to be those vessels, like the Sushumná, which from the juice they contain (vid. p. 227 and Chh. U. 8, 6, I) are white, etc., or to be the same with the path that leads to A'ditya. But Brahma is different from any of those colours, the road which leads to him, bears no relation in the world; those therefore, who proceed by any particular road, on by the eye, the head, or any other part of the body, gain the world of Brahma, etc., but not liberation which is only gained by knowledge of Brahma. S'.
- † Who has been a door of good, thus I translated in accordance with S'.'s correct remark, that he has been so in a former time, before he attained perfect know-ledge, as on its attainment, every desire has council to exist.
- ? Those who worship any object different from the object of knowledge, that is to say, all those who are engaged in action. S'.
  - 5 Darkness means any place where the nature of the soul munknown. S'.
- || Knowledge, which has reference to the objects of ignorance, even the knowledge of the three Védas as they are intended for the performance of work. S'. Vid. Váj. S. U. 9, (B. I. vol. 15, p. || note), where the same Sloka occurs.
- ¶ This passage In similar to that in Váj. S., S, where instead of "blisaless" the term "godless" occurs.
  - \* Who are tacapable of comprehending the soul. S'.
- † To extel the knowledge of the soul, the text declares, that he who has this knowledge is exempt from bedily ills. A'. G.
  - I The supreme soul as his own self.
  - S One soul of all. St.

. Being here\* we know perhaps, (Brahma); il we do not know him, if there be ignorance (of him), then great calamity† (ensues). Those who know him become immortal; again (all) others undergo even unhappiness. 14.

When a person beholds (his own) soul as god, as the true Ruler of what was and what is to be, then he does not wish to conceal (his self) from him.: 15.

Adore him, ye gods, after whom 5 the year by rolling days is completed, the light of lights, as the immortal life. 16.

I, the wise, immortal, comprehend as the immortal Brahma the soul upon which the five (kinds of) beings | and the ether | are founded. 17.

Those who know him as the life of life, the eye of the eye, the ear of the ear,\* (and) the mind of the mind, have comprehended the old, before existing Brahma. 18.

By the mind is he to be seen; † in him there is no variety. Whoever sees variety in him, proceeds from death to death. † 19.

In one manner (only) is to be seen (the being) which can-

That one who knows Brahma has obtained the highest object of life, in not only proved by the S'suti, but also by his own experience. A'. G.

<sup>†</sup> Calamity, by being subject to endless transmigrations from one body another, S'. Vid. a similar passage in Kéna U. 2, 5.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Ruler; for all persons who perceive themselves different from him wish to conceal themselves from God. S'.

<sup>§</sup> The Ruler, Vid. Keth. U. 6, 3 and Taitt. U. 2, 8.

<sup>4</sup> Vis. the Gandharvas, the fore-fathers, the gods, the Asuras and the Rakshashus, or the five castes, including the Ninhádas. S'.

The other upon which every thing is woven and rewoven. S'. Vid. p. 203.

<sup>\*</sup> For by themselves, without the light  $\blacksquare$  Brahma, are all these organs insuimate like a tree or a clod  $\blacksquare$  surth, S'. Vid. a similar passage  $\blacksquare$  Késa, U. 1,  $\blacksquare$  Katha U. 6, 2, and Taitt. U. 2,  $\blacksquare$ 

<sup>†</sup> Katha U. 4, 11. Swet. 4, 17.

<sup>‡</sup> Vid. a similar passage ... Katha U. 4, 10 and IL.

<sup>5</sup> That II to say, III an uniform manner, without my distinction.

not be proved,\* which is eternal, without spot,† higher than the ether,‡ unborn,§ the great, eternal soul. 20.

Knowing || him let the wise Brahman form his notion (after him); let him not meditate on many sounds; T for words are embarrassing. 21.

This great,\* unborn soul is the same which abides as the intelligent (soul) in all living creatures, the same which abides as ether† in the heart; in him it sleeps; it is the subduer of all, the‡ Ruler of all, the sovereign lord of all; it does not become greater by good works, nor less by evil work. It is the Ruler of all, the sovereign lord of all beings, the Preserver! of all beings, the bridge, the Upholder of the worlds\* so that they fall not to ruin. In accordance with the word of the Védas† the Brâhmans† desire to comprehend

- It cannot be preved, because it is without difference, uniform; for proof is only possible by means of another thing, but Brahme is one, and there is nothing, beside him. Here appears to be a contradiction,—it cannot be proved, and yet it is known, that it ossy, it can be comprehended by proof. This objection, however, has here no force, because the probabilition, refers to the relation between proof and object of proof concerning common things but has no authority respecting the S'rati. S'.
- ‡ The other signifies the unmanifestal state of the world, and "higher" either more subtile or more perveding. S'.
- § Unborn, by this epithet all other modifications are excluded, because every thing must have first an origin before it can have any relation. S'.
  - || Knowing, according to the instruction of the teacher and the S'astra.
  - T Because plurality is forbidden, and it is said " By Om" meditate on the soul. S'.
- \* Bondage and liberation, together with their causes, have been described in the Mantras, Bráhmanus, and Slokas; again, the nature of liberation has been fully explained. The present section has the object to show the relation which the whole Véda bears in the knowledge of the supreme Brahma. S'.
- + Ether, the abode of intellect and knowledge, or it may be, according to 8',, the other, shiding in the internal organ at the time of profound sleep, that is to say, the supreme soul without attributes, whose nature II knowledge, his own nature. In this his own nature, or in the supreme soul which is called other, he sleeps.
  - 1 Of Brahma, Indra, etc. S'. 4 From Brahma down to inanimate matter, S'.
  - Of the rules of the casts and orders, etc. S'. T Vid. Chb. U. 14.
  - \* From the earth up to the Brehma world. S'.
  - † That III to say, Mantras and Brálsmanas. S'.
- The Brahmays indicates here the three first casts; for there is no difference between them with regard to knowledge. 8'.

him by sacrifice,\* gift, ascetic work† and sabdaing of desires. One who knows him thus, becomes a Muni. 6 Desiring him as (their) place, the wandering mendicants wander about. This is indeed (the cause of the state of wandering mendicant). that the ancient sages did not desire offenring (thinking by themselves), --- What shall we do by means of offspring. Those to whom, (like) us,\* the soult in the (supreme) place, lead the life of a religious mendicant, after they have abandoned the desire for a son, the desire for wealth and the desire for (heavenly) places ; for the desire for a son is the same as the desire for wealth : the desire for wealth is the same as the desire for (heavenly) places; for both are even desires. The soul, I which is not this, nor that, nor sught else, is intangible; for it cannot be laid hold of, it not to be dissipated; for it cannot be dissipated: it is without contact, for it does not come into contact; it is not limited; it is not subject to pain nor to destruction;

- + Ascetlo work, as the Chandrayana, says S'., which is a kind in fasting for the explation of sin.
- ‡ Literally, abstaining from food. The three first obligations (sacrifice, gift and ascetic work) include all the permanent works, enjoined by the Védas, and the last (fasting) an abstaining from desires. By those means, a desire to comprehend the soul is produced. S'.
  - § Muni, mananat muni, a Yogi, who, while yet alive, has obtained liberation. S'.
  - || That is | say, they have abandoned all work. 8'.
- T Offspring indicates work and the knowledge of the inferior Brahma, as the cause of obtaining the three external worlds. S'.
  - \* Like us who have the true knowledge of the soul, S'.
  - † In its own nature.
- the be admitted, that the woul is the place, why is there a means required for obtaining it, and for what reason is the state wandering mendicant necessary, since it is said, work should not mentered upon? The snewer is, the soul, for whose desire one should enter the state of wandering mendicant, has no connexion with works. Why? It evidently follows from such negations as, it cannot be saized. Because the soul thus comprehended, vis. independent of work, cause and effect, free from every worldly

<sup>\*</sup> Sacrifies, or ceremonial work is general, eithough not a direct means of producing the knowledge of Brahme, is necessary to purify the mind; when the mind is so purified, knowledge is possible, no obstacle opposing it. S'.

those\* two do varily not subdue him; therefore (he does not say),—I have done svil, or I have done good. He subdues them both; neither good nor evil deeds agitate him. 23.

The same | said in the following Rik,—The eternal greatness of the Brahman is neither increased by work, to use diminished. Let him even know the nature of that (greatness); knowing that (greatness), he is not stained by evil work. Therefore one who thus knows, who has subdued his senses, who is calm, free from all desires, enduring, and composed in mind, beholds the soul in the soul alone, beholds the whole soul; sin does not subdue him; he subdues sin; sin does not consume him; he consumes sin. He free from sin, free from doubt, he is pure, he is the (true) Brahman; this is the (true) world of Brahma, O king of kings," thus spoke Yajnavalkya. "I will give thee, O Venerable, the kingdom of the Vidéhas, and my own self, to become thy alays." 28.

attribute, beyond every desire, not possessed of greeness and the like attributes, unborn, undecaying, immortal, beyond fear, like a hump of rook-sait, of one uniform nature which is knowledge, a self-shining light, one alone, without duality, without beginning, without end, not within, not without, because this is established by the S'ruti and by discussion, especially by the conversation between Janaka and Yéjnavalkya, therefore, it is also established, that no work is entered into, if the soul be thus comprehended. S'.

- . Sin and virtue.
- † The same, which has been had said in the Srahmaya, is also declared in a Mantra. St.
  - 1 By good work.
  - 4 By evil work,
  - Or exalted by good week.
  - The external senses. St.
  - \* Who has overcome the desires of the internal organ. St.
- † Capable of bearing such opposite agents, as hanger and thirst, best and cold, etc. 8'.
  - # Having fixed his attention upon one point only, &'.
  - i He consumes sin by the fire of the knowledge of the soul. S'.

This souls is great; Suborn, the consumer of food, the giver of wealth. Whoever thus knows, obtains wealth. 24.

This; great, unborn, undecaying, undying, immortal, fearless soul Brahms; Brahms is verily fearless; who thus knows, becomes verily the fearless Brahms. 25.

# Fifth Brahmana.

Yájnavalkya then had two wives, Maitréyí and Kátyáyaní. Among them, Maitréyí was fond of discussing the nature of Brahma, Kátyáyaui wise in the duties of a house-wife. Yájnavalkya was desirous of attaining another order superior (to that of house-holder). 1.

"Maitréyi," said Yájnavalkya; "Behold, I am desirous of quitting this order for that of a wandering mendicant; therefore, let me divide (my property) amongst thee and Kátyáyaní there." 2.

Maitréyí said,—"If, O Venerable, this whole world with all its wealth were mine, could I become immortal thereby?" Yájnavalkya said, "By no means. Like the life of the

- \* That is to say, the soul whose nature has been explained in the souversation between Janaka and Yajaavalkya.
  - 🕇 Abiding 🖿 all beings, sousseming every food.
  - ‡ The meaning of the whole Aranyaka is expressed 🔤 the present section. S'.
- § The present Brâhmana, with the exception of the first section and part of the fourteenth and fifteenth sections, is a literal repetition of the fourth Brâhmana in the second chapter, vide pp. 177—181. S'ankara's explanation of this circumstance is ingenious, although somewhat strained. We give II here, in order that the reader may judge for himself. The nature of Brahma has been determined II the Madhu-hánda, the principal part II the S'astra, and also in the Brahmakánda. Again it has been thoroughly sifted by discussion in the Yajanvalkyakánda, which is the principal part as to the method by which that knowledge is sequired. And lastly, it has been declared after full discussion by means of the relation between disciple and teacher in the fourth chapter. The Maintey's Bráhmana serves therefore to show the conclusion after the manner of the logicians, who define a conclusion to be the repetition of the proposition, because the reason has been stated." (Nyáya Stares, 1, 38.) The proposition, here referred to, is that the knewledge of Braham, when accompanied with the renunciation of the world, is the means, by which immerability is situated.

wealthy thy life might become; by wealth, however, there is no hope of (obtaining) immortality," 8.

Maitréyi said,—"Of what use would be wealth to me, if I did not become thereby immortal. Tell me, O Venerable, any (means of obtaining immortality) which thou knowset." 4.

Yájnavalkya said,—"Behold, (thou wast) dear to us before, (and now) sayest thou what is dear. Come, sit down; I will explain to thee (the means of obtaining immortality); endeavour to comprehend my explanation." 5.

He said .- "Behold, not indeed for the husband's sake the husband I dear (to the wife), but for the sake of the self, I dear the husband. Behold, not indeed for the wife's sake, the wife M dear (to the husband), but for the sake of the self, dear the wife. Behold, not for the sons' sake, the sons are dear (to the parents), but for the sake of the self are dear the sons. Behold, not for the property's sake, property dear (to one), but for the sake of self is property dear. Behold, not for the Brahma's sake, the Brahms | dear, but for the sake of self is dear the Brahma. Behold, not for the Kahattra's sake is the Kahattra dear, but for the sake of the self is dear the Kshattra. Behold, not for the worlds' sake, the worlds are dear, but for the sake of the self, are dear the worlds; Behold, not for the gods' sake the gods are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the gods. Behold, not for the Védas' sake are the Védas dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the Védas. Behold, not for the elements' sake the elements are dear, but for the sake of the self are dear the elements. Behold, not for the sake of the universe, the universe is dear. but for the sake of the self is dear the universe. Behold, the self is verily to be seen, heard, minded (and) meditated upon. Behold. O Maitreyi, by seeing, Mearing, minding, knowing the self, all this (aniverse) is comprehended. 6.

"The Brahms should discoun a person, who considers the Brahms (cast) as comething different from (his) self; the Kahatira should discoun a person, who considers the Kahatira (dast) as something different from (his) solf.; the world should disown a person who comidets the world spacemething different from (his) self; the gods should disown a person, who considers the gods as something different from (his) self; the elements abould disown a person, who considers the elements in something different from (his) self; the universe should disown a person, who considers the universe as something different from (his) self. This (own) self is this Brahma, this Kakattra, these worlds, these gods, these elements, in this universe. 7.

"As a person, when a drum (unseen by him) beaten, is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it (as sounds of a drum), but on the perception of the drum, the sound of a drum beaten is perceived. 8.

"As a person, when a shell (unseen by him) is blown, is gnable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it (as sounds of a shell), but on the perception of the shell, the sound of a shell blown is perceived. 9.

"As a person, when a flute (unseen to him) | played, is unable to perceive the sounds proceeding from it, but on the perception of the flute, the sound of a flute played is perceived. 10.

"As from fire, made of damp wood, proceed smoke, sparks, etc. of various kind, thus, behold, the breathing of this great being is the Rig Véda, the Yajur Véda, the Sáma Veda, the Atharva and Angirasa, the narratives, the doctrines on creation, the science, the Upanishada, the memorial verses, the aphorisms, the explanation of tenets, the explanation of mantras,—, all these are his breathing. 11.

"As the only site of the waters is the sea, thus the only site of every touch is the skin, thus the only site of every taste the tongue, thus the only site of every smell the nose, thus the only site of every sound the ear, thus the only site of every determination the mind, thus the only site of every knowledge the heart, thus the only site of every pleasure the organ of generation, thus the only site of every pleasure the organ of generation, thus the only site of every

evacuation the anno, thus the only site of every motion the fact; thus the only site of every Weds, speech, 12.

"As a piece of salt, when thrown into water, is dissolved into mere water, and none is capable of perceiving it, because, from whatever place a person might take (water), II would have the taste of salt, (but be no piece of salt), thus, behold, this great being, which is infinite, independent and mere knowledge. Springing forth together with those elements, (the individual soul) II destroyed, when they are destroyed. After death, no conscience remains; thus, III Maitréyi, I hold." Thus said Yájnsvalkya. 13.

Maitréyí said,—". With regard to the soul thou hast bewildered me, O Venerable, (by the saying,—After death no conscience remains.) I do not comprehend that (coul)." Yájnavalkya said,—"Behold, I verily do not create bewilderment; behold this soul is indestructible; its nature is without variance. 14.

"For where there is, as it were, duality, there sees another another thing, there smells another another thing, there tastes another another thing, there speaks another another thing, there hears another another thing, there minds another another thing, there touches another another thing, there knows another another thing; but how does one, to whom all has become mere soul, see any thing, how smell any thing, how taste any thing, how speak any thing, how hear any thing, how mind any thing, how touch any thing, how know any thing? How should he know him by whom he knows this all? This soul is not this, nor ought else; it is unseizable; for menuet be seised; it is not scattered; for it cannot be scattered; I is without contact; for I comes not into contact; it is without colour: I is not subject to pain or destruction. How should one know the knower? In this manner art thou instructed. So far. beloved Maitrévi, extends in truth immortality." Having said thus, Yajnavalkya went to the forest, 15.

#### Sixth Brahmays.

Next follows the school commencing from Pautimashys,\*
Pautimashya succeeded Gaupavana,—Gaupavana, Pautimashya,
—Pautimashya, Gaupavana,—Gaupavana, Kaus'ika,—Kaus'ika,
Kaundinya,—Kaundinya, S'andilya,—S'andilya, Kaus'ika
and Gautama,—Gautama, 1.

Agnivés'ya,—Agnivés'ya,† Gárgya,—Gárgya, Gárgya,—Gárgya, Gautama,—Gautama, Saitava,—Saitava Párás'aryáyana,—Párás'aryáyana, Gárgyáyana,—Gárgyáyana, Uddálokáyana,—Uddálokáyana,—Jáváláyana, Mádhyandináyana,—Mádhyandináyana,—Saukaráyana,—Kásháyana,—Kásháyana,—Sáyakáyana, Kaus'ikáyani,—Kaus'ikáyani, 2.

Ghritakans'ika, -Ghritakaus'ika, Párás'aryáyana, --Párás'aryáyana, Párás'arya,-Párás'arya, Játúkarnya,-Játúkarnya. Ksuráyana and Yaska,-Ksuráyana, Sraivani,-Sraivani, Aupajandhani,-Aupajandhani, Keuri,-Keuri, Bhacadvaja,-Bharadvája, Atréya,—Atréya, Mánti,—Mánti, Gautama,— Gautama, Gautama,—Gautama, Vátsya,—Vátsya, Srándilya,—Srándilya, Kaisorya Kapya, Kaisorya Kapya, Kumaraharita, Kumarahárita, Gálava, -- Gálava, Vidarbhí Kaundinva, -- Vidarbhí Kaundinya, Vateanapát Vábhrava,-Vateanapát Vábhrava, Pautháh Saubhara, -- Pantháh Saubhara, Ayásya Angirasa, --Ayásya Augiraes, Abhúti Tváshtar,--Abhúti Tváshtar, Visvarupa Tváshtar,-Visvarupa Tváshtar, the two As'vins,-the two As'vine, Dadhyat Atharvana,—Dadhyat Atharvana, Atharvá Daiva, - Atharvá Daiva, Mrityu Prádhvasana, - Mrityu Prádhvasana, Prádhvasana,-Prádhvasana, Ekarishi,-Ekarishi, Viprachitti,-Viprachitti, Vyashti,-Vyashti, Sanáru,-Sanáru, Sanátana,-Sanátana, Sanaga,-Sanaga, Paraméshthi,-Paraméshthi, Brahma, 1-Brahma is the self-existent; salutation to Brahma.

<sup>\*</sup> Two more lists of teachers are given in this Upanishad, viz. 4, 5, and 6, 5.

<sup>†</sup> From Agnivén'ya to Kaus'ikayani the names of teachers differ from those given = 4, 6, 2.

<sup>1</sup> Parameshthi denetes Virát, und Brahma, Hiranyagarbhu. S'.

#### FIFTH CHAPTER.

#### First Bráhmana.

Infinite\* I that, † infinite is this. From the infinite one proceeds the infinite one. On taking the infinity; of the infinite one, there is left infinity.

Om is the ether, is Brahma. The ether exists of old, the ether is the source of the wind, thus said the son of Kauravyayan. That (Omkara) is the Véda. The Brahmans know (vidur) that by this (name) one knows (véda) all that is to be known (véditavya).

#### Second Bráhmaya.

The three-fold offspring of Prajapati, gods, men and Asuras followed as religious students, their father Prajapati. The

- "In the preceding four chapters the knowledge of Brahma in his independent nature has been caplained; in the present chapter the modes of meditation on the soul ill its various relations are set forth. These modes, not at variance with the parformance of work, lead to a higher and higher state of existence, and effect the gradual liberation of the soul from the world. The first of them is the Omkara, as being the most emissent, after which follow the commands of restraint, liberality, and compassion. B'.
- † That (adah) refers to the imperceptible Brahma, who is all-pervading and independent of any relation, this (idem) to Brahma an conceived under relations. "Infinite," purps; the literal meaning of which is full, and which S'. explains by not fluite, all-pervading. It is infinite, (or full), he continues, as pervaded by the supreme soul, not by the individual soul, since the latter is involved in relations. "The infinite;"—considered as effect, "proceeds from the infinite,"—considered as cause. S'.
- 2 Taking the fullwity, literally the infinite one, and the sense is, comprehending the one identical nature of Břekna, by the omission of all relative attributes.
- § According to S'., Brahma is the subject, and Khu (the ether) the predicate of the sentence.
- || The other (this) contains two meanings, on ancient it represents the suprame soul, and as the source of the wind, it represents the inferior Brahma. The same applies to the sound Om, the representative of Khe. S'.

gods, having finished their time of learning, said (to Prajapati),

—"Tell us, II Venerable, (our duty)." He proclaimed to them
the syllable Da. "Do you comprehend?" They answered,

—"We do comprehend. Bestrain your desires, hast thou said
to us." He said,—"Om! you have fully comprehended."

Then the men said to him, "Tall us, O Venerable, (our duty)." He proclaimed to them the letter Da. "Do you comprehend?" They answered, "We do comprehend. Be liberal, hast thou said to us." He said, "Om! you have fully comprehended." Then the Asuras said to him, "Tell us, O Venerable, (our duty)." He proclaimed to them the letter Da. "Do you comprehend?" They answered, "We do comprehend. Be clement, hast thou said to us." He said, "Om! you have fully comprehended." The same is repeated by a divine voice with the force of thunder, vis. the syllables Da, Da, Da, meaning, Be restrained (damyata), be liberal (datta), and be clement (dayadhvam). Therefore let one learn the triad of restraint, liberality and clemency. 8.

# Third Brihmans.\*

This Prajapatit the heart (hridaya), this Brahma, this all. "Hridaya" consists of three syllables. The first syllable is Hri. To him who thus knows, the senses and the rest perform (abhiharanti), (their work). The second syllable

Restraint, liberality and elemency are the highest of all modes of adoration; for he, whose passions are subdeed, who is not stations of gain, and who is merciful, is ill possession of all these modes. In the possession two Brahmanes the meditation on Brahma without attributes has been explained, the present shows the elevated places, obtained from meditating on Brahma as endowed with attributes.

<sup>†</sup> The creator of all beings. 8'.

I The intellect, obiding in the heart. S'.

<sup>§</sup> Brokma, from his growing (bribattonic) and from his being the soul of all.

The reg, according to S.", means the objects of the senson, as sounds, etc.

is Da. The senses and the rest bestow (dadati) (power) on him who thus knows. The third syllable is Ya. He who thus knows, proceeds, (eti) to heaven, (his) place.

# Fourth Brühmung.

This\* (Brahma) was even truth.† Whoever knows that he, the great, the venerable, the first-born,‡ is thet rue Brahma, conquers (his enemy), as (the true Brahma conquers the worlds), and destroys him (also); for Brahma is truth.

# Fifth Bráhmana.

Water was at first this (world). Twater created truth. Truth is Brahma,\* Brahma (created) Prajápati,† Prajápatí the gods. The gods adore even truth. The name of Satya (truth) consists of three syllables. The first syllable is "Sa," the second syllable "Ti," and the third syllable "Ta." The first and the last syllables (Sa and Ya) are truth,‡ the

- In the text the demonstrative pronoun "tat" is three times repeated. According to S', the first refers to Brahma, described by the same of the heart in the preceding Bráhmaga, the second connects it with "text" which is to enumerate some other predicate of Brahma, and the third "tat" indicates the new predicate.
- † Truth, Sachelis tyatheba múrtanchámúrtancha satyam Brahma panchabhútátmakam, vid. p. 175, (2, 3, 1.)
  - ‡ Born before every other being which has a worldly existence S',
  - § This Brahmana has the object of extolling the true Brahma. #.
- || Water indicates here the animanifested state of the world, before its creation, together with the creator, therefore the seeds of all creation. S'.
  - The world is its manifestation. S'.
  - \* The first born, Hiranyagharbha, or the Sútiátma. S'.
  - + Or the Vnat. &.
- ‡ Because these two syliables occur neither in the word "mrityu" (death), nor in the word "arrita," while the middle syllable "ta" is found as well in "mrityu" as in "aurita." S'.

middle is falsehood (anrita); falsehood, is on either side cucompassed by truth; there is, (therefore), a preponderance of truth. Falsehood does not hurt him who (thus) knows. 1.

That truth\* is Aditya, the Purusha, (dwelling) in that orb, and also the Purusha, (dwelling) in the right eye. They abide in each other. The former abides through his rays in the latter,† and the latter in the former through his senses. When he quits the body, he beholds that pure orb;‡ the rays do not return to him. 2.

Bhú (the earth) is the head of the Purusha, dwelling in that orb, there being one head and also one syllable,—Bhuvah (the atmosphere) the arms,—there being two arms, and also two syllables,—Swah (the heavens) the foundation,—there being two foundations and also two syllables. His representative name is Ahar\* (day); for whoever thus knows, destroys (hanti) and relinquishes (jaháti) sin. 8.

Bhú is the head of the Purusha, dwelling in the right eye,—there being one head and also one syllable,—Bhuvah, the arms,—there being two arms and also two syllables.—Swah the foundation,—there being two foundations and also two syllables. His representative name is Aham;† for who, ever thus knows, destroys and relinquishes sin. 4.

<sup>\*</sup> This section exhibits the meditation on the true Brahma in his several localities. S'.

<sup>†</sup> The sun assists man by the manifestation of objects, and man the sun by perception. S'.

I The moon. S'.

<sup>§</sup> This section replies to the question, which are the sacred names and the corresponding parts of the body of the Purusha whose name is "Satys," in that orb. S.'

<sup>||</sup> The head from its excellence, S'.

T Denoting "foot."

<sup>\*</sup> Alar | here derived from the root " Ha" meaning either to destroy, or to relinquish.

<sup>†</sup> The derivation of Aham I here the same as that of Ahar.

#### Sixth Bráhmana.

The Purusha, who resembles\* mind, is the true light; † (he abides) within the heart, (in size) like a grain of rice or barley.‡ He is the Ruler of all, the sovereign lord of all; he overrules whatever exists in this universe.

# Sevenih Bráhmana.

It is said, that "vidyut" (lightning) is Brahma, for vidyut is derived from vidánát, (tearing asunder§). Whosoever thus knows, that Brahma is vidyut, tears asunder the sins of that (soul); for vidyut is even Brahma.

# Eighth Bráhmana.

Let one meditate on speech (under the semblance of) a milk-cow. Her four udders are the words Swáhá, Vashat, Hanta and Swadhá. Two udders, the words Swáhá and Vashat, feed the gods, the word Hanta (feeds) men, and the word Swadhá the forefathers. Her bull is life, her young one the mind.

# Ninth Bráhmana.

The fire whose name is Vaiswanara is that fire in the midst of the body, by which all the food that is eaten is digested.

- S'. explains the affir "maya" in "manamaya," not by "Swarupa" (of the same nature with the mind), but by "object of the mind," because comprehended either in or by the mind.
  - † Because the mind manifests all, and every thing is an object at the mind. S'.
  - † Vid. Katha U. 3, 11, and S'wétás'watera U. 3, 13.
- 4 From tearing anumeer the darkness; for by destroying the darkness of the clouds, lightning is manifested, S'.

From this (fire) arises a noise which one hears on closing his ears. When he\* quits the body, he does not hear the noise.

# Tenth Bráhmaņa.†

When the Purushat proceeds from this world (to another), he comes to the air. The air opens there as wide for him as the aperture of a chariot-wheel. By this (aperture) he ascends, (and) comes to the sun. The same opens there for him as wide as the aperture of a Lambara. By this he ascends, and comes to the moon. The same opens there for him as wide as the aperture of a small drum. By this he ascends, and comes to the world, where there is no grief, where there is no snow; there he dwells endless years.\*

# Eleventh Bráhmana.

The greatest pain surely is that, which one endures from sickness. Whoever thus knows, gains the highest world. The greatest pain surely is (to think) that they carry one after death to the forest. Whoever thus knows, gains the highest world. The greatest pain surely is (to think) that they lay the (body of the) deceased in the fire. Whoever thus knows, gains the highest world.

- \* The individual who experiences pain or pleasure in the body. S.'
- + In this Bra'sman, the fruits, consequent upon the shovementioned kinds of meditation, are stated, S'.
  - † The Puruska who has the knowledge before described, S'.
  - 5 A kind of musical instrument, probably a large drum.
  - To the world of Prajapati. S'.
  - We Grief denotes mental pain, and snow pain, arising from the body. S'.
  - \* Many Kalpas of Brahma. S'.

# Twelfth Bráhmana.

Some say, "Food is Brahma." This is not so; food decays,\* without (the support of) life. Others say, "Life is Brahma." This is not so; life dries up without (the support of) food. Those deities† verily, when becoming one, attain the highest state.† Thus (reflecting) Pátrida said to his father,—"Can I do any good or evil to one who thus knows?" He answered (checking him) with his hand,—"Do not (speak thus), O Pátrida; for who, that is the unity of them, can ever obtain the highest state?" He\[0]\ said to him "Vi;" food is verily "Vi;" for all these beings enter || food. (Again he said to him) = Ram;" life verily is Ram; for all these beings sport in life. Into him who thus knows, enter all beings; in him sport all beings.

# Thirteenth Bráhmana.

The Uktha¶ is verily life; therefore (let one meditate on) the Uktha; for life causes this all to spring up, (utthápayati). From him who thus knows, springs up a son who knows the Uktha, and is firm. Whoever thus knows, gains the same nature\* and the same place with the Uktha. 1.

- \* While Brahma is without decay, S'.
- + Food and life.
- 1 The state of Brahma.
- 4 The father.
- || Are dependent upon food.
- T Uktha = the principal Mantra = the rite, called Mahabrata. The Uktha = the principal rite, and life is also first among the other functions. S'.
- \* S.' explains Sáyujya by identity of body, organs and consciousness, vid. p. 55. The Sáyujya and Salokatá are two of the five kinds of liberation which are specified in the S'ri-Blágavat, 3, 29, viz. Sálokya, Sársti, Sámípya, Sárúpya and Ekatwa. Vid. Sabda K. D.

The Yajur is verily life; therefore (let one meditate on) the Yajur; for in life are all these beings united (yujyante). With him who thus knows are all beings united for the sake of his superiority. Whoever thus knows, obtains the same nature and the same place with the Yajur. 2.

The Sama is verily life; therefore (let one meditate on) the Sama; for in life meet all these beings together (Samyambi). For him who thus knows meet all these beings together for the sake of his superiority. Whoever thus knows, obtains the same nature and the same place with the Sama. 8.

The Kahattra is verily life; (therefore let one meditate on) the Kahattra; for life saves (trayate) this (body), when it is wounded (Kahanitoh). Whoever thus knows, gains the Kahattra which is Attra,\* and obtains the same nature and the same place with the Kahattra. 4.

# Fourteenth Bráhmana.+

Bhúmi (earth), Antaríksha (the atmosphere), Dyau (the heavens), are eight syllables; the first foot of the Gáyatrí consists of eight syllables; this (foot) of the Gáyatrí is that (nature of the earth, of the atmosphere and of the heavens). Whover thus knows the (first) foot of the (Gáyatrí), conquers all that is in the three worlds. 1.

The Richah, Yajunshi (and) Sámáni are eight syllables; the second foot of the Gáyatri consists of eight syllables; this

Attra means, according to S', what is not preserved by another (na trayate anyens kenochit, iti attram). Kahattra which is Attra seems to denote one who II not preserved by any body else, that is to say, who preserved himself.

<sup>†</sup> S'. gives the following introduction to the fourteenth Brahmana. The meditation upon Brahma, as endowed with many fictitious attributes, such as the heart, &c., has been explained; the present Brahmana in to set forth the meditation upon him, as represented by the Gayatri,

<sup>2</sup> See a similar play with letters Chb. U. 1, 3, 6--7.

(foot) of the Gayatri is that (nature of the three Vedas). Whoever thus knows, conquers all that in conquerable by the knowledge of the three Vedas. 2.

Prana (the vital air which goes forwards), Apana (the vital air which descends,) (and) Vyana (the vital air which equalises), these are eight syllables; the third foot of the Gayatri consists of eight syllables; this (foot) of the Gayatri is that (nature of the three vital airs). Whoever thus knows the third foot of the (Gayatri), conquers all that has life. Again, the turiya (the fourth), the Dars'ata foot of the Gayatri, is the Paro Raja,\* which sheds rays. What is (commonly called) Chaturtha, (the fourth), is (the same as) the "turiya." It is, as it were, beheld (dadris'é); hence it is called the Dars'ata foot. (It is called) Paro Raja, because it sheds rays upon all the dust-born creatures of the universe. Whoever thus knows that (foot of the Gayatri), is radiant with power and glory. 8.

This Gáyatrí† is founded upon the fourth, the Dars'ata foot, the Paro Rajá. This (fourth foot) is founded upon truth. The eye is verily truth; for (that) the eye in truth, (is evident). Hence, if at present two have entered upon a dispute, (one saying),—I have seen, (the other),—I have heard, then we believe him, who has said, I have seen. Truth is founded upon power; life is verily power. Upon this life (truth) is founded. Therefore it is said, power is stronger than truth.‡ In the same manner the Gáyatrí, is founded upon that which bears a relation to the soul; for this (Gáyatrí) preserves (tattré) the Gayas; the vital organs (Práṇah) are the Gayas; therefore, because it preserves the Gayas (gayáns tattré), it is called Gáyatrí. The

<sup>·</sup> Paro Rajá, Aditya or the sun, the representative of Brahmá.

<sup>†</sup> This Gayatri with its three feet representing the world in in twofold state, as being endowed with form, and as being without form, S'.

<sup>1</sup> Vid. Chh. U. 7, 8.

Savitrí which he\* teaches, is this (Gáyatrí); it preserves the life of him to whom he has taught it. 4.

Some† call this Sávitrí Anustup, (saying),—" Speech is Anustup; we repeat that speech is Anustup." Let none do so, let him call the Gáyatrí Sávitrí. If one who thus knows, receive even many (gifts),‡ yet he would not receive so much as is equal to one foot of the Gáyatrí. 5.

If one receive the three worlds, full (of all their riches), he would obtain (no more than is equal to) the first foot. Again if one receive as much as the science of the three Védas extends, he would obtain (no more than what is equal to) the second foot. Again, if one receive as much as all that has life extends, he would obtain (no more than what is equal to) its third foot. Again, the fourth Dars'ata Paro Rajá foot of the Gáyatrí is never by any one obtainable. Hence how could he receive (an equivalent) which extends so far? 6.

The praise of this Gáyatrí is given in the following Mantra),—Thou art of one foot, || of two feet, of three feet, and of four feet; for thou art not obtained. Salutation to thy fourth Darsáta Paro Rajá foot. May this (enemy of thine) ¶ not accomplish this (work).\* If (one who thus knows) hates any body (and makes against him this invocation), "this (man is my enemy); may his wish not be accomplished," then the

<sup>\*</sup> The teacher.

<sup>+</sup> Some followers of Véda schools.

<sup>1</sup> Many gifts, at the time of investiture, when the pupil begs for presents.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Obtainable by any wealth which may be given.

If The first foot, representing the three worlds, the second representing the knowledge of the three Vedas, the third representing all living creatures.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Enemy, sin. The sentence is cliptical, but the above sense appears to be intended; which is corroborated by the explanation given by the Upanished itself.

<sup>\*</sup> By which he seeks in harm thee.

wish of the latter will verily not be accomplished, if he make against him the invocation, "I have obtained his wish." 7.

Janaka, the king of the Vidéhas, thus addressed Butila, the son of As'watara,—" (If) thy saying that thou knowest the Gayatri (be true), then why hast thou become an elephant to carry (me)?"

He said,—"I did not know the mouth of the Gáyatrí, O king of kings." Its mouth is fire. Even much wood, thrown into fire, is consumed by the same; in the like manuer, one who thus knows, although committing many sins, consumes them all, becomes clean and pure, and is without decay and immortal. 14.

Open, O Púshan, the mouth of truth; concealed in the golden vessel,\* to (me who have been) devoted to true piety, for the sake of beholding (the truth). O Púshan, † thou sole Rishi, † Yama, Súrya, son of Prajápati, do withhold thy rays, diminish thy splendour, that I may behold thy most auspicious form. I, that Purusha, nm immortal. (Let) my vital air (join) the wind; then (let) my body, when reduced to ashes, (join) the carth). Om! Kratu, remember (my) acts! Remember, O Kratu, remember my acts, remember! Guide (me), O Agni, by the road of bliss to enjoyment; O god, who knowest all dispositious, deliver (me from) crooked sin. Let us offer thee our best salutation.

<sup>&</sup>quot;S'ankars thus explains this passage, He who has performed both, acre of knowledge and rites, prays to the sun at the time of his death, building a golden reasel in his hands. As a valuable thing is concealed in a vessel, so Braham, who is denoted as truth (vid. 5, 4.), and who abides in the resplandant orb of the sun, III concealed from him whose mind is not concentrated.

<sup>†</sup> Púshau from Poshanát because he apholds the world.

<sup>‡</sup> Rishi from Dars'anát, the sole beholder, or from ri to go. Súrya, ekáki charatíti ; Yama, jagstab sanyamanam tatkritam. S'.

<sup>§</sup> The whole passage in nearly identical with Vajatanéya S. U. 15-18.

#### SIXTH CHAPTER.

#### First Brahmana.

Whoever\* knows what is oldest and best,† becomes the oldest and best among his own. Life is verily what is oldest and best. Whoever thus knows, becomes the oldest and best amongst his own, and also amongst others, should he wish so. 1.

Whoever knows the best foundation, the becomes best founded among his own. Speech is verily best founded. Whoever thus knows, becomes best founded amongst his own, and also amongst others, should be wish so. 2.

Whoever knows the best standing place, is best placed; he stands firmly on what is even and uneven. The eyeş is verily a firm standing place; for by the eye he stands firmly on what

<sup>\*</sup> It has been declared, that life is the Gayatri. For what reason again # the Gayatri represented by life, and not by speech or other functions? The answer is, because life is the oldest and best, not so speech, etc. The present chapter has the object to determine, how life has those attributes. Or the connexion of this with the preceding chapter may also thus be stated, .- The meditation upon life in preference to the other organs has been declared, life being the Uktha, Yajur, Sama, etc. The meditation upon life which in the former chapter has been enunciated merely as III its principal qualities, is here continued, although it m not meant to conclude this meditation. This chapter, as is evident even from the name of " compilation's which is bears has rather the object to enumerate the special fruit, not mentioned before, which result from a meditation on life. S'. This introduction of S'. thus prefaced by A. G., .- The Omkara, the triad of restraint, liberality and clemency, the meditation upon what is Brahma and what it not Brahma, the fruit of such a meditation, the places gained thereby, and the adoration of Aditya and the other deities, have been set forth | the fifth chapter. The sixth chapter has the object to explain especially the meditation on that which is not Brahma together with the fruit, resulting from it, and also certain coremonies, of which the Srimantha | the first.

<sup>†</sup> Vid. Chhánd. II 5, 2, 4. Br. A. U. 4, 1, 3.

<sup>†</sup> Vasishtha means either best founded, or best clothed, both of which 

the effect from a superior power of speech. S' Vid. Ch. 5, 2 4. Br. & 4, 4, 2.

<sup>§</sup> Br. A'. 4, 1, 4.

is even and uneven. Whoever thus knows, stands firmly upon what is even and uneven. 3.

Whoever knows what is treasure, obtains whatever he desires. The ear\* is treasure; for in the ear all the Védas are treasured. Whoever thus knows, obtains whatever he desires.

Whoever knows the place of refuge,† becomes a place of refuge amongst his own. Mind; is verily the place of refuge. Whoever thus knows, becomes a place of refuge amongst his own. 5.

Whoever knows Prajapati (the lord of creation), becomes rich in offspring and in cattle. The seed is the source of creation. Whoever thus knows, creates offspring, and becomes rich in cattle. 6.

The vital organs, § disputing about their superiority, went to Brahma, || and apoke to him,—" Who amongst us is best founded." He said,—" He amongst you is best founded, by whose departure the body is thought to suffer most." 7.

Speech departed. Returning after the absence of a year, it said, "How could you live without me." They said,—"As dumb people who do no speak by speech, breathing by the vital breath, seeing by the eye, hearing by the ear, thinking by the mind, and begetting children, so have we lived." Then speech re-entered (the body).

The eye departed. Returning after the absence of myear, it said,—"How could you live without me?" They said,—"As blind people, who do not see by the eye, (live), breathing by the vital breath, speaking by the organ of speech, hearing by the ear, thinking by the mind, and begetting children, to have we lived." Then the eye re-entered (the body). 8.

<sup>\*</sup> Br. A'. 4, 1, 5.

<sup>†</sup> For the mind in the place, upon which the organs and their objects, are dependent, the objects of the senses being enjoyed by the soul through the mind. S'.

<sup>1</sup> Vid. Br. A'. 4, 1, 6.

<sup>§</sup> Speech and the rest, S'. Vid. Chh. U. 5, 1, 5-15, Pres'n, U. 2, 2-4, where the dispute among the organa is also described.

<sup>|</sup> Brahms means here Prajápati.

The car departed. Returning after the absence of a year, it said,—"How could you live without me?" They said,—"As deaf people, who do not hear by the ear, (live), breathing by the vital breath, speaking by the organ of speech, seeing by the eye, thinking by the mind, and begetting children, so have we lived." Then the ear re-entered (the body). 9.

The mind departed. Returning after the absence of a year, it said,—"How could you live without me?" "They said,—"As idiots who do not think by the mind, (live), breathing by the vital breath, speaking by the organ of speech, seeing by the eye, hearing by the ear, and begetting children, so have we lived." Then the mind re-entered (the body). 10.

The organ of generation departed. Returning after the absence of a year, it said,—"How could you live without me?" They said,—"As impotent people who do not beget children (live), breathing by the vital breath, speaking by the organ of speech, seeing by the eye, hearing by the car, and thinking by the mind, so have we lived." Then the organ of generation re-entered (the body.) 12.

Then, the vital breath being about to depart, as a great, noble horse, born in the Sindhu country, raises its hoofs, so it shook those vital organs (from their places). They said,—" Do not depart, O Venerable. We can not live without thee." "If I am such, then offer sacrifice\* to me." (They answered,)—" Be it so." 13.

Speech said,—"That I am founded, is, because thou art founded." The eye said, "That I am a standing place, is, because thou art a standing place." The ear said,—"That I am a treasure, is, because thou art a treasure." The mind said, "That I am a place of refuge, is, because thou art a place of refuge." The organ of generation said,—"That I am a source of procreation, is, because thou art a source of procreation." (Life said),—"If I am such, what then is my food, what

<sup>.</sup> Or so S'. explains II " tribute."

then is my foundation?" (They said),—"All this whatsoever, horses, worms, small insects, locusts, and so on, is thy food; the waters are thy dwelling place."\* He who thus knows, does not eat (any food) which is not to be eaten,† nor does he take (any gift) which is not to be taken.‡ Therefore those acquainted with the Védas who thus know, sip water when commencing to eat, and sip water (again) after they have eaten, thinking, that (thereby) they have clothed the naked (life).

# Second Bráhmana.

S'wétakétu, § Aruneya, || came to the assembly of the Panchálas. He came to Praváhana, the son of Jihala, who was

- \* Or thy garment.
- † He is not guilty of any fault by eating food which is prohibited.
- ‡ Thus I rendered "anannam" in accordance with Sankara's explanation. It is the same term which in the first half of the sentence is translated by "not to be exten."
- All that has been omitted in the former chapters of this Upanishad, is to be mentioned in this part, the "Khilakénda." At the end of the seventh chapter he who has been addicted during his life to both, to knowledge and to the performance of rites, asks on the approach of death for the road of Agui with the words "Agui, lend me on the good path." By the qualification of " good" the existence of many puths is indicated, and the roads themselves are paths towards the acquirement of the effects, resulting from works. They succinctly show the whole result of worldly andeavours, be they works flowing from mere natural knowledge or from scriptural knowledge. Although, therefore, natural sin has been explained in the words "threefold is the off-pring of Prajaputi," and also its effects in the words : " He has not to perform this work," and although the consequences of acriptural knowledge have been set forth # the and of the topic on " the obtaining of the nature of the threefold food" and at the commencement of the knowledge of Brahma, as implied in the injunction to abstain from those consequences, yet it has merely been said, that by work alone the world of the forefathers, and by knowledge and by work, accompanied with knowledge, the world of the gods is gained, but by what means either is to be obtained has not been stated. To exhibit them and to give finally a succinct view of the whole meaning of the S'astra, is the object of the present Kinlakanda. S'.-The present narrance, with the modification of some words, is essentially the same with Chh. U. 5, 3-10.

<sup>||</sup> Aruneya, the son of Aruni, who is the son of Aruni, S'.

attended by his courtiers. Seeing him, he saluted him by the words,—"Is it thou, O youth?"\* He answered, "Ah, yes, O friend."† Art thou instructed by thy father? "He said," "Om (I am)." 1.

"Dost thou know, how the creatures who depart this life, proceed on different roads?" He said.—"I do not know." "Dost thou know, how they return to this world?" He said,-"I do not know." "Dost thou know, how many, who have quitted again and again this world, no more return to it?" He said,-"I do not know." = Dost thou know at which sacrifice the waters become the words of man, and rise to speak?" He said,-" I do not know," " Dost thou know the means of obtaining the road, which is called Dévayans, or the road, called Pitrivána, (that is to say, dost thou know) by which work the road to the world of the gods or of the forefathers is obtained? It is heard (also) the word of the Rishi, 1-I heard of two roads, the one's of the forefathers; and the other of the gods (either of which must be proceeded on) by the mortals. "As distant as is the father from the mother, || (so distant is the one road from the other)." "I do not know any thing of all this," said he. 2.

Then he invited him to sit. Not heeding the proffered seat, the youth hastened away. He went to his father and said to him, "Hast thou not before declared us to be instructed (in all science)?" "What then, O youth of subtile mind?" "The man whose companions are kings, asked me five ques-

<sup>\*</sup> The address of the king is merely Kumára, of which the last syllable is Pluta (three times the length of a short vowel), to indicate contempt, says S'.

<sup>†</sup> S'wétakétu returns the salutation of the king by the simple syllable ' Bho' with Piuts, which according to S.', is not a particle suited in addressing a king.

t Of the Mantra. S'.

<sup>§</sup> Leading to the world of the forefathers.

<sup>||</sup> Father and mother denote heaven and earth, the two balves of the mundane egg. S'.

tons, of which I did not know one." Which are they?" "These" and he mentioned them one after another. 3.

He said,—"Thou must know, O beloved one, that I told thee all which I know myself. Up then. Going there, let us perform the service of a Brahma student (to the king)." "Do thou go, O Venerable." Gautama went, where Prabahana, the son of Jibala held his residence. (The king) bringing a seat for him, had (also) water brought; then he made the oblation according to rite. He said to him,—"Wo grant thee a boon,\* O respected Gautama." 4.

He said,—(I accept) the boon which thou hast promised me. Explain to me the word which thou hast said before to the youth." 5.

He said,—"That is a boon concerning gods; name one concerning men." 6.

He said,—"Thou knowest well, I have enough of gold, of cows and horses, female slaves, dependants and garments. Do thou not withhold from us the gift which is great, permanent, and extends (to many generations). He said,—Verily according to rite thou desirest, O Gautama, (to obtain knowledge from me)." He said,—"I approach thee as pupil (according to the rite)."† With words others also approached of old; (their teachers). He resided there through the mere name of a respectful gift. 7.

He said,—"Do not hold us guilty, O Gautama, as thy forefathers (held not guilty my forefathers). That this knowledge in former times was not possessed by a Bráhmana (thou knowest thyself). But I will explain it to thee; for whoever could refuse it to one who thus speaks? 8.

<sup>\*</sup> By which is meent a gift of cows, horses, etc. S'.

<sup>†</sup> Enjoined by the Sastra. S'.

<sup>‡</sup> Brahmanas went to Kahatriyas, or Kahatriyas to Vais'was for acquiring know-ledge, only with words, and not with prescuts.

That world\* is the fire, O Gautama. The sun (Aditya) is its fuel; his rays the smoke;† the day the flame;‡ the quarters the coals;§ the within lying quarters the sparks. The gods¶ offer faith to this fire. From this offering king Soma springs forth.\* 9.

Or Parjanya, is fire, -- O Gautama; the yeart is its fuel;

- \* The king answers first the fourth question, because, says S'., on its solution also the other questions are solved. That world, vis. the heavens.
  - + As likewise rising from the fuel. S'.
  - I Being alike through manifestation. S'.
  - § Resembling them by their bringing to rest. S'.
  - | Flying off from the other quarters like the sparks from fire. S'.
  - Indra, and the rest. S'.
  - " He is king of the forefathers and of the Brahmans. S',
  - + Purjanya, the second locality of the offerings, is the tutclary delty of rain. S',
- I The two offerings of the are sacrifice, viz. the offerings in the morning and in the evening, exist in this world m a manifested form, as do she the necessary appliances of the sacrifice, viz. the sacrificial fire, the wood, the coals, the sparks of the fire, the things which are offered as malk, ghee, etc. When they rise to the other world, to beaven or their unmanifested state, they exist according to their subtile nature us do ulso those appliances. Again, at the time of munifestation, or at the creation of the world the ceremonial work is changed by assuming the state of the fire of the atmosphere, etc. The same changes undergoes also at present the work, called fire-eachifice. In this manner the whole world is the effect of the invisible changes of the two offerings of the fire-sacrifice. Those six neces. sary appliances will be mentioned afterwards for the take of extelling the two offerings; but here, where the consequences, resulting from the work of the saurifices are to be explained, the object is to set forth the doctrine of the five fires us the cause of obtaining the northern path for the enjoyment of the fruit derived from special work. The organs of the body in their relation to the soul are here the offering priests of the fire-sacrifice. Considered in their relation to the gods, they are Indra and the other gods who are the offering priests for the fire of heaven, etc. They offer the fire-sacrifice for the sake of the fruit, derived from it. When they have enjoyed the whole fruit, they perform the same sacrifice again. In this manner the organs are called gods. In this our present state, also, any offering, as milk, etc., dependent on the rits of the fire-sacrifice, which has been thrown in the sacrificial fire and consumed by it, enters in its invisible, subtile form, together with the sacrificer, this world, further in the form of smoke, the atmosphere, and from the atmosphere the heavens. These are the subtile waters, the effects of offerings, the parts of the fire-sacrifice, bearing the name of faith, which

the clouds the smoke;\* the lightning the flame;† the thunderbolt the coals;† the thunder claps the sparks.§ The gods offer king Soma to this fire. From this offering rain springs forth, 10.

This world is fire, O Gautama. The earth is its fuel; I fire the amoke; night the flame; the moon the coals; the stars the sparks. The gods offer rain to this fire. From this offering food springs forth. 11.

Man is fire, O Gautama. His open mouth is the fuel; § breath the smoke; || speech, the flame; ¶ the eye the coals; the

at the world of the moon create another body for the eacrificer, and when entering the heavens, are offered. Therefore the waters which are parts of the fire-ascrifice and the cause that the ascrificer obtains another body in the world of the moon, are called faith. They bear the name of waters, because the greater part of their composition is water. The fire-ascrifice however, is merely a representative of all the other Vedaic rites, and what has been said about the former, applies also to the latter. S'.

- They are smoke, either from their being produced from smoke, or from their similar appearance. S'.
  - † Both having the power of manifestation. S'.
  - \$ Both being slike extinguishable and hard. S'.
  - 5 Both flying off and being alike frequent, S'.
- ii This world, the place where living creatures are born, and have their enjoyment. S'.
- T For by the earth, furnished with the means of enjoyment for innumerable Kving creatures, this world is nourished. S'.
  - \* It is fire, as rising from the earth in the same manner as smoke from fire. S'.
- † The night is the flame, because as the flame has its origin from the connexion of fuel with fire, so the night from the connexion with its fuel, viz. the earth, the darkness of the night being called the shadow of the earth, S'. To which K. G. adds; for darkness in the place of Ráhu, and this is the shadow of the earth.
- † The moon is the coals, from similarity of origin; for se from the flame, coals are produced, so the moon is produced in the night. Or because both are alike extinguishable. S'.
- § For through the mouth man is lighted up, i. c. calightened with regard to speech, study of the Védas, etc. S'.
  - | Both rising equally upwards. S'.
  - T From their like power of manifestation. 8'.

ear the sparks. The gods offer food in this fire. From this offering seed springs forth. 12.

Woman is fire, O Gautama, her haunch the fuel; the hairs on the body the smoke;\* the organ of generation the flame;† cohabitation the coals;‡ the fits of enjoyment the sparks. The gods offer seed in this fire. From this offering man springs forth. § He lives as long as he lives. [ When he dies, 13.

Then they¶ take him\* to the fire; his fire becomes fire; his fuel, fuel; his smoke, smoke; his flame, flame; his coals, coals; his sparks, sparks. The gods offer man in this fire. From this offering, man in radiant splendour springs forth. 14.

Those; who have this knowledge,; and those who in the

- \* Both rising equally upwards. S'.
- + From likeness of colour. S'.
- # Both equally extinguishing. S'.
- § In this manner the waters, bearing the name of faith, are gradually offered in the fires of heaven; of Parjanya, of this world, of man, and of woman, and having assumed gradually a grosser and grosser shape, they are the cause of the creation of man. And thereby the fourth question, viz. 4 Dout thou know, at the offering of which ascrifice, the waters becoming the words of man, rise to speak?" If decided, viz. they become so, on the performance of the fifth offering in the fire which is woman, when the waters become seed. S'.
- || As long as the fruit of the work continues, which had been the cause of his assuming his body. S'.
  - The Ritwigs or priests, performing the last rites. S'.
  - \* The deceased who in this case is himself the offering. S'.
- † The present section replies to the first question and to part of the fifth, viz.
  "Dost thou know, in what way the creatures, departing this life, proceed on different roads?" and "Dost thou know the means of obtaining the road which is called Dévayana?"
- Those who thus know. This knowledge does not refer to one of those fires only, but III the five fires together, as III evident from the Chh. U., where (5, 10, 10) the knowledge of the five fires is expressly mentioned with reference to the same topic. The knowledge cencerns the nature of those fires and not the comparisons, which are only made for the sake of extelling the fire-sacrifice. But who are those who thus know? Not the householders in general; for those among them who have not the knowledge of the five fires, are to min the worlds of smoke as the fruit, etc. resulting from ascrifice, gifts and assterity, (16). Not those who have retired to the forest, nor religious mendicants; for they are mentioned in the passage of the text immediately following, "those who III the forest," and

forest\* meditate with faith on truth,† obtain the flame,‡ from the flame the day; from the day the light half of the moon; from the light half of the moon the six months when the sun moves to the north; from those months the world of the gods; from the world of the gods the sun; from the sun the world of the lightning. Those who have obtained the world of the lightning, are removed by the Purusha created by the mind§ to the Brahma worlds. In those Brahma worlds exalted they live infinite years. For them, there is no return (to this world). ¶ 15.

the knowledge of the five fires has connection with the work of the householders, and not with that of hermits or religious meadicants. Nor lastly the Brahmacharis, because their entering upon the northern path is proved by the Smriti. Therefore the words "those who know," refer to the house-holders who have a knowledge of the five fires. S'.

- \* Those who in the forcet, vis. those who have retired from the world in the forcest (the Vanaprasthas,) and also the religious mendicants, (Fárivzájakas.)
- † Who meditate on truth, that is to say on truth, that is many on Brahms in the form of Hirapyagarbha, not such as meditate with faith simply. As long as the householders have not the knowledge of the five fires, or of Brahma, so long, after the fifth offering in the gradual order of those offerings has been performed, they are again born from the fire of woman, and on their return to this world they perform again ceremonial work. By means of this work they go again in the gradual procession from one world to another up to the world of the forefathers, and from there backwards in the reverse order to this world. Here, being again born from the fire of woman, the same circle is to be described like the continual rotation of a water-wheel. S'.
- ‡ Flame means here the tutelary desity of the fire, and so the terms "the day," the light half of the moon," the tutelary desities of the day, etc.
  - § By Brahma. S'.
- || The Brahma worlds, the plural refers either to different regions in the Brahms world, which is only one, or to the difference of the rewards, derived from different modes of meditation. S'.
- That I to say, there I no return for them during the present duration of the world, but they return of course on a new creation, as absolute liberation from transmigration, I only the effect from the knowledge of Brahms, while the knowledge here in question is merely a knowledge of the five fires, or of Brahms II the form of Hiranyagarbha. This view in clear from the whole doctring II this Upanishad, and does not require any further proof; but S', wastes much ingenuity to prove it from the form of language of another passage, where the I act return'that the addition "to this world."

Again,\* those who conquer the worlds by sacrifice, gifts and austerity, t obtain smoke ; t from smoke night; from night the dark half of the moon; from the dark half of the moon the six months when the sun moves to the south: from those months the world of the forefathers; from the world of the forefathers the moon. Having obtained the moon, they become food. As (the offering priests) consume (again and again) king Soma, saying, do increase, and do decrease, so the gods consume them there. & When that (fruit of their works) ceases, then they obtain the ether, from the ether the air, from the air rain, from rain the earth. Having obtained the earth, they become food. Again they are offered in the fire of man; hence they are born in the fire of woman. Proceeding from world to world, they return in this way again and again. Again, those who do not know those roads, become worms, locusts and gnats. ¶ 16.

# Third Brahmana.

Whoever desires\* to obtain greatness, (has to perform the

- \* Those householders, who have not the knowledge of the five fires.
- † S'. says, that "gifts and austerity" do not refer to such as are enjoined by the Védas, as they would be included in "sacrifice."
  - ‡ The deities of smoke, etc.
- § As the offering priests consume again and again the Soma juice, so the performers of rites who are the supporters of the gods by sacrifices, etc., on their obtaining new bodies in the Soma world, are turned back again and again to this world for the performance of troublesome work by the gods, from whom they receive such rewards as are in correspondence with their work. St. And thereby is answered the second-half of the fifth question.
  - || This is the reply to the second question.
  - The answer to the third question.
- \* The ultimate effect, derived from knowledge and works, has been declared. Knowledge II independent of any other thing, but work depends both upon the wealth of gods and upon that of man. For the sake of work, therefore, wealth must be acquired, and this by means unaccompanied with sin. For the acquirement of wealth the ceremony, called Mantha, II ordained, in order that greatness be obtained; for wealth is the consequence of greatness. S'.

following rite). At the time when the sun moves to the north, on an auspicious day of the light half of the moon, the twelfth day of his performing the vow named Upavasad,\* after he has gathered and mixed together all kinds of herbs and fruits in a shell-shaped vessel or in a spoon, either made of the wood of the conglomerate fig-tree; after he has sprinkled with water (the place of offering), placed the cow-dung, lightened the fire, apread (the kusa grass), cleaned the covered ghee, and taken the mixture at the time of a male star, he performs the offering.+ (saying),-"To all the gods of crooked mind who under thy control, O Játavéda, i obstruct man's desire, do I offer a share (of the sacrifice). Satisfied, let them satisfy me with all desires. Swaha to the goddess of crooked mind who under the thought that she is the upholder (of all) has taken refuge to thee; to this deity who is the accomplisher of all, I offer a part of the ghee, Swaha! 1.

"Swaha to the eldest, Swaha to the best!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to life, Swaha to what is firmly founded!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to speech, Swaha to the standing place!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the eye, Swaha to the treasure!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder of the ghee into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the ear, Swaha to the place of refuge!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the

<sup>\*</sup> The vow which is called Upavashed is part of the Yotistoma rites, and consists in limiting the food for a period of twelve days, taking the first day as much wilk as contained in one udder of a cow, the second as is contained in two, the third three, and the fourth in four, then on the fifth again taking three, and so down one, when again one added on each successive day. S'.

<sup>+</sup> Then while offering ghee, he speaks the following Mantras. S'.

<sup>1</sup> Fire.

<sup>§</sup> The eldest and the best is life. Compare this passage with 6, 1, 1-6.

churning vessel. "Swáhá to the mind, Swáhá to the source of procreation!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swáhá to the seed!" with these words offering in the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. 2.

"Swaha to the fire!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to Soma!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the earth!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the glee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the atmosphere!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swáhú to the heavens!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the earth, to the atmosphere and to the heavens!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swáhá to the Brahma!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the Khattra," with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the past!" with these words offering to the fire. he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to the future!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. Swaha to the universe!" with these words offering to the fire. he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel. "Swaha to all!" with these words offering to the fire, he drops the remainder (of the ghee) into the churning vessel.

Then he touches that (mixture saying), "Thou art moveable; thou art resplendent, thou art full; thou art un-

<sup>\*</sup> Moveable, because life a moveable, and thou art of the same nature as life. A'. G.

<sup>†</sup> Because fire, the same as thou, is so. A'. G.

<sup>1</sup> In thy nature as Brahma. A'. G.

shaken;\* thou art the one pervader of this (universe); thou art proclaimed;† thou art proclaimed again;‡ thou art sung;§ thou art sung again;‡ thou art sounded,¶ thou art resounded;\* thou shinest in the cloud;† thou art pervading; thou art powerful; thou art food;‡ thou art splendour,§ thou art destruction;‡ thou art identity." 4.

Then he raises that (mixture, saying),—"Thou thinkest (of all); we think of thy greatness; for he is king, lord and sovereign. Let him, the king and lord, make me a sovereign." 5.

Then he eats¶ that (mixture, and with the words),—"Let us reflect on the adorable light of Savitar, (viz.) May the winds convey happiness; may the rivers drop happiness; may the herbs be of sweet juice to us. Swáhá to the earth!" (let him take the first morsel). (With the words),—"(Let us reflect on the adorable light of Savitar," (viz.) May the night, yea even the morning-dawns (bring us) happiness, (may be fraught with) happiness the dust of the earth. May the heavens, our father, (bring us) happiness. Swáhá to the atmosphere!" (let him take the second morsel). With the words,—"(Let us reflect on

- \* In thy likeness of the sky. A', G.
- † By the Practoter at the commencement of the secrifice. A'. G.
- ‡ By the same in its middle. A'. G.
- § By the Udgatar at the commencement of the sacrifice. A'. G.
- || By the same in its middle, A'. G.
- ¶ By the Adhwaryu. A', G.
- \* By the Aguidhre. A'. G.
- † According to A'. G.'s explanation; Literally, in what is moist,
- ‡ Food, represented by Soma, all things to be enjoyed. A'. G.
- § Splendour as represented by fire, in its nature as consumer. A'. G.
- || Destroyer, being the cause of destruction of all things according to their relution to the soul and to the deities. A'. G.

If He shall gradually take three morsels. With the first morsel he shall recite the first foot of the Gayatri and the first escred word (Bhú), with the second the second foot and the second sacred word (Bhuvah), and with the third the third foot and the third sacred word (Swar). After M has muttered the three sacred words, he should cigan the vessel, in which he has dropped the remainder of the give, and drink this in sitence. St.

the adorable light of Savitar) who quickens our understanding, (viz.) May Vanaspati (bring) us happiness; may the sun (bring) us happiness; may his rays (bring) us happiness. Swáhá to the heavens!" (let him take the third morsel). And having repeated the whole Sávitrí and all the benedictions,\* he says at the close (of the rite), "May I become this all, Swáhá to the earth, the atmosphere and the heavens!" Then having sipped (water) and cleaned his hands, he touches the fire with his thigh, his head turned towards the east. (Then) in the morning dawn he adores Aditya (with the Mantra),—"Thou art the one lotus of the quarters; may I become the one lotus of men." As he (before) approached the fire, so he (again) approaches it with the thigh, and after being seated, he mutters the school.† 6.

Uddálaka A'runi having explained this (mixture) to his disciple, Yájnavalkya, of the Vájasanéya school, said,—" Whoever pours it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and its leaves spring forth. 7.

Yájnavalkya of the Vájasanéya school, having explained this (mixture) to his disciple, Madhuka Paiugya, said,—" Whoever pours it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and its leaves spring forth." 8.

Madhuka Paingya having explained this (mixture) to his disciple, Chúla Bhágavítti, said,—"Whoever pours it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and its leaves spring forth." 9.

Chúla Bhágavitti, having taught this (mixture) to his disciple, Jánaki Kyasthúna, said,—"Whoever pours it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and its leaves spring forth." 10.

Jánaki A'yastúna having explained this (mixture) to his disciple, Satyakáma Jábála, said,—" Whoever pours it on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise and its leaves spring forth." 11.

Satyakáma Jábála having explained this (mixture) to his

<sup>\*</sup> He eats the fourth morsel. A'. G.

<sup>†</sup> The succession of teachers and descriptes.

disciples, said,—"Whoever places I on a dry trunk, (will see) its branches rise, and its leaves spring forth. Let none teach it to one who is not a son, or a disciple." 12.

There should be four things made of the wood of the conglomerate fig tree, the Sruva,\* the Chamasa,† the sacrificial wood, and the two churning vessels; there should be ten kinds of cultivated seeds, viz. rice, barley, sesamum seed, kidney beans, millet,‡ panick seed, wheat, lentil, pulse and vetch. When they are ground down, and sprinkled with curdled milk, honey and ghee, he shall offer the clarified butter. 13.

# Fourth Brahmana.

Terra est horum elementorum essentia, aqua terræ, herbæ aquæ, flores herbarum, fruges florum, homo frugum, semen hominis. 1.

Prajápatis respiciens,—Age, illi (homini) habitationem parabo,—fominam creavit. En creata, Adha Upásana sic dictum ritum celebravit. Quam ob causam (homo etiam) Adha Upásana ritum cum conjuge celebret. Pene turgido facto, Prajápatis cum illa coivit. 2.

Ejus vulva est altare, corporis pili Kus'a sie dictum gramen, cutis ignis, testiculi in medio igne collocata duo Soma sacrificii vasa. Quatenus ejus mundus extensus est qui Vájapéya sacrificium facit, entenus hominis mundus extensus est qui ejus (Adha Upásana ritus) guarus cum conjuge coit. Is (quoque) conjugum bene factum aufert. Verum qui ignarus Adha Upásana ritum celebrat, ejus bene factum conjuges auferunt. 3.

Hac cognitione præditus Uddálaka Árunis, hac cognitione præditus Náka Maudgalyus, hac cognitione præditus Kumára-háritus declaraverunt,—Ex ea terrestri mundo multi mortales

- · A kind of ladle with which the ghee is taken, when offered to the fire.
- † Another kind of ladle, to be put to the water used for the sacrifice.
- ‡ Anu, a sort of corn of very small seed, which is called China in Bengali.
- § I translated the greater part of this Bráhmana into Latin as a would not bear an English fendering.

discedunt, quibus nomen modo est Bráhmanorum, quorum sensus sunt obtusi, et quorum bene factum fructu caret, I videlicet, qui illins ritus ignari cum femina coeunt. Quodsi dormientis sive vigilantis (mariti) semen, multum sit sive parvum, labitur, 4.

Is semen tollens ea hymni verba recitet,—Quod semen hodie in terram est lapsum, quod in herbas sive in aquam descendit, m semen meum recipio. Rursum me adeat robur, rursum me adeat aplendor, rursum me adeat prosperitas. Rursum ignis numina ipsius loco semen reddant. Ita locutus, digito anuulari ac pollice semen tollens inter mammas seu aupercilia abstergat. 5.

Dein si (maritus) in aqua ipsius imaginem videt, ea hymni verbi recitet,—(Dei) mihi splendorem, robur, famam, opes et bene factum largiantur.—(Maritus) cum conjuge præclara, sordida veste induta, ea de causa quod vestis sordida est, coiturus, ea hymni verba recitet,—Sit illa inter mulieres fortunata. 6.

Quodsi illa ad mariti voluntatem non se conformat, (donis) eam emat. Quod si illa ad mariti voluntatem (iterum) non se conformat, baculo seu manu eam percutiens eis hymni verbis devincat,—(Hoc) membro atque (hac) fama famam tuam aufero. Hoc modo illa fama privata erit. 7.

Quodsi ilia ad mariti voluntatem se conformat, (is ea hymni verba recitet),—(Hoc) membro atque (hac) fama tibi famam attuli. Eo modo uterque fama præditus crit. 8.

Si quis conjugem eum amare cupit, pene in ea collocato, ore ori affixo, et conjugis pudendo fricato, (is ea hymni verba musset),—Ex omnibus membris ortum, corde natum tu sane es membrorum essentia. Kam, sicut dorcadem sagitta veneno illinita feritam, mihi subjectam redde. 9.

Dein si quis conjugem non prægnantem esse cupit, pene in ea collocato, ore ori affixo, et spiritu exhalato et inhalato, (is ea hymni verba musset),—Hoc membro semineque semen tuum aufero. Eo modo conjux non erit prægnans. 10.

Dein si quis conjugem esse prægnantem cupit, pene in ea collocato, ore ori affixo et spiritu inhalato et exhalato (is ea hymni verba musset),—Hoc membro semine que semen affero. Sic ea crit prægnans. 11.

Dein si conjugis est amasius quem maritus odit, is vas non coctum in igne ponat, et S'aravarhi sic dictum gramen inverso ordine sternens et ejus calamos butyro immersos inverso ordine in igne sacrificans, (ea hymni verba dicat),-Heus tu in igne meo sacrificasti, equidem spiritum tuum progredientem nec ne spiritum descendentem aufero. Heus tu in igne meo sacrificasti: equidem liberos tuos ac pecora tua aufero. Heus tu in igne meo sacrificasti; equidem fructus, quos e ceremoniis et benefacto adeptus es, aufero. Heus tu in igne meo sacrificasti; equidem spem tuam ac expectationes tuas aufero. Is sane sensibus obtusis, et benefacti fructu orbatus ex hoc mundo terrestri discedet, quem Bráhmanus, en cognitione præditus, exsecratur. Quare ne quis, ea cognitione præditus, in Brahmani, Vedorum scientia instructi, foribus (mente quidem) delectari cupiat, minimum vero (re ipsa delectetur); etenim ea cognitione præditus inimicus exstat. 12.

Dein ai quis conjugem menstruas purgationes subcuntem novit, triduum e poculo bibat; nec sit ejus (mariti) vestis scissa; nec S'údrus neque S'údrá cam tangat. Tribus uoctibus elapsis, cam lavari et de paleis oryzæ grana exuere jubeat. 18.

Dein si quis albi coloris filium pasci cupit qui peritus sit unius Vedorum atque vitam ad extremum aetatis humanæ finem degat, lacte una cum oryza et butyro purificato cocto, uterque edat (ea hymni verba dicens),—Utinam procreatione pollentes simus. 14.

Dein si quis filium coloris nigricautis e gilvo nasci cupit qui peritus sit duorum Vedorum atque vitam ad extremum actatis humauæ finem degat, lacte coagulato una cum oryza et butyro purificato coeto, uterque edat, (ca hymni verba diceus),—Utinam procreatione pollentes simus. 15.

Deiu si quis filium nigro colore rubrisque oculis ornatum

nasci capit qui peritus sit trium Vedorum atque vitam ad extremum aetatis humanse finem degat, orysa una cum butyro purificato cocto, uterque edat, (ca hymni verba dicens),—Utinam procreatione pollentes simus. 16.

Dein si quis doctam filiam nasci cupit quae vitam ad extremum actatis humause finem degat, Tila sic dictae plantæ seminibus una cum butyro purificato coctis, uterque edat, (ea hymni verba dicens),—Utinam procreatione pollentes simus. 17.

Dein si quia filium doctum et valde celebratum nasci cupit, qui conciones frequentet, auavia verba loquatur, omnium Vedorum peritus sit et vitam ad extremum actatis lumanæ finem degat, minoris sive majoris actatis tauri carne una cum oryza et butyro purificato cocto, uterque edat (ca hymni verba dicens),—Utinam procreatione pollentes simus. 18.

Deiu is mane butyro purificato Sthálípáka sic dicta ceremonia consecrato, iterum iterumque oryze partem (eis hymni verbis) offert,—Igni Swáhá, Anumati Swáhá, divino Savitri, veræ procreationis causae, Swáhá! Oryza hoc modo sacrificata et vase sublato, ipse est postcaque conjugem edere jubet. Tum manibus ablutis et vase aquario aqua repleto ter cam conspergit (ea hymni verba dicens),—Surge de ea, Viswa-vasu, aliamque químere eminam juvenem et idoueam que cum marito inent ludos amatorios. 19.

Dein cam amplectitur, (ca hymni verba dicens),—Ego sum Amus, tu es Sá, tu es Sá, ego sum Amus, ego Súmá, tu es terra. Age, operam demus et filii procreaudi causa semen emittamus. 20.

Dein ejus femora pulsat (ea hymni verba diccus),—Recludiminor, vos coclum et terra! Ac pene in ea collocato, ore ori affixo a capite ad pedes ter ejus corpus fricat, (ca hymni verba diceus),—Vishnus vulvam tuam paret (ad procreandum), Twaster membra tua extendat, Prajápatis emittat semen, et creator foetum nutriat. Foetum recipe, Siníváli, foetum recipe, multam celebrata. Aswines dei, radiorum sertis fulgentes, foctum tuum nutriant. 21.

Aswines ligna duo, quibus sacrificamus foetum tuum, peragitent ita ut decimo nascatur mense. Sienti terra est igne prægnaus, sicuti coelum est Indro prægnaus, sicuti ventus est plagis prægnaus, similiter ego foetum tuum præbco. 22.

Eam partum enitentem aqua conspergit (ca hymni verba dicens),—Sicuti ventus lacum uhique commovet, similiter foetus tuus una cum tegumentis exeat. Hace via pessulo et tegumento Indri causa est munita. Hac via, Indre, exeas. Fac etiam, ut exeant secundins. 23.

(When the child is born), let him light a fire, and placing it on his lap, and taking curdled milk, mixed with clarified butter in a goblet, he offers repeatedly of the curdled milk and clarified butter, (saying),—" May I, magnified (by this son) in this house support a thousand (meu). When he has obtained offspring, let there be no loss of prosperity in offspring and in cattle. Swáhá! I offer with my mind to thee my vital airs. Swáhá! May the wise Agni who fulfils all desires right for us any work which ought not to have been done, or any work which ought to have been done in this rite." 24.

Then, putting (his mouth) near the child's right ear, he mutters three times, "Speech, speech!" Then, taking curdled milk, honey and clarified butter together with unmixed gold, he feeds it, (saying),—"I give thee the earth, I give thee the atmosphere, I give thee the heavens. I give thee all, earth, atmosphere and heavens." 25.

Then he gives him the name "Véda," which is his secret name. 26.

Then giving him to his mother, he makes him take the breast (saying),—"O Saraswati, enter for the suckling (of the child into this (breast of the mother) thy breast which is fruitful, the support (of all creatures), the giver of jewels, the knower of wealth, and the liberal donor, by which thou causeth to grow all that is desirable." 27.

Then he addresses the child's mother with this Mantra,— "Thou art worthy of praise, thou art like Maittravarani. Thou

who hast born to the strong a strong (son), be strong, thou who giveth us a strong (son). Of him people may say,—"Thou indeed excellest thy father, thou indeed excellest thy grand-father." The son born to a Bráhman who has this knowledge, obtains the highest goal by prosperity, fame and the glory of a Bráhman. 28.

Now the school. The son of Pautimashi succeeded the son of Katyayani,—the son of Katyayani the son of Gotami,—the son of Gotami the son of Bharadwaji,—the son of Bharadwaji the son of Paras'ari,—the son of Paras'ari,—the son of Paras'ari,—the son of Paras'ari,—the son of Paras'ari the son of Katyayani,—the son of Katyayani the son of Kausiki,—the son of Kausiki the son of Kausiki,—the son of Kausiki the son of Kausiki and the son of Kanwi and the son of Kapi, I.

The son of Kapi the son of Atrevi,-the son of Atreyi the son of Gautami,—the son of Gautami the son of Bharadwaji, -the son of Bhuradwaji the son of Paras'ari,-the son of Párás'arí the son of Vátsí,-the son of Vátsi the son of Párás'arí,-the son of Párás'arí the son of Várkkáruní,the son of Várkkáruní the son of Várkkáruní,-the son of Várkkáruní the son of Arttabhágí, -the son of Arttabhágí the son of S'aungi,-the son of S'aungi the son of Sankriti,-the son of Sankriti the son of Alambayani,—the son of Alambavani the son of Alambi,-the son of Alambi the son of Jáyantí,—the son of Jáyantí the son of Mándúkáyaní,—the son of Mándúkáyaní the son of Mándúkí,—the son of Mándúkí the son of S'ándilí,-the son of S'ándilí the son of Ráthítarí,-the son of Ráthítarí the son of Bhálukí,-the son of Bhálukí the two sons of Kraunchikí,-the two sons of Kraunchikí the son of Vaidribhatí,-the son of Vaidribhatí the son of Kárs'akéyi,-the son of Kárs'akéyi the son of Práchínayogi,-the son of Práchínayogi the son of Sáujíví,the son of Sánjíví the son of Prás'ni,-the son of Prás'ní Asuráyana, - Asuráyana Asuri, - Asuri 2.

Yájnavalkya,—Yajnavalkya Uddálaka,—Uddálaka Aruņa,
—Aruņa Upaves'i,—Upaves'i Kus'ri,—Kus'ri Vájas'ravas,—
Vájas'ravas Jihwávat Vádhyoga,—Jihuávat Vádhyoga Asita
Várshagaṇa,—Asita Várshagaṇa Harita Kas'yapa,—Harita
Kas'yapa S'ilpa Kas'yapa,—S'ilpa Kas'yapa Kas'yapa Naidhruvi,—Kas'yapa Naidhruvi Vak, Vak Ambhiní,—Ambhiní
A'ditya. These Mantras of the white Yajur, which are derived from A'ditya, have been declared by Yájnavalkya of the Vájasanéya school. 8.

From (Prajápati) until the son of Sánjíví is the same (succession of teachers).\* The son of Sánjíví succeeded Mándúkáyani,—Mándakáyani Mándavya,—Mándavya Kautsa,—Kautsa Máhitthi,—Máhitthi Vámakaksháyana,—Vámakaksháyana Sándilya,—Sándilya Vátsya, Vátsya Kus'ri,—Kus'ri Yajnavachas Rájastambáyana,—Yajnavachas Rájastambáyana Tura Kávashéya,—Tusr Kávashéya Prajápati,—Prajápati Brahma, Brahma is the self-existent. Salutation to Brahma! 4.

In all the Vájasanéyi schools.

### BIBLIOTHECA INDICA;

A

### COLLECTION OF ORIENTAL WORKS

PUBLISHED UNDER THE SUPERINTESDESOR OF THE
ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL.
Nos. 78 and 181.

. THE

# CHHÁNDOGYA UPANISHAD

OF THE

### SAMA VEDA

WITH EXTRACTS FROM THE

## COMMENTARY OF SANKARA ÁCHARYA.

TRANSLATED FROM THE ORIGINAL SANSKRITA

BT

RAJENDRALALA MITRA.

#### CALCUTTA:

PRINTED BY C. B. LEWIS, BAPTIST MISSION PRESS.

1862.

#### ERRATA.

```
line 1 for "Chhaudogya" read Chhaudogya.
Page 1
              17 for "oblation" read oblations.
      9
             28 for "hell" read sky.
     40
          " 8, 18 & 28 for "wasteth" read waste.
     75
              13 for "in case" read in that case.
     79
          , 19 for "these" read of these.
     79
              26 for "by" read in.
     85
              26 for "came and was born" read had come and
     87
                          taken his birth.
              20 for "obtains" read obtain.
     89
              25 for "punishment" read punishments.
     for "son" read grandson.
  ,, 100
              27 for "his" read its.
  .. 108
          " 22 for " Udanna" read Udanua.
  ,, 110
              29 for "बिद्दविसय" read बिद्रतिसय.
  .. 129
```

### INTRODUCTION.

THE Vedas have been justly described as the most ancient monument of the Arian world; and as the only means to a proper study of philology, they claim the highest consideration from the historian of the human tongue. In them alone we find the first fruits of the Arian mind in its attempt "to rise from nature up to nature's God," and to unveil the mysteries of a future world. They constitute the storehouse of early Indian knowledge, and the only expositor of the hopes and fears, the desires and wants, and the feelings and aspirations, which impelled the first Arian colonists of India in their career through life. But the Vedas form not a homogeneous series of works, nor are they the productions of a single age. fering in extent, character, contents and antiquity, the different portions have very different claims to consideration, the antiquarian and scholar the Sanhita portion of the Rig Veda will always appear the most interesting, but among the ancient Hindus, the Sama, though third in rank, was held in the highest veneration, and hence it is that Krishna, in the Bhagavat Gitá, calls himself "The Sáma among the Vedas." It was likewise, at one time, supposed to be the most comprehensive of the four Vedas. According to the Mahábhárata, whose statement has been repeated by the Vishnu Purana and the Mauktika Upanishad, it included no less than a thousand different Sákhás or schools. But one of the most ancient indices to the contents of the Vedas, the Charanavyúha, notwithstanding its being the chief source whence the Mahábhárata has borrowed its accounts of the Hindu scriptures and of the

extent of the Sáma Veda, supplies the names of only seven Sákhás with their subdivisions. The rest, it says, were destroyed by Indra, owing to their having been recited on forbidden days.\* Considering that the index in question was composed during the Sutra period of Vedic literature, when Bráhmanic learning had yet lost little of its grandeur and authority, it is difficult to conceive that so many different portions of the most pre-ëminent of the Vedas could be so entirely lost as to leave not even their names behind. It would be much more reasonable, therefore, to suppose that the word 'thousand' was used to imply only a large extent, and not to indicate any precise number. Such use of the term is not unknown in Sanskrit, and it would offer a much more reasonable solution of there being only so few Sákhás extant of a once voluminous work.

Of the seven Sákhás alluded to in the Charanavyúha, the names vary in different MSS. Those quoted by Dr. Max Müller, in his history of the Ancient Sanskrit Literature,† correspond very nearly with those in the codices of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, the Tattvabodhini Sabhá, and the Sanskrit College of Calcutta. According to them, the first Sákhá is named Ránáyaníya; the second Sátyamurgiya, Sátyamurgya, Sátyamurgya or Sátyamudgala; the third Kálápa or Kálopa; the fourth Mahákálápa or Mahákálopa; the fifth Lángaláyana or Lángala; the sixth, Sárdúla, and the seventh Kauthuma. Of these the last or Kauthuma alone is subdivided, the names of the subdivisions being 1. Ksuráyana, 2. Vátáyana, 3. Pránjalidvaitabhrit, Prajvalanadvaitabhrit or Pránjvalidvainabhrit, 4. Práchinayogya, and 5. Naigoya-Kauthuma.

In the MS. quoted by Rájá Rádhákánta Deva, in the 5th volume of his Sabdakalpadruma (voce Veda) the names and the order of arrangement are given in a different way, and even

चन्यायेयकीयानाके जनअतुनकेथाविकताः प्रवष्टाः । Charașavyúha.

<sup>†</sup> Page 373.

<sup>1</sup> The order of arrangement differs somewhat in different MSS.

the number of the subdivisions is greatly increased. According to it, the names of the divisions are 1. Asuráyana or Suráyana, 2. Vártántaveva, 3. Pránjala, 4. Rigyarnabheda, 5. Práchínjogya, 6. Jnánajogya,\* 7. Ránáyaníya; the subdivisions of the last being, 1. Sathyayaniya or Sarayaniya or Sathyamurgya, 2. Sátvala or Sátya-mudbhava, 3. Maudgala, 4. Khallala, 5. Mahákhallala, 6. Lángala, 7. Kauthuma, 8. Gautama, 9. Jaiminiya. Thus the Ranayaniya, which has no subdivision according to the first classification, is made to include nine branches, while the Kauthuma, which in the former has six subdivisions, appears itself only as a subdivision without any minor divisions of its own. Professor Müller supposes this difference to be the result of Rájá Rádhákánta's MS. being corrupt. We find, however, from a commentary of the Index under noticet that it is due to causes other than the accidental corruption of a single manuscript: for the commentator first gives the seven divisions of the Raja's texts, dividing the last into nine subdivisions, and then supplies, as the opinion of a different set of writers, the seven divisions commencing with the Ranayaniya and ending with the Kauthuma, as given in Professor Müller's work. The subdivisions of the last school or that of the Kauthuma in this classification are six, viz.: 1. Kauthuma, 2. Asuráyana, 3. Vatáyana, 4. Pránjalidvainabhrit, 5. Prachinayogya, and 6. Naigameya; the Naigeya-Kauthuma of Professor Müller being evidently-here divided into two separate schools.

The Váyu Purána has again a different arrangement. According to it the Sáma Veda was first studied by Jaimini, from whom it descended successively to Sumanta and Sukarman. The last had two sons, Hiranyanábha alias Kausalya and Pushpinji or Paushyinji, each of whom established a different school, and their pupils were respectively known as the chanters of the Northern and the Eastern Sámas. Lokákhshi, Ku-

<sup>\*</sup> Nayagya in the Sanskrit College MS.

<sup>†</sup> Sanskrit College MS.

thumi, Kushidi and Lángali were the heads of the Eastern chanters, and founders of schools known by their names. A pupil of Hiranyanábha, named Kriti, taught twenty-four Sákhás to as many pupils, and they in their turn became the founders of many more. Sukarman alone taught a thousand disciples, each of whom became the founder of a different Sákhá. Besides them Ránáyaníya, son of Lokákhshi, founded a school, and his son Saumitri founded three. Parásara, son of Kuthumi, taught six Sákhás and Sáligotra, son of Lángali originated as many more. Thus the number of Sákhás, according to the Váyu Purána, greatly exceeded a thousand. But a thousand were destroyed by Indra, and magreat many of the remainder followed their fate owing, it is said, to neglect of the usual rules of recitation.\*

According to the commentator of the Charanavyúha three Sákhás alone are now extant, of which the Kauthuma is current in Guzerat, the Jaiminiya in Karnátaka, and the Ránáyaníya in Maháráshtra. Manuscripts of these, however, have not yet come under observation, and their peculiarities therefore remain to a great extent unknown to oriental scholars.

Notwithstanding this extensive destruction of the Sákhás, in regard to the number of mantras still current, the Sáma is more copious than the Yajur and the Atharva Vedas, though not perhaps equal to the Rig. It has no less than six separate collections of hymns (Sañhitás) and eight of its rituals (Bráhmaṇas), besides several supplements of doubtful authenticity. The most important of the Sañhitás is the Chhándasíka, otherwise called the Archika; †—a collection of hymns intended

- Wilson's Vishņu Puráņa, 282.
- † Stevenson's Sáma Veda, London, 1842. Benfey's ditto, Bonni 1848. It is generally believed that the recension followed by these editors belongs to the Ránáyaníya Sákhá, but a MS. obtained from a professional Kauthumi Bráhman at Benarcs for the Tattvabodhiní Sabhá, although it is described in the colophon as the Fauthumi Sañhitá, corresponds letter for letter with the published work.

for recitation during the Soma sacrifice. Most of these hymns are found in the Rig Sauhita; hence it has been supposed that they have been appropriated from that collection. The extent of appropriation has been reckoned to be 585 hymns in the first, and 1225 in the second, of the two parts into which the work is divided. This would give a total of 1810, but as 249 hymns of the first part are repeated in the second, three are so cited twice, and nine exist twice in each part, the actual number of hymns common to the Rig and the Archika amounts to 1549, most of them being from the 8th and the 9th Mandalas. This identity of a great number of hymns in the two collections necessarily indicates that one of them must have borrowed much of its matter from the other, but it is difficult to determine which is the borrower and which the louder, for both have a number of hymns which have the appearance of the highest antiquity, while others are undoubtedly of comparatively a more modern growth.

The first part of the Archika is subdivided into six prapathakas, each of which includes ten dasatis or decades of hymns, an arrangement which obtains likewise in the second part of the Satapatha Bráhmana, and in diverse other portions of the Vedas. The hymns are consecrated to the three deities, Agni, Soma and Indra, the first having for his share twelve decades, the second eleven, and the third thirty-seven.

The latter or Uttara Archika includes nine prapathakas, each of which is subdivided into two or three sections, and embraces a great many hymns of the first part, but arranged in groups of two or three hymns together. The principle of the arrangement is obscure, but it is evident that the object was to bring together hymns of particular classes for particular ritual purposes.

The second Sauhitá is called the Aranyaka Sauhitá. It comprises a collection of hymns adapted for chanting in forests and deserted places, and embraces only five decades (dasatis) with an appendix entitled Mahúnámni. Several MSS, of this

work have come under my observation, but they were all corrupt, the dasatis including from seven to fourteen stanzas instead of ten as they should, according to their designation.\*

The next Saihitá is the Grámageyagúna, otherwise called the Veyagána.† It belongs to a class of compositions which

\* As MSS, of the Sama Veda are rare, and many occur in fragments, the substance of this and the subsequent notes regarding those that have come under my observation, might, it is hoped, prove of use.

Of the Aranyaka Sanhitá there are two MSS. in the Library of the Asiatic Society, of which one is in the pothi form without number, and the other bound in a 4to. vol. with several other fragments of the Sama Veda. MS. No. 27, I, 11 folia of 26 lines to the page.

Beginning रुषे केहे व चायर।

II. Dasati. जसेतद्धारयः ।

III. , अधि वर्षे। चये। वसे।ये।।

IV. " भाजनवर्षे।

V. " ৰত্ন ৰাখ্যি বৰৰ।

End श्रेतिकोशं विकास ।

Beginning of Mahanamai चेत्व विदासम्बन्धिदातातुं :

End of ditto चाम रवास्टिकाः।

In an authentic MS, belonging to a Sama Vedi Pandit, this portion is described as a half prapathaka of the Aranyaka Sahhita, making the work to comprise 51 prapathakas, the dasatis being called prapathakas.

+ No. 24 of the Asiatic Society's Library, 50 fl. folio, of 25 lines to the page, comprising 17 chapters.

Beginning चाम् भूगेंचः कः तक्षवितुर्वेदेचा।

11.— चमचबीहा होता है।

III.—वार्षसातं इच्छात्रवृह्यं, विके देश क्षेत्र 🖔 राजानं वच्यक्ः

IV.—्रोचितकुकीय। द्वे।रिन्द्रे। वावियी॥ शेन्द्रवायविष्ट्रं रवि।

V.—चार्वमानि पोष् । ऋष्मे अञ्चलकः । चमित्वा स्वसाञ्चलस्यः ।

VI.--- आभीअवस्। अनीर्धुनैविधीन्दः। अर्क हुन्द्र ववसे र।

VII.--शैक्षक्षम् । युक्तमेषमोग्दः । इन्द्रासिदेशना ।

VIII.--कैंद्रोविशेद्धे ! बैंदिनुषतोन्दः । सुनेत योजवाता इ र

is peculiar to the Sama Veda, nothing similar to it being observable in the Rig, Yajur or the Atharva. Its congeners are the Aranya Gána\* the Uhá Gána† and the Uhya

IX.--- नाव्येसायमी है। भाक्तेकिटुनिन्दं स्टब्सें मानवूनू। चरिष्टमा ६ श विमीम्।

X.—प्रैक्षिके है। जिक्किकेन्द्रोन्द्रः। यसे देवि। द्या १।

XI.--- इन्ट्रस्थलका बङ्कायनः। चात्रका । साध्यका २ ३ ता ।

XII.—केले हे । प्रवादिविक्टुविक्ट । का वर्षा । प्रदाका १ । इन्ह्रम् ।

XIII.—आवत्। चर्चितेषयो चेका। काकाविः साद्दी नेराची १२४ नाची १२४ चाः।

XIV.--वार्वाचरक्। इवाचरिकाचनी स्थाः। चित्रकार त्। चाचित्रदार त्।

XV.— वैद्याचे हो। विक्षुपृथती थेंगाः । शवाश्व र मा। सरा १ रवाः।

XVI.---वैडीवी नीषि चरेत्राचावि । चरेत्र चार्वि ।

XVII.—वादे है। पणिक्षे व्यक्तिकाः। इत्यक्तव्या। सुता विता। वेश्वेर २३४ वा। End—सीवस्थितिकार ए ७ २३ वा। व्यक्तिवी ( व्यक्ति।

No. 428 A. of the Library of the Asiatic Society. This is the only MS. I have seen of this portion of the Sama Veda, and it is probably imperfect. It comprises 5½ prapathakas divided into half prapathakas. The last half ends without adverting to the No. of the prapathaka: 36 pages fl. of 28 lines each.

Beginning च्यावर । द्वा २ : च्वा २ : च्वा २ : च्वा २ व काच । first half 26, kandikás. II. 28, k. III. 82 ditto. IV. 22 ditto. V. 80 ditto. VI. ■ ditto. VII. 20 ditto. VIII. 20 ditto. IX. 22 ditto. X. 24 ditto. XI. 29 ditto. XII. 22 ditto. XIII. 5 ditto.

Last line with wind the training of the Asiatic Society, incomplete, containing only 9 out of 23 prapathakas of which the work is made of. The prapathakas are divided into half prapathakas; 69 pages ft. of 28 lines to the page.

Beginning चान् चीवनम्। चवः। चोचाता र विवाससम्बद्धाः।

II.--वीवि धनसावासां।

III.---व्यविविधाचा विवया।

IV.--चंतिशः वृताचाच । शायकशा ३१ जना १३।

र्ण.—समियुक्षं दक्षवादा २।

Gána.\* They are made up of hymns taken principally if not entirely from the Archika, but so transmogrified by "prolonging the sounds of vowels and resolving diphthongs into two or more syllables, inserting likewise in many places other additional syllables, besides placing numerical marks for the management of the voice"t that at first sight they appear to be totally different. The first Gána is arranged in seventeen prapáthakas, and intended to be sung at sacrifices performed in towns; the second has six prapáthakas, and has, as its name implies, the forest for its proper place of recitation.

They belong to the Prior Archika, as the third and the fourth belong to the Posterior. The order of arrangement of the first two is laid down in the Arsheya Bráhmana, an Anukramani of the Sáma, which from its antiquity has been ennobled by being reckoned among the Bráhmanas of that Veda.

VII.—दाविवाते। दाच ट्यापनस पार्य। VIII.—दविद्यं। दनस वाक्षशता १ वाद्।

IX.—शैतमं। चाकातिक चनाता।

End रे दुर्शावसमेनं प्रत्येतना र से २ ६ 81

\* MS. without No. in six prepathakas, pothi of 84 folia, 7 and sometimes I lines in each, about 7 inches long.

The hymns are differently named the Vrihad Sáma, Ráthántara Sáma, Vairupya Sáma, Raivata Sáma, Dírgha Sáma, Rájana, Panchanidhana, Vámadevya, Swashirámuka, &c. &c. with references to the tunes to which they are sung.

Beginning of p. I.—चानिया चरनेयुक्ताना।

IL.—चहार्यस्थापित सदार्ए।

III.—चक्रवाचथा। चहारा । युरेनिशाइ।

IV.—चनित्रियादिय। वर्तेथा। सेरियाः।

V.—टचन्यायानि। यस चहा रवाने।।

VI.—रसेराः। चनायः सेस्थादः।

End—दार र वाद। चाना १। स्वार्श इहा रूस रूप ॥ ॥

<sup>†</sup> Colebrooke's Essays, p. 48.

The hymns comprised in these Sanhitás have been variously arranged for ritual, rhythmic and recitative purposes.

Mádhaváchárya in his introduction to the Chhándasika\* observes that all mantras are divisible into thirty-six species, five of which are appropriate to the Rig, and the rest to the Sama Veda. The divisions of the latter are technically called Prastava, Udgitha, Pratihára, Upadrava, Nidhana, Hiňkára, Pranava, Ksasti, Srutisankhyana, Pralaya, Paridevana, Praisha, Anveshans, Srishti, Akhyans, and sixteen modes of singing. A second division is in twenty-six parts, each of which has a peculiar name+ and is referred to a particular deity. A third division is fivefold, and that is the most common among the Chhandogas. separates the hymns into Rigvyúha, 2. Stobha Sáma, 3. Adimadhya-nidhana, 4. Padavibhága, 5. Padastobhavibhaga. These several divisions apply equally to the hymns of the Archika as well as to those of the four Gánas, and are referrible more to musical considerations—to their adaptation to particular modes of recitation and singing-than to their nature or their contents.

The gods appropriate to the hymns of the Sama are Agni, Indra, Prajapati, Soma, Varnna, Tvasta, Afigira, Pusha, Sarasvati and Indragni. But they do not claim the hymns in common; particular classes have their particular deities, and there are more than one modes of assigning to the divinities their especial hymns. Generally the hymns of the class Nidhana belong to Agni, those of Svara to Prajapati, and those of Adista and the Prastuta Sama to Indra. For the Vamsdevya hymns any god would be appropriate: but if they happen to be in the Gayatri meter, they should belong

Asiatic Society's MS. No. 424, Chhándasika Vivarana: 82 folia of 25 lines to the page, bound in a 4to. Vol. N. character. Very corrupt.

<sup>† 1.</sup> Hä-ukára. 2. Há-ïkára. 3. Athakára. 4. Hákára. 5. I-kára. 6. Ukára. 7. Ekára. 8. Ohoïakára. 9. Hiñkára. 10. Svara. 11. Ada. 12. Aniructa. 18—25, the thirteen Stobhas. 26. Hukára.

to Agui. With regard to hymns other than these, the rule is that the divinity eulogized, is the presiding deity of the hymn which eulogizes him.

The meters most appropriate to the Sama are described to be the Gayatri, the Vrihati, the Trishtubha, the Anustubh, the Jagati, the Sarkari, the Kakva and the Paucti. Several others are occasionally met with, but are not held to be so appropriate, owing, no doubt, to their being less adapted than these to the musical meters which were held in the greatest favour by the chanters of this portion of the Vedas.

The Brahmanas of the Sama Veda are generally much more interesting than its Sanhités. They dwell upon a variety of subjects which help to throw much light on the state of Hindu society at a very remote period of its history. Unlike the Brahmanas of the Yajur, which are mostly exegetic in their character, those of the Sama adopt an independent course, and afford expositions of matters which have no necessary connexion with their hymnology. Their number, according to Kumárila Bhatta and Sayana,\* should be eight, including the Panchaviñs'a, the Shadviñs'a, the Samavidhana, the Arsheya, the Devatadyaya, the Upanishad, the Sanhitopanishad and the Valiss. Manuscripts however are available of a larger number, which bear all the marks, such as they are, of Sama Brahmanas, and some we have heard recited by Sama Vedi chanters who had from generations learnt them as portions of the Sákhás to which they belonged. These would indicate that the great commentators were not familiar with all the Sama works that were extent in their time, and yet their knowledge in such matters was great, and their dicts cannot be readily gainsaid on the authority of itinerant

\* चड़ी चि प्राम्हण्यानाः प्रीतं प्राम्हण्यादितं।
पत्रिं प्राम्ह दिनीयं साध्याः सामिनियमेवत्।।
धार्वेयं देवतः धायं भवेषु पविषयतः।
देवितोपनियदंत्रीः पंदा चढावित्रीरिताः॥ Sáyana's Commentary
on the Sámavidhána, apud Max Müller, Rig Veda, Vol. I. p. xxvii.

Sama chanters, or even of manuscripts of moderate antiquity. It is impossible therefore to determine which of the supernumeraries should be put down as apocryphal, and which as fragments of authentic works.

The most considerable of the Sama liturgy is the Tandya otherwise called the Praudha Bráhmana, which, from the number of chapters contained in it, is likewise named the Panchavinse Brahmana. Its subject is an exposition of the ritual details of the Soma sacrifices, including the Ekaha, the Ahina and the Satras, by the performance of which heaven and other rewards are obtainable. These seem to have taken for their celebration, sometimes a single day and sometimes two, three, five or a dozen; while at others a hundred days or many years, were not thought too long for their completion. In connexion with these the work recounts a variety of legends of great interest in regard to certain grand sacrifices performed on the banks of the Sarasyati and the Drisadvatí at a very remote period of antiquity, and gives us an insight into the ceremonials by which the aboriginal Indians were admitted into the pale of the Arians. It makes mention, likewise, of the Naimishiä Rishis, of Para Atnara king of Kosala. of Trisadasya Purukutsa, of Nami prince of Videha, and of Kurukshetra and Yamuna. These, coupled with the absence in it of the names of Janaka, Kurupanchala and the like, afford important evidence regarding the age when the work was composed and first recited. The name of Tindya in the White Yajur may be taken as further evidence under the same head.\*

V. p. वासदेवं सदावतम् ।

VI. p. त्रवापित्यासमय रक्षमां त्रवायेषः VII. p. एमे वे मोना सावपं। The Shadviñsa Brahmana is generally supposed to form, as its name implies, the concluding or rather a supplementary chapter to the preceding. It is, however, of much larger extent than the other chapters of the Panchaviñsa, and divided into prapathakas and sections independent of its alleged relationship. Hence it is that Sayana has described it as an independent Brahmana, although by assuming it to be so, the epithet, Shadviñsa (26), either as an ordinal or a cardinal number, becomes inapplicable. It gives the details partly of such ceremonies as are not to be found in the Panchaviñsa, and partly of ceremonies given in the latter, but in a manner quite different; besides a variety of propitiatory and condemnatory ceremonies, which do not find a place in the Brahmanas of the Rig and the Yajur Vedas.\*

The fifth chapter of the work is peculiar. Its object is to lay

VIII. p. चान्हारनिधर्न कार्च (

IX. p. देवा वा चक्वामाभिकाता।

X. p. अधिना प्रविचैत्वधिका।

XI. p. खोती व्यात विवयंभा ।

XII. p. इनीयुगलाभया दचेति वतीयसामः।

XIII. p. केविलंबसवसुविद्यस्वित्।

XIV. p. चेत्र चायमे वा रहे केवाः।

XV. p. जनामागदः परते विधवीं।

XVI. p. प्रकापनिया इट्सेक शासीत

XVII. p. देवा वे सर्व स्नावां।

XVIII. p. अप्रद्वेशिक्रोक्षेत्र देवाः।

XIX. p. वर्धेरराह्याराष्ट्र वास्त्राज्य ।

XX. p. विद्वादियवसानं ।

XXI. p. इन्द्रायदश्यः सद्ध्यस्ता

XXII. p. ४६८ नडचः ऋतनः।

XXIII. p. ऋतिरायः प्रम्यः वश्वयः ।

XXIV. p. जिंतराच खवः बचाचाः।

XXV. p. चितरायवतुर्वित्रय प्राचीयं।

End सर्वासदिवाधुनन्ति व रतसुपन्ति ।

MS. No. 27 E. of the Asiatic Society.

down expiations for evil omens and portents, including a great number of physical phenomena, such as the fall of meteors; upheaval, depression or quaking of the earth; and the appearance of comets, rainbows and haloes. Some of the portents named are of a very fanciful character, such as the shaking of temples, laughing, weening, winking, sweating, dancing and singing of idols; the appearance of shadows of the human trunk on the disk of the sun, and the emanation of smoke from the horns of cattle; while others are references to diseases and physical facts which to the observers of the time were either very rare or inexplicable. The fact of its having a separate name, the Adbhuta Brahmana, shews that it is a distinct work, notwithstanding all the Manuscripts that have yet come under observation, making it the last chapter of the Shadvillea. Savana does not count it as a separate work. It adverts, however, to names and circumstances which clearly indicate that it was compiled at a much later time than the chapters which precede it.

Next to it may be named the Sámabidhána Bráhmana. It is a treatise on expiations and áchára or customs in three chapters, and, in the MS. of the Asiatic Society (No. 27 D.), occupies, only 9 folia of 26 lines to the page.\* It has been commented upon by Sáyana who calls it the third Bráhmana of the Sáma Veda. The fourth in his list is the Rishi or Arsheya Bráhmana. Professor Weber does not wish to include it among the ritual works, inasmuch as it is devoted to an enumeration of

Beginning ची प्रसुद्धना इदमये दुवसा चासा ।

II. p. प्रजापति एकासयत वक्ककास् प्रजावेश ।

III. p. यावाम् यञ्जे रखे। सनति ।

IV. p. प्रकापतिस्वपेशतस्वतः।

V. p. चयासे। क्षुतानां वर्षाण्ये।

End बाह्य विकंतात्व साम बायेत्।

\* Beginning अक्रवा र्द्यथ चासीत्।

II. p. चें चवातः काखावासवादेशे।

III. p. चवाता चन्यावाष्ट्र ग्रह्मवावयां प्रवेततः।
End वं कार्व कामको जवामीति जवामेर्ततः।

the Seers of the Sama Veda and, as such, should be reckoned among the Anukramanis, or Indices. But Indian scholiasts invariably take it as a Brahmana. It is a short treatise of 12 pages including only three and a half prapathakas.\* Similar to it in character, but apparently of a different date, is the Vansa Bráhmana,—or a genealogy of the Rishis of the third Veda, in a short chapter of a page and three quarters. It occurs as the last of the Bráhmanas in Sáyana's list; but Professor Weber refuses it a place among the Brahmanas for the same reasons for which the Arsheya has been called an Anukrámani.† It is followed by a short treatise in one chapter on the Vedic rishis under the title of Agai Brahmana. 1 Its colophon calls it Devatádhyáya, or the "chapter on gods." which would indicate that the work had other chapters, on other subjects, but we have no means at command to ascertain if such were the case. In Sayana's list it stands fifth, immediately after the Arsheya Bráhmana, which there follows the Sámavidhána. Next to it is another chapter which has for its subject the mode

\* MS. No. 27 C. 3\frac{1}{2} prap\( \) prap\( \) that appears first, and the three full prap\( \) that then follow in order. It might be supposed that the half is the first moiety of the 1st prap\( \) that the Chhandogas reckon it separately; 6, folia, fl. of 26 lines to the page.

Beginning 🛔 P. चय चल्लयमापेंप्रदेशे भवति।

I. श्रीवर्षानि नीचि प्राक्त्रवेतसाथि वा !

II. इन्द्रसः चहपकं।

III. चर्वाकं सामनं च ।

End इक्सी ना इक्सी ना।

† MS. No. 27 H. of the Library of the Asiatic Society. It begins with the words—

Beginning नवा ज्ञाने वता प्रामुखेकी वस चापार्वकः। Ends केवसात् समानं परं समानं परं।

# MS. No. 27 F. 14 folia of 26 lines to the page.

Beginning चित्ररिन्द्रः प्रकापितः चानोवदक्तवहार्षिदसः।

End **शतक पातुकाविति**।

of reading Upanishads. It is known by the name of Sanhitopanishad, and is the sixth in Sayanas list.\*

Among a lot of manuscrpts some time ago made over to me by a learned Sama Vedi Brahman, there are four other works which he assured me were portions of the third Veda, and as such he had studied them under his tutor. The first of these is entitled Hasti-shad Brahmana or in short Hasti Brahmana. It is comprised in a puthi of 102 folia of 7 lines each, the lines being about I inches long. The subject matter is arranged in four books or prapathakas, each of which is subdivided into brahmunas, ardha prapathakas, and kandikas, first book includes 4 bráhmanss and 108 kandikás: the second, 4 bráhmanas and 105 kandikás; the third, ■ bráhmanas and 85 kandikas; and the fourth 2 brahmanas and 101 kandikás. According to a different arrangement the work is divided into 5 Adhyayas, each having a definite number of kandikas. + The second work is known by the name of Usha Bráhmana. In extent it is larger than the preceding, but is divided like it into prapathakas and brahmanas. 1 The third

\* No. 27 G. 13 folia of 26 lines to the page.

Beginning चमातः एडिंते।पनिषदे। चाचात्सासः।

End चनुकंषा अवित य एवं वेद स एवं वेद ।

† Beginning of the L. p. बाउँपस्थं चेवन पशाववासका।

II. p. चय दर्भसांबश्वदयादि ।

III. p. पाळात्रीं स्ट्रांतचेषम् ।

IV. p. क्यांमुणद्याति। रशे में क्यों रसमेवेसयुषद्याति।
End नवास्यानसंवयानाः।

1 MSS. without No. puthi, 246 folia of 6 lines to the page, each line five inches in length. The work ■ comprised in five prapathakas as follow:

Beginning of I. p. असदा द्रमम आसीत्। तदाकः वितत्।

II. p. प्राकापत्वचरकाः चास्रवके।

III. p. प्रदीप्ता स्तेष्ट्रको सबन्ति।

IV. p. वसाः रतसा सवातां वर्षा करेति ।

is called Havyan Bráhmana\* and in extent and character is very like the above as is also the last or Ekvái Bráhmana.† They begin without the usual salutation to the Sáma Veda, but

### V. p. वक्के प्रतिमुख विमर्शि । End चम्रियोगामध्येगमस्य माचातावचक्रवति !

The first prapathaka includes 4 brahmanas and 110 kandikas; the second, contains 4 brahmanas and 908 kandikas; the third, 7 brahmanas and 114 kandikas, the fourth, 6 brahmanas and 100 kandikas, the fifth 6 brahmanas and 102 kandikas.

\* MS. without No. puthi, 247 folia of 5 lines to the page, each line being 6 inches in length. Seven prapathakas, subdivided into Brahmanas, of which the first, the second, the third and the fourth include 6 each; the fifth 5, the sixth 4, and the seventh 4: the number of kandikas being 121 in the first, 122 in the second, 128 in the third, 121 in the fourth, 121 in the fifth, 111 in the sixth and 114 in the seventh.

Beginning of the I. p. अनक्षेत्रत्। चन्तरेरसाचनसोयवनाचैपत्रं.

II. p. चतुर्भाविचिता दवावरी विद्याराय । 🙎

III. p. सेवाः चार्त्राक्यः।

IV. p. चन्त्रचेरनरमाधारं नाबारविधम्।

V. p. वज्रेनवेदैवाः। इताज्ञिति विद्युवैवानियंविविवेदेशः।

VI. p. पर्यवर्गेदेश । दिनमुपेदिकायम् ।

VII. p. सबैक के मुक्ति।

End तकादिदमंदेव र्वाकिशिक्षोत्वेद प्रश्नविकतः।

† MS. without No. puthi, 195 folia of 8 lines to the page, each line being about 4 inches in length. The work is divided into Prapathakas and Bráhmanas. The first prapathaka has 6 bráhmanas and 114 kandikás, the second 8 bráhmanas and 103 kandikás, the third 5 bráhmanas and 118 kandikás, the fourth 4 bráhmanas and 115 kandikás, the fifth II bráhmanas and 104 kandikás.

I. p. begins with सबदा इतसेतम संभरति ।

II. p. वरके देवशासकार चार्के।

III. p. सम वै प्रकापितः प्रकाः संस्का।

IV. p. प्रजपति चैवाउरतेवायेयञ्चेनेके ।

V. p. अवादिना च नैदेना हर्व अञ्चः।

End p. घरश्रो जॉर्ड संख्यातीत ।

have the ritualistic character of a Bráhmana composition, but how far they are portions of the Veda in question, in the absence of commentaries, we have no other authority than the assurance of our pandit.

Although not Bráhmanas by themselves, as parts of what once were such, the Arasyaka and the Kena Upanishad deserve notice in this place. The former is said to comprise only two short chapters, and the latter thirty-six stanzas divided into four sections. Of the former we have as yet seen no MS. and have every reason to doubt its existence. The Upanishad has passed through several editions. According to Sañkara, it forms part of the Talavakára Sákhá, but as no notice of such a school appears in the Charapavyúha, it must have long since ceased to exist.

The last Brahmana which we have to notice is the Chhandogya, and it is with a view to shew its position as a portion of the Sams Veda that the preceding pages have been indited. extent it is much smaller than the Tandya and the other leading Bráhmanas, although in name it is pre-eminently the Bráhmana of the recitors of the third Veda.\* In Sayana's list it is called the Upanishad, though that title is due only to a portion of it. Manuscripts of the work are easily available. but as yet we have seen no commentary attached to the Brahmana portion of any one of them. According to general acceptation, the work embraces ten chapters, of which the first two are reckoned to be the Brahmana, and the rest is known under the name of Chhandogya Upanishad. In their arrangement and style, the two portions differ greatly, and judged by them, they appear to be productions of very different ages, though both are evidently relics of pretty remote antiquity.

Of the two chapters of the Chhandogya Brahmana, the first includes eight suctas on the ceremony of marriage, and the rites necessary to be observed at the birth of a child. The first

<sup>\*</sup> From Chhandoga, a chanter or reciter of Chhandas.

<sup>†</sup> Beginning के देवस्थितः प्रसुप यश्चं प्रसुप यश्चपितं भवातः। Do of II. p. कः प्राचां दिक्ति सर्पे राज रण ते विकाः।।

sucta is intended to be recited when offering an oblation to Agni on the occasion of a marriage, and its object is to pray for prosperity in behalf of the married couple. The second prays for long life, kind relatives, and numerous progeny. The third is the marriage pledge by which the contracting parties bind themselves to each other. Its spirit may be guessed from a single verse. In talking of the unanimity with which they will dwell, the bridegroom addresses his bride-" Whatever is thy heart the same shall be mine, and this my heart shall be thine."\* The fourth and the fifth invoke Agni, Váyu, Chandramas and Surya to bless the couple and onsure healthful progeny. The sixth is a mantra for offering an oblation on the birth of a child; and the seventh and the eighth are prayers for its being healthy, wealthy and poworful, not weak, poor and a mute, and to ensure a profusion of wealth and milch cows.

The first sucta of the second chapter is addressed to the Earth, Agni and Indra, with a prayer for wealth, health and prosperity; the second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth are mantras for offering oblations to cattle, the manes, Surya and divers dii minores. The seventh is a curse upon worms, insects, flies and other nuisances, and the last, the concluding mantra of the marriage ceremony, in which a general blessing is invoked for all concerned.

The Upanishad portion bears no relation to this. It opens in a tone very different from the eucharistic dulness of the preceding, and applies itself entirely to a consideration of the relation which man bears to his Maker and the great object of his adoration. In doing so, however, the Hindu scripturalist does not evince a spirit of despondency regarding evils to which human life is subject, such as characterise the writer of the Sankhya, or the founder of the Bauddha religion, who feels the evils of this world and seeks in his philosophy for their auti-

यदेवद् चुद्वं तन तद्यु चुद्वं वयः।
 यदिदं चुद्वं वय तद्यं चुद्व वन ।

dote, but a cool, rational desire to know what becomes of man after death, and how he is to secure the highest blessing hereafter, the result of the first impulse of the highest and the strongest feeling of our nature, viz. the religious feeling. This characteristic, which it owns in common with the other authentic Upanishads,\* fully bears out the remark of Ritter that " in the first evolutions of philosophy it was a pure and innocent love of knowledge that impelled men to search and enquire,"+ and affords a convincing proof of its high antiquity. Its primitive simplicity and the unartistic turn of its anecdotes, likewise indicate, in a marked manner, the early age of its composition. Compared to some of the smaller Upanishads, it is most probably later, and no doubt it is long subsequent to the era of the Sanhitás. But judging from its style and character, its want of system and simplicity of ideas, it is unmistakeably more ancient than the Sankhya, and considerably more so than the philosophy of Sakya. For the latter two presuppose an amount of intellectual culture which we look for in vain in the former, though it is the most systematic of all the Upanishads. In the present state of our information, however, regarding the Vedas, it is difficult, if not impossible, to determine positively the relative age of the different Upanishads. The brevity and fragmentary character of some of them, leave no hope that orientalists will ever be able satisfactorily to arrange them in a chronological order. The last chapter (6th) of the Vrihad Aranyaka contains an amplification of some of the anecdotes of the Chhándogya, and as such may be assumed to be of a later origin. Other Upanishads have likewise statements which they must have either borrowed from that or some common source-probably the traditions of the country -to which all are equally indebted. Indeed they suggest the idea that they are all productions of independent authors who

<sup>\*</sup> We here confine our remarks to the eleven Upanishads commented upon by Sankara.

<sup>†</sup> History of Philosophy, vol. II. p. 43.

taught their doctrines at different times and at great distances from each other, but with little consort or communication, and the similitude of their opinions and anecdotes is due more to the traditions whence they have derived their materials than to any extensive mutual aid. Professor Weber, to whom oriental scholars are largely indebted for his learned researches into Vaidik literature, thus juxtaposes the claims to priority of two of the largest of the Upanishads. He observes—

"This Bráhmana (the Chhándogya) is distinguished most particularly by its rich collection of legends on the successive development of Bráhmipic theology, and is, for its ideas as well as for its place, time and persons, nearly identical with the Vrihad Aranyaka of the White Yajus. Nevertheless the absence of the mention of the Naimis'iya Rishis in the Vrihad Aranyaka, and especially in the White Yajus, might lead us to conclude that it is auterior to the Vrihad-Arany. Yet again from the absence of this allusion coupled with the circumstance that we find in it mention made of the Mahavrishas and the Gandharas, though undoubtedly but slightly, it can only be concluded that its origin is more or less occidental; while we know the Vrihad Aranyakam appertains entirely to the eastern portion of Hindustan. On the other hand, the numerous fables\* in which animals are made to figure and the mention of Mahidása Aitareya, induce us to regard the Chhandogya Upanishad as more modern than the Vrihad Kranyakam. With regard to another allusion, which by itself is of the greatest importance, it would be ticklish to hazard an opinion; it is that of Krishns Devakiputra who was instructed by Ghora Angirasa. The last in fact and next to him Krishna Angirasa, but without showing any relationship between them, are named in the Kausitaki Bráhmana. If the last Krishna Angirasa be identical with Krishna Devakiputra, this mention may be taken rather as indicative of the priority of the Vrihad Aranyaka than otherwise. But in admitting this identity to be exact, we must attach some importance to

\* Three in all; in the first (C. I. s. xii.) some dogs bark in prayer for food, in the 2nd, a goose flying over a king, warns his companions to beware of the king below, and in the third, a bull imparts instruction on Brahma.—R. M.

the change which the name has here undergone: in the place of Angirass he is named Devakiputra, a form of name for which we find no analogy in the Vedic writings except in the Vansas or the geneological tables of the Vrihad Aranyaka,\* which on that account would certainly appertain to an epoch very recent. For the rest the importance of this mention for the understanding of the place which Krishna subsequently occupied is evident. Here he is an ardent student of science belonging perhaps to the military caste, but (it is certain) he must have distinguished himself in some manner, although we have very little information on that point, as otherwise his subsequent elevation to the rank of a god, resulting from exterior circumstances, becomes inexplicable.

"The contemporaneity of the Chhándogya with the Vrihad Kranyaka is shewn in general by the community of the names in the two works; Praváhana Jaivali, Ushasti S'akráyana, S'ándilya, Satyakáma Júbála, Uddálaka Krupi, Svetaketu and Asvapati, as also the identity, generally complete, of its 7th† book with corresponding pasages in the Vrihad Kranyaka.

"But for the determination of the recent date of the Chhándogya Upanishad, that which is above all others the most important, is the rich literature which is enumerated at the commencement of the 9th‡ book, and which consequently presupposes its existence. If again one were to take the 9th book as a cert of supplement (the names of Sanatkumára and Skanda are no where else found in the Vedic literature, and Nárada who is not named except in the 2nd part of the Aitareya Bráhmana) there remains nevertheless the allusion to Atharva Angirasa, as also to the Itihásas and the Puránas in the 5th book. Now, if we cannot for these last, as for the corresponding passages in the Vrihad Áranyaka, think that they refer in any case to the works which exist at present as Itihásas and Puránas, we have at all events to take in under those names those works which preceded them, and which originated primarily from traditions

<sup>\*</sup> Vide Pánini IV. I, 159, and the names Sambhuputra and Ránáyaniputra in the Sáma Sutras, as also Kátyáyaniputra, Maitráyaniputra, Vátriputra, etc., of the Buddhists.

<sup>†</sup> Fifth of the Upanishad .-- R. M.

<sup>\*</sup> Seventh of the Upanishad .- R. M.

and legends, (for they agree in part with the hymns of the Rig and in part with its liturgy) and then gradually enlarged their circle, and extended themselves to other circumstances of life, be it to the myths and traditions found originally placed in the Brahmanas themselves or in the other exegetic writings of the Vedas, but which at the time of this passage of the Chhandogva had probably assumed an independent form, although the commentaries ordinarily attribute\* these expressions to passages only of the Brahmanas themselves. The Mahabharat contains, particularly in the first book, some similar Itihasas, likewise in prose, and yet those fragments which have been thus preserved to us correspond in their style as well as in their ideas with similar passages in the Brahmanas, but of a very recent epoch. At any rate the transition of these legends into epic poetry is thereby fully indicated, m well as by their slokas, gathas, &c. already cited in the Brahmanas themselves, and in other works, for instance in the Bribad-daivatam.

In the Chhandogya Upanishad we find cited, among other things, a case of law which is rare in the domains of the Vedas, that is to say of the penalty of death for theft (denied) corresponding entirely with the severe ordinances contained on the subject in the laws of Manu. The guilt or innocence is determined by an ordeal, the accused having to carry a red-hot hatchet in his hands; a new analogy with the ordinances of Manu. We find also another point of contact with the state of civilisation of the time of Manu in a passage which exists also in the Vrihad Aranyaka i. e. a knowledge of the doctrine of metempsychosis which is here presented to us, for the first time, in a sufficiently complete form, and which by itself ought to be considered in all cases as much more ancient. If the myth of the creation in the 5th book is entirely identical with what we find in the introduction to Manu, that portion or the whole ought perhaps to be regarded as a direct imitation throughout. In the 10th bookt which treats of the soul, its place in the body, and its state when it is quitting that place,

<sup>\*</sup> This ■ not true of Sañkara, but of Sayana, Harisvámin, Dvivedagangá in regard to similar passages of the Satapaths Brúhmana and the Taittiriya Aranyaka. [True of Sañkara too, vide Note, p. 116.—R. M.]

<sup>†</sup> Eighth of the Upanishad.-R. M.

that is to say in its way to the region of Brahma, there may be found several things interesting in connexion with similar passages in the Kausitaki Upanishad already cited, although not without some difference. Here is met, again for the first time in the circle of the Vedas, the name of Ráhu, which prove determinately the place, relatively modern, which the Chhándogya Upanishad occupies.\*

This summary brings to a point almost all the evidences on the subject that may be found in the two works. We cannot, however, allow this opportunity to pass without expressing our dissent, with every deference to the opinion of the learned Professor, from the argument furnished by the allusion to the ordeal by fire, as we think it of very little importance in determining the age of the Chhandogya with reference to the Mánava Dharma Sástra. Ordeals as means for detecting theft imply a very primitive state of society, and such of them as are ordained in the laws of Manu must have been known in the country long before those laws were compiled into a code, for the Mánava Dharma is a digest and not an original dispensation, and it cannot therefore follow that every allusion to facts and circumstances described in that code must necessarily imply the posteriority of the work in which such allusions are made.

An attempt has lately been made to prove that some of the doctrines inculcated in the Chhándogya in common with the other Upanishads, are of Buddhist origin, and consequently the work itself is of a post-Buddhic era. But the argument used to establish this hypothesis, is founded on a petitic principii, very much like the one we have discussed above. It begins by assigning to Buddha what, as philosophic ideas, were probably well known long before they were adopted by the founder of Buddhism, and then argues the works in which they occur to be posterior to the system of Sákya Siñha; when the character of those very works indicates the assumption to be utterly un-

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Histoire de la Littérature indienne par Weber, apud Sadous, pp. 145-150."

founded.\* The Upanishads belong to an age of search and enquiry, the Nyaya to an age of systematization, the Sankhya to doubt following enquiry, and the Bauddha-philosophy to an epoch when doubt and disbelief, taking possession of men's minds, dared at last to raise their head boldly against God himself. The hypothesis of the post Buddhist origin of the Upanishads would reverse this order, and begin with the infidelity of Sákya, to be followed by the doubt of Kapila, and then the enquiry of the Upanishads, adopted with almost a holy reverence for the authority of law and religion; or, in other words, the infancy of the mind to follow its manhood. There are no doubt similitudes in the doctrines current in the Hinduard the Buddhist works, but they do not at all militate against their being due to very different eras. They appear in the Upanishads as mere germs of philosophic thought, brought down from remote antiquity by tradition, with occasional alteration or amplification, but with no great attempt at method, arrangement or classification. In the Nyaya some of them are for the first time reduced to a system, which was followed by others, till at last the rage for philosophy led to the creation of a large number of schools and systems—theistic, atheistic, and pantheistic-which were brought to bear upon Sakya when he first entered upon philosophical enquiry. In the Lalita Vistara, the names of a great number of those are still extant, and they are there avowed to be of Brahminical origin, which leave no doubt as to the existence of some of the Hindu Darsanas long before the advent of the Saugata reformer. A full discussion, however, of the subject in all its bearings require more space

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Röer argues the Katha Upanishad to be posterior to the Sankhya, because its innumeration of the order of emanations for the absolute spirit accords, to some extent, not entirely, with the order followed by the latter. The Katha has nothing of the scientific precision of the Sankhya, and it would, therefore, he much more natural to suppose that the latter borrowed from the former.

than we propose to devote to this Introduction, and we must, therefore, here confine ourselves to a brief analysis of our text.

It has been already observed that the Chhandogya Brahmana comprises ten prapáthakas or chapters, of which only the first two constitute the Brahmana; hence it is that Sankara begins his commentary on the latter portion with the words "The eight chapters beginning with Om, this letter, &c. comprise the Chhándogya Upanishad." The chapters are of unequal length, and include from thirteen to twenty-four sections or lessons (adhyayas), so arranged that while some sections treat of a subject in a continuous strain, others are quite detached and unconnected. Thus the first twelve sections of the first chapter are devoted to the adoration of the Doity through a symbol-while the thirteenth has for its subject the similitude of certain musical particles with the universe. In the second chapter, the first twenty-two sections symbolise the creation in the Sama, while the twenty-third and the twenty-fourth have distinct subjects of their own. Subsequent chapters afford similar instances in abundanca.

The symbol recommended in the first chapter as the most appropriate, is the particle Om, otherwise called the 'Udgitha' or the 'Pranava.' It is described as "the quintessence of all essences, the supreme, the most adorable." (C. I. s. 1.) It is the animating principle of the body (s. 2), the dispenser of heat (s. 3), superior to all ritual observances, (s. 4), the ordainer of all organic functions (s. 7), and the noblest portion of the pre-eminent of all the Vedas—the Sama. (s. 6.) In establishing these attributes of Om, several anecdotes are narrated, in one of which (s. 3) a Kshetria takes precedence of two Brahmans in explaining the subject of their discourse. Similar precedence is given to Kshetrias in sections 3rd and 11th of the fifth chapter, and in the Katha and the Vrihad Kranyaka Upanishads. Nor does this precedence appear to be accidental. Prayahana king of Pan-

chála (C. V. s. 3) goes the length of asserting that the knowledge of man's lot hereafter was first attained by his own caste. reply to a question from a Bráhman he says, (C. V. s. 3), " Since you have thus enquired, and inasmuch as no Brahman ever knew it before, of all people in the world the Kshetrias alone have the right of imparting instruction on this subject." Considering that the Brahmans have been the sole repositories of the sacred writings of the Hindus for considerably more than three thousand years, and the other castes were strictly forbidden to have any knowledge of them, except through the medium of priests, the existence of this verse, so prejudicial to the interest and dignity of the Brahmanic hierarchy, may be taken as an evidence of the highest importance in favour of the authenticity of the Chhandogya Upanishad. For if any liberty had been taken, it is hard to suppose that the Bráhmans would have spared a verse which ascribes the origin of the most important element of the Vedic theology, its dispensation of a future state, to their rivals the Kshetrias. It affords a proof, likewise, if any proof were needed in support of so generally received a proposition, that the theology of the Upanishads is an after-thought, independent of the Vedas, and attached to them simply to secure to it the prestige of those sacred writings. It is worthy of notice, further, that that theology is of non-Bráhmanic origin, and forced upon the Brahmans by those whom they wanted to, and did, hold in mental thraldom.

Although the subject of the first chapter is the advantion of Om, it nowhere confounds the symbol with the object symbolized. On the contrary, every precaution is taken to point out the distinction, and throughout the book the most carnest appeals are made in favour of a rational understanding of, as opposed to a blind faith in, the attributes of the Divinity. Knowledge is its pole-star, and, while imprecations dire are denounced against those who neglect intelligently to examine the real purport of the scriptures, the unvarying assurance

at the end of every chapter as well as at the end of most of the sections, is that every blessing under the sun attends him who understands the object of the Upanishads. As with Socrates, so in the Chhandogya, the end of life is wisdom and intelligence; and therefore "all that is intellectual is precious, and all that is the contrary, despicable." Thus in the fifth chapter of the Upanishad (s. 10), those who perform ceremonies after knowing their real purport, are declared fit to be translated to the region of Brahma, while those who perform them without such knowledge, are sent away through a darksome path to become "the food of the gods." Ushasti son of Chakra, addressing the chanters of the Vedas at a sacrifice, says: (C. f. s. 10.) "Should ve enlogize him who is the presiding deity of all praise without knowing his nature, your heads would surely be lopped off." Aswapati (C. V. s. 12 et seq.) invokes similar imprecations against those who adore the sun, moon, Indra, &c. as gods, and not as the manifestations of divine attributes. Again, (C. iv. s. 17) "that sacrifice becomes efficacious of which the Brahmá is conscient of all this," (i. e. of the nature of ceremonics);-" That sacrifice slopeth to the north of which the Brahmá knoweth all this. Whenever any flaw happeneth in a ceremony, this knowledge of Brahma setteth every thing "He who is aware of this (the nature of the Universal Soul) seeing the Soul thus, thinking it thus, and knowing it thus, becomes (even in this life) one whose entire devotion is to the Soul, whose recreation is in the Soul, whose helpmate is the Soul, and whose felicity is the Soul. (In after life) he becomes self-resplendent. He is able to accomplish whatever he desires in all the regions of the universe. Those who believe otherwise, having others for their masters, go to perishable regions. For them nothing is accomplished in any of the regions of the universe."

These and similar passages afford a curious parallel to the Socratic doctrine, "that all virtue is one,—wisdom or intelligence,—and that no act performed without a clear insight into

its nature and tendency is good; or evil, if with that insight."\*
The knowledge extolled by the Upanishad is the knowledge "of the good, and of the reason which rules all and is over all—God." It is the same with the wisdom of the Grecian philosopher, of which it is said—

"He (Socrates) also said that justice and every other virtue was Wisdom; for just acts and all whatever other things are done by virtue, are both beautiful and good. And that neither will those who know these things choose any thing else instead of them; nor will such as do not understand them be able to perform them, and even if they make the attempt, they fail. Thus the wise do things good and beautiful, and the unwise cannot, and if they try, they fail. Since therefore just acts and all other beautiful and good things are accomplished by virtue, it is manifest that justice and every other virtue is Wisdom."†

Analogies, often phonetic but more frequently fanciful, are generally used in this as well as in the subsequent chapters, in the place of arguments, and they give a most puerile air to the whole composition.

In the second chapter certain technical terms; of the Sama Veda are represented as the type of the universe, and as such the emblems of the Divinity, and therefore worthy of adoration. They are evidently the names of the seven notes of the gamut, and as the hymns of the Veda in question are all intended for

- \* Ritter's History of Philosophy, II. p. 69.
- † "Εφη δὲ καὶ τὴν δικαιοσύνην καὶ τὴν ἄλλην πάσαν ἀρετην σοφίαν εἶναι.
  τά τε γὰρ δικαια καὶ πάντα ὅσα αρετῆ πράττεται καλά τε καὶ αγαθὰ εἶναι.
  καὶ οῦτ' ἄν τούς ταῦτα εἰδύτας ἄλλα ἀντὶ τοῦτων οἱδὲν προελέσθαι, οὖτε τοὺς
  μὴ ἐπισταμένους δύνασθαι πράττειν, ἀλλα καὶ ἐὰν ἐγχειρῶσιν, ἀμαρτάνειν.
  οὖτω καὶ τὰ καλά τε καὶ ἀγαθὰ τοὺς μὲν συφοὺς πράττειν, τοὺς δὲ μὴ συφοὺς οὺ δύνασθαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐὰν ἐγχειρῶσιν, ἀμαρτάνειν. ἐπεὶ οὖν τά τε
  δικαια καὶ τὰ ἄλλα καλά τε καὶ ἀγαθὰ πάντα ὅμετῆ πράττεται, δῆλον εἶναι,
  ὅτι καὶ ἡ ὁικαιοσύνη καὶ ἡ ἄλλη πῶσα ἄρετὴ συφια ἐστι. Χυπομίου,
  Μειποταbilia, iii. 5.
- † The terms Hiñkára, Prastáva, Adi, Udgitha, Pratihára, Upadrava and Nidhana.

singing, the whole of that Veda is indicated by those terms. Preëminent above them is the syllable Om, and the chapter ends by declaring it to be the emblem of the universe and of the Deity.

The third chapter opens with an allegorical description of the firmament as a bee-hive, the sun as honey, and the Vedic hymns as honey-makers. The honey is declared to be the food of the Vashus, the Rudras, the Adityas, the Maruts and other superhuman beings. The rewards which attend its enjoyment are likewise described at length. Those rewards are, however, evanescent and of a sousuous character, and therefore, forsaking them, the scripturalist turns to a Sun "that, appearing above all, neither riseth nor setteth, but remaineth alone in the centre." With reference to it he says, " Bear ye witness, O gods, that I may say nothing contradictory of that truthful Brahma." (S. 11.) That sun is identical with that which is indicated by the Gayatri-the Supreme Ruler of the universe. "It is verily the space which surroundeth mankind, That which surroundeth mankind is of a truth the space which existeth within mankind. That which existeth within mankind is of a truth the space which existeth within the heart. It is omnipresent and eternal." As the supreme object of human adoration he should be approached "with a quiet and controlled mind," and thus reflected upon: "That which is nothing but mind, whose body is its life, whose figure is a mere glory, whose will is truth, whose soul is like space, (Akasa) which performeth all things and willeth all things, to which belong all sweet odours and grateful juices; which envelopes the whole of this world; which neither speaketh nor respecteth any body, is the Soul within me; it is lighter than a corn or a barley, or a mustard or a canary seed, or the substance within it. Such a Soul is within me as is greater than the earth, and greater than the sky, and greater than the heaven, and greater than all these regions put together." (s. 14.)

The subsequent sections of the chapter are devoted to alle-

gorical representations of the life of man as a fire sacrifice, and the vital functions as manifestations of the Deity.

The whole of the fourth chapter is narrative. It recounts. first how one Jánusruti, the great grandson of Janasruti, obtained instruction on the attributes of the Deity, then a discourse between Saunaka, Abhipratárin and a hermit, and next an anecdote of Satyakáma, who, in course of tending his master's flock, obtained instruction on the nature of Brahma from w bull, a sacrificial fire, the wind, and the sun. Although a natural born son whose father was unknown, and recognised by the contemptuous sobriquet of Jábála from the designation of his mother Jabálá, he attained to great distinction as a teacher, and knower of Brahma, and his name and that of his son have been frequently quoted as authorities in the Upanishads. The last anecdote in the chapter is that of Upakosala (s. 10) who, while abiding as a Brahmachári, obtained from the sacrificial fires of his tutor Satyakáma, and subsequently from the tutor himself, instruction on divinity. The sum of the instruction is comprised in the assurance that "the being who is seen in the sun," i. c. the animating principle of the sun, as well as that of the moon and lightning, is the God Supreme, and that those who know it so, are blessed.

The next chapter opens with a parable of life and the organs of sense, with a view to establish the prerminence of the former. Speech, audition, vision and mind are made successively to forsake the body, which notwithstanding continues to thrive, as a dumb, a deaf, or a blind man or an infant. Life at last attempted "to depart, and in the very attempt, as a mighty charger, when whipped, plucks out from their places all the pegs to which its feet may be tied, it dislodged all the organs of sense." This obliged the senses to acknowledge its superiority, and it is accordingly described as "the eldest and greatest." The story is repeated by Satyakáma son of Jabálá, who takes the opportunity to teach his pupil Gosruti, the mode of offering oblations to life with a view to attain superior

excellence. He is followed by Praváhana king of Panchála, a Kshetria, who explains to Uddálaka, a Brahmin saint, where men rise to from this earth," " how they return," "where the way to heaven (Deva Yána) and the upper regions (Pitri Yána) divides," " why the region where men rise to after death does not fill up," and " why the fluids of the fifth oblation are called l'urusha." Uddálaka, however, seems to benefit little by the instruction, and, when questioned by certain Bráhman saints "great householders and knowers of the Vedas" as to "which is our soul and which is Brahma," evades their enquiry and takes them to a Kshetria king, Asvapati by name. The king receives his guests with becoming civility, and explains to them that the heaven, the sun, the winds, the sky, and the waters to which they severally offer their adorations, were but the glories of the "Universal Soul which pervadeth the heaven and the earth, and is the principal object indicated by (the pronoun) I," i. e. that essence in man which calls itself I.\* (Section 18.) This identification of the Universal and the human soul leads him on to identify it again with the five vital airs, seil. breath, the air diffused into the body, the flatus in the lower intestines, the air essential to digestion, and that which rises upwards towards the head, or in other words the sum total of existence. He goes on :-- " Verily of that all-pervading Soul, the heaven is the head, the sun is the eye, the wind is the breath, the sky is the trunk, the moon is the fundamont, and the earth is the feet. The altar is His breast,

"Hence it is that the knowledge of the Deity is called in the Upanishads átmajnána "knowledge of self,"—a curious parallel with the Delphic oracle "Know thyself." Plato has something similar. He says: "Absolute science is the pure self-consciousness of the reason—the conviction it has of itself,—which assures to every special science its value and right import." "Its object is the eternal truth—the unchangeable, unborn, imperishable—of which all that can be said, is, that it is." The same as the sai or "being" of our text.—Ritter, II. pp. 197-189.

the sacrificial grass constitutes the hair of His body, the household fire forms His heart, the annaharyapachana fire forms His mind, the Khavaniya fire His face."

These instructions seem to suffice for the edification of Uddálaka, and he appears in the next chapter as a teacher of metaphysics in all its branches. His discourse, though short, is by far the most interesting in the whole range of the Upanishad. Ontology, cosmogony and theodicy are his subjects, and he treats them with consummate tact and talent, although not without some taint of the extravagant and fanciful analogies which so disfigure the lectures of his tutors. His style resembles that of the ancient schools of Greece, and his expressions often meet with their counterparts in the writings of Plato and his contemporaries. His great doctrine is that, at first God alone existed and that the creation is but an evolution of His will. His language, however, is involved. He says, addressing his son for whose edification the discourse is delivered, "Before, O child, this (creation) was a mere state of being (sat), one only, without a second. Thereof verily others say, ' Before this was non-being, one alone, without a second, from that non-being proceeds the state of being.' "But of a truth, O child, how can that be? How can being proceed from non-being? Before, O child, this was only being, one only, without a second." The "state of being" (sut) here is evidently intended for the Deity, a something absolutely inexpressible and inconceivable, and may be compared to the To or of the Grecian philosophers. The style of the argument, it will be seen, is very much the same as used in the discussion between Plato and the Eleate. Ritter in his summary of Plato's arguments against the negative portion of the Eleatic dogma "that all is one and there is no multiplicity," says, "the non-being neither is any thing, nor can be conceived, either as multiplicity or as unity:" "This indeed is the great difficulty in the conception of non-being, that both he who denies and he who affirms its reality are driven to contradict themselves. For although it is inexpressible and inconceivable either as one or many, nevertheless, when speaking of it, it is unavoidable to attribute to it both being and multiplicity—whether we assert that the non-being is not, or that non-existent things can neither be expressed nor conceived."\* The language of Safikara is, in like cases, exactly similar. Talking of those who deny the existence of the Soul he says, "the Buddhist doctrine of nothingness is likewise false, for the maintainer of the doctrine proves his own reality." His remarks on the passage above quoted are also worthy of note. †

Creation is said to have proceeded from the will of this Being, or Sat, which subsequently entered the creation as its life to manifest itself in various names and forms. The order of creation is first heat, thence water, and thence aliment. This is not consonant with the order of the Taittiriyaka, in which air and space (ákása) are likewise included. Vyása, in the Vedánta Sutras, maintains that there is no contradiction in this, inasmuch as the deficient authority is not restrictive, nor does it profess to be a complete enumeration. It would be foreign to our purpose to enter here into an examination of the question, or of the view taken by Sankara as to the propriety of the divine omniscient Deity, intelligently wishing to euter a croated body, and the manner in which He made such ontry. Suffice it to say that the passage above quoted forms one of the chief texts for the pantheistic disquisitions of the later Vedantists, although Uddálaka, instead of supposing with the Pantheists

<sup>\*</sup> Soph. p. 239, a. φαμέν δέ γε δεῦν, εἶπερ δρθῶς τις λέξει, μήτε ὡς ἐν, μήτε ὡς πολλά διορίζειν αὖτο (εc. τὸ μὴ ὅν) μηδὲ το παράπαν αὖτὸ καλεῖν, ἐν τι γὰρ ἦδη καὶ κατὰ ταύτην ἄυ τὴν προςρησω προςαγορεύοιτο.

<sup>†</sup> In the Black Yajur Bráhmana (Vol. II. p. 923) there occurs a passage about Sat and Asat (being and non-being) which leaves no doubt of that philosophical dogma having been known to the Hindus at a very early period of their history when "beef eating" was held a meritorious deed. The passage runs thus: आवदानीया बदानीय अवस्थित नदानीय "when neither being nor non-being existed."

the absolute consubstantiality of God and nature,—of God and the whole universe being one and the same substance,\*—makes the Deity create the universe first, and then shed on it as it were a ray of his light. His doctrine, in short, is not the absorption of the infinite into the finite—of God in nature,—but of the finite in the infinite—nature in God. It might be an exaggeration of theirm, but not the pantheirm which destroys the responsibility of man to his maker.

Creation lives by its association with the reflection of the divinity. Separated from it, it dies. Hence it follows that a knowledge of that reflection, i. e. of one's own soul is, by a figure of metonymy, equal to a knowledge of God himself. Uddálaka exerts his utmost to convince his son of the importance of this doctrine by a series of illustrations and experiments, and in the true spirit of the Delphic oracle "know thyself" reiterates at the end of every section, "That particle which is the soul of all this, is Truth; it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, thou art that."

Among the illustrations occurs a comparison of the human soul to rivers flowing towards the ocean, very similar to a passage in Hume. "These rivers, my child," says Uddálaka, "proceed from the East towards the West, thence from the ocean (they rise in the form of vapour and dropping again,) they flow towards the South, and merge into the ocean. Here as they do not remember what they were, even so all these created beings, having proceeded from the Truth, know not that they have issued therefrom." According to Hume, "the Divinity is a boundless ocean of bliss and glory. Human minds are smaller streams which, arising at first from this ocean, seek, still amid all their wanderings, to return to it, and to lose themselves in that immensity and perfection."

In another illustration, I is shown that relationship is due

Lacondre, Instit. Philosoph. tom 11. p. 120.

<sup>\*</sup> Pantheista qui contendant unicam esse substantiam cujus partes sunt omnia entia qua existant.

to the soul and not to the body, for says Uddálaka, the very men who express the greatest anxiety for their relative during his illness, fail not, after death, to burn him on the funeral pyre.\*

The Seventh Chapter is devoted to a dialogue between Nárada and Sanatkumára, in which the latter explains, to the former, the attributes of God. Sanatkumára opens the discourse by declaring a knowledge of the four Vedas, the Itihasas and Puranas, grammar, rituals, physics, astronomy, the sciences cognate to the Vedas, the fine arts and the like, to be worthless, unaided by a knowledge of Brahma or the Divine Soul. He forsakes them in the spirit in which Socrates+ abandoned physical studies, or Aristippus the Cyrcusic gave up Mathematics. Such knowledge, he says, is nominal, a name only. Speech is greater than such name, and Mind greater than speech; Will is greater than mind, and Sensitivity is greater still; Reflection is higher than sensitivity and Knowledge is above it; Power is greater than knowledge, and aliment, water, heat, space, memory and hope are successively greater; and lastly above all stands Prins or Life, the greatest of all and worthy of the highest adoration. It should be approached with truth and zeal and faith and reverence, and viewed as an Immensity abiding in its own glory. "That Immensity extends from above: it extends from below; it extends from behind; it extends from before; it extends from the South; it extends from the North-of a truth it is all this." It is the Soul of the Universe—it is God himself. The man who is conscient of this divinity " confronts not death nor disease, nor doth he meet with pain and suffering."

This description of the Deity as immensity being liable to misapprehension, inasmuch as it might tend to the inference that the Deity is synonymous with space, the author of the Upanishad, in the last chapter, describes Him as beyond conception small, and situated within a minute chamber in the heart. But feeling that thereby he makes the Deity finite, he

Vide passim Brihadáranyaka Upanishad. edit. Röer, p. 444.

<sup>†</sup> Xenoph. Mem. I. 1, No. 11 sqq. IV. 7, No. 2 sqq.

<sup>†</sup> De Legg. VI. p. 771.

immediately proclaims that, though minute, that Deity is the envelope of the whole creation. He next compares Him with a bridge, a fire sacrifice, and truth. His place in the heart and in the Brahmaloka high above all other regions, and the rewards of knowing him are next explained. An anecdote is then related of how Indra and Vairochana sought instruction of Prajapati, and the latter explained to them the nature of the Universal Soul. Indra represents enquiring intelligence, and Vairochana self-sufficiency. When they are told that the Soul is that being which is perceived in one's eye, or the reflection which one's own self casts in water or mirror, the latter goes away satisfied, and believes and acts under the impression that "self alone is adorable; in this world self alone should be served; by adoring and serving one's self both this and the other world may be attained:" but the former seeks farther information. He is next told that that which enjoys in a dream, the feeling of being gratified by the attainment of a coveted object, is the soul," and subsequently that "that in which retiring, the sleeper is completely at rest," is the Soul. But they, too, fail to satisfy him, and he is at last taught that the Soul is immortal and unembodied, but that when it rests within a mortal body, it becomes "subject to desirable and repulsive objects," but when released from that body it becomes released from its amenities.\* The work is brought to a close with a summing up of its instruction in the following words: "Having studied the Vedas in the house of a tutor, and having paid to the gura what is his due, one should dwell with his family in a healthy country, reading the Vedas, bringing up virtuous sons and pupils, devoting himself with all his senses to the Universal Soul, and destroying no created being. Having lived thus as long as life lasts he attains the Brahma-loka."

<sup>\*</sup> The legend is given with some alteration in the last chapter of the Kaushitaki Upanishad.

The Universal Soul, to which according to this Upanishad our highest adoration is due, has been variously named Atmá, Paramátmá, Prána, Brahma, Para Brahma, Om, &c. and we have transliterated and translated these terms at random without any fixed rule, for which we cannot but express our regret. Prána has been at different places translated into life, vital air, soul, &c. and Brahma has been translated sometimes in the masculino and sometimes in the neuter gender. Our version too is, we feel, inelegant, owing, no doubt, as much to our own incapacity as to a desire to shew the nature of the text, by keeping as close to it as possible, and avoiding all freedom of expression or improvement of style at all likely to mar the sentiments, connexion and metaphors of the original.

1st November, 1861.

# CONTENTS.

1	age
FIRST CHAPTER.	-
SECTION I On the Adoration of the Udgitha,	4
II.—The Wars of the Devas and the Asuras,	10
III.—The Adoration of the Udgitha as the Sun, Vyina,	
&c	15
IV.—The Adoration of Pranava or Om,	19
VThe identity of the Udgitha and the Pranava, .	20
VIThe object intended by the Udgitha is the Soul	
of the Sun, and above all physical powers,	8.8
VIIThe Udgitha nobler than all the corporeal powers,	24
VIII,-IX,-Ancedote of Salavat and others on the Udgitha	
being Akasa or the Universal Soul,	25
XXIAnecdote of Ushasti who partook of the offals of	
an elephant driver and explained the Udgitha	
to indicate Life, Sun and Aliment,	27
XII.—XIII.—An Anecdote of Vaka and certain dogs who	
prayed through the Udgitha,	30
SECOND CHAPTER.	
SECTION I.—Adoration of the entire Sama,	82
11The Five-formed Sama, viz. : Hinkara, Prastava,	-
Udgitha, Pratihára and Nidhana identified with	
regions,	ib.
III.—The same identified with rain,	33
1V The same identified with the waters of the Uni-	
verse,	ið.

### CONTENTS.

	Page
V.—The same identified with the seasons,	. 33
VI.—The same identified with the beasts of the field,	. ib,
VII.——— vital airs,	. 14
VIII.—The seven-formed Sáma, Huñkára and the res	t
besides Adi and Upadrava, identified with speech	1, ib.
IX.— with time,	ib.
X.—XI.— with the Soul,	. 35
XII with the fire used in homa,	. 87
XIII with procreation,	. 88
XIV.— with the sun,	. ib.
XV.— with clouds,	. ib.
XVI.— with the seasons,	. ib.
XVII.— ——— with stations,	. 89
XVIII. with animals,	, ib,
XIX.— with parts of the body,	. ib.
XX.— — with the deities,	. 40
XXI.— — with the creation,	. ib.
XXII.— with the tones of the gamut,	. 41
XXIII.—Knowledge of Om superior to knowledge of Vaidi	k
	. 42
XXIV.—Rules of Diurnal Ceremonies,	. 40
THIRD CHAPTER.	
SECTION I.—IV.—The Sun the hive of the gods, and its ray	
honey cells,	. 51
V.—X.—The five nectars of the sun,	. 51
XI.—Brahma is above the sun,	. 56
XII.—The Gayitri is Brahma,	. 57
XIII.—The five gates of the space in the heart,	
XVI.—XVII.—The life of man likened to sacrifice, XVIII.—Typical worship of Brahma,	
**	. 65
XIX,—The Sun described as Brahma,	. 00

	Page
FOURTH CHAPTER.	-
SECTION I.—II.—Anecdote of Jánasruti,	. 66
III.—Anecdote of Saunaka and Abhipratárin,	. =
IV.—VIII.—Satyakáma and his tutor's cattle,	. 70
IX.—Instruction to Satyakáma,	. 73
X.— — to Upakosala by the household fire,	, ib.
XI.— by the Gárhapatya fire,	. 75
XII by the Annáhárya fire,	. ib.
XIII.— by the Ahavaniya fire,	. iò.
XIV.— by all the above fires together,	. 70
XV.—Satyakama's instruction to Upakosala,	. ib.
XVI.—The Wind identified with sacrifice,	. 77
	. 78
* *	
FIFTH CHAPTER.	
SECTION I.—Parable of the life and the organs of sense,	. 80
II.—Food and drink of life, and the mode of sacrificin	
for worldly favors,	. III
III.—Jaivali's exposition of futurity,	. 84
XI.—Anecdote of Prachinasula and others,	. 92
XII.—Asvapati's lesson to Prachinasala.	. 93
XIII.——— to Satyayajña,	. 94
WP737 A W 1 . 3	. ib.
XIV.— to Indradyumna,	
XVI.~— to Vudila,	. 95
77777	. ib.
XVII.— to Uddalaka,	. 90
all sacrifices were intended for the All-perva	
ing Soul,	. ib.
SIXTH CHAPTER,	
SECTION I Anecdote of Swetnetu and his grandfather, .	. 100
IIAruni's exposition of the Sat, "Being,"	
III.—Manifestation of the Sat in creation,	
IV Unreality of the Sun and dependance on the Soul	

	age
V.—Unreality of aliment, water, heat and wind, 1	.07
VI.—Manifestation of the nature of Wind, Prana and	
Speech,	
VII.—The Nature of Wind,	ib,
VIII.—Uddálaka's exposition of sleep, hunger and thirst, . 1	09
IX.—The nature of Death,	11
X.—Why created beings remember not what they were	
before creation,	ib.
XIWhy beings after sleep and death, do not lose their	
identity,	12
XII.—How creation proceeded from the Truth, 1	13
XIIIWhy creation does not attain permanence,	iō.
XIV.—How the Truth can be perceived,	
XV.—The subject continued,	15
XVI Why the ignorant return to the earth after death	
and not the liberated,	ib.
SEVENTH CHAPTER.	
SECTION I Anecdote of Nárada and Sanatkumara; the lat-	
SECTION I.—Anecdote of Nárada and Sanatkumára; the lat- ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Bruhma	
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma	.16
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	16
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 ib.
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 <i>ib</i> . 20
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 <i>ib</i> . 20 21
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 35, 20 21 22
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 35, 20 21 22 23
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 30, 20 21 22 23 24
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 10 35. 20 21 22 23 24 35.
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 10 ib. 20 21 22 23 24 ib. ib.
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 10 ib. 20 21 22 23 24 ib. ib.
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,  II.——————————————————————————————————	18 19 30 20 21 22 23 24 35, 35, 35,
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,	18 19 ib. 20 21 22 23 24 ib. ib. 25 ib.
ter's exposition of the effect of adoring Brahma as a name only,  II.——————————————————————————————————	18 19 ib. 20 21 22 23 24 ib. ib. 25 ib.

XVII.—XXII.———— as Truth,
as rium, 1
XVIII.—Knowledge, zeal, faith, reverence, controll of
the passions and quietude necessary to know
the Soul, $ib$ .
XXIII.—Immensity is felicity,
XXIV.—XXV.—The nature of Immensity, ib.
XXVIThe Fruit of this Knowledge, 130
-
EIGHTH CHAPTER.
SECTION I.—A space in the lotus-like heart pointed out, 132
II.—The Rewards of knowing the Soul, 134
HI.—The Receptacle of the Soul,
IV.—The Soul likened to a bridge, ib.
V.—By Bráhmacharya all Sacrifices are accomplished, . 136
VI.—On the Transition of the Soul from the body at
death,
VII.—The Devas and Asuras instructed by Prajapati, to
believe the image in one's eye to be the Soul, . 138
VIII.—They believe their own shadows to be the Soul, 139
IXIndra's return to Prajápati for further instruction, 140
X.—Indra told that the dreamer of dreams is the Soul, ib.
XI.—Indra told that that in which returning a sleeper is
at rest is the Soul
XIIXIV.—Indra receives instruction in the true nature of the
Soul
XV —Conclusion 144

# LIST OF PROPER NAMES.

Abhipratárin, son of Kakshasena, 69.

Aditya, 51.

Agni, 54.

Afigira, father of Ghora, 15-68.

Aruni, father of Uddálaka and grandfather of Swetaketu, 84-100.

Asura, 10-138.

Aswapati, son of Kekaya, 93.

Aswataraswa, father of Budila, 92.

Atidhanna, son of Saunaka, 69.

Bhállava, father of Indradyumna, 92.

Brahmá, 144.

Budila, son of Aswataráswa of the race of Byághrapáda, 92.

Chaikitáyana, progeny of Dalbha, 25.

Chakra, father of Ushasti, 27.

Chandra, 59.

Dalbha, father of Vaka and ancestor of Chaikitayaua, 25-31.

Devakí, mother of Khrishna, 63.

Ghora, son of Añgirá, 63.

Gláva alias Vaka, 30.

Gautama, 70-85.

Gosruti, son of Vyaghrapáda, 83.

Haridrumata, 70.

Indra, 139.

Indradyumna, son of Bhállava, 92.

Ibhyagráma, 27.

Itará, mother of Mahidása.

Jabálá, mother of Satyakáma, 70.

Janasruti, great grandfather of Jánasruti, 66.

Jánasruti, great grandson of Janasruti, 66.

Jana, son of Sarkaráksha, 92.

Jivala, father of Praváhaya, 25.

Kakshusena, father of Abhipratárin, 69.

Kamala, father of Upakosala, 73.

Kausitaki, 20.

Kapi, ancestor of Saunaka, 69.

Kekaya, father of Aswapati, 93.

Krishna, son of Devaki, 63.

Kuru, 27.

Maghavan, 140.

Mahidása, son of Itará.

Manu, 144.

Marut, 55.

Mitrá, mother of Gláva alias Vaka, 30.

Nárada, 116.

Naimisha, 15.

Paŭchala country, 84.

Prajapati, 189.

Práchínasála, son of Upamanyu, 92.

Praváhana, son of Jivala and king of Palichála, 25-84.

Pulusha, father of Satyayajna, 92.

Rakvya, 66.

Rudras, 51-54.

Salávat, father of Silaka, 25.

Sanátkumára, 116.

Sandilya, 60.

Saunaks, of the race of Kapi, father of Atidhanna, 69.

Satyakáma Jábála, son of Jabálá, 20.

Satyayajūa, son of Pulusha, 92.

Sarkaráksha, father of Jana, 92.

Silaka, son of Sálávat, 25.

Swetaketu, grandson of Aruni, 84, 92, 100-100.

Udarasándilya.

Uddálaka, son of Aruni, 96.

Uddálaka Arupa, 96.

Upakosala, son of Kamala, 73.

Upamanyu, father of Práchicasíla, 92.

Ushasti, son of Chakra, 27.

Vaka, son of Dalbha and Mitrá, 30.

Vashu, 54.

Váyghrapáda, father of Gosruti and ancestor of Indradyumna and Budila, 83-94.

Virochana alias Indra, 138.

Viewedevas, 51.

Vrihaspati, 15.

# SANKARA'S INTRODUCTION.

THE Chhandogya Upanishad\* comprises eight chapters, and commences with the words:—"Om, this letter, &c." Of this work a brief commentary according to the order of the text is compendiously given for the benefit of enquirers.†

Its connection.: [The performance of] the ceremonies prescribed [in the Vedas] when conjoined with a knowledge of the gods, fire, life and the rest, becomes the cause of transition to the Brahmaloka,

- "The knowledge of Brahmá is called Upanished, because it completely annihilates the world, together with its cause (ignorance) with regard to such as possess this knowledge; for this is the meaning of the word end (' to destroy,' ' to go,') preceded by Upani (upa, ' near,' mi ' certainty'). A work which treats of the same knowledge is also called Upanished." See once vol. ii. Fact iii. p 3.
- † The Chhidneys Brahmeys of the Sama Veda, whereof this Upanished forms a part, contains ten chapters (praphthakes) of these the first two are called the Chhidneys Mentra Brahmeys, the rest constitute the Chhidneys Upanished. Sankana having commented upon the mentra portion, now begins with the Upanished, which will account for the absuptance and brevity of this Introduction.
- ‡ That is, the relation subsisting between the Upanishad and the rituals of the Vedns, or, in other words, the scope and tendency of the work.
- 5 The word dees (god) is used in the Vedus to eignify any thing great, glorified or much attended to; and accordingly we find the vital functions called devise. In the Rig Vedu posties and morture—and even leather strainers used in the pre-paration of the moon-plant jaine—when they form the subject of a hymn—are homoured with the same spithet. In the Hible the English equivalent of this word is often used in much the same sense; thus; "Whose and is destruction, whose god is their belly." Philippians, chap. iii, v. 19.
- if "The Vedantic disclosure of a future state, considering the souls of mon as ascending or descending according to their respective actions, treats of several worlds or stages of existence, the highest of which is Brahmaloka. The being of untainted piety and virtue obtains search or liberation from all change of existence, becomes immortal, obtains God, ravels in the enjoyment of Hum, and, as says the Swetáswatera Upanichael, "these the Universe for his mater."

by a luminous path, (archirádi márga); without such knowledge it leads to the Chandraloka (region of the moon) by a darksome path (dhumádi márga). Those who follow the impulses of their passions,\* losing both these paths, are doomed to inextricable degradation. But as by neither of these two paths, can absolute beatitude be obtained and as a knowledge of the non-dual soul independent of ceremon." is necessary destroy the cause of the threefold mundane transitio. this Upanishad revealed.

By a knowledge of the non-dual soul, and by no other means, is absolute beatitude obtainable; for it is said: "Those who believe otherwise (i. e. in duality) are not masters of their own selves, and inherit transient fruition; while he, who acknowledges the reverse becomes his own king." Moreover a believer in the deception of duality suffers pain and bondage (transmigration), as the guilty suffer from the touch of the heated ball; while a believer in the truthful soul without duality, like the not guilty escaping unscathed from the touch of the said ball, absolves himself from all liability to pain and bondage: hence a knowledge of the non-dual cannot be co-existent with works.

When a belief in such texts as: "The being one without a second:"

All this is the divine soul," once grows in the mind to annihilate all distinctions about action, actors and fruition, nothing can withstand that belief.

If it be said, that a belief in ritual ordinances will prove prejudicial in it—this is denied: Since rites are enjoined to one who conscious of the nature of actor and recipient and is subject to the

- \* This part of the sentence may be rendered. "Those who follow nature (swabhave)," &c., as an allusion to the Swabhaveka Buddhes, who deny the existence of immateriality: and assert "that matter is the sole substance, which in its varied forms of concretion and abstraction, causes the existence and destruction of nature or palpuble forms." Anandagiri, however, does not allude to the Swabhabekas.
- † The passage may be rendered: "They are dependent, and become of logious parishable, &c." The version above given is after KHANDAGIM.
- \$ An allusion to the ordeal by fire. For the meaner in which men underwent this ordeal see Macnaghten's Hindu Law, vol. i. p. 311.
- I That is, ceremony and knowledge are opposed to each other on light and darkness, and therefore cannot co-exist in the same place. Anamaging.
- || That is, when a knowledge of the true nature of soul shows the futility of ceremonies and their fruits, that impression cannot be undone by other causes.

defects of envy, anger and the rest, he alone is entitled to their fruits. From the injunction of ceremonies to him who knows the Vedas, may mut be inferred that the conscient of the non-dual is also enjoined to 'perform' ceremonies? No; because the natural distinctive knowledge of actor, recipient and the rest which is included in ceremony, is destroyed by [a proper understanding of] the Scutis: "The being one without a second:" "All is the Divine soul," &c 'believer actions are enjoined to him only who is ignorant, and not to the conscient of the non-dual. Accordingly it has been said; "All those (who are attached to ceremony) migrate to virtuous regions; he, who reposes in Brahma, attains immortality."

In this discourse on the knowledge of the soul without duality, the object and exercise of the mind in both cases being the same, are also related certain anapicious forms of adoration (upisand) [1st, such] the recompense of which closely approximate to salvation, [2nd such] the subject of which founded on the Srutis; "Om is mind," "Om is corporeal," is Brahma differing but slightly from the non-dual, [and 3rd such as] are connected with ceremony, although their recompense is transcendent.

The knowledge of the non-dual is an operation of the mind, and inassuuch as these forms of adoration are modifications of mental action, they are all similar; and if so, wherein lies the difference between the knowledge of the non-dual and these forms of adoration? The knowledge of the non-dual, is the removing of all distinctive ideas of actor, agent, action, recompense and the rest engrafted by ignorance on the inactive soul, as a knowledge of the identity of a rope, removes the erroneous notion of a make under which it may be [at first] perceived; while upásaná (adoration) is to rest the mind scripturally upon some support, and to identify the same with the thinking mind;—(a process) not much removed from this transcendent knowledge. Herein lies the difference.

Since these forms of adoration rectify (the quality of) goodness (satva), display the true nature of the soul, contribute to the knowledge of the non-dual, and are easy of accomplishment from having supports, they are therefore primarily propounded; and first of all, that form of adoration which is allied to ceremony, inasmuch as manking being habituated to ceremony, adoration apart from it is, in them, difficult of performance.

# CHHANDOGYA UPANISHAD.

# FIRST CHAPTER.

### SECTION I.

Om!\* this letter, the Udgiths, should be adored. Om mechanted:—its description.

#### COMMENTARY.

- 1. Om / this letter should be adored. The letter Om is the most appropriate (iii. nearest) name of the Deity (paramátmá or supreme spirit). By its application, He becomes propitiated, as men by the use of favourite names. From its perfect applicability and definitive and comprehensive character, the sound Om exclusively is here pointed out by the particle Tim "the," "this." It is, further, emblematic; of the divine soul, as images are of material objects. Being thus a
- \* Om, when considered as one letter uttered by the help of one articulation, is the symbol of the Supreme Spirit. It is derived from the radical way to preserve, with the affix grag. "One letter (Om) is the emblem of the Most High." Manu it. 83. "This one letter, Om, is the emblem of the Supreme Being." Bhapa-radgitá. It is true that this emblem conveys two sounds, that III and so, nevertheless it II held to be one letter in the above sense; and we meet with instances even in the ancient and modern languages of Europe that can justify such privileges, such as g and w, reckvoed single letters in Greek, and Q, W, X, in English and others. But when considered as a trilitarah word consisting of III, (a) II, (u) II, (m), Om implies the three Vede, the three states of human nature, the three divisions of the universe, and the three destine, Brahmá, Vishan, and Siva, agents II the creation, preservation, and destruction of this world; or, properly speaking, the three principal attributes of the Supreme Being personified, as Brahmá, Vishan, and Siva. In this sense it implies in fact, the universe controlled by the Supreme Spirit.—Rammonum Roy.
- † That which posses not away is declared to be the syllabis Om, thence called Akahara." Masu ii. 84.

<sup>‡</sup> Lit. " pari," " member," 東南東。

2. The earth constitutes the essence of all substances; water is the essence of the earth, and annual herbs of water; man forms the essence of annual herbs, and speech is the essence of man; Rig II the essence of speech, Sáma of Rig, and of the Sáma, Udgitha is the essence.

designation and a representative of the Supreme Spirit, it known in all the Vedántas as the best means towards the accomplishment of His adoration. Its repeated use at the commencement and close of all prayers, and Vedaic recitations, establishes its preëminence: and for these reasons this eternal letter denoted by the term Udgitha from its constituting a part of the Udgitha\* should be adored; withis Om, as the substance† of all actions and the representative of the Supreme, firm and undeviating attention should be directed.

The Sruti itself has assigned a reason for the word Udgiths being expressive of Om; "this is chanted (Udgayate)." As the chanters of the Udgitha hymns begin with Om, by Udgitha Om is implied. By "its description" is implied the narration of the mode of its adoration, of its attributes and fruition: \*\*ward\* "commenceth," (understood) should be the concluding verb of the sentence.

- 2. "Of all substances" moveable and immoveable, the earth is the "essence" (एए) i. e. source, (जिल), place of dependence, (एए) एए), asylum, (च्याइक). "Water is the essence of the earth;" water being (as it were) the warp and weft; of the earth, is called its essence. "Annual herbs" form the essence "of water;" they being matured and elaborated by that element. Of annuals "man is the essence," he being matured by aliment. Of that "man, speech is the essence;" speech being his pre-ëminent attribute is styled his essence. "Of speeches hymns (Rig) are the essences" being pre-ëminent; "of all
- \* Name of that portion of the Sama Veds (second Chapter) which comprehends the hymns recited at the secrifice of the moon plant (Soma yaga), vide ante Vol. II. Part iii. p. 29.
- † The Udgitha bysame are chanted at the Soma yaga, and Om being an enemtial member of these bysame, it is called in the commentary wall with the
- ‡ By the terms of and profe the commentator alludes to the repeated origin and discolution of the earth from, and into, water.
  - 6 The produce of annuals,

- 3. The Udgitha is the quintessence of all these essences; it the Supreme, the most adorable, the eighth.
- 4. What? what is the Rig? What? what the Sama? What? what the Udgitha? This is questioned.
- 5. Rig is speech, Sáma is life, and Om, this letter, is the Udgitha. Verily this and that, speech and breath (prána)—Rig and Sáma,—make a (couple) Mithung.

hymns (Rig) Sams is the essence" being more pre-eminent, "and of the Sams" Om, the Udgiths, the subject of this discourse, "is the essence," being sublimer still.

- 3. Thus this Om named Udgitha, being the last of all successive essences, is (called) the quintessence (TWAW); being an emblem of the Deity, it is the most adorable,—WTIN, from ardha "place" and para "pre-eminent"—worthy of the abode of the Supreme; that is, worthy of being adored as the Deity. "The eighth:"—calculating from the essence of the earth, the Udgitha is the eighth.
- 4. It has been said that Rig is the essence of speech; if is now asked, what in that Rig? what that Sama? and what the Udgitha? The repetition of the word "what" [古刊本:一章 with the affix 世刊和文 in the text,] is expressive of the carnestness of the enquirer. The affix 世刊和文 is used in asking questions regarding different classes,\* there is no plurality of

to the individual hymns of the class Rig, and not of WIN: and WINE (questions regarding classes) it is not objectionable. It might be said, that the instances WHE: WE:, "which Katha?" † and the like, can be explained by taking this word to be a compound of WIN: and WINE, and WINE, and WINE and WINE; but that cannot be, the enquiry being into the individual hymns of the class Katha. Were this compound composed of WIN: and WINE some additional rule would be necessary for the elucidation of the passage in the text. "These are questioned" i. e. these interrogations are made. Questions being put, their replies follow:—

5. "Rig apeech," &c. The identity of speech and Rig established in the text, is not prejudicial to the Udgitha being the eighth

<sup>\*</sup> Böhtlingk's Pánini, Chap. V. Sec. 3, Rule 93.—Vol. II. p. 359.

<sup>†</sup> A portion of the Yajur Veds.

- 6.—The Mithuna unites with the letter Om, as couples uniting together gratify each other's desires.
- 7. He verily becomes the gratifier of desires, who, knowing it thus, adores the undecaying Udgiths.

(verse 3), the topic being different—that of proving the all-gratifying attribute of Om. Speech and breath (prine) are the sources of Rig and Sama, hence speech is said to be Rig, and breath (prine) Sama. By the use, in due order, of [the words] speech and breath, the sources of Rig and Sama, the whole of the Rig and Sama hymns are included; by the Rig and Sama being thus taken in all the ceremonies capable of performance through them are necessarily included; and by them all motives, which impel to ceremonial works, are also in cluded. And thereby all doubts regarding the all-comprehensiveness of "Om, this letter, the Udgiths," are removed.

"Verily this and that" indicate the Mithuna couple; and what that Mithuna is, is thus related. "Speech and breath" (prana) the sources of all the Rig and Sama hymns, form the (couple) Mithuna. "Rig and Sama!" in the text signify the sources of Rig and Sama, and not a distinct couple of Rig and Sama; otherwise there would be two couples, one of speech and breath, and the other of Rig and Sama, and the use of the singular ("this and that make a couple") would become inadmissible; hence it follows that speech and breath the sources of Rig and Sama constitute the couple.

- 6. "The couple" defined above "unites with the letter Om." Thus this couple which has the attribute of gratifying all desires being "united," incorporated with the letter Om, the all-gratifying power of the letter is likewise established. The exclusively phonetic nature of the letter Om, its being utterable by the breath of life (prána), and its union with the couple having been established, an example is adduced illustrate the aforessid all-gratifying attribute of that couple. As in the creation \* \* \*
- \* \* \* \* so the couple existing in the innate soul realizes the all-gratifying power of the letter Om.
  - 7. To shew that the adorer (Udgitá) of this letter also partakes

- 8. Verily this is an injunctive term. Whatever is enjoined, Om is surely repeated; hence this injunction is called Prosperity. He verily becomes the gratifier of desires, and promoter of prosperity who, knowing all this, adores the undecaying Udgitha.
- Through its greatness and effects is the threefold knowledge maintained; for the worship of this letter is Om recited, Om exclaimed, Om chanted.

of its merits, it is said; "He verily becomes the gratifier of the desires" of his yajamána, " (employer) who adores this letter, this all-granting Udgitha; i. e. he procures for himself the aforesaid recompense; thus the Sruti; "With whatsoever object it is adored, that I fulfilled."

- S. Om is also prosperity. How? "Verily this" (the subject of discourse) "is an injunctive term,"—Ansindksharam, from ansind and dhehara; ansind signifying "injunction," "order," and ultimately the letter Om. How came it to be an injunction explains the Sruti: "Whatever is enjoined" or assented to, by the learned or wealthy regarding learning or wealth, it is done by saying "Om;" thus in the Veda, "thirty-three" is assented to by Om.‡ In worldly affairs likewise when one is addressed with such words as, "I appropriate this wealth of yours," Om is his assent. The letter Om is called "Prosperity" for injunction and prosperity are here equivalent; from the latter being the origin, source or root of the former. The prosperous alone can pass the word of command, "Om," wherefore is that letter possessed of the attribute of prosperity. By the adoration of the prosperous Udgitha he partakes of its quality and promotes the desires of his employer, who knowing it thus adores, &c.
- The letter Om now eulogized for its adorableness, and as an inducement to its worship.
- \* An employer of priests at a sacrifice, the person who institutes its performance, and pays its expense.
- † Safikara argues that if he can procure the gratification of the desires of his employer, he must of course he able to gratify his own wishes.
- ? An allusion to a Vodaic tradition. YAJMAVALEYA baving been asked by S'ARALYA as to how many gods there were, said; "Thirty-three." Sakulya assented by saying, "Om."

10. Both, those who are versed in the letter thus described, and those who are not, alike perform ceremonies through this letter. Knowledge and ignorance are unlike each other.

How? By that same letter the threefold knowledge, comprising the Rig Veda and the rest, maintained, i. - the ceremonies enjointherein: for recitations and other processes of the Vedas cannot maintain the threefold knowledge themselves [i. e. the Vedas.] whereas is plain that the ceremonies do. How? II is evident from the premises "Om is recited! Om is exclaimed! Om is chanted!" that the Somayaga [sacrifice of the moon plant] | alluded to, the rites whereof are for the worship of this letter, the emblem of the Divine Soul, the adoration of which | the worship of the Deity's Self; thus in the Bhagavat Gita; "Mankind attain excellence by adoring him through their respective works." What are "its greatness and effects?" By the "greatness" of Om is implied the existence of priests, institutors of sacrifices, and their wives; and by its "effect," oblation of fermented corn, barley or the like. Sacrifices and burnt-offerings are performed through this letter; thereby is the sun maintained; from the sun proceed life and aliment, through the instrumentality of heat and rain; through life and aliment, are sacrifices performed. It is therefore said [in the text] through the greatness and effect of this letter, &c.

That it might not appear that ceremonies are due to those only who are proficient in the knowledge of "Om," the Stuti proceeds:

10. "Both, those who are versed in the letter thus" described, and those who are proficient in more ritual performances, but know not its exact nature, "perform" ceremonies. Since both are entitled to fruition from their capability in ritual works, of what import then a knowledge of the exact nature of this letter, it being evident that the succession of cause and effect is invariable and altogether irrespective of the knowledge of such succession; thus, the use of myrobolaus causes purgation to all, whether apprized of its effects or otherwise? But that cannot apply here; for "knowledge and ignorance are unlike each other," i. e. they are distinct in their natures, and cannot lead to a similar fruition.

What performed through knowledge, through faith, through Upanishad, is more effectual. This verily is the description of the letter.

### SECTION II.

Wherefore indeed the Devas (Gods) and the Asuras (demons), the offspring of Prajápati, contended. Thereof the Devas

Is the knowledge of the letter Om as a component of ceremony, and its knowledge as the quintessence, the all-gratific and the prosperous, the same? No. Since the latter knowledge additional to knowing it as a mere part of ceremony, it | reasonable that the fruition thereof should be greater; just as in worldly affairs, the sale of a ruby or other jewel, for instance, a jeweller from his superior knowledge obtains advantages over a forester, (edbara); so a work performed "through knowledge," i. e. with a consciousness of its nature,-"through faith," with a confidence in its results. -"through Upanishad," with devotion or an carnest application of the mind,-"is more effectual" then otherwise; i. s. when unaccompanied with adequate knowledge, it does not produce great results. Works with knowledge having been declared "more effectual," it is to be inferred that works without knowledge are also effectual, but only in a positive degree; for the ignorant are not wholly disentitled to works, as it to be found in the AMUSHAGHTI chapter of the Rig Veda that even ignorant men can officiate se pricete.

The adoration III this letter as the quintessence, III the all-gratifier, or, III the prosperous III all the same, there being no difference in the effort necessary for its performance;—multiplicity of attributes merely denotes varied means of adoration.

This is the description of the letter under discussion, called the Udgiths.

1. "Wherefore indeed, &c." The "Devas" are faculties which are enlightened (regulated) by the Sastra; the word being derived from div to "illuminate," "enlighten," "manifest." The Asuras are opposed the former; they are faculties devoted to enjoyment of self in all its vital functions, and are naturally the types of darknass. The

collected the Udgitha, saying, hereby we will overcome the Asuras.

2. They adored breath as the Udgitha; the Asuras conta-

indeclinables wand ware introduced to indicate countexion. "Wherefore," i. e. with the object of depriving each other of their objects of desire, the Devas and Asuras "contended," contested; the root way to "exert," to "endeavour," with the prefix way meaning the fight, to "contest" to "contend." The Asuras or the dark passions common to all animated creatures, being naturally disposed to overcome those faculties which have the light of the Sastra for their guide, and again, the Devas or faculties enlightened by the Sastra, being opposed to the former, the wars of the Devas and Asuras, i. e. their mutual contentions for supremacy, are constant from eternity within the breast\* of every creature.

This contest is here narrated by the Sruti in the form of a tale, order to develope a knowledge of the cause of virtue and vice, and of the purity of life.† Both the Devas and the Asuras are the offspring of Prajápati. Prajápati the designation of a soul proficient in knowledge and ceremonies; thus says a Sruti, "Verily the soul the Uktha; it is the great Prajápati."‡ Knowledge and natural propensities being opposed to each other, though proceeding from the same source, they are likened to the discordant sons of one parent, of whom, in order to acquire supremacy, the Devas "collected" commenced the "Udgitha," or ceremonies connected with the recitation of the Udgitha, that is, they commenced the ceremonies jotistoma, &c.—the commencement of the Udgitha itself being impracticable; the object of the ceremony being to have success over their antagonists: "hereby we will overcome the Asuras."

2. Wishing to commence the Udgithaic ceremony, the Devas adored breath or násiky a prána [nasal air or nasal life,]—so called from the nostrils being the place of its origin,—the original source and reciter of the

<sup>\*</sup> Lit. body.

<sup>†</sup> We ought, we think, to render here, the word prime by soul, for Sankara evidently had his eye on the suchehe prime "chief life" or "vital sir," of the 6th verse when the peaned this line.

<sup>1</sup> Sám2 Veda.

minated it with sin; hence it smells both fetor and aroma, being verily contaminated by sin.

They then adored speech as the Udgitha; the Asuras

Udgiths, and possessing sensibility and the power of inhaling, under the belief of its being the Udgitha, that a to say, they adored the letter Om, called the Udgitha, as breath. Nor was this belief wrong and adopted at the expense of truth, for in reality the letter Om adored. "You have said" [argues an opponent] "that they commenced works connected with the Udgitha." + how can you now maintain that they adored Om as breath?" There is no inconsistency in this; for in the Udgithaic ceremony, its performer i. e. the soul looked upon me the Om-the representative of a part or portion of the Udgitha-being declared m the object of adoration - and no distinct object being propounded-and that act of itself being a ceremony [the expression] "They commenced ceremony" appropriate. The Asures, naturally of dark disposition, contaminated, pierced, penetrated, tainted the chanter of the Devas, the resplendent natal air with the sin which proceedeth from themselves; for in other words breath elated by the desire to inhale sweet odours, lost means of discrimination, and in consequence of this fault, was tainted by sin, and therefore is it said, " the Asuras contaminated it with sin." Because the Asuras tainted breath with sin, therefore doth the breath of creatures impelled by sin, inhale bad odours :--- and hence do mankind smell both stench and perfume, being "contaminated by sin." The word "both" [was here used] | objectless, just as III the passage: "Let him expiate whose oblations both of dawn and twilight are defiled;" I the S'ruti itself elsewhere on a similar occasion save, "that by which inhales obnoxious (smell) is vice."

3. 4. 5. 6. In order sestablish the adorableness of the chief vital air, the S'ruti here engages to prove its [sole] purity, and with that view, vision and the other vital functions (devatés, gods) are successively

<sup>\*</sup> Versa lat

<sup>↑</sup> The sense is that the benefit of inhaling good odour was common is all.— Knampa Ginz.

<sup>1.</sup> I. v. us in the passage quoted, the word "both" does not reader in necessary that the oblations of both dawn and twilight should be simultaneously defiled, so here the inhalation of either feter or around is intended.

contaminated it with ain; hence it expresses both truth and untruth, being verily contaminated by ain.

- 4. They then adored vision as the Udgitha; the Asuras contaminated it with sin; hence it views objects both [such as are] worthy and [such as are] not worthy of observation, being verily contaminated by sin.
- 5. Next they adored audition as the Udgitha; the Asuras contaminated it with sin; hence it hears both what are worthy of audition and what are not, being verily contaminated by sin.
- 6. Next they adored mind as the Udgitha; the Asuras contaminated it with sin, and hence wills both good and evil, being verily contaminated by sin.
- 7. They then adored that which is the chief vital air, as the Udgitha; the Asuras approached it, and were destroyed as [is an earthen ball hit] against an impregnable rock.
- 8. Thus, verily, as an earthen ball is destroyed when hit against an impregnable rock, so doth he perish who wishes to

discussed, and formken as contaminated by sin proceeding from the Asuras. Those not recited, such as perception, taste and the like, are to be taken in the same light with those that are, a different S'ruti having said: "thus, indeed, these Devatás (faculties) were pierced by sin."

7. Breath and the rest being contaminated by vice, and the idea of their being worthy of adoration being thus renounced, they next adored that which is the chief vital air, and which abides in the mouth, as the Udgitha. It, the Asuras approached as before, and on wishing [to contaminate it with sin] were immediately destroyed. As in the (physical) world an earthen ball thrown against a stone to break it, breaks itself, and is destroyed without in the least affecting the stone, so were the Asuras destroyed:—that which cannot be dug (Khana) with a spade or the like, nor even broken by an axe, Asians—impregnable.

Thus the chief vital air [proved to be] pure, being unsubjugated by carnal passions.

8. The Sruti now proceeds to propound the reward of knowing the chief vital air thus. "As an earthen ball is destroyed," &c. is given as an example. "So doth he perish," is destroyed, "who

contaminate with vice, him who thus knoweth [the chief vital air], as also he who injures him. He is as the impregnable rock.

9. Through it, man inhales not odours sweet or foul, being itself immaculate. Whatever in drunk or eaten by it supports the rest of the vital powers. At the last moment, deprived of support, they depart, and make men gape at the time of their death.

desires contaminate with vice," unbecoming actions, as also he who injures, abuses, vilifies, or chastises him "who knoweth the chief vital air" to be thus, as herein related—i. e. perish in a like manner, he the knower of the chief vital air being—like unto an impregnable rock,—unsubjugable. Breath and the chief vital air are both modifications of air, how then doth one become liable to contamination by sin and not the other? This is not inconsistent. Breath by its location in an impure place, becomes contaminated, while the other from its superior position remains pure and uncontaminated. As an axe [or other instrument] made subservient to use when if the hands of a proficient person, and not otherwise, so breath ministered by impure odour becomes polluted and not the other.

9. As the chief vital air is not contaminated by vice, so it does not inhale feter or aroma, and men perceive odour through the organ of smell only. The effect of vice not being perceivable in the chief vital air, it is said to be that by which ain is "destroyed," consumed, demolished, (WWWWW), and this destroyer of ain itself is pure. The organs of smell and the rest are selfish in their disposition, inasmuch as they are addicted to their own peculiar gratifications; not so life, which seeks the good of all.

How so? Thus. Whatever men eat or drink through vital life supporteth and nourisheth breath and the rest of the organs, and they are preserved thereby, and life necessarily becomes all-supporting and pure. If II be questioned how the food and drink of the chief vital air maintains the rest, it is said, "at the last moment," at the time of death, "deprived of support" [food and drink] they depart; that is, the organs of sense, depart from or forsake the body, the functions of eating and drinking being impracticable without life, and the death of the

- 10. Angira adored it, the Udgitha, hence verily is called Angiraso, [or] the essence of all the organs [Angas].
- 11. Lo, Brihaspati adored it, the Udgitha, hence verily it is called Brihaspati; speech is *Brihati* [a form of metre] whereof it is the source [pati].
- 12. Ayásya also adored it, the Udgitha, hence it is called Ayásya; [or] that which proceeds from the mouth [Asya].
- 13. So, did Vaka, son of Dalbha, know it, and glorify it for the gratification of the desires of the sages of Naimisha, for whom he officiated as a chanter [of the Sama Veda].
- 14. He who, knowing it thus, adores this undecaying Udgiths, becomes the (most successful) solicitor [of boons]. This is spiritual [worship].

# SECTION III.

1. Next (the worship of the Udgitha) as connected with gods:—He who dispenseth heat is the Udgitha. Let him be

organs follows as a matter of course. The disrelish of the chief vital air for food at the time of death is evident, hence the gaping, which constitutes a characteristic of death from want of food.

10. Angira adored it, &c. [This verse has been differently rendered by Sankara. According to him Vaka, son of Daibhya, as hereafter related, adored the chief vital air possessing the nature of Angira, which verily the Udgitha.

The most obvious meaning in as given above. Saukara was aware of this, but says that the word America though in the nominative should be construed as if II were in the dative, and in support of this, address instances from the Vedás in which the nominative has been thus used.]

1. The Udgitha being adorable in different ways, "Next," subsequent to the narration of its inherent [lit. mental] worth, the Sruti commences the adoration of the Udgitha as connected with deities. "He," the sun who dispenseth heat, should be adored as that Udgitha, that is the Udgitha should be adored as the sun. The phrase, "that Udgitha" denotes, certain letters, how is it to be applied to the sun? It is said

- adored. For rising in singeth for the welfare of the creation; rising he dispelleth the dread of gloom. He, who knows him thus, becomes a destroyer of the fear of gloom.
- Verily this [the sun] and that [the chief vital air] are alike; this is warm as well as that; this may be called transitive (जर:); that it transitive and retransitive; therefore let this and that be adored as the Udgitha.
- 8. Moreover, let Vyána be adored as the Udgitha. That [function] by which (breath) is respired II Prána, that by which [it] is inspired is Apána, and the interval of the two is Vyána, which is speech. Therefore speech is articulated irrespective of inspiration and expiration.

<sup>&</sup>quot;rising" (Udan) ascending he singeth for the creation, that is for the growth of food for the creation. Did he not rise, grains, such as wheat, would not attain to maturity, consequently he singeth as do the chanters at a sacrifice—that is, as the chanters at a sacrifice, reciting the Udgitha, pray for the abundance of food, so doth the sun. Moreover, ascending above the horizon, he destroyeth the dread of the animated creation and nocturnal gloom. He who knows the sun as herein described, becomes the destroyer both of the dread of birth and death, and its cause, gloom, which is ignorance.

<sup>3.</sup> Moreover, &c. The worship of the Udgitha in a different form is now be related. Fying, a vital action of life is to be adored as the Udgitha. Now for its nature. The function by which man "respires," breathes through his month or the nostrils is called Pring; that by which "inspires," inhales is Aping, or the function of inspiration. We next come to that which the interval between the inhalation and exhalation aforemid; a distinct function called Fying, but is not the same which is described by the Sankhyas. But why forsake these Prins and Apins, and assidnously apply to Vyins? Because, of its functional superiority; and what is that functional superiority mext described: "Whatever Vyins is speech," that the function of Vyins speech. Thus the vocal function being dependant on Vyins, men articulate without any reference to inspiration or respiration.

- 4. That which speech is Rik; therefore do [men] articulate the Rik without inspiring or respiring. That which is Rik is Sama, hence is it chanted without inspiring or respiring. That which is Sama, is Udgitha, hence is chanted without reference to inspiration or respiration.
- 5. Moreover, all other mighty actions, such as the production of fire by friction, running a course, or stringing a strong bow, are performed without reference to inspiration or respiration, therefore the Vyána worshipped as the Udgitha.
- 6. Next verily let the letters of the [word] Udgitha be adored as the Udgitha. Respiration [Prina] is "Ut," for men attain the power of rising from respiration; speech (Vák) is "Gi," for vák and gira, are said to be synonymous;—"tha" is aliment, for verily, every thing is supported by food [sthitam].
- 4. Again, Rik sonly a form of speech, which includes the Sama, of which latter the Udgitha so but a form, consequently they are articulated without reference to inspiration or respiration, by the assistance of Vyana alone.
- 5. Nor is articulation alone the function of Vyáns, other mighty actions requiring great exertions, "such as the production of fire by friction, running a course, stringing by bending a strong bow," men perform without either inspiring or respiring: consequently Vyána is superior to the function of either Prána or Apána, and in as much as adoration to the great is proper, being highly efficacious as adoration to a king, it advanced to be an object of worship.
- 6. "Next," it propounded that "verily let the letters of the Udgitha sdored," not the letters described in the chapter called the Udgitha, but the syllables of the word Udgitha; by adoring the syllable of a name the nominee is adored, just as Misra so and so. "Prana st" and this syllable ought to be believed as such. How Prana is called "ut" is thus explained;—for all living beings rise (uttiethati) while those that are without life rise not, and therefore they are identical. Speech is gi, for all honest men know speech by

- 7. The heaven (dyaw) is Ut, the atmosphere [antariksha] is Gi, and the earth (prithiví) Tha. The sun (Aditya) is Ut, the wind (Váyu) Gí, and fire (Agni) is Tha. The Sáma Veda Ut, the Yajur Veda Gí, and the Rig Veda Tha. For him who, thus knowing, adores the letters of the Udgítha as the Udgítha, speech itself yields its wealth, and the adorer obtains alimental treasure and the power to consume the same.
- 8. Now, then, that which yields desirable objects, [will be disclosed.] What are worthy of meditation should be thus adored:—the Sama hymn by which the adorer is to glorify should be enquired into.
- 9. The Rig hymns in which they [the Sams hymns] occur, the Sages, who first promulgated them, and the Devas glorified by those hymns, should also be reflected upon.
- 10. The metre with which he is to glorify, the praise with which he is to enlogize,
- 11. And the quarter facing which he is to eulogize, should also be reflected upon.
- 12. Lastly, approaching his own spirit, and calmly reflecting on one's object, let him eulogize. With whatever object he singeth—verily with whatever object he singeth—fruition doth immediately follow.

the word gi. Lastly, the is aliment. All are sustained (figure sthitam) by aliment [anna] and therefore there is evident similarity between the letter the and aliment we. The triple similarity here shewn, in the S'ruti will be (again) met with as we proceed.

9—12. Having thus successively eulogized the Sama hymn and the like, let his own self be meditated upon, eulogized in meditation, meditating also upon the desires (which lead to action); "without excitement," i. e. without error as woice or in the enunciation of sibilants or consonants. For him who thus knows, fruition immediately follows. What fruition? That which one wisheth; the repetition implies earnestness.

# SECTION IV.

- 1. Om, this letter should be adored, Om is recited. Its description.
- 2. The Devas, dreading death, adopted the threefold know-ledge of the Vedas. They shielded themselves with psalms. The psalms are called chhandas, because the Devas shielded (achchhádayan) themselves therein.
- 3. As Fishermen look at fish in water so did Death behold them in the Rig, Yajur and Sáma hymns. They, apprised of it, forsaking the Vedas, of a truth betook to the asylum of Voice—Svara.
- 4. In reciting the Rig hymns Om is articulated (Svarati), so in the Yajus and Sama, therefore indeed, is this letter [the Udgitha] possessing immortality and safety, called SVARA: Adopting its support the gods became immortal and secure.

<sup>3. &</sup>quot;As Fishermen look at fish, in" shallow water with a view to ascertain how they may be secured, either by hook or by drawing out the water, so Death, with a like object, beheld "them," the Devas, immeraed in ceremonies, i. e. he ascertained that they may be secured when their rites together with their effects which are equally impermanent, shall be consumed. Where did he observe the Devas? "In Rig, Same and Yajus,"—i. e. engaged in ceremonies achievable by those Vedas. "They" the Devas having their intellect refined by their attachment to the rituals of the Vedas learnt the object of Death. Apprised of it, they foresking the ceremonies achievable by the three Vedas whereby they despaired of being defended, betook to the asylum of the term possessed of immortality and accurity called Svara, i. e. they devoted themselves to the adoration of Om. The word we of a truth" not only signalizes the act, but excludes all ritual performances save the adoration of Om.

<sup>4.</sup> How the letter Om deducible from the word Svara, is thus explained; "In reciting the hymns of the Rig, Yajus, and Sama Vedas Om is articulated, (avarati) therefore it is svana, the letter possessing immortality and security." Adopting its support the Devas obtained immortality and safety, the attributes of that support.

5. He who, knowing it thus, praiseth this letter, obtains the immortal and secure letter Svara, and obtaining it, like unto the Devas, becomes immortal.

# SECTION V.

- 1. Verily that which is Udgitha, is Pranava, and the Pranava is the Udgitha. The Aditya in truth is the Udgitha—the Pranava, for it moves resounding Om.
- 2. "Verily I sang is praise of the sun," said Kaushitaki, to his son, "therefore have I thee alone. Know the rays\* and thou shalt obtain a numerous progeny." This is the adoration of Om as related to physical powers.
- Next as connected with the Spirit. Verily the chief life is to be adored as the Udgitha, for, resounding Om, it proceedeth.
- 5. Like the gods, he who, knowing this letter possessed of immortality and safety, "praiseth" (by praise adoration is indicated) obtains it and attains immortality like unto the gods; for m the Deity must actuated by the same feeling which makes a mortal monarch differently regard his guests according to intimucy, &c. the reward of the adoration m (alike) in all cases, neither more nor less than what the gods obtained.
- 2. "Verily I sang in praise of the sun," i. e. I meditated on the sun and its rays as the same, 'therefore' have I thes alone for my son," said Kaushitaki, son of Kushitaka, to his son, Do you know them to be different, and "thou shalt obtain a numerous progeny."
- 3. The adoration of Om as connected with the spirit is next described. The chief life is to be adored, for it, like the sun, resounding the word of command, Om, sets speech and the other organs to their duty. No body ever hears life actually resounding Om, the sense therefore that the enjoins to each its duty.

<sup>\*</sup> In the original the word is used in the singular number.

- 4. "Verily I sang in praise of that," [the chief life] said Kaushitaki to his son, "Do thou sing in praise of it as manifold, praying for numerous progeny."
- 5. He verily, who knows the Udgitha to be the Pranava and the Pranava to be the Udgitha, reconciles by the rituals of the Hotá, the errors of the Udgitá,—verily reconciles the errors of the Udgitá.

## SECTION VI.

- This [earth] verily is the Rig and fire Sáma. The Sáma rests on the Rig, and therefore doth the chanter of the Sáma Veda call the Rig the upholder of the Sáma. Verily the earth Sa, and fire Ama, whence come Sáma.
- 2. Verily the sky is Rig, and the wind Sama. The Sama rests on the Rig, therefore doth the chanter of the Sama
- 5. Having identified the Udgitha with the Pranava, its advantages are next related. "Rituals of the Hotá," [lit. Hetri sadan,] the place or seat of the Hotá, but as the place cannot produce the effects, the rituals are meant; "the errors of the Udgatá," the errors which the Udgatá commits in reciting the Udgata, he reconciles, as medicines reconcile offending humours.
- 1. Having in the preceding sections described the adoration of Om for the attainment of particular objects, the S'ruti now begins to relate a form of adoration which gratifies desires. This verily is the Rig," &c. This earth is verily the Rig, i. e. the earth and fire are to be identified with the Rig and the Sama, because, says the S'ruti, the igneous Sama rests on, or is supported by, the terrene Rig; (the point of resemblance being that as the Sama included in the Rig so is fire contained in the earth, or because there is slight difference between them, the letters of the Sama being indicative of the earth and fire;)—nor are fire and the earth different, for they are always connected with each other like unto the Rig and Sama.
- 2. How? The earth is Sá, the first half of the word Sáma, and fire the other half—Ama, and necessarily the two words together

Veda, call Rig the upholder of the Sama. Verily the sky is Sx, and wind Ama, whence comes Sama.

- Verily the heaven (Dviv) is Rig and the Sun, Sáma. The Sáma rests on the Rig, therefore doth the reciter of the Sáma Veda, &c. &c. as before.
- 4. Verily the Stars are Rig and the moon Sáma, the Sáma rests, &c. &c. as before.
- 5. The whiteness of the sun is Rig, and its darkness—deep darkness—is Sáma. The latter rest on the former, therefore doth the reciter of the Sáma Veda call the Rig the upholder of the Sáma.
- 6. The brightness of the sun, that is the white light of the sun is Sx; that which black—very black—is Ama, whence comes Sama. That resplendent male of golden hair and whis-

form the Sáma; nor are they mutually different, for like unto the Rig and the Sáma, they have constant connection with each other, therefore are they said be a compound of the Rig and the Sáma. Some say that this verse establishes that the two constituents of UI (Sáma), should be revered as indicative of the earth and fire.

- 3. "Verily the heaven is the Rig," &c. as before.
- 4. The moon is the lord of stars, hence is it likened to the Sama.
- 5. "The whiteness of the sun," that is the luminous rays of the sun, constitute the Rig, and the "darkness—the deep darkness"—which is only visible to those who know the shastran, constitutes the Sama.

Hence the different rays of the sun form the Sá and Ama.

6. "The brightness of the sun," &c. "Golden." As it is not consistent for the Deity, whose joints are formed of the Rig and San to be made of actual gold, nor can vice be consistently attributed gold, therefore to talk of its absence absurd—farther it is evident the object under discussion is not formed of metal, it necessarily follows, that the expression gold metaphorically implies brilliancy—clsewhere the same construction follows. He who dwells (wate) in an abode, yet, or He who fills (yet) the universe with his own soul, the Male or Purusha; who is "visible," only to those whose eyes are withdrawn from worldly objects, whose hearts are contented, and who have

kers, whose whole body even unto the nails is of gold, whom we behold in the interior of the sun,

- 7. Whose eyes are like unto lotuses, red as the orb of the rising god of day, a called Ut. He verily is above [Udgsta]—all sin. He indeed ascends above all sin, who knows Him thus.
- 8. The Rig and the Sama are his joints, hence is He the Udgitha, and therefore in chanting the Udgitha, doth the chanter

undergone the discipline appropriate to a religious scholar (Brahmacharya.) As it is possible for a resplendent being to have black hairs and whiskers—it been expressly pointed out "golden whiskers, "golden hairs," &c. i. e. they too are brilliant.

- 7. Of this Male, whose whole body is golden, there is some distinction in the eyes. They are red like unto lotuses which are bright, as the parts around the postial calosities of the monkey; Kapyása, from Kapi monkey, and Asa to sit. Here the comparison is not unworthy, being between the lotuses and the parts around the calosities. Of him is this qualitative name Ut. How? Qualitative, because, having exceeded (Udgata) all sin together with its effects it becomes Ut. He who knows him of the name of Ut, as herein described, rises above all sin r w and are expletives.
- 8. To point out that the Male is the Udgitha, as are the sun and the rest, it is said as follows.

The Rig and the Sama which have been likened the earth and Agni are His joints, for verily His is the soul of all, being the lord (th) of those who desire recompense, they may be His joints, also for His being the cause of all. Whereas He who is called Ut, has the Rig and Same for His joints, therefore is He the Udgiths, here [somewhat] indirectly expressed, as gods delight in indirect allusions. "Therefore" for this reason, for reciting the Ut, he is called Udgata.

That god called Ut is the lord of all who reside in regions above the yonder sun, and in the protector of all, for says a mantra: "He upholds the earth in well as the heaven. He is the lord of what is longed for by gods."

Thus bath been related the description of the venerable Udgitha as connected with physical powers.

become Udgata, for he sings in praise of Ut. He rules over all the regions above the sun, and over all who desire the abode of gods. Thus far on the adoration of the Deity with reference to physical powers.

### SECTION VIL

- 1. Now with reference to self. Speech is Rig, and Life, Sama. On that [speech alias] Rig rests the [life alias] Sama, therefore is the Rig said to be the upholder of the Sama. Speech is Sa, and life Ama, whence Sama.
- 2. The eyes are Rig, and their reflection, Sáma. On the [eyes alias] Rig rests the [reflection alias] Sáma, therefore is the Rig said to be the upholder of the Sáma. The eyes are Sá and the reflection Ama, whence Sáma.
- 8. The ears are the Rig, and the mind, Sáma. On those [ears alias] Rig rests the [mind alias] Sáma, therefore is the Rig said to be the upholder of the Sáma. The ears are Sá and the mind Ama, whence Sáma.
- 4. Next, that which is the white light of the eyes is Rig, and its black—deep black—rays are the Sama. On that [white light alias] the Rig rests [the black rays alias] the Sama, therefore is the Rig said to be the upholder of the Sama. Now the white light of the eyes is Sa, and that which is black—very black—is Ama, whence Sama.
- 5. Next, the Male which is seen in the interior of the eyes is the Rig and the Sama. He is the Uktha, He the jus, He Brahma. His figure is the figure of Brahma; the joints of the one are the joints of the other, and the name of the one that of the other.
- 6. He is the lord of all that are within the scope of the eyes and of those who long for worldly advancement. Whatever songs are sung with the accompaniment of the Viná are due to Him; He is the lord of wealth.

- 7. He, who knowing all these, sings in praise of the Sama, verily sings in praise of both. Through that he obtains a hereafter and the regions of the gods,
- 8. and through this, all the regions below his sight and all worldly prospects. Therefore should the singer of the Udgitha, who knows all this, say [unto those who know not]:
- 9. "[Say,] what are thy wishes? I shall pray for the same." He, who knowing all this, sings in praise of the Sama, becomes a sovereign soliciter of boons.

#### SECTION VIII.

- 1. Verily, three [persons] were skilled in the Udgitha; S'ilaka son of S'álábat, Chaikitáyana the progeny of Dalbha, and Praváhana son of Jivala. They said [to each other], "We are proficient in the Udgitha, and are prepared to reveal its knowledge, [if it pleaseth you]."
- 2. Saying this, they seated themselves. Praváhana son of Jibala said; "You, Venerable Sirs, both of you begin the discourse, that I may listen to such Bráhmana speakers."
- 3. Of them, S'ilaka son of S'álábat thus addressed Chaikitáyana the progeny of Dalbha, "if pleaseth you, I shall ask some questions." "Be it so," said the other.
- 4. [S'ilaka enquired]. "What the asylum of the Sáma?"
  "Voice" [replied Chaikitáyana]. "What of voice?" "Breath."
  "Of Breath?" "Aliment." "Of Aliment?" "Water."

<sup>1.</sup> The Udgitha being adorable in various ways, an excellent form of adoration is here narrated, and towards its better illustiation, a tradition recited. The word "three" is not used with reference in the mass of mankind, but to three individuals of a particular assembly, for Ushasti, Jauas'ruti, Kaikeya, and others are well known in have been proficient likewise.

<sup>2.</sup> From the expression "both of you Brahmana speakers," it is evident that Pravahana was a Kahetriya.

- 5. "Of Water?" "That sphere." "And of that sphere?"
  "We shall not exceed the heavens, for on them we rest the
  Sama, which is likened to the heavens in its eulogy."
- 6. Then did S'ilaka son of S'álábat address Chaikitáyana the progeny of Dalbha: "Verily, irreverent is thy Sáma. O Dálbhya, [when you describe it to be so august] were one [who fully understands it] to say, 'Let thy head fall off [thy neck],' it would verily so happen."
- 7. "Then I should like to know it better from you, Venerable Sir." "Be it so," said the other. "What [say you] is the asylum of that sphere?" "This sphere," said [S'ilaka, alluding to the earth]. "And what is the asylum of this sphere?" "We should not exceed this receptacle, for on it we rest the Sama, whence it is eulogised as the receptacle."
- 8. Unto him said Prabáhana son of Jibala: "Impermanent is thy Sáma, O son of S'álábat, and [when thou describest it as otherwise] were one to say 'Let thy head fall off thy neck,' forthwith would it drop down." "Let me then learn it of thee, O Venerable Sir." "Be it so," responded the other.

# SECTION IX.

1. "What is the end of this sphere?" "The sky," said the other, [and continued] "all these creations proceed from,

<sup>6.</sup> i. e. Not so venerable as you describe it to be, when you say we should stop our enquiry with it, and proceed no further.

<sup>7.</sup> The earth by its sacrifices, charity and burnt-offerings affords subsistence to the upper sphere, whence says the S'ruti, "The offerings [of mankind] become the livelihood of the gods." It | [further] evident that the earth is the receptacle of all living beings, and it therefore not inconsistent to say that it is the receptacle of the Sama.

<sup>1.</sup> The other, having thus obtained permission, enquired; "Of this sphere what is the end?" To him Pravahana said; "The sky." The word "sky" [Kkása] means the "Great Soul" [God]. For we will

and end in, the sky. The sky is the senior of all these, it is the great receptacle."

- 2. It is the most excellent Udgitha, it is endless. He, who knowing thus, adores the most excellent Udgitha, attains to most excellent regions, and his [life] becomes most excellent.
- 3. Atidhanvá son of Saunaka, having thus explained it [the Udgitha] to Udarasándilya, observed: "The career of such of your descendants as will know the Udgitha thus, will continue most excellent in this world.
- 4. "As also hereafter:" therefore the career of those who, knowing thus, adore [the Udgitha] will become most excellent in this world, as also in worlds to come,—verily in worlds to come.

#### SECTION X.

- 1. Ushasti son of Chakra with his virgin wife, forsaking Kuru, lived in great distress in Ibhyagrama [a village inhabited by an elephant-driver].
- 2. Of him [the elephant-driver whilst] eating some vile beans,\* he begged [for food.] Unto him, said the elephant-driver; "I have none other than what you see before me."
- 8. "Give me of the same," replied he. The elephant-driver gave him thereof, and also offered him some drink. Ushasti said; "[Were I to take that] I would swallow the remnant of another's drink."

<sup>[</sup>elsewhere] hear [Chap. VII.] "The sky (Brahma) is the prime cause of all objects possessing a name and a form. The creation lits work, and in It do the elements submerge." It will also be said [hereafter]: "He created light, in Him doth light subside."

<sup>2.</sup> The most excellent Udgitha means the Udgitha endowed with the Deity, or the Great Soul.

Phaseolus mas.

- 4. "Is not that also an offal?" [replied the elephant-driver, alluding to the beans]. "I cannot live without eating that," taid Ushasti, "but drink I can command pleasure."
- Having eaten thereof, he presented the remainder to his wife. She had before partaken [of the same, and therefore] took mand laid by.
- On the [following] morning, rising from his bed, he [the husband] exclaimed: "Alas! If I could [now] obtain a little food, I could earn some wealth. A king is performing a sacrifice in the neighbourhood, he would surely employ me to perform all its functions."
- 7. To him said his wife; "Here are the beans, [take them] and, eating thereof, quickly proceed to the sacrifice."
- 8. Arrived there, he took his seat at the place of sacrifice\* before the chanters of the Udgitha, and then thus addressed the chanters of praise:
- 9. "O chanters of praise, [new,] should ye eulogize Him who is the presiding deity of all praise without knowing his nature, † your heads would be lopped off."
- 10. Then [turning] to the chanters of the Udgitha, [Udgitara,] said; "O ye chanters of the Udgitha, should ye chant [in praise of] Him who is the presiding deity of the Udgitha, your heads would verily be lopped off."
- 11. And then thus addressed he to the chanters of the Pratihára, saying, "and ye, O chanters of the Pratihára, should ye chant [in praise of] Him who is the presiding deity of all Pratiháras without knowing his nature, your heads would surely be lopped off." They, [in dread of losing their heads] resigning their duty, sat in silence.

<sup>9.—11. [</sup>After the words] "ahould ye culogize Him who is the presiding deity of all praise," [the expression] I my presence [is understood,] for otherwise the ignorant would not at all be entitled to perform ceremonies; which I not the meaning here, for we see it otherwise stated in divers parts of the Vedas.

<sup>\*</sup> Lit : the place where gods are calogized, श्रव्याय:

#### SECTION XL.

- I. Then said the institutor of the sacrifice unto him; "I wish to know thee, O Lord." "I am Ushasti, son of Chakra," replied the other.
- 2. He [the king] rejoined; "I searched for thee, O Lord, to officiate [at this sacrifice], but finding thee not, engaged others.
- 8. "[Do] thou, O Lord, [perform] the several parts of my sacrifice?" "Be it so," replied the other; "let these men, with my sanction, recite the hallelujah. Do thou give me the wealth which thou wouldst have given unto them." "Even be it so," said the institutor of the sacrifice.
- 4. Next, verily, the chanters of praise approached him, [and said] "You have said unto us, O venerable Sir, 'O chanters of praise, should ye eulogize Him who is the presiding deity of all praise, your heads would be lopped off;' will you now tell us who is that Deity?"
- 5. "Life," replied the other. "Verily all these created objects merge into Life,\* and from it are they developed; it is the Deity who presides over all praises. Had ye eulogized Him without knowing his nature, your heads would surely have been lopped off, as I said unto ye."
- 6. Next did the Udgatas approach him, and thus address; "You have said unto us, O venerable Sir, 'should ye reciters of the Udgata, chant in praise of him who is the presiding deity of all praise, your heads would surely be lopped off.' [Will you now tell us] who is that Deity?"
- 7. "The sun," [Aditya], said the other. "Verily all these created objects sing in praise of the sun as the highest object; he is the Deity who presides over the Udgitha. Had ye chanted the Udgitha in his praise without knowing him, your heads would surely have been lopped off, as I said unto ye."

<sup>.</sup> At the time of the dissolution of the earth, adds the commentary.

- 8. Next came unto him the Pratiháras and thus addressed, "You have said unto us, O venerable Sir, 'should ye chant the Pratihára in praise of him who is the presiding Deity of all Pratiháras, O reciters of the Pratihára, without knowing his nature, your heads would surely be lopped off.' [Will you now tell us,] who is that Deity?"
- 9. "Aliment" [Anna], said the other; "all these created beings live by the consumption of food; it is the Deity president of the Pratiháras; had ye chanted the Pratiháras without knowing Him, your heads would surely have been lopped off, as I said unto ye."

#### SECTION XII.

- Next the canine Udgitha. \* Verily, Vaka son of Dalbha or [alias] Glába son of Mitrá had gone forth to study the Vedas.
- 2. [In mercy] to him appeared a white dog. Other dogs; approached it and said, "O Lord, pray for abundance of food for us; we wish to consume the same."
  - 8. To them, said the white dog: "Come ye here unto me
- 1. Vaka was the son of Dalbha, but having been adopted by Mitrá, obtained the patronymic [or rather matronymic] of Maitróya and the name Glába. \* \* \* He had "gone forth" to a lonely apot near a sheet of water, with a view to study in retirement.
- 2. Pleased by his study of the Vedas and knowing his object, a god—or a sage, assuming the shape of a dog—a white dog, in mercy to the sage [Vaka] "appeared," became manifest. \* \* \* The most reasonable construction appears to be that the chief vital air together with speech and others, which are nourished by the aliment of that chief, pleased with the study of the sage, in mercy to him assumed the shape of dogs.

<sup>\*</sup> That is the Udgithe as recited by a dog.

<sup>†</sup> Little white dogs, adds the Commentator.

to-morrow morning." At the appointed time did Vaka son of Dalbha—[alias] Glába son of Mitrá—act up to the injunction.

- 4. As those who wish to pray through the Vahishpavamána, [hymns,] collecting together, proceed to their work], so did they [the little dogs] come together and, taking their seats, bark out:
- 5. "Om! Let us eat. Om! Let us drink. Om! May the resplendent sun, who showers on us rain and supports all animated beings, grant us food. O Lord of food, deign to bestow food unto us; do deign to grant us food!"

#### SECTION XIII.

- 1. Verily, this earth is the particle HAU,\* the wind HAU, and the moon ATHA; the soul is IHA, and fire I.
- 2. The sun [is] U; the hymns of welcome, [Nihava] E'; the Vishwadevas are Aunoï; Prajápati is Hiñ; Life is Swara; Aliment is Ya; Speech is Virata;
- 8. And, thirteenthly, the Aniructa or undecided hymns are the indistinct particle Huñ.
- 4. Unto him speech grants its blessings, and he becomes the milker of speech and the owner and consumer of aliment, who knows—verily knows—this Upanishad of the Sama Veda,† as herein described.
- \* Songs of all nations avail largely of inarticulate sounds for the development of melody. Each of the Vedas has its appropriate harmonic sounds; those of the Sama Veda, given above, are freely used in chanting the Sama hymne: but instead of being placed at the end of a stansa like the Tol di rol of old English songs, they are introduced promisenously in every part of the hymns. Whenever a word happens is be lengthened out to double or treble time, it is followed by one or more Hái Háū or some such phonetic particles.

<sup>†</sup> Or the hymns of the Sams. Vedu, explains Sankara.

#### SECOND CHAPTER.

#### SECTION I.

- Om! Verily, the adoration of the entire Sama is proper.
   Whatever is proper is Sama; and whatever is improper, is not Sama.
- 2. Hence it is generally said; "He went to him [to a king] with Sama," meaning that he proceeded becomingly, and = He went to him without Sama," i. e. he proceeded unbecomingly.
- 3. It is also said when any good happens, "Sama has happened unto us," meaning, "Good has happened unto us," and when any evil happens, "Asama has happened unto us," meaning that evil has happened.
- 4. Unto him, who knowing this adores the Sáma whose characteristic is propriety, the most proper acts and religion become feasible and easily accessible.

## SECTION II.

- 1. The five-formed Sama should be adored [by identifying it] with the regions from below upwards, [thus]; the earth m Hinkara, the fire (Agni) as Prastava, the Ether, [Antariksha] as Udgitha, the sun, [Aditya] as Pratinara, and the heavens as Nidhana.
- 2. And also from above downwards, [thus]; the heaven [Dyána] as Hi'ñkara, the sun as Prastava, Ether [Antarikhsa] as Udgi'tha, the fire [Agni] as Pratikara, and the earth as Nidhana.
- 3. Unto him these regions from below upwards, and from above downwards, become accessible, who, knowing thus, adores the five-formed Sama [by identifying it] with these regions.

 <sup>&</sup>quot;To him" i. s. ⋈ a king or the like by whom propriety of conduct might be rewarded, and its want reprehended.

### SECTION III.

- 1. In rain should the five-formed Sama be adored: the forward wind as Hinkara, whatever cloud collects as Prastava, the raining [itself] as Udditha, the lightning and rolling of clouds as Pratinara, and the cessation of the rain as Nidhana.
- 2. He who, knowing thus, adores the five-formed Sama by identifying it with rain, can command the rain to fall [at his pleasure], and for him doth rain pour [forth its treasures].

### SECTION IV.

- 1. In the waters [of this earth] should the five-formed Sama be adored: the clouds which collect together into dense masses as Hinkara, that which falls [in drops] as Prastava, those waters which flow to the east as Udgr'rea, those to the west as Pratieara, and the ocean as Nideana.
- 2. He who, knowing thus, adores the five-formed Sama by identifying it with the waters, falleth not into water, and becomes the lord of the same.

# SECTION V.

- 1. In the seasons should the five-formed Sama be adored: the spring as Hinkara, the summer as Prastava, the autumn as Uddi'tha, the dewy-season [Sarat] as Pratinara, and the winter as Nidhana.
- For him are the seasons designed who adores the fiveformed Sama in the seasons: he is the lord of the seasons.

## SECTION VI.

1. In the beasts [of the field] should the five-formed Sama be adored: the goats as Hihkara, the sheep as Prastava, the cows as Udel'sha, the horses as Prasthara, and man as Nidhara.

2. To him belong the beasts of the field who, knowing all this, adores the five-formed Sama in the beasts.

#### SECTION VII.

- 1. In the vital airs should the five-formed, the noble and venerable Sama be adored: respiration [Prana] as Hinkkra, speech as Prastava, the eyes as Udgi'tha, the ears as Pratikara, and the mind as Nidhana; they are all noble and venerable.
- 2. Verily his life becomes noble and venerable, and he triumphs over noble and venerable regions, who, knowing all this, adores the five-formed, the noble and venerable Sama in the vital airs. This much about the five-formed Sama.

### SECTION VIII.

- 1. Now [the adoration] of the seven-formed [Sama will be disclosed]. In speech is the seven-formed [Sama to be adored.] Of words [the adjunct] Huñ is Hiñkára, [the prefix] Pra [3] is Prastáva, [the prefix] & [37] is &di,
- 2. [The prefix] Ut [चन्] is Udgitha, [the prefix] Prati [प्रात्त] is Pratihára, [the prefix] Upa [चन्] is Upadrava, and [the prefix] Ni [fw] is Nidhana.
- 8. Unto him speech yields its treasure, and he becomes the lord and consumer of aliment, who, thus knowing, adores the seven-formed Sama in speech.

# SECTION IX.

- 1. Next verily should yonder sun be adored [as identified] with the seven-formed Sáma. It is always equal [sama ww] and is therefore [called] Sáma. Every body says, [" it looks] towards me: " thus equally [perceived by all], [it is called] Sáma.
- 2. Know that on it depend all these [visible] objects. Its pre-ascension is Hinkars, on it depend all animals, and hence

de they growl [Figures at that hour]. They are, with the Sama, co-sharers of the Hiūkara.

- 3. Next, its first ascent is Prastava. On it are men dependants, for they are desirous of [praise], Prastuti. They are, with the Sama, co-sharers of the Prastava.
- 4. Next, that hour of its ascent when cows associate with their calves\* is Adi; on it depend birds who unsupported fly about in the air, in praise of the Supreme. They are, with the Sama, co-sharers of the Adi.
- 5. Next, the time when it arrives at mid-day is Udgiths. On it depend gods [Devas], therefore are these good beings among the suns of Prajápati, co-sharers, with the Sáma, of the Udgiths.
- 6. Next, the time when passes beyond the meridian is Pratihara. On it depend the Garbhas; therefore are they kept attracted and full not. They are co-sharers, with the Sama, of the Pratihara.
- 7. Next, the transition from mid-day to afternoon is Upadrava. On it depend wild animals who fly [upadravanti] from the sight of man, to [seek] shelter in deserts. They are co-sharers, with the Sama, of the Upadrava.
- 8. Next, the first twilight is Nidhana. To it are the Pitris attached, and therefore are oblations offered to the manes at that hour. They are co-sharers, with the Sama, of the Nidhana:—Thus verily should the seven-formed Sama be adored [as identified] with youder sun.

#### SECTION X.

- 1. Next, verily the seven-formed Sama, which is above death like unto the soul, should be adored. Hinkara, freque in-
- 1. The sun is death, for puts a limit to the earth by dividing time into day, night, and the like. To overcome 
  this adoration
- \* i, a, at the hour when after milking, cowherds allow kine unckle their young.

cludes] three letters, [so] doth Prastava [warw], include three letters, therefore are they equal.

- 2. [The word] Adi [wift includes] two letters, and [the word] Pratihara [wifter] four letters, of which [latter] one letter [being added to the former] they become equal.
- 3. [The word] Udgitha [water includes] three letters, and [the word] Upadrava, [wqxq] four; the three [of the former] with the three [of the latter] are equal, leaving one letter redundant; [which being assumed] to be three, they [all become] equal.
- 4. [The word] Nidhans, [जियम includes] three letters, and therefore it sequal [with the rest]. These well known terms thus verily [include] twenty-two letters.
- 5. The twenty-first is the sun [Aditya], for it is the twenty-first from this earth. By the twenty-second that which is above the sun, might be triumphed; it is heaven [wiw] exempt from pain and grief.
- 6. He obtained the conquest of the sun, and that which is above the conquest of the sun, who, knowing all this, adores—verily adores—the seven-formed which is above death, and like unto the soul.

of the Sama revealed. "Next verily," i. s. after the adoration of the Sama relating to the sun [which likewise relates to] death, another form of adoration of the Sama comprehending seven members, is in described.

<sup>2.</sup> The letter Om is called Adi.

<sup>3.</sup> Though one, as it is an Akshara [WWT which includes three letters] II becomes three.

<sup>5.</sup> Because the Aditya reckoned the twenty-first from this sphere, for says a 8'ruti, The twelve months, the five seasons, the three regions and twenty-first the sun." That which is above the sun." What is it? Heaven, Náka: As pleasure with the privative prefix a meaning "pain," and na "not," i. e. where pain existeth not.

### SECTION XI.

- The mind Hiñkára, speech Prastáva, the eyes Udgítha, the ears Pratihára, and Prápa Nidhana: [thus] is this Gáyatra\* Sáma connected with life [Prápa].
- 2. He, who knows the Gáyatra to be thus connected with Práns, becomes possessed of life [Práns], enjoys the full limit of existence, his career becomes refulgent,† he becometh great in dependents and cattle, and great in noble deeds; and his duty is to be noble-minded.

#### SECTION XII.

- 1. The generation [of fire by friction] is Hińkára, the smoke which issues [therefrom] is Prastáva, the flame is Udgitha; whatever charcoal forms is Pratihára, the blowing out [of the flame] is Nidhana, and its entire cessation [also] is Nidhana. [Thus] is the Ráthántara Sáma connected with fire,‡ [Agṇi].
- 2. He, who knows the Ráthántara thus connected with fire [Agni], attains the glory available by the study and practice of the Vedas, a superior power of digestion, and enjoys the full limit of existence; his career becomes refulgent, he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds;—and his duty is not to eat or spit before a fire.
- 2. "Becomes possessed of Prana," i. c. all his organs retain their proper powers. "Attain the full limit of existence." "The full limit of man's existence is a hundred years," says the Struti.
- \* A particular chapter of the Saua Veda, so called from its verses being composed in the Gayatri metre.
  - † i. s. " Beneficent to his kind," says Knanda Giri
- ‡ At the time of generating fire by friction it musual meetie hymne from the Rathantara chapter of the Samu Veda in praise of Agoi, therefore are they said to be connected with each other.
  KRANDA GIRI,

### SECTION XIII.

[Two Verses omitted].

#### SECTION XIV.

- 1. The dawn is Hinkara, the ascent [of the sun] Prastava, the mid-day Udgitha, the afternoon Pratihara, and the disappearance Nidhana. [Thus] is the Brihat [Sama] connected with the sun [Aditya].
- 2. He who knows the Brihat [Sama] to be thus connected with Aditya, becomes a mighty consumer of aliment, enjoys the full limit of existence, his career becomes refulgent, he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds; and his duty in not to calumniate the sun.

#### SECTION XV.

- 1. The vapours collect, it is Hińkára, the clouds overcast [the sky], it is Prastáva; it rains, it is Udgitha; the lightning flashes and the thunder rolls, they are Pratihára; the ascent [of vapours] is Nidhana. [Thus is] the Vairupa\* [Sáma] connected with the clouds.
- 2. He who knows the Vairupa Sama, thus connected with the clouds, obtains both well-formed and ugly cattle, and the full limit of existence; his career becomes refulgent; he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds;—and his duty is not to calumniate the rain-pouring clouds.

## SECTION XVI.

- The spring is Hiñkára, the summer Prastáva, the autumn Udgitha, the dewy-season Pratihára, and the winter Nidhana.
   [Thus] is the Vairája [Sáma] connected with the seasons.
- 2. He who knows the Vairája [Sáma] thus connected with the seasons, becomes magnificent in dependents, cattle and

<sup>\*</sup> A form III the Sáma hymns.

Vedaic glory, obtains the full limit of existence, his career becomes glorious, and he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds;—and his duty is not to calumniste the seasons.

#### SECTION XVII.

- 1. The earth is Hifikara, space [Antariksha] is Prastava, the heaven Udgitha, the sides Pratihara, and the ocean Nidhana. [Thus] are the Sakkari Sama hymns connected with the stations.
- 2. He who knows the Sakkari [Sama hymns] to be thus connected with the stations, obtaineth the wealth of those stations, and the full limit of existence; his career becomes glorious, he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds; and his duty is not to calumniate the stations.

### SECTION XVIII.

- 1. The goats are Hinkara, the sheep Prastava, the cows Udgitha, the horses Pratihara, and man Nidhana; [thus] are the Revatya [Sama hymns] connected with animals.
- 2. He who knows the Revatya [Sama hymns] to be thus connected with animals, becomes the lord of animals, enjoys the full limit of existence, his career becomes glorious, he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds; and his duty is not to calumniate animals.

## SECTION XIX.

1. The hair of the body I Hińkara, the skin Prastava, the flesh Udgitha, the bones Pratihara, and the marrow Nidhana. [Thus] are the Yajna-Yajniya [Sama hymns] connected with the body.\*

2. He, who knows the Yajna-Yajniya [Sama bymns] to be thus connected with the body, obtains a perfect body which never becomes defective, enjoys the full limit of existence, his career becomes glorious, he becomes great in dependants and cattle, and great in noble deeds, and his duty is not to eat meat for a year, or not to eat meat at all.

### SECTION XX.

- 1. Agni [fire] is Hifikára, the wind Prastáva, the sun Udgítha, the stars Pratihára, and the moon Nidhana. [Thus] are these Rájana [Sáma hymns] connected with gods [devatás].
- 2. He, who knows the Rájana [Sáma hymns] to be thus nected with the gods, obtains habitation, wealth,\* body, similiar unto what appertain to these gods; he enjoys the full limit of existence, his career becomes glorious, he becomes great in dependants, and cattle, and great in noble decds; and his duty is not to calumniate Bráhmanas.

## SECTION XXI.

The threefold knowledge constitutes the Hinkara; the three regions† form the Prastava; Agni, Váyu and Aditya are the Udgitha; the stars, the feathered tribe and the rays [of light] form the Pratihara, and the serpent race, the Gandharvas and the manes are Nidhana. Thus is the Sama connected with all.

- 2. He who thus knows the Sáma connected with all [objects] becomes [the lord of] all.
- Sankare says, that the word or (37) Il understood after "wealth," and the meaning is, the adorer obtains habitation, wealth or body like unto that III the gods, according to his wish.
  - † Heaven, earth and hell.

- Thereof is the verse: "there is nothing greater than the fivefold three."
- 4. He, who knows this, understands every thing; unto him all the different quarters [of the earth] render tribute; his duty—his duty—is to entertain the belief that "I am all."

### SECTION XXII.

- 1. [A chanter said] "I wish for the taurine-toned Sama hymn, the canticle of Agni, which contributes to the weal of animals." The hymns of the animals tone belong to Prajapati; those of the nimeta tone to Soma; those of the mild sweet tone to Váyu; those of the sweet high-pitched tone to Indra; those of the tone resembling the voice of the crane to Brishaspati, and to Varuna, those of the tone of a broken piece of bell-metal:—they are all to be practised; the hoarse toned alone are to be avoided.\*
- 2. [The hymns] are to be sung [with the wish]: "Let me sing for the immortality of the gods. For [the due offering of] oblations to the manes, for the [fulfilment of the] desires of mankind, for securing grass and water to animals, heaven to the institutors of sacrifices, and aliment for self, let me sing." Thinking thus without excitement let them be sung.
- 3. "There-of," i. e. on this subject there is a verse. "Five-fold" i. e. Hinkara, Prastáva and the rest; "three," the threefold knowledge, the three regions, and the three gods, Agni, Váyu and Aditya.
- \* These evidently relate to the seven tones of a gamut. The taurine and the ardine tones appear, from the subjoined verse of Nárada, to a equivalent at the B and D of the English music; the rest we have not been able to accordain. If our conjecture in right, the five-formed Sama would include all those hymns which embrace five tones, and the seven-formed those which are sung on the full gamut.

् वड्सं रै।ति स्पूरी पि जाये। वर्ष कि अवर्ध। जना विरैति जानारं है।यो अ-देति सभामं !\*

- 3. The vowels [swara] constitute the body of Indra, the sibilants and ha [Uamána] that of Prajápati, and the consonants [sparsá] that of Death. Should any body revile him [who is a reciter of these] he should say, "I take protection of Indra, he will give thee a meet reply."
- 4. Should any revile him about the sibilants and ha, he should say; "I take protection of Prajapati, he will ground thee down;" and should any revile him about the consonants, he should say; "I take protection of Death, he will hurl thee into flames."
- 5. The vowels are to be recited with sound and force, saying; "I take the strength of Indra." The sibilants and ha are to be sounded internally, but not uttered out [of the mouth], and yet distinctly, saying: "to Prajápati, I resign my life." The consonants are to be repeated slowly and distinctly, saying; "from death, I extricate my life."

### SECTION XXIII.

- 1. Threefold is the division of Duty. Sacrifice, study and charity
- 2. Constitute the first; Penance is the second, and Residence by a Brahmachárin exclusively in the house of a tutor is the third. All those [who attend to these duties] attain virtuous regions; the believer in Bráhma alone attains to immortality.

<sup>1.</sup> In order to develope the adoration of Om, [this S'ruti] begins with: "Threefold in the division of Duty." But it must not be supposed that the adoration of Om or the Udgitha as forming a part of the Sama Veda, secures the effect to be propounded, for that which cannot be had by the adoration of the whole of the Sama Veda, i. e. immortality, may be secured by the adoration of Om [as the emblem of the Deity], hence it is only in praise of Om that the S'ruti begins as aforesaid.

<sup>. &</sup>quot;Threefold the division of Daty," i. c. Religion or duty is divided into three classes; and what they are is next described. "Sacrifice"

[Yajna] or the offering of oblations to fire, &c.,-" Study," of the Rigand the other vedas according to rule, - "and charity," or the donation of alms according ... one's resources, beyond the boundary of the alter. parties not seeking for the same, -constitute the first branch or division of religious duty. Since this class of duties relates to householders, and performable by them [alone], it may be called the daty of householders. The expression " first" (Num:) means one for the first of a series, ] and not the commencement of duty, for we hear of the "second" and the third [in succession] " The second is Pensuce," [ Tapas] i. e. the performance of Krichchha, Chándráyana and such other Penances, or asceticism, or the adoption of the life of a hermit, without relying on Bráhma [for reliance on Bráhma ensures immortality], constitutes the second division. Residence under the roof of a tutor, "Exclusively." i. c. all life through, in the exercise of the duties of a Brahmacharin, constitutes the third division of duty. The use of the expression = exclusively" indicates that the residence must be all life through, for otherwise a mere sojourn at the house of a tutor, for the study of the Vedas, does not secure [a future translation to] virtuous regions. " All those," i. e. the three orders of men, through the virtuous works aforesaid, "attain virtuous regions," \* \* \* \* Lastly, the undescribed hermit, he who exclusively abides in Brahma, -he alone obtains immortality, which is a stage of being distinct from the virtuous regions, and constitutes existence without end, and not the secondary immortality of the Davas [which | but temporary], as in evident from its being reckoned under a different class. Had it been merely a superior gradation of the former, it would not have been described separately. From its being disjoined from the rest, it is evident that immortality without end is intended.

The allusion here to the rewards due to the different orders of men is intended we culogize the adoration of Om, and not, to lay down any rule on the subject. To say that it has the twofold object of culogizing and laying down a rule regarding rewards, would we admit a divided meaning, [which is inadmissible, inasmuch as a word can have but one meaning at a time]; hence, after reciting the rewards described in the Smritis, we say that the reward of worshipping Om windmortality, we callegize the same. Just as by saying "the service of Purpavarma secures food and raiment, whilst that of Rajavarma ensures a kingdom" [the superior advantages of the latter are pointed out in

comparison with the former, and no merit of the former described] such I the case in the above.

The Prapava is the truthful Supreme Brahms, being its emblem. From the Vedic declaration, "This letter verily in the Supreme," the Katha Upanishad bath declared that "its adoration ensures immortality."

Some [commentators] maintain " that men of the four different orders of life, who have no pretensions to knowledge, from the fruit of their works, obtain, without any distinction, virtuous regious, as is evident from the expression: "all those attain virtuous regions," and the hermit is not excluded therefrom. The knowledge and the religious acts and observances of the hermit amount in fact to penance, and so has the S'ruti included it by saying, 'Penance is the second,'-hence," they continue, "it follows that whoever among the four orders of men adopts the adoration of Om, i. e. becomes devoted to Brahma, attains immortality, every one of them without distinction being fit to perform such adoration, and none being forbidden; besides all of them having apposite opportunities, during the intervals of their respective duties, to engage themselves in such devotion. The word Brahmasanstha, devotion Brahma," they further argue, "is not, like the words wheat or hog, exclusively indicative of a particular object, -the hermit. It is a compound term formed of the two words Brahma and Devotion, and that which has an etymological signification cannot be an arbitrary term at the same time. All orders of men can devote themselves to Brahma. Wherever there is a devotion - Brahma, there may we apply the compound term, and it would be improper confine its meaning only the hermit who devotes himself to the same. Farther, the mere performance of the duties enjoined to hermits, does not ensure immortality, for that would make all allusion | knowledge redundant. Nor can it be said that knowledge in the state of hermitage alone cusures immortality, for there is no special efficacy of the duties enjoined to men of any of the four orders. Should it be said that the virtuous works enjoined to the different orders of men when accompanied by knowledge ensures immortality, still it would apply to all the four orders, [and have no special reference in behalf of any particular one.] There is no such ordinance, that none but the hermit alone, when he has acquired knowledge, should attain immortality; on the contrary all the Upanishads

maintain that 'knowledge [alone] ensures liberation.' Hence whoever among the four orders of men devotes himself to Brahma, will enjoy immortality."

But such in not the case, for the knowledge which leads to ceremonial rites, and that which manifests the Supreme cause of all, are dissimilar and discordant [and therefore cannot co-exist in the same individual.]

The knowledge which indicates a difference between agents, actions, and objects, it the cause whence proceedeth the ceremonial injunction : " Do this and do that not," and that cause hath not its origin in any Shastra, for it manifest in all animated beings. While the knowledge which sayeth, "the truth is verily one without a second," " is this is the divine soul," "all this is Brahma," proceedeth from the Shastra, and cannot become manifest without in the first place destroying the disjunctive knowledge\* of agents, actions, and objects which is the prime cause of all ceremonial injunction, for the knowledge which disjoins and that which identifies are opposed to each other. Just as the erroneous conception of two moons cannot co-exist together with a knowledge of the unity of the moon, knowledge and ignorance being discordant and unable to abide together; even so is the case with the knowledge of the Deity. Such being the case, he, in whom the disjunctive knowledge which leads to ceremonial rites, is overcome by the non-dual knowledge originating from the maxims, "The truth is verily one without a second:" "He truth:" "All the differences of created objects are unreal:" retires from all ceremonial rites, from their cause being overcome; and he is said to be abiding in Brahma, and exempt from ceremony. Such a state is not attainable by any but a hermit, (Paribrát).

He, whose disjunctive knowledge has not been overcome, who sees differently, hears differently, reflects differently, understands differently, and believes that the performances of such and such [coremonies] will secure for him such and such [results], and believing, acts accordingly, cannot resign himself in Brahma, for he relies on a false understanding of there being a distinction where the distinction is purely verbal. In him, who has overcome the disjunctive knowledge by knowing it to

<sup>\*</sup> सेंद्रशास ! The knowledge or instinct by which physical objects are perceived as distinct and independent of each other, is called disjunctive, because ill disjoins or sets apart the Vedantic truth, that the whole universe ill an emanation of the Deity.

be false, the idea of certain objects being fit for ceremonies, and the propriety of his performing them therefore as a matter of duty, cannot exist, like the idea of the sky having a dark substratum to one conscient of the nature of the sky. If you say that after the disjunctive knowledge wovercome, ceremonies [still] continue [dominant] as before, all ordinances relating to Unitarian knowledge become falsified, [whereas] they are, like the ordinances relating III forbidden food, venerable, all the Upanishads being in favour of them. It might be argued that then you destroy the authority of the ceremonial ordinances. But such is not the case; their authority remains in all its integrity and exercises its full force on those whose disjunctive knowledge is not overcome, like the effect of dreams on one who is still asleep. Nor are they destroyed by the neglect of the learned to abide by them, -seeing that ordinances regarding optional ceremonies,\* have not been destroyed. As the non-performance of optional ceremonies by those who know that "optional ceremonies are improper," does not destroy them, for they are performed by others who long for enjoyment, so the neglect of all ceremonies by those knowers of Brahma who are resigned in Brahma, does not [necessarily] do away with all ordinances relating to them, for the ignorant in Brahma continue to submit thereto. It cannot be said. that because those who, renouncing the duties of householders, adopt asceticism, still continue to eat and drink, the knowers of Brahma should not give up their oblations to fire, &c. for in an enquiry as to duty, the instances of particular individuals do not hold good. Magic for a malevolent purpose - forbidden, yet should any practise it, that will be no precedent for him who injures not his enemies, to practise the same. Disjunctive knowledge as the cause of ceremonies being destroyed, there remains no incentive to the offering of oblations to fire and the like, while the hermit hunger is a sufficient incentive for food. If you say, the dread of evil from the non performance of ceremonies is a sufficient incentive; such I not the case: for those who have the disjunctive knowledge are [alone] subject to that evil. I have already said, those whose disjunctive knowledge mot overcome by true or identifying

<sup>\*</sup> Ceremonies are divided into four classes: lat, those which householders are bound to perform every day, Diarnal, [Nitya.]. 2nd, Occasional, such as on the birth of a child, &c. [Nainaitika]. 3rd, Optional, or such as are performed for the attanument of some specific object (Kámya.) 4th, Expistory, [Práyaschitta.]

knowledge, are the appropriate subjects for the performance of ceremonies. The neglect of duty brings evil on him who is bound to its performance, not on him who is not required to perform the same; such as the omission by a householder of the duties of a Brahmachárin.

Can it not be said that in whatever station of life a man obtains a knowledge of the unity [of all objects], therein he becomes a hermit? No; because [in those stations of life] he retains his disjunctive knowledge of self and matters relating unto him,\* and ceremonies are the special requisites of those stations. "Now [after having acquired a wife] I shall perform ceremonies," says the S'ruti, and hence [it is evident that] I who, renouncing all selfish ideas, has adopted asceticism, is a hermit, and not the householder and the rest.

If be said that the knowledge resulting from the Unitarian maxims having overcome the disjunctive knowledge which results from ceremonial ordinances, there is no necessity for a hermit to abide by religious restraints and observances. We reply, that for those who are apt to forego their Unitarian knowledge, from the effects of hunger and the like, they are appropriate; for they prevent such aberration. Nor would that authorise the performance, on their part, of forbidden actions, for that is debarred even previous to the attainment of that knowledge; he who falls in a well or a thorny bush at night does not go thereto during sunshine. From all these it a established that the ascetic alone who has abjured ceremonial rights, is devoted to Brahma. What has been said [by my antagonists] about men of all the four stations of life who have not attained the true knowledge, migrating to higher regions, is true; but their remark "that by penance [tapas] asceticism is implied," is incorrect: Because the ascetic alone is likely to be devoted to Brahma, and we have already established that he is not included among the other orders. In regard to the conscient of the Unitarian knowledge penance ceaseth along with sacrificial rights, penance being enjoined to him only whose disjunctive knowledge is not overcome. Thereby we have [further] replied the opinion which maintains that reliance on Brahma, at intervals of the performance of ceremonies, admissible, and that none are debarred therefrom; likewise to the opinion regard-

<sup>\*</sup> Such as the propriety of putting on the Brahminical thread and the like. Knanoagian'.

ing the usclessness of knowledge, by shewing that the ascetic unconnected with ceremony is [slone] possessed of [the true] knowledge.

The remark about Brahmastnetha [devoted to Brahma] not being a crude word like java or varáha, [wheat or hog.] and a simple equivalent of Parivrat, has been responded to, by shewing that the Brahmasanstha alone entitled to be devoted to Brahma, and none else. What has been said about crude words not admiting of a derivative meaning, is not correct, seeing that grikastha, taksha, parivrájaka, and others do admit of such meaning. Grikastha or iii who lives in a house, takeka who chisels wood, pariorat, he who is homeless, or passes his time in rambling about from place to place, are all derivative terms, and yet we see the first and the last, without foregoing their derivative signification, are used in the crude form in regard to the two different classes of the householders and the ascetics, and the middle. in regard to the caste of carpenters, and cannot be applied in every case where the derivative attributes may be indicated; that being opposed to general usage. Now with reference to the word Brahmasanstha, it is applicable only to that ascetic who has relinquished all ceremonial observances and their attributes, who has exceeded the [first three] orders of life, and who is styled a Paramahansa; for to him is assigned the recompense of super-eminent immortality, we hear [in the text]. He alone I the true ascetic [parivrát] of the Vedas, and not he who wears the Brahmanical thread, or carries the pilgrim's staff, or the beggar's platter. - He has cast off his crownlock; he without emblem, without compassion:" says a S'ruti: "To them who have surpassed all orders of life, he explained the pre-eminent and immaculate truth:" says the Svetaswatera Upanishad. "He neither praiseth nor saluteth:" maintain the Smritis- "Therefore do the Yatis, who have attained true knowledge, perform no ceremonies:" "Therefore is he the knower of true religion; he without emblem, and without any manifest characteristic;" also say the Smritis.

What the followers of the Sankhya maintain to be exemption from ecremonies, is false, for they believe in the truth of the impression which shews a difference between ceremonies, their performers and their recompenses; and the exemption from agency in ceremonial works which would follow from the Buddhist doctrine of nothingness, is likewise false, for the maintainer of the doctrine proves his own reality. Independence from

- 8. Prajápati reflected on mankind; from it, the reflected, issued forth the threefold knowledge; he reflected on it; from it, the reflected, proceeded the [three] letters, Bhu, Bhuva and Sya!
- 4. On them, he reflected; from them, the reflected, issued forth Om. As leaves are attached to their stalks, so is speech\* connected with Om. Verily all this is Om! Verily all this is Om!

#### SECTION XXIV.

1. The knowers of the Veda declare the morning ceremony belong to the Vashus, that of the mid-day to the Ru-

ceremony which the ignorant from indolence maintain, is also worthless, for in him the idea of agency is not overcome by proof. From these arguments it is evident that asceticism, which results from a forsaking of all ceremonial observances, and from a devotion to Brahms, is true only of him whose Unitarian knowledge is established by the proofs of the Vedánta. Thereby if a householder were to obtain that knowledge, asceticism would be true of him. May be not by thus gaining asceticism, be guilty of neglecting the household fire? "He is the destroyer of the champions of the gods who bloweth out the household fire:" says the Sruti.

No. Such neglect following from Unitarian knowledge the result is the same as in the case of accidental blowing out [from an innundation, falling in of a house or the like]: ["For him who knoweth the truth] the virtue [lit. fieriness] of Agui passeth away;" says the S'ruti [seq. chap. vi. sect. 4, verse 1,] and hence the householder becomes not liable to any sin from such asceticism.

- 3. Prajápati, may mean Virát [son of Brahmá] or Kásyapa [son of Marichi].
- 1. What known as the morning ceremony is subject to the Vashus, and this region [the earth], which is connected with that ceremony, is likewise subject to them. To the Radras, the lords

dras, and that of the afternoon to the Suns and the Viswedevas.

- 2. Where then is the region for the institutor of sacrifice? How can he, who knoweth not that [the reply to this query] perform [ceremonies]? Now he, who knoweth, should perform [the same].
- 8. Before the reading of the matin chant [prátar anuvák], he [the institutor of sacrifice], sitting down behind the household fire [gárhyapatya agņi], with his face to the north, singeth the Sáma hymn relating to the Vashus.
- 4. "Unfold the gates of this earth, that we may behold thee for our supremacy."
- 5.—6. Then doth he offer the oblation to the fire, [saying]; "Salutations be to Agni, the receptacle of the earth, and the support of regions. [Oh ye] secure a region for me, who am an institutor of sacrifice! This is the region of the institutor of sacrifice. I, institutor of sacrifice, will secure it after death. May this oblation prove acceptable!
- "Cast aside the bars." And then he riseth. For him do the Vashus fulfil the morning ceremony.
- 7. Before the commencement of the mid-day ceremony, sitting behind Agaidhriya fire, and facing the north, he singeth the Sama in praise of the Rudras, [saying];
- 8. "Unfold the gates of [yonder] region, that we may behold thee for our full supremacy."
- 9.—10. Then doth he offer the oblation, saying: "I salute the Winds, who abideth in the sky and are the supports of re-

of the mid-day ceremony, is the middle region or sky subject, and to the Suns\* and the Viswedevas, lords of the afternoon ceremony, belongs the third region or heaven.

2. The last clause is eulogistic of the Sama, and does not exclude the ignorant from the performance of ceremonies.

<sup>\*</sup> The vedes enumerate III suns.

- gions. [Oh ye] secure a region for me who am an institutor of sacrifice! This verily is the region of the institutor of sacrifice. I, institutor of sacrifice, will secure it after death. May this oblation prove acceptable! Cast aside the bars." And then he riseth. For him do the Rudgas fulfil the midday ceremony.
- 11. Before the commencement of the afternoon ceremony, sitting behind the Ahavaniya fire, with his face to the north, he singeth the Sama in praise of the Suns and the Viswadevas, [saying]:
- 12.—13. Unfold the gates of [yonder] region that we may behold thee for our heavenly supremacy!" Thus much for the Suns; and then to the Viswedevas: "Unfold the gates of yonder region, that we may behold thee for our absolute supremacy!"
- 14. Then doth he offer the oblation, saying: "I salute the Suns and the Viswedevas, the dwellers of heaven and the supporters of regions. Secure that region for me, who am an institutor of sacrifice.
- 15. "That is verily the region for the institutor of sacrifice. I, institutor of sacrifice, shall come thereto after death. May this oblation prove acceptable! Cast saide the bars." Saying this he riseth.
- 16. For him do the Suns and Viswedevas fulfil the afternoon ceremony. He understands the real purport of ceremonies, who knoweth this—verily, he who knoweth this, [understands the real purport of ceremonies].

### THIRD CHAPTER,

## SECTION I.

1. Hari, Om! Verily the sun is the honey of the gods. The heaven is the arched bamboo, [whence hangeth pendant]

the atmosphere [like a] hive: the vapours [floating therein] are the eggs.

- 2. Of the sun the eastern beams are the eastern honeycells; the Rig hymns are the manufacturers of honey; [the ceremonies enjoined by] the Rig Veda form the flowers, and the fluids [used in their performance] are nectars. Verily those Rig hymns
- Reflected on the ceremonies of the Rig Veds. From them, the reflected, proceeded forth fame, splendour, sensations, power, aliment and such like essences.
- 4. They flowed and rested around the sun. Verily, thence proceedeth the reduces of the sun.

#### SECTION II.

- 1. Now, its southern beams are verily the southern honeycells; [therein] the Yajur hymns are the honey-makers; [the ceremonies enjoined by] the Yajur Veda form the flowers; and the fluids [used in their performance] are nectars.
- Verily those Yajur hymns reflected on the [ceremonies enjoined by the] Yajur Veda. From them, the reflected, proceeded forth fame, splendour, sensations, power, aliment and such like essences.
- 3. They flowed and rested around the sun. Thence, verily, proceedeth the whiteness of the sun.

## SECTION III.

- 1. Next, its western beams are verily the western honeycells; [therein] the Sama hymns are the honey-makers; [the ceremonies enjoined by] the Sama Veda form the flowers, and the fluids [used in their performance] are nectars.
- 2. Verily those Sama hymns reflected on the [ceremonies enjoined by the] Sama Veda. From them, the reflected, issued forth fame, splendour, sensations, power, aliment and such like essences.

They flowed and rested around the sun. Thence, verily, proceedeth the dark coloured rays of the sun.

#### SECTION IV.

- 1. Now, the northern rays are verily the northern honeycells; [therein] the Atharva Angirasa hymns are the honeymakers; [the ceremonies enjoined by] the Itihasa and the Purana\* form the flowers, and the fluids [used in their performance] are nectars.
- 2. They, the Atharva Angirasa, reflected on the Itihasa and the Purana. From them, the reflected, proceeded forth fame, splendour, sensations, power, aliment and such like essences.
- 8. They flowed and rested around the sun. Thence verily proceedeth the very dark rays of the sun.

### SECTION V.

- 1. Next, the upward rays are verily the upper honey-cells; there the secret ordinances are the honey-makers; Brahma† is the flower, and its fluids are nectars.
- 2. They, the secret ordinances, reflected on Brahma. From it, the reflected, issued forth fame, splendour, sensations, power, aliment and such like essences.
- 8. They flowed and rested around the sun. Verily thence proceedeth the delusive opalescence in the centre of the sun.;
- 4. They, the different rays of the sun, are the essences of essences; the Vedas are the essences, and thereof are they
- \* This would indicate the existence of some Itiháan and Purána, long anterior to the time when the extent compositions of those names were first compiled. We are, however, notwithstanding our veneration for those illustrious authors, as yet great sceptics to the dicta of Wilson and Burmouf who assign only 800 years to the oldest Purána, making the rest vary from three to five centuries.
  - † By Brahma Prapava or Om la meant ; eaya Sankara.
  - ‡ We are doubtful if = delusive opulescence" be a right rendering of

the essences;—they are the nectars of nectars: the Vedas are nectars, and thereof are they the nectars.

### SECTION VL.

- 1. Thereof the first named nectar is enjoyed by the Vashus with Agni at their head. Verily the Devas neither eat nor drink [of the same], they [only] gratify themselves by its sight.
- 2. They are quieted by the sight of those rays,\* they are excited thereby.\*
- 8. He, who thus knoweth the nectar, becoming one of the Vashus, and reflecting on the nectar with Agni before him, enjoyeth content. He is quieted by those rays; he is excited thereby.
- 4. He obtained the entire dominion of the Vashus which extends from the rising of the sun [in the east] to its setting [in the west].

## SECTION VII.

- 1. Now, the second mentioned nectar is enjoyed by the Rudras, with Indra at their head. Verily the Devas neither eat nor drink [of the same], they [only] gratify themselves by its sight.
- 2. They are soothed by that appearance [of the sun], and by it are they excited.
- 3. He, who knoweth the nectar thus, becoming one of the Rudras and reflecting on the same with Indra before him, enjoyeth content. That appearance, doth pacify him, and thereby is he excited.
- 4. He obtained the dominion of the Rudras, which extends from the rising of the sun in the south to its setting in the north,—a period double that within which it riseth in the east and setteth in the west.

<sup>\*</sup> When the season of enjoyment is passed.

<sup>†</sup> When the season of enjoyment returneth.

### SECTION VIII.

- 1. Now, the third nectar is enjoyed by the Adityas, with Varuna their head. Verily the Devas neither eat nor drink [of the same], they [only] gratify themselves by its sight.
- They are soothed by that appearance of the sun, and by
   are they excited.
- 3. He, who knoweth the nectar thus, becoming one of the Kdityas, with Varuna before him, enjoyeth content. That appearance of the sun doth sooth him, and thereby is he excited.
- 4. He obtained the entire dominion of the Adityas, which extends from the rising of the sun behind to its setting before,—a period double that within which it riseth in the south and sets in the north.

#### SECTION IX.

- 1. Now, the fourth nectar is enjoyed by the Maruts with Soma at their head. Verily, the Devas neither eat nor drink [of the same], they [only] gratify themselves by its sight.
- 2. They are verily soothed by that appearance of the sun, and by it are they excited.
- 3. He, who knoweth the nectar thus, becoming one of the Maruts, and reflecting on that nectar with Some before him, enjoyeth content. He is soothed by that appearance of the sun, and by it is he excited.
- 4. He obtained the entire dominion of the Maruts, which extends from the rising of the sun in the north to its setting in the south,—a period double that within which it riseth behind and setteth before.

### SECTION X.

 Now, the fifth nectar is enjoyed by the Sádhyas with Brahma [Om] at their head. Verily the Devas neither eat nor drink [of the same], they [only] gratify themselves by its sight.

- They are verily soothed by that appearance of the sun, and by it are they excited.
- 3. He, who knoweth the nectar thus, becoming one of the Sádhyas and reflecting on that nectar with Brahma before him, enjoyeth content. Verily he is soothed by that appearance [of the sun], and by it is he excited.
- 4. He obtained the entire dominion of the Sadhyas which extend from the rising of the sun above to its setting below,—a period double that in which he riseth in the north and setteth in the south.

### SECTION XI.

- 1. Next, beyond that, appearing above, He neither riseth nor setteth, but remaineth alone in the centre. Thereof is the verse:
- 2. "No; of a truth there is neither rising nor setting. [Bear ye witness] O Gods, that I may say nothing contradictory of that truthful Brahma!"

<sup>4. \* \* \*.</sup> The duration of the sun in the different spheres (as described here) apparently opposed to the doctrine of the Puranas. The followers of those records maintain that the periods of the sun's rising and setting in the four different spheres of Iudra, Yama, Varuna and Soma are equal, the extent of the orbit of the sun above the Manasottara mountain and around the mount Meru being equal. The discrepancy however has been explained by sages. Each of the different spheres (as set forth) is destroyed at a period double that of its preceding one. The rising of the son means the time during which it remains visible the residents of any one of those spheres, and the setting is when it is invisible, there being actually no rising or setting of that luminary. In the absence of inhabitants in those spheres there will be no sunrise or sunset there, though the sun may become visible therefrom.

10

- 3. For him there is neither rising nor setting of the sunfor him there is one eternal day,—who possesseth this knowledge of Brahma.
- 4. Verily that knowledge was explained by Brahmá to Prajápati, and by Prajápati to Manu, and by Manu to his descendants. This knowledge of Brahma was explained to one of the descendants Uddálaka Árupa, an eldest son, by his father.
- 5. This knowledge of Brahma should verily be explained by a father to his eldest son or to a worthy disciple;
- 6. But to none else. Were one to give this sea-girt sphere with all its treasures to the instructor, [in exchange of this knowledge] the latter would still be greater—greater by far [in value than the sphere.]

### SECTION XII.

- 1. Verily all this creation is Gáyatrí. Speech is Gáyatrí; by speech is all this creation recited and preserved.
- 2. That Gáyatrí is verily this earth. And on this earth are all creatures sustained; that they exceed not.
- 8. That which is the earth is likewise the body of the animated creation. In that body are the animal functions sustained; that they exceed not.
- 4. That which is the body is likewise the heart which is within it. In it are the animal functions sustained; that they exceed not.
- 5. That Gáyatrí is verily composed of four feet, and possesseth six characteristics. Regarding it has this verse been recited:
- 6. "They [the creations] constitute the glories of the Gáyatrí; to which is the soul [Purusha\*] superior. He has the creation for his first foot, and his own immortal selft constitutes the other three."
  - \* That which pervades all पुरुषात् or abides in the heart-प्रीज्यमात् ।
- + The word M dies, (lit. glarious.) which is modern dictionaries M explained as heaven. S'afikara explains M as above.

- 7. That Brahma, [i. e. the being indicated in the Gáyatrí] werily the space which\* surroundeth mankind. That which surroundeth mankind is of a truth the space which existeth within mankind.
- 8. That which existeth within mankind is of a truth the space which existed within the heart. It momnipresent and eternal. He who knoweth this attains eternal and all-sufficient treasures.

### SECTION XIII.

- 1. For that [space which is] within the heart there are five gates to heaven. Thereof the eastern gate is breath [prana]; which is vision and that is Aditys [the sun]. That [Prana] is to be adored as the consumer of aliment and all glorious. Glorious and an [able] consumer of aliment doth he become who knoweth it thus.
- 2. Next, the southern aperture is Vyáns, which is audition, and that is the Moon. Believing it to be prosperity and fame let it be worshipped. He who knoweth it so, attaineth celebrity and prosperity.
- 8. Next, the western aperture is Apána, it is speech, which is fire (Agņi). Believing it to be Vedic glory and aliment let
  be worshipped. He who knoweth it so, attaineth plenty and Vedic glory.
- 4. Next, that which is the northern aperture, is Samana, which is the mind, which is cloud. Believing it to be reputation and beauty, let it be worshipped. He who knowsth it so, attaineth reputation and beauty.
- Next, that which is the upper aperture, is Udána; whichthe wind, which is the aky. Believing it to be strength and

<sup>\*</sup> The word in the original is disservation. In common acceptation it means the sky, but the interpretation of S'enkara, gives an idea as if it meant space. The difficulty of rendering the term appositely gives a purils air to the text.

glory let it be worshipped. He who knoweth so, becomes glorious and mighty.

- 6. These five venerable\* beings are the door-keepers of heaven. Heroes are born in his family who knoweth these five venerable beings to be the door-keepers of heaven; he obtained heaven [for his reward] who knows these five venerable beings to be the door-keepers of heaven.
- 7. That which shines glorious above youder heaven, above this world and above all others large or small, is the same as that which shines within mankind. It is tangible [to all].
  - 8. For its warmth is felt in this body by touch.

It is audible, for when the ears are closed, it is heard like the roar of a flaming fire, or that of a rolling car, or a bellowing ox. That tangible and audible glory is to be adored. He who knoweth—verily he who knoweth—this, becomes renowned and of handsome appearance.

### SECTION XIV.

- 1. All this verily is Brahma, for therefrom doth it proceed, therein doth it merge, and thereby is it maintained. With a quiet and controuled mind should it be adored. Man is a creature of reflection, whatever he reflects upon in this life, he becomes the same hereafter; therefore should he reflect [upon Brahma.]
- 2. [Saying] "that which is nothing but mind, whose body is its life, whose figure is a mere glory, whose will is truth, whose soul is like space [ákása,] which performeth all things and willeth all things, to which belong all sweet odours and all grateful juices, which envelopes the whole of this [world], which neither speaketh nor respects any body,

<sup>·</sup> Lit, Relating . Beahma.

<sup>+</sup> Lit. affer occular proof. The commentator explains that the word may be used with reference to touch as an organ of perception.

- 3. "Is the soul within me; it is lighter than a corn, or a barley, or a mustard, or a canary seed, or the substance within it. Such a soul is within me, as is greater than this earth, and greater than the sky, and greater than the heaven, and greater than all these regions [put together.]
- 4. "That which performeth all things, and willeth all things, to which belong all aweet odours and all grateful juices, which envelopes the whole of this [world], which neither speaketh nor respecteth any body, is the soul within me; it is Brahma; I shall obtain it after my transition from this world." He who believeth this, and hath no hesitation, will verily obtain the fruit of his reflection; so said S'ándilya.—[the sage] S'ándilya.

### SECTION XV.

- 1. Of that sheathe [the Soul] the sky is the ventricle and the earth is the root; it never decayeth; the quarters of the universe are its corners, and the heaven is its upper aperture; it is the receptacle of wealth, and upon it is the universe supported.
- 2. Its eastern quarter is called Juhú, its southern quarter Sahamáná, its western quarter Rájñí, and its northern quarter Subbutá; the winds of those quarters are their offspring. He who [wishing for long life for his children] knows the winds to be the offspring of the quarters, hath never to weep for his children. "I know the winds to be the offspring of the quarters, therefore have I had never to weep for my children.

<sup>2.</sup> The performers of sacrifice offer their oblations [juhoti] facing the east, therefore is that quarter called Juhu; the vicious suffer [sahanté] the fruit of their actions in the abode of Yama in the south, therefore that quarter called Sahamána; the west is called Rájñí, because it is the empire of king, [Rájá] Varuna, or because, at twilight it becomes red [rága]. Wealthy beings [bhutimat], such as Ishara, Kuvera, &c., reside in the north, hence it is called Subhutá.

- 3. "Together with such and such and such, I take asylum of that undying sheathe; with such and such and such I seek shelter of life [Prana]; with such and such and such I seek shelter of this earth [Bhuh]; with such and such and such I seek shelter of the sky [Bhuvah]; with such and such and such I seek shelter of heaven [Sva].
- 4. "By [the words] 'I seek shelter of Prana' [life], I have said that I take asylum of the universal existence.
- 5. "By what I have said by [the words] 'I seek shelter of Bhuh,' I mean that I take shelter of the earth, I take shelter of the sky, I take shelter of heaven.
- 6. "By what I have said by [the words] 'I take shelter of Bhuvah,' I mean I seek shelter of Agņi [fire], I seek shelter of Váyu [wind], I seek shelter of Aditya [the sun.]
- 7. "By what I have said by [the words] 'I take shelter of Sva,' I mean—I mean, I take shelter of the Rig Veda, I take shelter of the Yajur Veda, I take shelter of the Sáma Veda."

## SECTION XVI.

- 1. Verily man is Yajna [sacrifice]. The [first] twenty-four years of his life constitute the morning ritual [Prátah-savana]. The Gáyatri includes 24 letters, and it is the Gáyatri through which the morning ritual is performed. The Vasus are the presiding deities of dawn, and in man the vital airs verily represent the Vasus, for they preserve [vásayanti] all.
- 2. At this age should any disease afflict him, he should say, "O vital Vasus, this is the season of my morning ritual, connect it with the mid-day sacrifice, that I, who am sacrifice [itself], may not be lost to the vital Vasus." Thus he escapes from disease, and verily becomes exempt from affliction.
- 8. [The] next, [period] to the forty-fourth year of his life constitutes the mid-day ritual. The Tristupa includes forty-four letters, and it is through the Tristupa that the mid-day

sacrifice is performed. The Rudras are its presiding deities. In man the vital airs are the Rudras, for they cause weeping,\*
[Rodayanti.]

- 4. At this age should any disease afflict him, he should say, "O vital Rudras, this is the season of my mid-day ritual, connect it with the afternoon sacrifices, that I, who am sacrifice [itself], may not be lost to the vital Rudras." Thus he escapes from disease and becomes exempt from affliction.
- 5. [The] next [period] to the eighty-fourth year of his life constitutes the afternoon ritual. The Jagati [metre] includes eighty-four letters, and it II through the Jagati that the afternoon ceremony is performed. The Adityas are its presiding deities. In man the vital airs are the Adityas, for they receive [adadati] all things.
- 6. At this age should he be afflicted by any disease, he should say, "O vital Adityas, this the season of my afternoon ritual, connect it with the full term of my life, that I, who am sacrifice [itself,] may not be lost to the vital Adityas." Thus he escapes from disease and becomes exempt from affliction.
- 7. Verily knowing this Mahidása, son of Itará, said, "O! why dost thou afflict me, for I shall not be destroyed by thee." He lived for one hundred and sixteen years. Verily is will live for one hundred and sixteen years who knoweth this.

### SECTION XVII.

- 1. His [of the individual typical of sacrifice] hunger, thirst, and want of pleasure constitute the pain which attends the performance of ceremonics.
- 2. Whatever he cateth, whatever he drinketh, and whatever he enjoyeth, become unto him [like the reward which is available on the day of the] Upashad.†

<sup>\*</sup> Human animosity being one of the chief causes of weeping.—S'ankara.

<sup>†</sup> The day when the performers of a sacrifice are entitled to a drink of milk.

- 8. Whatever he laugheth, whatever he eateth, and whatever he enjoyeth, become to him like unto [the] praises [of the Rig and the Yajur Veda.]
- 4. His penance, charity, sincerity, unenviousness and truthfulness constitute his reward [Dakshipá.]
- 5. Therefore is it said, [both at the birth of a child and at the expression of the juice of the moon plant, in reply to the query] "Has she given birth?" "Yes, she has." His [of the being typical of ceremony] avablaritha [death] is the termination, so is the termination of the sacrifice called avablaritha.
- 6. Ghors, son of Angirá, having explained this [subject] to Krishna, son of Devakí, said; "He [who knoweth this] should, at the time of his death, repeat these three [Yajur Vedic mantras]: "O! thou\* art undecaying! Thou art unchanging! Thou art the true essence of life!" Hearing this he lost all desire for other knowledge. About it there are these two Rig Vedic stansas:
- 7. "Sages, behold the glory of the first cause [as enveloping all like the day, and shedding radiance from the heaven above."†] "Having beheld that exquisite light, high above all darkness, and having beheld it also in our own hearts, we attain to that god of gods and noblest of all lights the sun—the noblest of all lights."

## SECTION XVIII.

- 1. The mind should be adored as Brahma; this is intellectual [worship]. Next as relating to gods; the sky should be adored as Brahma. These are the two—intellectual and theological—forms of worship that have been ordained [by sages.]
- 2. That [Brahma] hath four feet. Speech is one of its feet, life is one of its feet, vision is one of its feet, and audition is one of its feet. Thus much for the intellectual; next the theologi-

<sup>\*</sup> Addressing his soul m identified with the sun.

<sup>†</sup> The words within the brackets are not quoted in the Sanskrita text.

cal: fire [Agni] is one of its feet, wind [Váyu] is one of its feet, sun [Aditya] is one of its feet, the quarters [Disah] are one of its feet. Thus the two—intellectual and theological—forms of worship have been ordained.

- 3. Speech is verily one of the four feet of Brahma. It radiates light and heat through the effulgence of Agni. Heat and light radiate from his works, from his fame and from his Vedic glory, who knoweth it thus.
- 4. Breath is verily one of the four feet of Brahma. It radiates light and heat through the effulgence of Váyu. Heat and light radiate from his works, from his fame and from his Vedic glory, who knoweth it thus.
- 5. Vision is verily one of the four feet of Brahma. It radiates light and heat through the effulgence of Aditya. Heat and light radiate from his works, from his fame and from his Vedic glory, who knoweth it thus.
- 6. Audition is verily one of the four feet of Brahma. It radiates heat and light through the effulgence of the quarters of the earth (Dig.) Heat and light radiate from his works, from his fame and from his Vedic glory, who knoweth it thus.
- 3. [After explaining the meaning as above given Sankara adds] the consumption of inflamable articles, such as oil, or ghee, gives life and vigour the organs of speech, hence it is said through Agui speech receives its light and heat.

As a cow or a like quadruped moves on to where wisheth on its four feet, so doth mind [here represented as Brahma] attain its objects through the aid of speech, breath, vision and audition, hence the simile. Further, the feet of a quadruped are placed under its body, so are fire (Agui,) air, (Váyu,) the sun [Surya] and the quarters placed under the sky, and thence the comparison.

#### SECTION XIX.

- 1. The sun is described as Brahma;—its description. Verily at first all this was non-existent; that non-existence became existent; it developed,—it became an egg: it remained [quiet] for a period of one year; it burst into two; thence were formed two halves of gold and silver.
- 2. Thereof the argentine half is the earth, and the golden half the heaven. The inner thick membrane (of the egg] became mountains, and the thin one cloudy fog; the blood-vessels became rivers, and the fluid became the ocean; and, lastly, what was born therefrom is the sun, Aditya.
- 8. On its birth arose loud shouts [or shouts of uln-ulu] as well as all living beings and their desires. Hence on the rising and re-rising [day after day] of the sun arise shouts of uln-ulu as well as all living beings and their desires.
- 4. He [attaineth the glory of the sun] who, knowing this, adores the sun as Brahma, and grateful shouts soon arise in his behalf and contribute to his gratification,—verily they contribute to his gratification.
- 1. Having described the sun as a foot of Brahma, the S'ruti now proceeds to describe it as Brahma, with the words: " the sun is described as Brahma." "Its description," is to be narrated for its sulogium. "Non-existent," i. e. this earth before its developement was of unmanifest name and form, and not actually non-existent, for [on the authority of the S'ruti,] "How can existence proceed from non-existence?" such deduction would be inadmissible. But it being distinctly laid down here that it was "non existent," may it not be optional [with us to explain it one way or the other?] No. Option applies to actions and not to facts. How [can you] then here [use the word] non-existent? As I have already explained, being of unmanifest name and form, it wery like non-existent, though not

<sup>\*</sup> THE cost, warred, untrue, not being, from set, true, being, with the negative profix a .

<sup>+</sup> Lit. " Utpatti," birth, creation.

### FOURTH CHAPTER.

#### SECTION I.

- 1. Om! Of a truth there lived Jánas'ruti grandson of the son of Janas'ruti, the charitably disposed, the giver of large gifts, and the preparer of much food. He built houses every where that [people] from all sides might come and feast [therein].
- 2. [Over his house] at night passed some geese,\* the hindermost of which addressing the foremost, said: "Lo! Lo! Bhalláksha, Bhalláksha, [short-sighted] the glory of Jánas'ruti grandson of the son of Janas'ruti, is as wide-spreading as the heaven. Have nothing to do with him, so that his glory may not destroy thee."
- 8. To it said the other: "Who is he whom you compare with Rakvya of the car?" "And who is this Rakvya of the car," enquired the first.

actually so. The expression T (verily "applies to the existence")
(i. e. to the verb) and does not verify the non-existence.

How [then this misuse of the term? It is no misuse.] We see the word sat I freely used to indicate the manifestation of the name and form of an object, and that manifestation being generally dependant upon the sun, in the absence of which the earth I enveloped in deep darkness and not visible, the expression is appropriate.

Note to Verse 5 Section XII. Chap. III. Speech, being, earth, body, heart and life, are the six characteristics of Gáyatri. Or because each of its feet has six latters.

\* Hafisa. This word is common acceptation means a goose, but the vehicle of Brahmá, likewise called a Hafisa, is generally represented of the form of a famingo. According to the Furgues the Mánasarovara lake is a favourite resort in Hafisas which in modern Tibetan or rather in the language in the people in the Hun déen is the specific name of the flamingo. We may add that while goose are very scarce, flamingoes are seen in large flocks, in the vicinity in Mánasarovara lake.

- 4. [The latter replied] "I allude to such a being to whom the fruits of all the good deeds of mankind become subservient in the same way in which all the minor numbers become due to him who bath secured the Krita or the highest cast of the dice, and those who know what he knows likewise secure [the same reward]."
- 5. Jánas'ruti great-grandson of Janas'ruti listened to this. [In the morning] rising [from his bed while being eulogized by his bards] he thus addressed his herald: "O child, do you address me as if I were the Rakvya of the car? [I am not deserving of such praise.] O child, do you of a truth go and speak unto Rakvya of the car [that I long to see him]." [Of him enquired the bard:] "and who is this Rakvya of the car?"
- 6. [The latter replied] "I allude to such a being to whom the fruits of all the good deeds of mankind become subservient in the same way in which all the minor numbers become due to him who hath secured the Krita or the highest cast of the dice, and those who know what he knows likewise secure [the same reward]."
- 7. The bard searched for him, and, returning, said, "I have found bim not." Unto him, said [Jánas'ruti], "Do ye go and look for him where the knowers of Brahma are to be met with."
- 8. He proceeded, and, by one sitting beside a car and scratching his itches, sat down and said, "Art thou, O lord, Rakvya of the car?" "Yes, I am," said the other. "Now I know," [thought the bard] and retired.

### SECTION II.

- 1. Upon this Jánas'ruti the great-grandson of Janas'ruti, taking with him six hundred head of cattle, a necklace and a
  - . In lonely places, near rivers and pools, in mountains and groves. BARKARA.

pair of mules, yoked to a chariot, repaired to him, and thus addressed, "O Rakvya, here [is a present] of six hundred head of cattle, a necklace, and a pair of mules yoked to a chariot [accept them, and deign], O Lord, to impart to me instruction on that deity whom thou adorest."

- 2. Unto him said the other, "Let these head of cattle and necklace and chariot remain with thee, O Sudra." Hereupon, Jánas'ruti, great-grandson of Janas'ruti, taking a thousand head of cattle, a necklace, a pair of mules yoked to a chariot and his daughter, again returned to him,
- 3. And said, "O Rakvya, this [herd of a] thousand cows, this necklace, this pair of mules yoked to a chariot, this damsel and this village in which thou dwellest [I beg to offer to thee: accept them and deign] to impart to me instruction."
- 4. Knowing her, [the damsel,] to be the cause of his imparting instruction, he [Rakvya] said; "Hast thou brought her [for me], O Sudra? She will be the cause of my conversing with thee." Hence are these villages in this country of great virtue [mahábrisha] where he lived, called Rakvyapurya. Unto him explained he, [the nature of the deity he adored].
- 2. \*\* He [Jánas'ruti] was a king, having a herald, as we learn [from the passage]: "He addressed to a herald," also from the fact of his repairing to a Bráhmana for instruction, which would be inconsistent in a Sudra; how then did such an inapplicable expression as "O Sudra" proceed from Rakvya? Sages have explained it thus. From the speech of the geese he was assailed by grief [súka] hence Sudra; or because hearing [srutvá] of Rakvya's praise he ran [dravati] and therefore Sudra; and the sage in order to show his omniscience used the expression "O Sudra;" or it might be, because instead of trying to obtain knowledge by serving his tutor, he, like a Sudra, proceeded to gain instruction by paying for the same, and therefore is called a Sudra, although he was not of that caste. Others say, that annoyed with his small offer the sage rebuked him with the term Sudra.

### SECTION III.

- 1. The air [Váyu] is verily the ultimatum [sambarga] of all. When a fire goes out, of a truth it merges into air [váyu.] When the sun doth disappear it verily mergeth into air, so doth the moon when it setteth.
- 2. When waters dry they vanish in air; the air verily consumeth all. Thus much relating to celestial objects.
- 3. Next as relating to self. Life is verily the ultimatum of all. When man sleepeth, speech mergeth into life, so doth vision merge into life, audition merge into life, and mind merge into life.—Life verily consumeth all.
- 4. These are verily the two ultimata [sambarga;] Váyu among the celestial objects and life among the animal functions.
- 5. [Once] while S'aunaka of the race of Kapi, and Abhipratárin son of Kaksha-sena, were being served at their meals, a Brahmachárin sought alms of them. Unto him they gave nothing.\*
- 6. He said, "He [the Prajapati] who swalloweth the venerable four,† and is the protector of the earth, O descendant of Kapi, is not seen by mortals. O Abhipratariu, he exists in various forms. For him is food prepared, and him have you denied."‡
- 7. Saunaka descendant of Kapi reflected within himself and returned [for answer]: "Life is the author of the gods and of the creation. His teeth are immutable; he is a great consumer; he is not unintelligent; his greatness is said to be extreme; none can consume him, and he consumeth all food. We, O Brahmachárin, adore such a Brahma." [Then turning to his servant, said] "Give him some alms."
- 8. [The servant] gave him [some]. These five and five [the two ultimata] make ten and they are a krita, [complete number.]

<sup>\*</sup> In order to see what may follow, adds S'atikars.

<sup>†</sup> Agni [fire], Surya [sun], Chandra [moon], and Apa [water].

<sup>‡</sup> This verse may be translated interrogatively and begin with: " who is who awalloweth," dec.

Therefore the aliment in all the different quarters being ten, is a krita. It [the complete number] is Virát, the consumer of food. Through it, all this becomes visible. Unto him who knoweth—verily unto him who knoweth—this, all this [world] becomes visible, and he becomes a [great] consumer of food.

#### SECTION IV.

- Satyakáma Jábála, enquired of his mother Jabálá; "I long to abide [by a tutor] as a Brahmacháriu; of what gotra am I?"
- 2. She said unto him, "I know not, child, of what gotra you are. During my youth when I got thee I was engaged in attending on many [guests who frequented the house of my husband and had no opportunity of making any enquiry on the subject,] I know not of what gotra you are, Jabálá is my name and Satyakáma thine; say, therefore, of thyself, Satyakáma son of Jabálá [when any body enquireth of thee]."
- 8. He repaired to Haridrumata of the Gautama gotra and said, "I approach your venerable self to abide by your worship as a Brahmachárin."
- 4. Of him enquired he [the tutor;] "Of what gotra are you, my good boy?" He replied; "I know not of what gotra I am. I enquired about it of my mother and she said, 'In my youth when I got thee I was engaged in attending on many, and know not of what gotra you are; Jabálá is my name and Satyakáma thine: 'I am that Satyakáma son of Jabálá.'"
- 5. Unto him said the other, "None but a Bráhman can say so. You have not departed from the truth, and I shall invest you [with the bráhmanical rites.] Do you collect, child, the necessary sacrificial wood?" Having ordained him, he selected four hundred head of lean and weakly cows and said, "Do you, child, attend these." While leading the cows, he [the neophyte] said, "I shall not return until these become a thou-

sand." Thus passed many years, until the cattle had multiplied to a thousand.

### SECTION V.

- 1. A Bull said unto him, "O Satyakama!" He replied, "Sir." the Bull said, "We have now come to a thousand; do you take us back to your tutor.
- 2. "I will relate to you, \* something regarding Brahma." "Relate it to me, Sir, [if you please.]" It said unto him; "the eastern quarter is a portion, the western quarter is a portion, the southern quarter is a portion, the northern quarter is a portion; these are the four portions, of a fourth foot of Brahma. It is called *Prakásavás*. [renowned.]
- 8. "He becomes renowned in this world, who knowing thus adoreth the four membered foot of Brahma as the renowned. He overcomes all renowned regions, who knowing thus adoreth the four membered foot of Brahma as the renowned.

#### SECTION VI.

- 1. Agni will explain to you [the nature of] the next foot of Brahma." On the morrow he [the cowherd) turned towards his tutor with the cattle. When night arrived he lighted a fire, folded the cattle, placed fuel on the fire, and eat behind it facing the east.†
- 2. Unto him said Agni, "O Satyakama!" "Lord:" respond-
- 8. "Child, I will explain to you a foot of Brahma." "Explain it, Sir, if you please." Unto him said the other, "the earth a part, the sky is a part, the heaven is a part, the ocean a part;—these four parts, O child, constitute the foot of Brahma called the endless, [Anantaván.]

<sup>&</sup>quot; Lit, a fourth-qra.

<sup>†</sup> And reflecting on the advice of the bull, adds the Commentator.

4. "He becomes endless\* even in this world, who knowing this adores the four membered foot of Brahma as the endless; he conquers the regions of the immortals, who knowing this adores the four-membered foot of Brahma as the endless.

### SECTION VII.

- 1. "The sun will explain to you the nature of the next foot of Brahma." On the morrow he started again towards the house of his tutor, and when night approached he lighted a fire, folded the cattle, placed fuel on the fire and sat behind it, facing the east.
- 2. The sun approaching him said, "O Satyakáma!" "Lord," responded the other.
- 3. "I will relate to thee a foot of Brahma;"said he. "Relate, O Lord," said the second. [Unto him, said the first,] the fire [Agni] is a part, the sun [Surya] is a part, the moon [Chandra] is a part, the lightning is a part;—these four parts, O child, constitute the foot of Brahma called the radiant.
- 4. "He becomes radiant in this world, who knowing this adores the four membered foot of Brahma as the radiant,—he overcomes the region of the radiant, who knowing this adores the four membered foot of Brahma as the radiant.

## SECTION VIII.

- 1. "The Madgu [wind] will explain to you the nature of the next foot of Brahma." On the morrow he started again towards the house of his tutor, and when night approached, he lighted a fire, folded the cattle, placed fuel on the fire, and set behind it, facing the east.
- 2. The wind approaching him said, "O Satyakama!" "Lord," responded the other.

- 8. "I will explain to thee, O child, a foot of Brahma," said the first. "Relate, I lord," returned he. Unto him said the first: "Life is a part, the eyes are a part, the ears are a part, the mind is a part;—these four parts constitute the foot of Brahma called the Spacious [Ayatanaván].
- 4. "He becomes the receptacle of many, in this world, who, knowing this, adores the four-membered foot of Brahma as the Spacious; he attains to the region of the Spacious who, knowing this, adores the four-membered foot of Brahma as the Spacious."

## SECTION IX.

- 1. He reached the house of his tutor. Unto him said the tutor: "O Satyakama." "Lord," responded he.
- 2. "Child! you speak as if you knew Brahma; who has given thee instruction?" "Other than man," said he, and added: "Instruct me as I wish to hear of it from you.
- 3. "I have heard from sages like unto yourself that knowledge got from tutors is most excellent." Accordingly he related every thing [as narrated above] omitting nothing,—verily omitting nothing.

## SECTION X.

- 1. Of a truth Upakosala, son of Kamala, (Kámaláyana) abided by Satyakáma, son of Jabálá, as a Brahmachárin, and for twelve years carefully attended his household fires. The tutor granted leave to his other pupils to return home, but refused that indulgence to him.
- 2. To the tutor said his wife: "This exhausted Brahmachárin has successfully attended the household fires; let not the fires upbraid thee; explain to him [what he wants.]" He [the tutor], without [condescending to grant the] explanation, went out on his pilgrimage.

- 8. The disciple fell ill and abstained from food. Unto him said the wife of the tutor: "Brahmacharin, take food; why abstain from sustenance?" He replied: "Many and variously disposed are my desires; I am full of diseases and shall take no food."
- 4. Next, verily, the fires said: "This exhausted Brahmacharin has carefully attended us, we will explain unto him [what he wants]," and then continued:
- 5. "Life [prana] is Brahma, Ka is Brahma and Kha is Brahma." He replied: "I know the Prana to be Brahma, but know not Ka nor Kha." They said: "Verily, that which is Ka is Kha, and that which is Kha is Ka." They spoke, of a truth, of life and the sky.

<sup>5. \* \* \* \*</sup> That [happiness] which has been indicated by ka is likewise kha, the sky. As the epithet blueish added to red alters the original signification of the latter term, so ka (happiness) qualified as above becomes distinct from that which proceeds from the attainment of nensual objects by the organs of sense. The sky to which we allude by the term kha is the happiness indicated by the term ka. Thus the kha [sky] qualified by happiness becomes distinct from the elemental inanimate object indicated by kha, on the logic of the aforesaid blueish red. The meaning is "a happiness depending on the sky, and not a worldly one; and a sky which is the receptacle of happiness, but not the element so called." But if you wish to qualify sky by happiness and make the latter the adjective [allowing the clause to stand thus:] "That which is happiness [ka] is the sky" you make the rest of the passage redundant, or if you take the latter clause: "That which in the sky [kha] is happiness," the first becomes unnecessary? Why this argument, when I have already explained that the object is to exclude both terrestrial happiness and the material sky? By qualifying the sky by happiness are not both the primitive objects excluded by virtue of their meaning? True. But by qualifying the sky by happiness only the former-and not also the happiness qualified by the epithet sky-becomes the object of meditation, as the effect of an adjective relating to its substantive ceaseth with qualifying the same. Hence are necessary up qualify the happiness by the sky to indicate that that likewise an object of meditation,

#### SECTION XI.

- 1. Next, Garhapatya (the household fire) instructed him, [saying]: "Earth, fire, aliment and the sun [constitute my body]. Thereof the being who is seen in the sun, is I,—verily I am he.
- 2. "He destroyeth sin who, knowing this, adereth him; he attained the region [of Agni], enjoyeth the full limit of existence, passeth his life in glory, and his race wasteth not. We support him in this and other regions who, knowing this, adoreth him [the household fire."]

#### SETION XII.

- 1. Next, Annaharyyapachana [fire] instructed him, [saying]: "Water, the quarters of the globe, the stars and the moon [constitute my body]. Thereof the being who is seen in the moon # I,—verily I am he.
- 2. "He destroyeth sin, who knowing this adoreth him; he attained the region [of that fire], enjoyeth the full limit of existence, passeth his life in glory, and his race wasteth not. We support him in this and other regions who, knowing this, adoreth him [the Annáháryyapachana fire.]"

## SECTION XIII.

- 1. Next Khavaniya [fire] instructed him [saying]: "The life, the sky, the heaven and lightning [constitute my body]. Thereof the being who is seen in lightning I,—verily I am he.
- 2. "He destroyeth sin who, knowing this, adoreth him; he attained the region [of that Agni], enjoyeth the full limit of existence, passeth his life in glory, and his race wasteth not. We support him in this and other regions who, knowing this, adoreth him [the Ahavaniya fire."]

# Chhándogya Upanishad.

### SECTION XIV.

- 1. They [the different fires together] said: "Child Upakosala, [we have now explained] to you the knowledge relating to ourselves and to the soul. Your tutor will explain-to you the fruit of that knowledge." [At this time] the tutor returned [from his pilgrimage] and addressed his pupil, [saying]: "O Upakosala!"
- 2. "Sir," returned he. "Child, your appearance shines like that of the knowers of Brahma: who has given thee instruction?" "He replied: [as if to make a secret] "Who will instruct me, Sir?" And then pointing to the fires said [of them]: "These verily that are thus, were otherwise." "Did they, child, speak unto you?" inquired the tutor.
  - 3. "Even so," responded the pupil. [The tutor said]: "Child, they have spoken to you about regions, I too will speak to you about them; as water attacheth not unto the leaf of the lotus, so doth sin attach not unto him who understands them." "Relate it then unto me, Sir," said [the pupil] unto him.

## SECTION XV.

- 1. "That being who is seen within the eyes, is verily the soul," said [the tutor.] "He is deathless and fearless; he makes Brahma; should any ghee or water drop on him, that passeth away.
- 2. "He is called Sanyadváma; all adorable objects [váma] merge into him; all adorable objects merge into him who knoweth this.
- "Oh! he werily the great Receptacle, for all adorable objects merge into him; all adorable objects merge into him who knoweth this.
- 4. "It verily Refulgent [Bhámani], for shines every where.\* He becomes refulgent every where\* who knoweth this.

# Fourth Chapter. Section XVI.

- 5. "Now, whether any funeral service be performed with reference to him [the knower of the Refulgent] or not, still after death he obtained the regents of the sun-beams [Archi]; thence he passeth to the regents of day, [Aha,] thence in the regents of the light fortnight, thence to those of the six months during which the sun moves to the north of the equator, thence to those of the year, thence to the sun, thence to the moon, and thence to the regents of lightning; whence an unearthly being
- 6. "Takes such knowers to [the region of] Brahma. This is the way to gods [Deva patha];—this the way to Brahma [Brahma patha.] Those who betake to this path return not—verily return not—to this mortal sphere."\*

## SECTION XVI.

- Verily that which bloweth, is sacrifice [Yajna,] for blowing it purifieth all this. Because blowing it purifieth, hence it Yajna, of which mind and speech are the two paths.
  - 2. Of the two paths the first is purified by Brahmᆠthrough

<sup>6. \* \* \* &</sup>quot;He takes away such knowers to the Brahma" in the Satyaloka [the region of truth], as is evident from the allusion to the goer, the place to go, and the conductor. In the attainment of the true Brahma, such expressions would be inapplicable; in that case, "becoming Brahma he attained Brahma," would be the most appropriate expression.

<sup>\*</sup> Lit. Region of the descendants of Manu.

<sup>†</sup> Sacrifices with the juice of the moon plant are performed by four officiating priests; of these the first ill called Brahmá, and his office is to superintend the performance and to instruct the other priests in their respective duties. The second, Hotá, pours the oblations on the fire; the third, Adharyyu, repeats the Yajur Vedio mantras, and the fourth, Udgitá, singeth the Sáma hymns.

<sup>†</sup> The drift of the argument is that in the attainment of the all-pervading truthful Brahma, no translation to a higher sphere or the reliance on any particular guide or path onecessary, the attainment being immediate and independent of all secondary means.

his mind. The second is purified by the Hotá, the Adharyyu and the Udgátá through speech. In such cases where a Brahmá, after commencing the morning ritual, but before the recitation of the closing verses of the Rig, speaketh,

- 8. The last of the two paths may be purified, but the former is defiled, and the sacrifice goes wrong as the man of one leg or a one-wheeled car goeth astray. On the failure of the sacrifice, the institutor faileth, and by the performance of that ceremony becomes sinful.
- 4. Next, where, after the commencement of the morning ritual, but before the recitation of the closing Rig verses, the Brahma speaketh not, [the performers of the ceremony] purify both the paths, and none is defiled;
- 5. And as a man walking on two legs or a car mounted on two wheels standeth firm, so doth such sacrifice stand firm; in the confirmation of the sacrifice, the institutor standeth firm, and the performers of the ceremony become great.

## SECTION XVII.

- 1. Prajapati reflected on regions, and from the reflected extracted their essences, vis. Fire from the earth, Air from the sky, and the Sun from heaven.
- 2. He reflected on the three gods, Fire, Air and the Sun, and from the reflected extracted their essences, vis., the Rig from Agni, the Yajus from Vayu [air,] and the Sama from the Sun.
- 3. He reflected on the three-fold knowledge and from the reflected extracted its essences, vis. [the word] Bhu from the Rig, [the word] Bhuvah from the Yajus and [the word] Sva from the Sama.
- 4. [In the performance of a ceremony] should any Rig hymn happen to be misused [the performer of that sacrifice] should offer an oblation in the garhapatya [household] fire, [saying]:

- "May this prove acceptable to Bhu!" [Bhuh Shváhá.] [The Rig in that case] by its essence and majesty corrects all the improprieties of the Yajna, as far as they relate the Rig hymns.
- 5. Now should any Yajus hymn happen to be misused, [he] should offer an oblation to the Dakshina [Southern] fire, [saying]: "May this prove acceptable to Bhuvah !" [Bhuvah Shváhá.] [The Yajus in that case] by its essence and majesty corrects all the improprieties of the Yajua, as far as they relate to the Yajur hymns.
- 6. Now, should any Sama hymn happen to be misused, he should offer an oblation to the Ahavaniya fire [saying]: "May this prove acceptable to Sva." [Sva Shvahā.] [The Sama in case] by its essence and majesty corrects all the improprieties of the Yajna, as far they relate to the Sama hymns.
- 7. As gold is corrected by borax, and silver by gold, and tin by silver, and lead by tin, and iron by lead, and wood by iron or leather,
- 8. So doth the majesty of these regions, these gods and of these three systems of knowledge, correct the improprieties of the sacrifice. That sacrifice becomes efficacions of which the Brahmá is conscient of all this.
- 9. That sacrifice slopeth to the north\* of which the Brahmá knoweth all this. With reference to the Brahmá who knoweth all this there is this verse [extant]: "Whenever any flaw happeneth in a ceremony [this knowledge of the Brahmá] setteth every thing to right."
- Such a willing Brahmá is verily the chief priest. Like as warriors are defended by their mares,† so doth such a
- \* i. c. II prepareth the way to the attainment of knowledge. The way of the juint is called *Uttarandrya*, or the "northern passage," and that which alopes towards II necessarily makes that passage easy of acquisition.
- † It is a common notion with Oriental warriors that in speed, bottom and courage, mayes are superior to horses, and that in the battle field the former exert their utmost, often m the risk of their own lives, for the preservation of their riders.

  In on this account that the Mahratta cavalry is invariably supplied with marcs.

knowing Brahmá preserve the sacrifice, its institutor, and all the officiating pricets. Therefore, of a truth, such knowing Brahmás should perform ceremonies and not those who know not—not those who know not [their duty].

#### FIFTH CHAPTER

#### SECTION I.

- 'I. Om! Verily he becomes the eldest and greatest who knows the Eldest and Greatest (jesta-srestha.) Life werely the Eldest and Greatest.
- 2. He who knows that which is the Envelope [of the world; Basistha] becomes the protector of his people. Speech is verily the Envelope.
- 8. Verily, he becomes firmly placed in this and the next world who knows the Steady [Pratist'ha.] Verily vision is the Steady.
- 4. He who knows the Sampat [fortune,] obtains whatever is desirable in this or the other world. Audition is verily the Sampat.
- 5. He who knows the Receptacle [Ayatana] verily becomes the receptacle of his people. The mind is verily the Receptacle.

<sup>2. &</sup>quot;Basishtha" the envelope or cover of this world, from Vása;—
or wealthy, from Base wealth. Speech is described as the Envelope,
because it comprehends all; or Wealthy because wealth may be earned
by it.

<sup>3.</sup> Vision the chief agent through which the nature of high and low grounds are ascertained, and therefore it is described as the Steady.

<sup>4.</sup> Through the care the Vedas are heard, and through the know-ledge thereby acquired, men attain to prosperity, hence is audition called the Sampat.

<sup>5.</sup> The mind being the receptacle of all our knowledge.

- 6.\* Now, these organs of sense quarrelled about their [respective] superiority, each proclaiming: "I am the chief; I am the chief."
- 7. They, the organs, repaired to the Patriarch Prajapati and enquired: "Lord, which of us is the chief?" Unto them said he: "Of you, he, whose departure makes the body to appear as worthless, is the chief."
- 8. Of a truth, Speech departed [from the body]; it returned after a year's absence and said [to the other organs]: # How did ye survive my separation?" "In the same way," replied they, "in which the dumb, without speaking, breathes through [the agency of] his life, seeth by his eyes, heareth by his cars, and reflecteth in his mind." Speech resumed his place.
- 9. Verily, Vision departed [from the body]; it returned after a year's absence and enquired [of the other organs]: "How did ye survive my separation?" "In the same way," replied they, "in which the blind, without seeing, breathes through [the agency of] his life, speaketh through the organs of speech, heareth by his ears, and reflecteth in his mind." Vision resumed his place.
- 10. Of a truth, Audition departed [from the body]; it returned after a year's absence and enquired [of the other organs]: "How did ye survive my separation?" "In the same way," replied they, "in which the deaf, without hearing, breathes through [the agency of] his life, speaketh through the organs of speech, seeth by his eyes, and reflecteth in his mind." Audition resumed his place.
- 11. Of a truth Mind departed [from the body]; it returned after myear's absence and enquired [of the other organs:] "How did ye survive my separation?" "In the same way," replied they, "in which an infant, without possessing the power of reflection, breathes through [the agency] of his life, speaketh through the organs of speech, seeth by his eyes, and heareth by his ears." Mind resumed his place.
  - 12. Next verily did Life attempt to depart; and in the
  - \* There I an error in the numbering of this verse in the San-krita text.

very attempt, as a mighty charger, when whipped, plucks out from their places all the pegs to which its feet may be tied, did II dislodge all the organs of sense. They approached it and said: "Lord, remain in thy place; thou art the greatest of us all; pray, depart not."

- 18. Next of a truth Speech said unto it [Life]: "The quality of enveloping all, which belongeth to me, is due to thee." Then did Vision say unto it: "The quality of steadiness, which belongeth to me, in due to thee."
- 14. Then did Audition say unto it: "The quality of being fortunate, which belongeth to me, is due to thee." Then did Mind say unto it: "the quality of containing all, which belongeth to me, is due to thee."
- 15. Verily neither speech, nor vision, nor audition, nor mind is worthy of its name. Those functions all belong to life; from life proceedeth all.\*

### SECTION II.

- 1. Of a truth it [life] enquired: "What should be my food?" They replied: "whatever is food for beasts and birds† is meet food for thee." Therefore, verily all that is eatable belongeth to life; hence ana [exertion] is its self-evident name. For him who knoweth this, verily there is nothing that is not appropriate food.
- 2. Of a truth it enquired: "What shall be my raiment?"
  "Water:" replied they. Hence people their meals begin
  and end with water. It [always] obtains its raiment: never
  remains naked.

Sankara takes great pains to explain to his renders that the parable of the life and the organs of sense, is intended to illustrate the superiority of life over the other organs, and that ill reality there never was any departure or dispute of the kind. We think, he might have spared himself the trouble without the danger of any body being led astray. The similarity of the tale to the story of the belly and the members need senroely be noted.

<sup>†</sup> Literally, dogs and vultures.

This refers the formal washing of the mouth at the beginning and at the end ill meals prescribed by the Scaritis (Achamana) and does not enjoin any especial drinking or washing, says S'ankara.

§ Freely translated.

- 3. Satyakáma son of Jabálá, having explained the above to Gor'rutí son of Vyághrapáda, said: "Were you to explain this to the withered stump of a tree, it would shoot forth new leaves and branches."
- 4. Now, should any body wish for greatness, having observed the usual restrictions on the day of the new moon, he should, on the night of the full moon, husk [the seeds of] all [such] annuals [as are available in or about a town]; beat the same with curds and honey, then pour [a spoonful of] the mixture into the fire in lieu of clarified butter, saying: "May this prove acceptable to the eldest and greatest (Jyést'háya S'rest'háya swaháh);" and preserve in the mixing pot whatever might remain in the oblation spoon.
- 5. Then offering an oblation to the fire with the mixture in lieu of clarified butter, saying: "May this prove acceptable to Vasist'ha:" (Vasist'háya swaháh) he should preserve in the mixing pot, whatever might remain in the oblation spoon. Then offering an oblation to the fire with it in lieu of clarified butter, saying: "May this prove acceptable to Pratisht'há," (Pratist'háya swaháh), he should preserve in the mixing pot whatever might remain in the oblation spoon. Then offering an oblation to the fire with it in lieu of clarified butter, saying: "May this prove acceptable to Sampat," (Sampadéh swaháh) he should preserve in the mixing pot whatever might remain in the oblation spoon. Then offering an oblation to the fire with it in lieu of clarified butter, saying: "May this prove acceptable to Kyatana," (Kyatanaya swaháh,) he should preserve in the mixing pot whatever might remain in the oblation spoon.
- 6. Next, approaching the fire and taking the [the remains of the] mixture in his joined hands, he should slowly repeat: "Thy name is sma! All this abide by him! He is the eldest, the greatest, the king of all, the lord of all! May he make me the eldest and greatest, and bestow on me kingdoms and empires! I shall become every thing!"
  - 7. Then while repeating the verse: "We long for the best

and all-sustaining food of that god, [who is] the prime cause of all, [that we may] quickly meditate on [the soul of] that adorable [being],"\* he should take a mouthful at the end of every foot [of the verse] and drink the residue III the end.

- 8. Then washing the vessel in which the offering was kept which is shaped like a kañsa (a musical instrument) or a chamasa (spoon), he should lie down on a deer skin, or on the ground behind the fire, without speaking and careful so as to avoid dreaming. Should he in such a state dream of women, he may know that his sacrifice has proved fruitful.
- 9. Hence is the verse: "Whenever in course of the performance of a ceremony the performer with some worldly object in view, dreameth of women he should rest assured from the dream—verily from that dream—that the ceremony has proved fruitful."

## SECTION III.

- 1. Of a truth, Setaketn Aruneya† repaired to the court [of the king] of Panchála. Unto him said Praváhana Jaivali: "Boy, has thy father given thee instruction?" "Yes, Sir, he has," replied he.
- 2. [Jaivali enquired]: "Know ye where men rise to from this earth?" "No, Sir," [replied he]. "Know ye how they return?" "No, Sir," [replied he]. "Know ye where the Deva Yana, and the Pitri Yana divide?" "No, Sir," [replied he].
- 8. "Know ye why yonder region [where men rise to after death,] does not fill up?" "No, Sir," [replied he]. "Know ye why the fluids of the fifth oblation are called Purusha?" "No, Sir," [I know not, replied he].
  - \* The following are the words of the verse arranged in feet:
  - "Of that prime cause of all, the sun, [चिता] we long" (a mouthful).
  - "We the god's food" (ditto).
  - = Best and all-sustaining" (ditto).
  - "Quickly we meditate on the adorable" (the remainder of the mixture).
  - † Kruneya grandson of Aruni.
  - ‡ The king, son of Jivale, a kaketriya.

- 4. "How came you then to say that you have got instruction? how can you say he is instructed who knoweth not [what I have enquired]?" He [the boy] returned sorrowfully to his parent and said: "Verily, without giving me instruction, lord, you said that you have.
- 5. "That wretched king asked me five questions, and I could not answer even one of them." He [the father] said, "I know not the reply to even one of the questions you have put to me; had I known them why should I have refused thee?"
- 6. He [the father] of the Gautama gotra repaired to the house of the king. On his arrival, the king performed the duties of hospitality. In the morning he [of the Gautama gotra] appeared in the king's court. Unto him said [the king]: "O Gautama, ask for what you think to be the best of all worldly objects." He replied: "Let those worldly objects remain with thee, [I seek them not]: O king, explain unto me what you asked of my son."
- 7. He [the king] was afflicted; he ordered him, saying: "Remain with me for a time." He [also] said: "Since you have thus enquired, and inasmuch as no Brahman ever knew it before, hence of all people in the world, the Kahetrias alone
- 7. "He," the king, "was afflicted" by the idea how he could impart the sacred knowledge to the Brahman. But knowing that they should not be refused a favour and that he should instruct the applicant in due course, he ordered him to remain by him, and in order to ask pardon for the cause of his refusal and delay by explaining his object, said to him, Although all learning belongs to Brahmans, since you have acknowledged your want of information, by the interrogatory, "Relate unto me" the nature of that knowledge; listen to what I have to say. It is a well-known fact that heretofore Brahmans knew nothing of this subject, nor were they guided by this knowledge; the Kahetria race alone, in this world, are its professors; hitherto it has been preserved among them from generation to generation. I shall relate it now unto you, and henceforward it will remain with the Brahmans.

have the right of imparting instruction on this subject." He further continued:\*

### SECTION IV.+

- 1. "Verily, Gautama, yonder region fire; of that fire the sun is the fuel, the light of the sun is its smoke, the days are its flame, the moon is its charcoal, and the stars are its scintilations.
- 2. "On that fire the Devas offer their faith in oblation; therefrom proceedeth the Soma raja.;

#### SECTION V.

- "O Gautama, Parjannya & verily fire, the wind is its fuel, the cloud is its smoke, the lightning its flame, the thunderbolt its charcoal, and the rolling of clouds its scintilations.
- 2. "On that fire the Devas offer the Soma raja in oblation, and therefrom proceedeth rain.
- "Considering that the Brahmans have been the sele repositories of the sacred writings of the Hindus for more than three thousand years, the existence of this verse, so prejudicial to the interest and dignity of the priestly caste, speaks volumes in favour of the authenticity of the Chhándogya Upanishad. If any liberty had been taken, it is hard to suppose, that the Brahmans would have spared a verse which ascribes the origin of the most important element of the Vedic theology, its dispensation of a future state, to their rivals the Kahetries. It would seem from it that the religion of the Brahmans once included only the ceremonials and sacrifices of the Veda and omitted its metaphysics; scoordingly we hear in the mentra Verna: "the Brahmans represent the One as many Agai, Yama, Matarie'vé, &c."

# रकं छन् विशा वक्षमा क्ट्ला अधि वर्ध भातरिकावसाकः।

The subject is of great importance and deserves further enquiry.

- † This and the next six sections allogorically describe the concatenation of natural causes which, according to the expounder, produces the creation.
- ‡ Sankara explains the Deves to mean the human organs of vision, audition, &c. and the Somarájá the form which performers and institutors of sacrifices assume after death.
- § Parjanuya is described, by Sankara, as the presiding delty of rain and the Paranas identify him with Indra.

#### SECTION VI.

- 1. "O Gantama, the earth is verily fire, the year is its fuel, the sky its smoke, the night its flame, the quarters are its charcoal, and the intermediate quarters its scintilations.
- 2. "On that fire, the Devas offer the rain in oblation, thence proceedeth aliment,

#### SECTION VII.

- 1. "O Gautama, man is verily fire; of that fire speech is the fuel, breath is the smoke, the tongue is the flame, the eyes are charcoal and the ears sciutilations.
- 2. "On that fire, the Devae offer the aliment in oblation, whence proceedeth productive power.

### SECTION VIII.

1. "O Gautama, woman is verily fire.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

2. "On that fire the Devas offer their productive power in oblation, thence followeth conception.

### SECTION IX.

- 1. "This [is the reply] to [your query] "Why is the water of the fifth oblation called Purusha." The being [thus originated] after lying in the amnion for about ten months takes his birth.
- 2. "After birth he lives to the end of the alloted period of his life.\* On his death and transition to a hereafter,† his sons carry his corpse away for consignment to the fire, whence he came and was born.†

Jávadáyuskam. The period he is destined to live through the effect of his actions in a former life.

<sup>†</sup> i. c. the piece of his reward and panishment.

<sup>‡</sup> His birth having proceeded from the aforesaid oblations to the several fires.

#### SECTION X.

1. "Of them [men so created] those who know this [origin of the Purusha] and those who worship God with faith and penance, in a desert, repair after death to [the regent of] light [Archi]; thence to [that of] the day [Aha], thence to [that of] the light half of the moon, thence to [that of] the six months during which the sun has a northern declination [Uttaráyana],

The means of transition and the kind of immortality assigned to the householder conscient of his relation to the fire, &c. and to the dwellers of the forest have been declared to be the same. It follows therefore that knowledge with reference to the latter is redundant, and yet the S'ruti is opposed [to such a deduction, for we hear:] "Neither the performers of sacrifice nor the ignorant hermit attain to that [immortality]." (The passage): "He [the Deity] supports not in immortality those who know him not," would also be against it. No, such would not be the case, the object of the expression "immortality" ("Yet) [in such phrases] being a temporary existence lasting only to the period of the destruction of the earth. Thus say the expounders of the Purápas: "Infinortality means, the period to the destruction of the earth." The passages—

<sup>1.</sup> To the query, "Know ye where men rise to from this earth ?" an answer is now accorded. "Of them," i. e. of the men inhabiting this region, i. e. householders having the right to study this subject; "those who know this;" i. e. know the relation of the five fires; their birth successively from the several fires, such as the sky, &c. and that they are the type of the fire, and that the five fires constitute their souls. "How do you deduce from the expression: 'Those who know this," "that the parties should be householders and none else?" Because it is [immediately after] said, that those householders who know this not, and are devoted exclusively to sacrifices, dedications of tanks, &c. and charity, go to the region of the moon by a darksome path, &c. and that those who live in deserts, such as sages and hermits, who discharge their religious duties by faith and penance. like unto those who know this, go by the path of light, &c. (Archi) the only remaining class is the [conscient] householder, and cousequently is is him that the verse alludes."

2. "Thence to [that of] the year; thence to [that of] the sun; thence to [that of] the moon; and thence to [that of] the

"The performers of ceremony attain not to that:" He supports not in immortality those who know him not:" apply to extreme or final immortality (\(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) and \(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) immortality (\(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) and \(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) immortality (\(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) and \(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) immortality come not here again:" You cannot say that the passages—"They come not here again:" "Only pass not again through this mundane transmigration:" would be opposed to such a deduction; because of the terms, "this mundane," and "this" (which at once indicate the true meaning). If there were no return at all, the terms, "This mundane" and "to this" would be useless. Nor can you say that those terms are mere expletives, for the expression "no return" (\(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) implies an absolute negation of return, and to apprehend from it any chance of return is absurd; while for the sake of the words "this" and "to this" (\(\mathbb{REPARTITE \) a return somewhere must be assumed.

It is not to be believed that those who rely on the truth of the maxims: "(God is) truth:" "[He is] one without a second:" have any transition by the meridional path, (The path of light aforesaid); since there are a hundred passages [to the effect that such people] "becoming Brahma, obtains Brahma;" "therefore they are become every thing;" "Their animal powers never depart;" = Even here they merge into the Deity." Why not suppose that the meaning of such passages is that at the time of transition from this earth the animal powers depart not from the soul, but me together with it? No; You cannot. Because that would be opposed to the text : Even here they merge into the Deity." The departure of the soul being evident from the passage: "All the vital airs follow [the soul];" it in not to be suspected that they ever depart from it. May it not be that because the course of the liberated ( ) is different from that of other people, and it may be doubted whether in his case, the vital airs depart with the soul or not, this passage [definitively] settles [the point by the words] "Therefore they depart not I" We say in reply, that in that case the text: "even here they merge into the Deity" becomes absurd; for taken apart from the vital airs, the soul cannot be said to have any motion or even individuality,\* the soul being

<sup>\*</sup> Jisatva, Mature of a being ; the soul as possessed of motion and sensation.

lightning; thence an inhuman being takes them to [the region of] Brahma. This is the way to the gods [Deva Yána.]\*

3. "Now those villagers who accomplish their religious duties by the performance of sacrifices (ishta), by the dedication of tanks, wells, halting-places, &c. (purta), and by charity

formless and all-pervading. Its association with those airs causes its individuality like sparks from a fire (which owe their individuality to inflammable particles and not to any inherent individuality of their own); consequently you cannot assign to it any individuality or motion when apart from them. Thereof the Sruti itself affords sufficient proof.

You cannot assume that a particle of the Universal Soul (lit. sat or truth) breaking off from it, becomes the individual soul, and that it again penetrates through that Universal Soul. + Hence the passage, "thereby [by the meridional path or an imaginary foramen on the crown of the head] coming above, he obtains immortality" implies that the transition of those who adore the Brahma = possessed of attributes. takes place through the artery (affa) called Susumna, and that their immortality only comparative and not absolute, "In that (region) there is a city unassailable by all others:" "There is an exhilarating ricy lake,"-and such like passages being the adjectives to their Bramha loka, (region of Brahma of the Brahmacharis.) From these arguments it is evident that those householders who know the five fires, the inhabitants of forests such as Vánaprastha, (ascetics) and the Pariyrájakas (mendicants) including those Brahmacharis who observe the rules of their order all life through-men who fulfil their religious obligations, by reliance on the dictates of the sistra and by penance,—as also those who, according to another Sruti, adore the truthful Bramhs Hiranyagarbha, are the people who "attain to Archi," (light) that ■ the presiding deity of Archi, and the other regions described in the text and not the adorer of Brahma without attributes [whose reward immediate emancipation.]

<sup>\*</sup> Vide aute. p. 77.

<sup>†</sup> For according to the Sruti: "It is without parts; It il without action: It is all tranquil, &c..."—A mandagiri.

beyond the boundary of the altar (datta), are borne, after death, to [the regent of] darkness [dhuma.] From [the regent of] darkness [they proceed] to [that of] the night; from [that of] the night to [that of] the dark fortnight; from the dark fortnight to [that of] the six months during which the sun has a southern declination; from the six months of the winter solstice they attain not the year;

- 4. "[But] thence [they go] to [the region of] the Pitris; from [the region of] the Pitris [they go] to the sky, and from the sky to the moon. That moon is the king Soma. They are the food of the gods. The gods do cat them.
- 5. "After remaining there for such time as the effects of their actions last, they return by the road to be prescribed, i. e. thence to the sky, and from the sky to the wind; after becoming wind they become smoke, and from the smoke the scattered cloud is formed.
- 6. "From the scattered cloud proceeds condensed or raining cloud, which rains. From that proceed rice, corn, annuals,
- 4. Since the lunar sphere [lit. moon] itself is the food of the Devas. those who attain to that region, becoming part thereof, must likewise be their food. If then by the performance of ceremony men become the food of gods, such ceremonies are sources of mischief? No. there is no mischief in that. The object of the expression is to indicate that they become dependant, for the gods eat not by putting things in their mouth. They only become the dependants of the gods, such as are wives, servants and domestic animals. We see the word (anna) food used to express dependants; thus " strive annam" [the wife is a dependant]; "pasava annam" [domestic animals are dependants]; "visa annum rájnám," [the vasya is a dependant of the king. 1 And inasmuch as wives, servants, and domestic animals, notwithstanding their being intended for the enjoyment of others, are not without enjoyment of their own, so the performers of ceremo. nies, notwithstanding their destination for the gratification of the gods. have some enjoyment for themselves.

trees, sessamum, lentils and the like. Now, verily it is difficult to descend therefrom.\* Those who cat rice and procreate, become manifold.

- 7. "Thereof he, whose conduct is good, quickly attains to some good existence, such as that of a Bráhmana, a Kshetriya or a Vaisya. Next, he who is viciously disposed, soon assumes the form of some inferior creature; such as that of a dog, a hog, or a Chandála.
- 8. "Now, those who have not come to either of these two ways—become small creatures of repeated birth. They are born and they die. This is the third place or 'receptacle.' This is the reason why the place [where men go to after death] filleth not. This is the reason why [this career] should be detested: thereof is the verse:
- 9. "The robber of gold, the drunkard who drinks spirit, the defiler of his master's bed, and the murderer of a Brahman, are debased and filthy, and fifthly, so is he who associates with these four."
- 10. Now, he, who thus knoweth the five fires, never becomes affected by sin, even if he associate with these vicious people. He remaineth pure and holy and of virtuous region, who knoweth—verily who knoweth—this.

#### SECTION XI.

- 1. Práchínasála son of Upamanyu, Satyayajna son of Pulusha, Indradyumna son of Bhállaba, Jana son of Súrkaráksha, and Budila son of As'vatarás'a were great householders and knowers of the Veda. They met together and discussed: "Which is our soul and which is Brahma?"
- 2. [Being unable to come to a conclusion]: They decided, "Let us go to Uddálaka son of Aruni, who has lately learned of the soul Vais'vánara." They repaired to him.
- 3. Of a truth he [Uddálaka] resolved [in his mind]: "These great householders and knowers of the Veda will question me,
  - It is not distinct whether the descent is to be from the cloud or from the rice, &c.

but I shall not be able to explain all their queries, I should therefore point out to them some other tutor."

- 4. He said to them: "Sirs, Let us go to As'wapati, son of Kekaya, who hath lately learned of the soul Vais'wanara." Of a truth they went to him.
- 5. He [As'wapati] caused [his dependants] to receive them with due respect. On the morrow, appearing before them, [and offering some wealth] he [asked them to accept thereof, but being refused and thinking that he had committed some fault] said: There II no thief in my kingdom, nor misers, nor drunkards, nor neglecters of the household fire, nor ignorant people, nor adulterers much less adulteresses," and [on being told that they sought not wealth, thinking that they refused, because his offering was insufficient,] continued: "Venerable Sirs, I wish to perform a sacrifice, and III that sacrifice the allowance of an officiating priest shall be offered to each of you. Remain with me Sirs [for a time]."
- 6. They replied: "Give that to your guests what they seek: you know of the soul Vais'wanara, explain that unto us."
- 7. He answered them: "I shall tell you [about it] to-morrow morning." On the morrow they came to him, bringing the usual sacrificial woods with them. Without investing them with the Bráhmanical thread, he said:

# SECTION XII.

1. "O Aupamanyava, to which soul do you offer your adorations?"\* "To the heaven [diva], O king, O Lord:" [replied he.] "The soul," returned the first, "whom you adore is verily the glory [Sutejá] of the Universal Soul (Vais'wánara,) hence it is that the juice of the moon plant is seen to be well and fully† expressed in your family;

<sup>\*</sup> Lit. What soul - you adore.

<sup>†</sup> Prasute from pre " well," and sade the moon plant, and Asute from ing "full" "complete" and sate. The first term is applied to the expression of the juice for In occasional, the latter for the duty, secrifice.

2. And you consume food [with good appetite] and behold dear objects,\* [for] he consumeth food [with good appetite] and beholdeth dear objects, and his race becomes possessed of Vedic glory, who thus adoreth the soul Vais'vánara. [The heaven] is verily the head of that Soul. Your head would [however] have fallen off [thy neck,] had you not come unto me."†

### SECTION XIII.

- 1. He next addressed Satyayajna son of Pulusha, saying; "O chief, to which soul do you offer your adorations?" "To the sun, O Lord, O king" [replied he. Aswapati] said, "The soul, whom you adore, is the all-pervading form [Vis'varupa] of the Universal Soul; hence many forms (conveniencies) are seen in your family;"
- 2. "Mules yoked to carts, follow you; [even] your maids are adorned with necklaces; you consume food [with good appetite] and behold dear objects; (for) he consumeth [well his] food, and beholdeth dear objects, and his race becomes possessed of Vedic glory, who thus adoreth the Universal Soul. The sun is the eye of that Soul. You would have [however] become blind had you not come unto me."

# SECTION XIV.

He then said to Indradumna Bhállaveya; "O descendant of Byághrapáda! to which soul do you offer your adorations?" To the winds, O Lord, O king: "[replied he. Aswapatí] said: "The soul whom you adore is [one of] the various courses [prithakvartmá] of the Universal Soul; hence various armies submit to you, and varied trains of chariots follow you,

<sup>\*</sup> i, e, Your descendants dou't die before you.

<sup>†</sup> The heaven being only a part, should not adored as the Universal Soul itself; those who adore it as such are liable to the punishment aforesaid; so on of the following sections. A'mandagiri.

<sup>‡</sup> Lit. Worthy of being reckoned as the first.

2. And you consume food (with good appetite) and behold dear objects (for) he consumeth [well his] food and beholdeth dear objects, and his race becomes possessed of Vedic glory, who thus adoreth the Universal Soul. The wind is the breath of that Soul. Your breath would have [however] passed from you, had you not come unto me."

### SECTION XV.

- 1. He then said to Jana, "O Sárkarákshya, to which soul do you offer your adorations?" "To the sky, O Lord, O king:" [replied he. Aswapati] said, "The soul, whom you adore is the multiform manifestation [Vahula] of the Universal Soul, hence are you full of wealth and tenants;
- 2. And hence do you consume food [with good appetite] and behold dear objects; for he consumeth [well his] food and beholdeth dear objects, and his race becomes possessed of Vedic glory, who thus adoreth the Universal Soul. The sky the trunk of that Soul. Had you not [however] come unto me, thy trunk would have dried up."

# SECTION XVI.

- 1. He then said to Budila Aswatarawa, "O Byaghrapada, to which soul do you offer your adorations?" "To water, O Lord, O king," [replied he. Aswapati] said: "The soul whom you adore is the wealth [rayi] of the Universal Soul; hence are you wealthy and healthy,
- 2. And hence do you consume food (with a good appetite) and behold dear objects; [for] he consumeth [well his] food and beholdeth dear objects, and his race becomes possessed of Vedic glory who thus adoreth the Universal Soul. The water is the pelvic region of that soul. Had you not [however] come to me, your pelvic region would have been severed from your body."

### SECTION XVII.

- 1. He then said to Uddálaka Aruni, "O Gautama, to which soul do you offer your adorations?" "To the earth, O king, O Lord:" [replied he. Aswapati] said, "the soul whom you adore is the feet of the Universal Soul, hence are you well established in descendants and cattle.
- 2. "And hence do you consume food [with a good appetite] and behold dear objects; [for] he consumeth [well his] food and beholdeth dear objects, and his race becomes possessed of Vedic glory, who thus adoreth the Universal Soul. The earth is the feet of that Soul. Had you not [however] come to me your feet would have lost their energy."

### SECTION XVIII.

- 1. Next, addressing them all, he said, "You consume food, knowing the Universal Soul to be many; but he, who adoreth that Universal Soul\* which pervadeth the heaven and the earth, and is the principal object indicated by [the pronoun] I, consumeth food every where and in all regions, in every form and in every faculty.
- 2. "Verily of that All-pervading Soul, the heaven is the head, the sun is the eye, the wind is the breath, the sky is the trunk, the moon is the fundament, and the earth is the feet. The altar is His breast, the sacrificial grass constitutes the hair
- \* In Sanskrita Tagrage, which, according to S'alikara, may mean, ill who ordains (nayati) the rewards and punishment due to the virtue and vice of all mankind (biswan), or he who ill the soul of creation views and serve, or ill whom all mankind reckon as their soul. The lexicographical meaning of the word is fire, that however ill not the object alluded to in the text.
- † He whose extension new in the span between the heaven and the earth, or whom the Shastra describes ( and a detail ( ) as extending from the heaven to the earth.

of His body, the household or Garhapatya fire forms His heart, the Annaharya-pachana fire forms His mind, and the Ahavaniya fire His face.\*

### SECTION XIX.

- 1. "Therein, whatever food is first brought, that forms the first oblation. He (the wise) who knows it, the first mouthful, to be the first oblation, should offer it with the words, "I offer it to Práṇa" [Práṇáya swaháh]. Life (Práṇa) is thereby satisfied.
- 2. By the satisfaction of Prana vision is satisfied; by the satisfaction of vision the sun is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the sun the heaven is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the heaven whatever depends upon the sun and the heaven is satisfied; through its satisfaction [the performer of the sacrifice] is satisfied with [the possession of] descendants, cattle, food, splendour and Vedaic glory.

## SECTION XX.

- I. "Now, that which is the second oblation should be offered with [the words] "I offer this to Vyána," [Vyánáya swaháh]. Thereby is Vyána satisfied.
- 2. "By the satisfaction of Vyána audition is satisfied; by the satisfaction of audition the moon is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the moon the quarters are satisfied; by the satisfaction of the quarters whatever depends upon the moon and the

<sup>\*</sup> The soul seasoned to be the sum total of five vital airs, which support the body;—the passess (speeps) of the Greek philosophers, they are Praga or breath, Vyána or the air diffused all over the body, Apána the fiatus in the lower intestines, Samáus or the air essential to digestion, and Udána or that which rises up the threat and passes into the head.

quarters is satisfied; through its satisfaction [the performer of the sacrifice] is satisfied with [the possession of] descendants, cattle, food, splendour and Vedaic glory.

## SECTION XXI.

- Now that which is the third oblation should be offered with [the words] "I offer this to Apána" [Apánáya awaháh]. Thereby is Apána satisfied.
- 2. "By the satisfaction of Apana speech is satisfied; by the satisfaction of speech Agni is satisfied; by the satisfaction of Agni the earth is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the earth whatever depends upon the earth and Agni is satisfied; through its satisfaction [the performer of the sacrifice] is satisfied with [the possession of] descendants, cattle, food, splendour, and Vedaic glory.

# SECTION XXII.

- "Now that which is the fourth oblation should be offered with [the words] "I offer this to Samána," [Samánáya swaháh]. Thereby is Samána satisfied.
- 2. "By the satisfaction of Samana the mind is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the mind the cloud is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the cloud the lightning is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the lightning whatever depends upon the lightning and the cloud is satisfied; through its satisfaction [the performer of the sacrifice] is satisfied with [the possession of] descendants, cattle, food, splendour and Vedaic glory.

# SECTION XXIII.

1. "Now, that which is the fifth oblation should be offered with [the words] 'I offer this to Udána' [Udánáya swaháh], Thereby is Udána satisfied.

2. By the satisfaction of Udána the wind [Váyu] is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the wind the sky is satisfied; by the satisfaction of the sky whatever depends upon the sky and the wind is satisfied; through its satisfaction, [the performer of the sacrifice] is satisfied with [the possession of] descendants, cattle, food, splendour and Vedaic glory.

### SECTION XXIV.

- 1. "He, who without knowing this, performs a fire—sacrifice, [has the same reward as he] who, forsaking burning coals, pours his oblation upon ashes.
- 2. "Next, with regard to him who knowing this performs a fire-sacrifice, the sacrifice is complete every where and in every region, in every form and in every faculty [of the body].
- 3. As reed-tops when cast on fire [readily] burn to ashes, so do the sins of him who, knowing all this, performs a fire-sacrifice.
- 4. Therefore verily, were he, who knows this, to offer the remnant of his food [even] to a Chandála, he would effect an offering to the All-pervading Soul: therefore is the verse:
- 5. "As in common life, hungry children look up to their mothers, so do all creatures look up to the fire-sacrifice (Agni hotra)—verily they look up to the fire-sacrifice."

### SIXTH CHAPTER.

### SECTION I.

- 1. Hari! Om! Of a truth there lived Swetaketn son of Aruni. Unto him said his father, "O Swetaketn, [go and] abide as a Brahmachárí [in the house of a tutor], for verily, child, none of our race has neglected the Vedas\* and thereby brought disgrace on himself." †
- 2. Of a truth he having repaired to a tutor, on his twelfth year, and studied all the Védas to the twenty-fourth year of his age, returned home, a vain-minded [youth], confident of his k nowledge of the Vedas, and proud.
- 3. Unto him said his father, "O Swetaketu, since you are, child, so vain-minded, so confident of your knowledge of the Vedas, and so proud, have you enquired of your tutor about that subject which makes the unheard-of heard, the unconsidered considered, and the unsettled settled?"
- 4. "What is that subject, O Lord?" "Verily, child, as the knowledge of [the nature of] a single clod of earth makes manifest [the nature of] all earthen objects, [and shows] that the various [fictile] fabrications indicated by different words and names are of a truth only earth—
- 5. "Child, as the knowledge of [the nature of] a single [lump of] gold, shows that all articles of gold indicated by different words and names, are mere variations of form, but in truth gold alone—
  - \* Lit. not reading 電影響電
- † Lit. Has become Brahmshandhs or a friend or relation of a Brahmana, but not himself a Brahmana.

The commentator supposes that after this injunction Aruni must have proceeded on a pilgrimage, or else, being a learned man, he would have himself taught his son, instead of sanding him to a foreign tutor.

- 6. "Child, as by a knowledge of the nail-cutter, all iron instruments are known to be modifications of that substance, differing only in name and words, but of a truth iron alone, so is the subject [I allude to."]
- 7. "Verily my learned tutors [said Swetaketu] know it not. Had they known it, why should they have omitted to impart it to me? Lord, do you relate that unto me?" "Be it so, child," said the father.

## SECTION IL.

- 1. "Before, O child, this was a mere state of being\* (sat), one only, without a second. Thereof verily others say: 'Before
- 1. Sat, is that substance which is mere being or existence; it is invisible (TIM), indistinct, all-pervading, one only, without defect, without members, knowledge itself, and that which is indicated by all the Vedántas. The word sea, 'mere,' is used to make the declaration positive. But what does it make positive? We say in reply that this world, which we see with its name and form, full of actions and mutations, was [at one time in a state of] mere being, and this assertion is made positive by the connexion of eva with the word "it was," deit.
- \* The use of the word existence would have perhaps obviated the necessity of the periphrasis—"a state of being;" but existence (ex sistere) according to the occidental metaphysicians is the actuality of casence; it is the act by which the essences of things are actually in rerum natura—beyond their causes; whereas—the object here is to imply a state when things are in the objective power of their causes i. e. before they are produced by their causes. This state is best indicated by the ro or "that which is" of the ancients; and we have therefore used its English equivalent "being," and its periphrasis—or the nearest, though not the most elegant, version of the Sanskrit set. The Upanished here enters upon the most important ontological question—a belief in ve be as opposed to ra brow—in one and not in many fundamental principles of things, and a correct appreciation of the term, therefore, is of the utmost consequence.

this was non-being, one alone, without a second; from that non-being proceeds the state of being."

When was the world • ? It is replied, "before," i.e. anterior to the time of the creation of this world. Then is the world not in existence now, which you describe was in a state of being before? No, not so. Why then the qualification "before?" Even now it is in a state of being, and has become the object of our senses by its name, form and other qualifications, and is indicated by the word "this;" while before," i. e. anterior to the time of its creation, it could be indicated only by the word sat "being," and understood only by the idea of being, and therefore it is said, "before this was mere being,"

No substance can be declared before its creation to be this, of such a name and such a form, and yet its existence can easily be conceived, just as on waking from a deep sleep, one feels that during his sleep he was merely in existence [but bereft of all actions]; was the world in existence or in a state of being before its creation. Again, in this world when one observes, in the morning, a potter intent on making wares with his clay, and having passed the day in another village on his return in the evening, sees a variety of pots, pans and other wares, he says these pots and pans were in the morning mere clay; so is it said [with reference to the world] "this was in a state of mere being before."

"One alone" that is one unconnected with every thing that might relate to it.

"Without a second:" in the case of a pitcher or other earthen vessel, there is, beside the clay, the potter, &c., who give it shape, but in the case of the being in question the epithet "without a second" precludes all coadjutors or co-efficients. "Without a second" (consequently means) that nothing else existed along with it.

But do not the Vaiseshikas include every thing in the word sat, being? By them both substances and their qualities are indicated by the same word sat, and we accordingly see such expressions as "substance is being" [sat dravyam] "quality is being" [san guna] "action is being" [sat karma].

2. He continued: "but of a truth, O child, how can this be? How can being proceed from non-being? Before, O child, this was only being, one only, without a second.

It may be so at present; but the Vaiseshikas do not recognize that an object before its creation is in a state of being; on the contrary they maintain the unreality\* [asatwa] of objects before their creation, and do not wish to uphold (the doctrine) that "the being one alone without a second" existed before. Hence it is evident that the being here indicated is other than what is indicated by the Vaiseshikas, particularly from the instance quoted of the clay, potter, &c.

Thereof" i. e. in this discussion about the being before creation, some Vaináshikas after defining what is substance, maintain, that before the creation of this world, only a non-being, or a total absence of every thing, alone without a second existed. The Bauddhas imagine a total absence of substance before creation, but do not advocate for any thing antagonistic to being like unto the Naiyáyikas, who maintain all substance to be (double) being or reality and its opposite.

But if the Vainásikas maintain "only the absence of being before creation, how do they say that before creation, a non-being alone without a second existed," indicating thereby its relation to time, number, and individuality?

Well, it is inconsistent in those who maintain the absence of substance [before its creation]; the belief of non-being itself is inconsistent, for that implies the inconsistency of the non-being of those who maintain such non-existence.

But we admit his existence now, and deny it only before creation. That won't hold good, for if there be no proof extant of the existence of a being before creation, the same will apply to that of a non-being the same time. It may be argued that the meaning of a word being the image it conveys to the mind, how can you have a

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;That which did not exist before is substance,' mys the suther of the Turka-sangraha. आये प्रावृक्षाचे प्रविद्यावि ।

8. "It willed\* 'I shall multiply and be born.' It created heat.† That heat willed 'I shall multiply and be born.' It created water.‡

"Therefore wherever and whenever any body is heated or perspires, it is from heat that water is produced.

4. "The water willed, 'I shall multiply and be born.' It created aliment. Therefore wherever and whenever rain falls, much aliment is produced; verily it is from water that aliment is produced.

meaning in the words "a non-being alone existed without a second," and if there be no meaning in the words they become absurd. But there is no harm in this expression, the object being only the exclusion of being, for being implies the image of existence, and the expression "one alone without a second" and "existed" are its epithets, and by the addition of a negation to the word being all that was indicated by it is excluded.

Would it thence follow, that whatever we see is false, as the impression of snake produced by a rope? No. Truth alone being conceived in different forms, we assert that such a substance as falsehood never and nowhere exists.

- 8. The object of this chapter is to show that a knowledge of the whole of the Vedas proves worthless, unless accompanied by a knowledge of the Deity.
- 7. It is improper to speak III of one's tutors, but the dread of being sent back to his tutors, makes Swetsketn surmise that they knew not the subject mooted."
- Lit. He saw. The expression alkahata 'seeing' in the case of inanimate heat and water is metaphorical, says Sankara.
- † That which burns, digests, gives light and is red. According to Sankara it m presumed that the Intelligence first created space, then wind and then heat, as described in the Taittiriya Upanishad.
- † The word ap in Sanskrit is always used in the plural, but for the sake of consistency we have here retained the singular form.

#### SECTION III.

- 1. "Verily of all these living objects there are three sources, viz., oviparous, viviparous, and sprouting objects.\*
- 2. "That Deity willed: entering these three objects (devatás) in the form of life† (jívátmá) I shall be manifest in various names and forms.
- 2. (It may be said that) it would not appear consistent for a divine omniscient deity intelligently to wish to enter a created body, the receptacle of innumerable evils, and undergo the fruits thereof. (Nor is it consistent thut,) being independent, (he should cease to be so) by amalgamation with a subordinate. (In reply) I admit that it would not be consistent if the Deity were to enter (a body) and undergo the sufferings individually, without any transformation. But such is not the case. How so? Because of the words "in the form of life (Jivátmá)." Jiva (life) is but the reflection of the Supreme Deity. It is produced by its relation to intelligence (Buddhi) and other subtle elements, like the image of the sun in water or of a man in a looking-glass. The relation to Buddhi of that Deity of inscrutable and endless power and the reflection of his intelligence have for their instrumental cause the ignorance of his true nature; and from them proceed the feelings of "I am happy," "I am suffering," "I am ignorant," &c. Entering into mundane objects in the form of a reflection, that Deity in his own self is not involved in any corporeal pleasure or pain. As a human being or the sun by entering a mirror or water in the form of a reflection, does not acquire the defects of the reflecting surface, so is the case with the Deity. Thus in the Katha Upanishad, " as the sun, although the eye of the whole world, yet is not affected by the defects of the (observing) eye or of external causes, so the Soul as the inner Soul

<sup>\*</sup> The commentator explains why the oviparous and other creations are made the sources instead of eggs, wombs and seeds, by observing that the eggs, &c. cannot come to existence unless they proceed from their parents, and hence the true sources are the parents and not their issue.

<sup>+</sup> The form he had conceived. SANKARA.

- 3. "I shall convert each of these three sources into a trinary form.'\* That Deity entering the three elements (devatas) in the form of life manifested them with (due) names and forms.
- 4. "Them did he convert into trinary forms. Learn from me, my child, how these three elements each became a trinary form.

# SECTION IV.

1. "The redness of Agni is due to heat, its whiteness to water, and its darkness to earth; hence Agni ceases to be Agni.† It is nothing but a word; it is an effect and is nominal. Its three forms are alone true.

of all being is not affected by mundane causes, because it is beyond them." Chap. V. verse 11. "Like unto epace it pervades all and is eternal." Again in the Vájasaneyā Upanishad: "He seems as if reflecting as if moving:":

(It may be argued that) if life (jiva) is nothing but a word, it is then false, and what has it to do with this or a future world? But there is no harm in that: truth being its essence, it is taken as truth. All objects in their varied names and forms are true when associated with the Truthful Soul, and false when separated therefrom. All transformations owe their origin to words, they are nominal, and the maxim is perfectly true in the case of life. The saying is "as at the Deity so is the offering" (i. e. the life itself being but a mere reflection, its relation to the fruits of action cannot be more substantial.) Hence in maintaining the reality of all actions and mutations in relation to the Soul and their unreality when disassociated therefrom the Tárkikas cannot attribute any fault.

- \* i. c. each to be divided into two parts and one of them to be subdivided into two parts, which are to be mixed with the halves of the other two, so that each trinery form will include half of one and a quarter of each of the two other elements. SANKARA.
- † The commentator explains this by a very appropriate illustration. He says cloth an aggregate of threads; remove them, and the cloth ceases to be. So Agni an aggregate of its three qualities, which taken away it is no longer existent.
  - ‡ This verse does not occur in the Vajasaneya Upanishad.

- 2. "The redness of the Sun is due to heat, its whiteness to water, and its darkness to earth. Hence the sun ceases to be the sun. It is nothing but a word; it is an effect and is nominal. Its three forms are alone true.
- 3. "The redness of the moon is due to heat, its whiteness to water, and its darkness to earth. Hence the moon ceases to be the moon. It is nothing but a word; it is an effect and is nominal. Its three forms are alone true.
- 4. "The redness of lightning is due to heat, its whiteness to water, and its darkness to earth. Hence the lightning ceases to be lightning. It is nothing but a word; it is an effect and is nominal. Its three forms are alone true.
- 5. "Verily, knowing this the great house-holders and knowers of the Vedas (scotrias) of yore said, 'From this day none of us shall talk of anything of which he has not heard, nor considered, nor known; for of a truth, hence he has learnt (every thing.)'
- 6. "Whatever appeared to them red, they knew to be due to heat; whatever appeared to them white, they knew to be the form of water, and whatever appeared dark they attributed to the earth.
- 7. "Verily whatever appeared to be inscrutable they took to be a union of these three elements (devatás). Now of a truth learn from me, my child, how every object (devatá) becomes threefold in living beings.

### SECTION V.

- 1. "Aliment when consumed becomes threefold; the gross particles become excrement, the middling ones flesh, and the flue ones the mind.
- 2. "Water when drunk becomes threefold; the gross particles become urine, the middling ones blood, and the fine ones respiration (prána).

- 3. "Heat, when consumed,\* becomes threefold; the gross particles become bones, the middling ones marrow, and the fine ones speech.
- 4. "The mind, my child, is (the result of) aliment, the prana (that of) water, and speech (is that of) heat." "Will it please my Lord to explain this again unto me." "Be it so, my child."

## SECTION VI.

- 1. My child, when curd is churned, its fine particles which rise upwards, form butter.
- 2. "Thus, my child, when food is consumed, the fine particles, which rise upwards, form the mind.
- 8. "Again, my child, when water is drunk, the fine particles, which ascend upwards, form the praga.
- 4. "My child, when heat\* is consumed, the fine particles, which rise upwards, form speech.
- 5. "(Hence) verily the mind is aliment, the prana water, and the speech heat." "Will it please my Lord to explain this again unto me?" "Be it so, my child."

# SECTION VII.

- 1. "Man, my child, is sixteen fold.† Let him not eat for fifteen days, but let him drink according to his desire, for life is formed of water, and if it be sustained thereby it will not leave him."
- 2. Verily he (Swetaketu) did not eat for fifteen days. Then did he repair to the tutor and enquire, "Sir, what shall I repeat

<sup>\*</sup> The commentator observes that by heat heat-producing articles such as oil and butter are meant.

<sup>†</sup> The commentator does not explain what is meant by the epithet sixteen fold. The text of the Prasua however, leaves no doubt as to what is here alluded to. Vide Roer's translation p. 140.

- now?" (The father said,) "Do you, my child, repeat the Rig, Yajur and Sama hymns." He replied, "Sir, of a truth none of them occurs (now) to my memory."
- 3. Unto him said the totor, "My child, when a large fire leaves a small remnant insignificant as (the spark of) the fire-fly, it cannot consume much (fuel, if the same be at once heaped over it); so of your sixteen parts only one now remains, and therefore you remember not the Vedas. Do you go and eat (first) what is meet,
- 4. "And then will you learn from me." Verily, he ate, and then repaired to his tutor.
- 5. "O my child, as a small remnant, insignificant as (the spark of) the fire-fly, of a large fire invigorated with little bits of hay or straw becomes powerful and able to consume much,
- 6. "Even so when the last single remnant of thy sixteen parts is invigorated with food, you are enabled to understand the Vedas. Verily, the mind, my child, is made of food, the prana of water, and the speech of heat." Of a truth he understood—verily he understood this.

### SECTION VIII.

- 1. Uddálaka son of Aruna, unto his son Swetaketu, said, "Learn from me, my child, the nature of sleep. When man assumes the epithet 'sleeping' he identifies himself with the Universal Soul (sat, truth) he attains his self (swa), therefore he is said to be swapiti ('sleeping'); for then he attains his self.\*
- 2. "A bird tied to a string after flying towards the sides and finding no place of rest, at last has recourse to the spot to which it is tied. Even so the mind, my child, after roaming towards all sides and failing to obtain a resting-place, at last
- \* A play on the word sees "self" and swape "to sleep." Analogues like unto this occur very frequently in the Upanishads. Sleep and death are here taken as synonimous.

takes the shelter of the Soul (prana) Verily the mind has the Soul for its tie-rope.

- 3. "Learn from me, my child, the nature of hunger and thirst. When man assumes the epithet 'hungry' (As'is'ishati) verily water then carries down the food, (through his gullet). Those who lead cattle are called gonáya, those who lead horses are called aswanáya, those who lead men are purushanáya; accordingly water (which leads down food) is called asanáya. Thereof this (body) is a product. Think not, O child, that it has proceeded without a cause."
- 4. (What is its cause, enquired Swetaketu). "Where can be its cause (answered his father) except in aliment? Thus, my child, you should know water to be the cause of the product aliment. Thus again heat is the cause of the product water; and of that product beat Truth is the cause. Hence verily, my child, all the varied objects of the universe have the Truth for their origin.
- 5. "When man assumes the epithet 'thirsty,' it is heat that carries down the drink through his guilet. Those who lead cattle are called gondya, those who lead horses are called aswandya, those who lead men are called purushandya; and accordingly heat is called udanna (carrier of water); thereof this (body) is the product. Think not, my child, that it has proceeded without a cause."
- 6. "What is its cause?" (enquired Swetaketu). "What can be its cause but water? (replied his father) Thus, my child, you should know heat to be the cause of the product water, and of that heat know Truth to be the cause. Hence verily, my child, all these varied objects of the universe have the Truth for their origin, sustenance and end. How each of these three objects becomes threefold in man has been already described. When man departs (this life) his speech merges into the mind; the mind merges into life; the life into heat, and the heat into the Supreme Deity. This is its abstract form.

7. "All this universe has the (Supreme) Deity for its life. That Deity is Truth. He is the Universal Soul. Thou art He, O Swetaketu."

"Will it please my Lord to explain this farther unto me?"
"Be it so, my child:" replied the tutor.

## SECTION IX.

- 1. "As the bees, my child, intent upon making honey, collect the essences of various trees from different quarters and reduce them to one uniform fluid,
- 2. "Which no longer retains the idea of its having belonged to different trees; so, my child, created beings when dead, know not that they have attained the Truth."
- 8. "They are born again in the form in which they lived before, whether that be of a tiger, a lion, a wolf, a bear, a worm, an insect, a gnat, or a musquito.
- 4. "That particle which is the Soul of all this is Truth; it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, thou art that." "Will it please, my Lord, to explain it again unto me?" "Be it so, my child," replied he.

# SECTION X.

- 1. "These rivers, my child, proceed from the East towards the West, thence from the ocean (they rise in the form of vapour and dropping again they flow towards the South and) merge into the ocean. Here as they do not remember what they were;
- 4. "Men having elept in their homes repair to a distant village and there remember that they have come away from their houses; but created beings do not remember that they have come away from the Truth; Why so:—this is the question which the father is to explain.

<sup>·</sup> Satisampadys, "morging in truth."

<sup>+</sup> Lit. that I am this, I am this.

- 2. "Even so all these created beings, having proceeded from the Truth, know not that they have issued therefrom. They therefore become of the form they had before, whether that be of a tiger, a lion, a wolf, a bear, a worm, an insect, a gnat, or a musquito.
- 3. "That particle which is the Soul of all this is Truth; it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, thou art that."

"Will it please my Lord to explain it (once) again unto me (how beings, after attaining, during sleep and after death, the one Universal Soul, do not lose their identity?") "Be it so, my child," replied he.

## SECTION XI.

- 1. "My child, were one to strike once on the root of yonder wide-spreading tree, it would discharge (a little of its) sap: struck over on the middle the tree would (still) discharge its sap, and so would it if it were struck once on the top. Pervaded by life it would continue to draw the humours (of the earth) and thrive.
- 2. (But) thereof when life forsakes one of the branches, it dries up. When a second is forsaken, it dries up. When a third is forsaken, it (too) dries up; and when the entire tree is forsaken by life, the whole dries up. Verily, know my pupil," continued he,
- 3. "When this (body) is forsaken by life it dies, but the life dies not. That particle which is the soul of this (body) is Truth; it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, Thou art that."
- "Will it please my Lord to explain it once again unto me, (how the creation proceedeth from the invisible Truth which has neither name nor form and is mere existence)?" "Be it so, my child," said the father.

#### SECTION XII.

- 1. "Bring me a fruit of the Nyágrodha" tree." "Here it is, my Lord," said the pupil. "Break it." "It is broken, my Lord." "What do you perceive in it?" "Some very small seeds, my Lord." "Will you, dear, break one of them?" "Here, I have broken it, my Lord." "What do you perceive in it?" "Nothing, my Lord."
- 2. Unto him said the father, "Where, my child, you perceive nothing, there dwells invisibly a mighty Nyágrodha.
- 8. "Mind it, my child, that particle which is the soul of all, this is Truth—it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, Thou art that."

"Will it please my Lord to explain it once again (how, since the creation proceeds from the Truth, it does not attain permanence—truthfulness?") "Be it so, my child," said the father.

## SECTION XIII.

- 1. "Dissolve this salt in that water, and appear before me tomorrow morning." He did so. Unto him said (the father), "My child, find out the salt that you put in that water last night." The salt, having been dissolved, could not be made out. (Unto Swetaketu said his father,) "Child,
- 2. "Do you taste a little from the top of that water." (The child did so. After a while the father enquired,) "How tastes it?" "It is saltish," (said Swetaketu.) "Try a little from the middle." (He did so. The father then enquired,) "How is it?" "It is saltish," (replied the son). "Taste a little from the bottom," (ordered he. The son did so. The father then enquired,) "How is it?" "It is saltish." "If so (throwing it away) wash your mouth and grieve not." Verily he did so, (and said to his father,)

Here the Micro indical evidently meant, although the word is also applied to the Microsa albida, and the Soleinia circulata.

"The salt that I put in the water exists for ever; (though I perceive it not by my eyes, it is felt by my tongue)." (Unto him) said (his father,) "Verily, such is the case with the Truth, my child. Though you perceive it not, it nevertheless pervades this (body).

3. "That particle which is the soul of all this is Truth; it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, Thou art that."

"Will it please my Lord to explain farther (how, like the salt which though invisible is still perceptible by the tongue, can the Soul, the cause of the world, unperceivable by the organs of perception, be grasped by the mind—the Soul by not attaining which, I am unblest, and by attaining which I am blest; and what means exist for its attainment)?" "Be it so, my child," replied (the father).

#### SECTION XIV.

- 1. "O my child, in the world when a man with blind-folded eyes is carried away from Gandhara\* and left in a lonely place, he makes the East and the North and the West resound by crying, 'I have been brought here blind-folded. I am here left blind-folded.'
- 2. "Thereupon (some kind-hearted man) unties the fold on his eyes and says, 'This is the way to Gandhara; proceed thou by this way.' The sensible man proceeds from village, enquiring the way, and reaches at last the (province) of Gandhara. Even thus a man who has a duly qualified teacher learns (his way) and thus remains liberated (from all worldly ties) till he attains (the Truth—Moksha).
- 3. "That particle which is the soul of all this is Truth—it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, thou art that."
- "Will it please my Lord to explain farther (by example, how one attains the Truth)?" "Be it so my child," replied (the father).

This word is used in the plural in the sanekrita text.

## SECTION XV.

- 1. "My child, when a man is laid up with a mortal illness, his relations surround him to render him service and enquire, 'Do you recognise me, do you recognise me?' He recognises them until his speech merges into his mind, his mind merges into his life, his life merges into heat, and the heat into the Supreme Deity.
- 2. "When his speech is merged into his mind, and his mind is merged into his life, his life is merged into heat, and heat into the Supreme Deity, he recognises them not.
- 3. "That particle which is the soul of all this is Truth—it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, thou art that."
- "Will it please my Lord to explain farther (by an example, why the ignorant, after death should return to this world, while the liberated does not, although the dead and the liberated seem equally to attain the truth)?" "Be it so, my child," replied (the father).

# SACTION XVI.

- 1. "O my child, when a man (suspected of theft,) is brought with his hands tied up and told, 'Thou hast stolen.' (He denies. The magistrate thereupon orders,) 'Let the hatchet be heated for him,'\* If he should happen to be the author of the theft, and seek to protect himself in untruth, he, the upholder of untruth, enveloping his soul in an untruth, graspe the heated blade and is burnt as well as punished.
- 2. "While, if he happened not to be the author of the theft, and be desirous of making himself truthful, he, the upholder of truth, enveloping his soul in truth, grasps the heated blade which burns him not, and liberates himself (from his fetters).
- 3. "Even as he, (by the intervention of truth,) escapes from the heated blade, so all this has truth for its soul; it is the Truth;—it is the Universal Soul. O Swetaketu, thou art that."

Thus verily was he instructed—thus was he instructed,

<sup>·</sup> Adverting to the ordeal by fire.

#### SEVENTH CHAPTER.

#### SECTION I.

- 1. Om! Of a truth Nárada repaired to Sanatkumára. He said, "Deign to give me instruction, O Lord." Unto him said the other, "Relate unto me what you know, I shall then teach you what is beyond."
- He replied "O Lord, I have read the Rig veda, the Yajur Veda, the Sama Veda, fourth the Atharva Veda, fifth the Itihaja and Purapa,\*
- The words Itiliags and Purana occur twice in this Upanishad, first in the Srd chapter (section IV. verse I. ante p. 53) in connexion with the Atharva Angirasa hymns, and as the fourth from the Rig Veda, or next in order after the Sama Veda, and here as the fifth or immediately after the Atharva Veda. But Safikara does not explain them further than by calling them the fifth Veda Quei Uci I In commenting upon the phrase Vedana Veda he adds " of the Vedas or of the five including the Bhárata." (TIMI MICAGUMINI) which would imply that the Bhárata, by virtue of its being an Itihára, was a Veda. The Bhágavat Purána has the same idea. In the 4th chapter of the first book of that work there is a passage which says, "Vyása, having rescued the four Vedas Rig, Yajur, Sáma and Atharva, relates the Itihása and Purána which form the fifth Veda."

112 . 11 This bowever opposed to the interpretation given by Safikara in the Brihad Kranyaka Upsnishad (Chap. IV. Verse ). There he states that the Itihaus alludes to such passages in the Vedas as advert to anecdotes, such as the anecdotes of Urvasi, Puraravá, &c and such expressions as the gods and the domons fought of yore;" and the Purina relates to ancient historical references, such as, " the world did not exist before, &c. Madhavacharya has explained this apparant contradiction by observing that the words Itihan and Purana are common terms and apply to all works which contain historical narratives. He says that "like the six Afigas the Puranes, &c. are adapted to give a knowledge of the Vedus and are therefore worthy objects of study. Thus in Yajusvalkya 'the Purana, Nyaya, Mimana. Dharma s'astra and the Vedangae, in all fourteen, are Vedes the receptacles of learning and virtue.' Again 'the Veda is made manifest through the agency of the Itiliasa and Purana.' Further, 'The concise Veda dreads the two,' (Itihasa and Purana, lest they should misrepresent it). It has been elsewhere said by him: 'The anecdotes of Harishchandrs, Nachiketá and others related in the Aitareys, Taittiriys, Káthaks grammar,\* rituals, the science of numbers,† physics,‡ chronology,§ logic, polity,∥ technology¶ the sciences cognate to the Vedas,\* the science of spirits,† archery,‡ astronomy, the science of antidotes,§ and the fine arts.∥ All these have I read, ■ Lord.

and other Sakhas, which are calculated to develope the knowledge of virtue and Brahma, have been made clear in the Itihasse. The accounts given in the Upanishads of creation, preservation and destruction have been developed in the Puranas like the Brahmaya, Vaishnava, &c." and therefore they are calogistically called Vedas.

This view of the meaning of the words Itihaas and Purana is supported by the Buddhists, who style all their narrative works Purana, and reckon the Biography of S'akya as the Purana per excellence.

मसङ्ग्राम् पुराक्।दीवासपि वेशार्यञ्चाने।पटीतं दङ्गं विद्यास्मानसं पाञ्च-मस्कोन कार्यते।

> वृराचनायभीमांचा घर्षमानावनिकताः । वदाः सामानि विद्यानां घर्षस च चतुर्दशेति । इतिदासपुराकामां वेदं समुदर्वदेवित ।

विभेत्यस्यमुताहेदी केष्मधं प्रचरेदिति। ज्याचापि सर्वाते। रेतरेव-तैतिरीय-काडकादिप्राक्षकाति चारचन्त्रमाजिकेताचुणस्याचि धर्मक्रमायवेश्वपुद्धाचि तेषु तेन्तिकाचपन्तेषु स्वडास्तर्ताति। ज्याविकक्रमाः वृद्धितिस्वयाद्वे। प्राञ्च-विकासिद्युराकेषु सारोक्षताः।

- In the original this word is expressed by the phrase Veddada Veda, because, says SANKARA, the Vedae are understood by its aud.
  - † Riei Arithmetic and Algebra.
  - ‡ Daivs, the science which treats of accidental physical occurrences.
- § Nidhi, the science which regulates the division of time into mahakala kala, &c.
- || Vákovákyam, Eksyanam. It is worthy of note that at the time when this Upanishad was composed the words now most in use to indicate logic and polity—tarka s'astra and nisis' astra, were unknown or not current.
- ¶ Deva Vidyá—Nirukta, " glossarial explanation of obscure terms especially those occuring in the Vedaa,"—Wilson.
  - Brahma Vidyá. Articulation, ceremonials and procedy.
  - † Bhuta Vidyé.
  - ‡ Khetra Vidyá,
  - § Sarpa Vidyė.
- || Devajuna Vidya the science of making ensences, of dancing, singing, music, architecture, painting, &c. (s'slpa.)—Saykawa.

- 3. "Thus do I know, Sir, the mantras or words only, and not the spirit (thereof.) I have heard that the worldly-afflicted can find relief through men like unto your lordship. Even I am, O Lord, in grief. Pray relieve me from my affliction." Unto him said Sanatkuméra, "All that you have learnt is nominal.
- 4. "The names only of the Rig Veda, the Yajur Veda, the Sama Veda, fourth the Atharva Veda, fifth the Itihasa and Purana, grammar, rituals, the science of numbers, physics, chronology, logic, polity, technology, the sciences cognate to the Vedas, the science of spirits, archery, astronomy, the science of antidotes, and the fine arts,—these are names only that you have adored.
- 5. "(Hear from me what is the reward of him) who addres the name (itself) as Brahma. He who believes the name itself to be Brahma the moment he acquires that name becomes able to perform whatever he desires." "Is there any thing, O Lord, greater than a name?" "There is something greater than a name." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

# SECTION II.

- 1. "Verily Speech is greater than a name. Speech points out the Rig Veda, so does it indicate the Yajur Veda, the Sama Veda, fourth the Atharva Veda, fifth the Itihasa and Purana, grammar, rituals, the science of numbers, physics, chronology, logic, polity, technology, the sciences cognate to the Vedas, the science of spirits, archery, astronomy, the science of antidotes, the fine arts, the heaven, the earth, the air, the sky, light, gods, men, beasts, birds, grasses, trees, carnivorous animals, worms, insects, ants, virtue, vice, truth, untruth, propriety, impropriety, gratefulness, and ungratefulness; Speech indicates all these. Do you therefore adore Speech.
- "(Hear from me what is the reward of him) who adores Speech as Brahma. He who adores Speech as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions\* of Speech, he becomes able to perform
- \* It is intended to imply that every object of adoration leads to a special region after death.

whatever he desires." "Is there any thing, O Lord, greater than Speech?" "There is something even greater than Speech." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

#### SECTION III.

- 1. "Verily Mind is greater than Speech. When two myrobalans" or two plums, or two haritakit fruit are held in the closed fist, they are therein inclosed, so are Name and Speech included in the Mind. When one wishes in his mind to study the mantras he does it; when he wishes to perform works he does them; when he wishes for children or cattle he has them; when he wishes for this region or that, he has it; the Mind is life, the Mind is regions, the Mind is Brahms. Do ye adore the Mind.
- 2. "(Hear from me what is the reward of him), who adores the Mind as Brahma. He who adores the Mind as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of the Mind becomes able to perform whatever he desires." "Is there any thing, O Lord, greater than the Mind?" "There is something even greater than the Mind." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

### SECTION IV.

- 1. "Verily Will; is even greater than the Mind. When one wills he desires; next he articulates speech in a name; in
  - \* Phylanthus emblica.
  - + Terminalia Chebula.
- \*\* Sankalps," says Sankara, " is the power which, after determining what I fit and what is not fit to be done, impels the mind to do that which should be done " It is the same as determining reason of Leibnitz, and the sctivity of the French philosophers. We have used will as its equivalent with reference to Dr. Read's definition of the word me given in his Essays on the Active Powers (Essay II. Chap. I.) He says: "Every man is conscious of a power to determine in things which he conceives me depend upon his determination; to this power we give the name of will. By the intellect we know or understand, by the sensitivity we feel or desire, and by the will determine to do or not to do, to do this or do that."

that name mantras identify themselves; and in the mantras abide all ritual works.

- 2. "Of a truth those (works) have an only support in Will; they have the Will for their soul; they abide in the Will. The heaven and the earth are united (as by will)\* the air and the sky are united (as by will); water and heat are united (as by will). By their union the year is formed. By the formation (sanklriptyai), of the year aliment is produced (sanklapate). By the production (sanklriptai) of aliment, animated, creatures are produced (sanklapate). By the production (sanklriptyai) of mantras ritual works are produced (sanklapante). By the production (sanklriptyai) of ritual works (their) fruition me produced (sanklapante). By the production (sanklriptye) of fruition the earth is produced (sanklapate). Even thus is Will (sanklapa). Do thou adore Will.
- 3. "He who adores the Will as Brahma abides permanently and without pain, in the permanent, renowned and painless regions of Will. He who adores Will as Brahma, the moment he obtains the regions of Will, becomes able to perform whatever he desires."

"Is there, O Lord, any thing greater than Will?" "There is something even greater than Will." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

# SECTION V.

- 1. Verily Sensitivity is t even greater than Will. When one
- There is a play upon the word Sankaipa, from the verb Sanklaip "to unite," which cannot be preserved in the translation.
- † The word in the original is shifts, from shift to think or reflect. "Sankars defines II as "the nature of thinkingness, that which has the knowledge of the present time, and which has the power of knowing the use of the past and the future." 'अ में जित्रकार प्राप्त कार्य का

feels, he wills, next he desires, he then articulates speech, which merges into a name, in that name the mantras identify themselves, and in the mantras abide all ritual works.

- 2. "Of a truth those works have an only support in Sensitivity; they have Sensitivity for their soul; they abide in Sensitivity. Therefore were one well versed in many s'astras to be without Sensitivity, people would say with reference to him, "Whatever he knows is nothing, for he knows not (what is) Sensitivity." While all wish to hear him who with a little knowledge (of the s'astras) possesses Sensitivity. Verily Sensitivity is the one source of all these; Sensitivity is the soul; Sensitivity is the stand-point (pratisthá). Do thou adore Sensitivity.
- 3. "He who adores Sensitivity as Brahma, abides permanently with renown and painlessness in permanent, painless and renowned regions. He who adores Sensitivity as Brahma, the moment he obtains the regions of Sensitivity, becomes able to perform whatever he desires." "Is there, O Lord, any thing greater than Sensitivity?" "There is something even greater than Sensitivity." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

## SECTION VI.

1. "Verily Reflection is even greater than Sensitivity. The

इदं बंद्यवं प्राप्तनित प्राप्तकाववद्यको बद्धवृद्धि चेतनात्थी वित्रात्थी द्वित्रात्था द्वित्रविष्ठे प्राप्त विद्याप्त विद्य वि

earth abides as if in Reflection; \* the sky abides as if in Reflection; the heaven abides as if in Reflection; the water abides as if in Reflection; the mountains abide as if in Reflection, even gods and men abide if in Reflection. Therefore those who attain greatness among men become as it were partakers of Reflection. So do those who are unmindful, quarrelsome, cruel and slanderous, become as it were partakers of Reflection. Do thou adore Reflection.

2. Listen what is the reward of him who adores Reflection as Brahma. He who adores Reflection as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of Reflection, becomes able to perform whatever he desires?" "Is there, O Lord, anything greater than this Reflection?" "There is something even greater than this Reflection." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

# SECTION VII.

1. "Verily Knowledge† is even greater than Reflection. From Knowledge men know the Rig Veda, the Yajur Veda, the Sama Veda, fourth the Atharva, fifth the Itihasa and Purana, grammar, rituals, the science of numbers, physics, chronology, logic, polity, technology, the sciences cognate to the Vedas, the science of spirits, archery, astronomy, the science of autidotes, the fine arts,‡ the heaven, the earth, the air, the sky, the water, light, the gods, men, birds, grasses and trees, wild beasts, as also worms, insects, and ants, virtue, vice, truth, untruth, the honest and the disbonest, him who knoweth the heart as well as him who

<sup>\*</sup> The word in the original is upper dhyans from dhyan "to meditate" and consequently to be in a state of repose. The phrase dhyayati (remains) iva (like) prithivi (the earth) therefore means, the earth remains as if in repose; but the original has a play on the word dhyaya, which it is desirable should be indicated in the translation.

<sup>†</sup> Vijians or the cognition of the meaning of the sastras. Sankars.

Tride note at page 117.

knoweth it not, aliment, humours, and this region and that :—all these are known through Knowledge. Do thou adore Knowledge.

2. "He who adores Knowledge as Brahma, abides in wisdom in the regions of Knowledge.\* He who adores Knowledge as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of Knowledge, becomes able to achieve whatever he desires." "Is there, O Lord, any thing greater than Knowledge?" "There is something yet greater than Knowledge." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

## SECTION VIII.

- 1. "Verily Power is even greater than Knowledge. Even a single powerful man can make a hundred men of knowledge tremble. When one becomes powerful he rises; rising he becomes subservient (to his tutors);† subserving he becomes their favourite companion.‡ From being a favourite companion he becomes well taught, well informed, docile, intelligent, able to act, and full of knowledge. Of a truth the earth is supported by power; the ethereal space, the heaven, mountains, men and gods, beasts and birds, grasses and trees, wild animals, as also worms, insects, ants and even the world, are supported \$ by Power. Do thou adore Power.
- 2. "Listen what is the reward of him who adores Power as Brahma. He who adores Power as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of power, becomes able to perform whatever he desires." "Is there anything, O Lord, superior to Power?" "There is something yet greater than Power." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

<sup>\*</sup> Regions of jūána and vijūána.

<sup>†</sup> In the Sanskrit original the phrase "by power" is repeated after every object named.

<sup>‡</sup> उपस्ता " companion, munate and favourite;" समित्रवीदमर क्रांत्रियो सर्वीत ।

६ इहा drashla वाचार्थासाकाम चे।पदेष्ट म्हेर्हही सर्वात ।

### SECTION IX.

- 1. "Verily Aliment is even greater than Power; for were one to fast for ten nights he would be unable to see, unable to hear, unable to think, unable to consider, unable to act, and unable to acquire knowledge. While by consuming Aliment he is enabled to see, to hear, to think, to consider, to act and to acquire knowledge. Do thou adore Aliment.
- 2. "He who adores Aliment as Brahma, acquires regions replete with food and drink. He who adores Aliment as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of Aliment, becomes able to achieve whatever he desires." "Is there anything, O Lord, greater than Aliment?" "Yes, there is something yet greater than Aliment." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

### SECTION X.

- 1. "Verily Water is even greater than Aliment; for were seasonable rain not to fall, all animals would become wretched from a dread of Aliment being scantily produced; while the fall of seasonable rain cheers up all living beings by offering the prospect of food in plenty. Water is the first form of all these; even of this earth, of the sky, of the heaven, of mountains, men and beasts, of birds, grasses and trees, of wild animals, worms, insects, and auts, Water is the first form (archtype). Do thou adore Water.
- 2. "He who adores Water as Brahma attains all that can be desired and is contented. He who adores Water as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of Water, becomes able to achieve whatever he desires." "Is there anything, O Lord, greater than Water?" "Yes, there is something yet greater than Water." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

# SECTION XI.

1. "Verily Heat is even greater than Water. Of a truth through the medium of the air it heats the sky, then do men say'

"It is warm, it is hot, it will rain." Thus is Water created after the manifestation of Heat. When thunder-claps roar with the high-flying and tortuous lightning, mankind proclaim, "It flashes, it thunders, it will rain." Thus is Water created after the manifestation of Heat. Do thou adore Heat.

2. "He who adores Heat as Brahma abides with splendour in regions devoid of darkness and replete with heat and light. He who adores Heat as Brahms, the moment he attains the regions of Heat, becomes able to achieve whatever he desires." "Is there. O Lord, anything greater than Heat?" "Yes, there is something yet greater than Heat?" "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

### SECTION XII.

- 1. "Verily Space\* is even greater than Heat. Of a truth, both the sun and the moon (exist) in Space, and so do the lightning, the stars and heat. Men speak through Space, hear through Space, and rehear through Space; they delight in Space and delight not in Space; they are born in Space and merge into Space. Do ye adore Space?
- 2. "He who adores Space as Brahma abides in radiant and etherial regions of mighty extent, where exists no pain or disease. He who adores the Space as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of Space, becomes able to achieve whatever he desires." "Is there anything, O Lord, greater than Space?" "Yes, there is something yet greater than Space." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

# SECTION XIII.

1. Verily Memory is even greater than Space. Were there to be many (people present) and not remembered, they would not be heard, or thought of, or known. But when they are remembered they are heard, thought of and known. Through me-

<sup>\*</sup> Kkin'n,--" Space" or " ather."

mory a father recognises his children and a master his cattle. Do ye adore Memory?

2. Listen, what is the reward of him who adores Memory as Brahma. He who adores Memory as Brahma, the moment he attains the regions of Memory, becomes able to achieve whatever he desires." "Is there anything, O Lord, greater than Memory?" Yes, there is something yet greater than Memory." Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

## SECTION XIV.

- 1. "Verily Hope is even greater than Memory. Of a truth through the nourishment of hope Memory recites mantras, performs ceremonial works, desires children and cattle, and longs for this region and that." Do ye adore Hope?
- 2. "He who adores Hope as Brahma has all his desires fulfilled through Hope. His blessings become infallible who adores Hope as Brahma. The moment he attains the regions of Hope he becomes able to perform whatever he desires." "Is there anything, O Lord, greater than Hope?" "Yes, there is something even greater than Hope." "Will it please my Lord to explain that unto me?"

# SECTION XV.

- 1. "Verily Pranat is even greater than Hope. Of a truth as the spokes of a wheel are all attached to the nave so are all things
  - \* i. c. for supremacy on Earth and in Heaven.
- The word prime has been variously translated in the preceding pages as "life," "sout" or the "vital air," according to the context of the passages in which it has occurred with the words preceding and following it. Here reference is, no doubt, made to vitality or life, but as the value of the discussion depends in a great measure upon the meaning we attach to this word, we prefer to insert it bodily rather than run the risk of misinterpreting our author by using an English equivalent of doubtful import. According to the sense we attach to this word the Upanishad becomes a supporter of the different doctrines of animism, organicism, dynamicism and the like.

attached to Prána. The vital air moves through Prána;\* Prána gives vitality, it gives animation to animals; Prána is father, Prána is mother, Prána is brother, Prána is sister, Prána is tutor, Prána is Bráhmana.

- 2. "Were one (therefore) to say anything offensive to his father, or mother, or brother, or sister, or tutor, or a Bráhmana people would turn round and say unto him, "Shame unto thee, thou art a parricide, thou art a matricide, thou art a fratricide, thou art a sorroricide, thou art a magisterocide, thou art a Brahmanacide."
- 3. "But when one thrusts a poker into the side of those (people) when they are dead (and placed on the funeral pyro†) people do not call him. 'Thou art a parricide, thou art a matricide, thou art a fratricide, thou art a sorroricide, thou art a magisterocide, thou art a Bráhmanacide.'
- 4. "Verily Prána for certain is all these. He (who knows the Prána) observing, thinking and knowing them (the relations) thus (i. e. to be what they are) becomes an Alivádi.† Were one to ask him, 'Art thou an ativádi?' he replies, 'I am an ativádi,' and does not suppress the fact."

# SECTION XVI.

(Nárada was satisfied by this instruction and remained silent; but Sanatkumára, finding him to be a worthy pupil, continued,)

He is really an *ativádi* who can with true knowledge say, "I am he." "O Lord (said Nárada), I wish to become an *ativádi* through truth." (Sanatkumara replied.) "Truth therefore is wor-

Lit. Prána moves by Prána.

<sup>†</sup> Adverting to the practice of facilitating the cremation of dead bodies by stirring the fire and altering the position of the limbs with a poker. The argument of the text is, that life is the object of relationship and not the material body.

<sup>;</sup> i. c. one who, having exceeded the several objects onumerated from "name" (section I.) to "flope," say "life is the cause of all things;" "life is every thing."

thy of enquiry." (Nárada returned) "Truth, O Lord, is sought by me."

### SECTION XVII.

1. (Sanatkumára said,) "When one knows (the truth) he speaks the truth; the ignorant does not speak the truth; the conscient alone speaks the truth; knowledge (Vijnána) therefore is worthy of enquiry." "That knowledge, O Lord," (said Narada) " is sought by me."

## SECTION XVIII.

I. (Sanatkumára said) "When one has zeal\* to learn he knoweth the truth; he who is unwilling does not know the truth; the zealons alone knoweth the truth; zeal therefore is worthy of enquiry." "That zeal, O Lord," (said Nárada) "is sought by me."

# SECTION XIX.

1. "When one has faithful alone is zealous; the faithless is not possessed of zeal; the faithful alone is zealous; faith therefore is worthy of enquiry." "That faith, O Lord," (said Nárada) " is sought by me."

# SECTION XX.

- 1. "When one has reverence; he acquires faith; the irreverent is not possessed of faith; the reverent alone is possessed of faith; reverence therefore is worthy of enquiry." That reverence, O Lord," (said Nárada) "is sought by me."
  - \* Mati सति an ardent desire for any object of thought
  - † Sraddha अक्षा belief in the existence of the truth जास्तिका
  - 1 Nightha fagg respectful attention to the service of tutors

### SECTION XXI.

"When one can controul his passions he possesses reverence. The man of rampant passions can never have reverence; the quiet alone can have reverence; Quietude therefore is worthy of enquiry." "That Quietude, O Lord," (said Nárada) "is sought by me."

### SECTION XXIL.

"When one wishes for Felicity he acquires quietude; he who has no such wish, acquires not quietude; the anxious for Felicity alone acquires quietude; Felicity therefore is worthy of enquiry." "That Felicity, O Lord," (said Nárada) "is sought by me."

# SECTION XXIII.

"That which is Immensity" is felicity, there is no felicity in Exiguity; Immensity alone is felicity; Immensity therefore worthy of enquiry." "That Immensity, O Lord," (said Nárada) "is sought by me."

# SECTION XXIV.

- I. "That, into which none can see, of which none can hear, and which none can know, is Immensity. That into which one other can see, of which another can hear, and which another can know, is Exiguity. Verily, that which is Immensity is immortal, and that which is Exiguity is mortal." "Where doth that Immensity abide, my Lord?" (enquired Nárada). "It abideth in its own glory, or (if you enquire where is that glory, I say) it doth not abide in its glory.
- 2. "Cattle and horses are said to be (emblems of) glory, so are elephants, gold, servants, wife and extensive fields: I al-
- \* The word blums agen, "great without limit" विद्विष्यक्र, in the original Sanskrit, in the masselline gender. For obvious reasons we have made neuter.

lude not to them: I say," continued he, "independent objects\* can alone abide in each other."

# SECTION XXV.

- 1. "Verily that Immensity extends from below, it extends from above, it extends from behind, it extends from before, it extends from the south, it extends from the north—of a truth it is all this." Next it is egoistically defined: "Verily I extend from below, I extend from above, I extend from behind, I extend from before. I extend from the north—of a truth I am all this."
- 2. Next it is psychically defined: "Verily the Soul extends from below, the Soul extends from above, the Soul extends from behind, the Soul extends from before, the Soul extends from the south, the Soul extends from the north—of a truth the Soul is all this. He, who is aware of this, seeing the Soul thus, thinking it thus, and knowing it thus, becomes (even in this life) one whose entire devotion is to the Soul, whose recreation is in the Soul, whose helpmate is the Soul, and whose felicity is the Soul. (In after life) he becomes self-resplendent. He is able to accomplish whatever he desires in all the regions of the universe. Those who believe otherwise, having others for their masters, go to perishable regions. For them nothing is accomplished in any of the regions of the universe.

# SECTION XXVI.

1. "For him who thus seeth, thus believeth, and thus knoweth, the vital airs proceed from the Soul; desire proceeds from the Soul; memory proceeds from the Soul; space proceeds from the Soul; heat proceeds from the Soul; water proceeds from the Soul; birth and death proceed from the Soul; aliment proceeds

<sup>·</sup> Lit, unlike om abide in unlike.

from the Soul; power proceeds from the Soul; knowledge proceeds from the Soul; reflection proceeds from the Soul; sensitivity proceeds from the Soul; will proceeds from the Soul; the mind proceeds from the Soul; speech proceeds from the Soul; names proceed from the Soul; mantras proceed from the Soul; sacrifices proceed from the Soul—verily all these proceed from the Soul.

2. "Thereof is the verse that man who knoweth this confronts not death nor disease, nor doth he meet with pain and suffering. He observes every thing, and attains every thing in every way." He is one (before creation), he becomes trifid, he becomes pentafid, he becomes septafid, he becomes nonafid; he becomes divided into eleven—into a hundred parts; he becomes ten and one; he becomes a thousand; he becomes twenty.† By the purity of his aliment he becomes purified in his nature; by the purification of his nature he verily gets memory; and by the attainment of memory all the attachments of his body are severed." Thus unto him whose passions were overcome did Lord Sanatkumára, explain what is beyond darkness. Hence is this (portion of the Upanishad) called his section—hence is it called his section.

<sup>\*</sup> Lit. observer.

<sup>†</sup> The commentator explains the object of these numerals to be indicate the susceptibility of the Soul to assume innumerable forms.

# EIGHTH CHAPTER.

# SECTION I.

1. Hari, Om! Now, within this habitation of Brahma (the human body Brahmapura) there is a small lotus-like chamber, and within it s minute vacuity (antarákás'a\*). That which is within the vacuity is worthy of search; that, verily, should be inquired after.

Again, although to the believer in the unity of the Soul, there can be no such distinctions as those of the goer, going and the place to go, for from those distinctions of ignorance, proceed the ideas of origin, existence, and end; and all such accidents subside in his own self, like the lightning in the sky, the wind (is space) and heat in

<sup>1.</sup> Although it has been shewn in the sixth and seventh chapters that Brahma is independent of all distinctions of quarters, space and time, that it is "truth (sat) alone without a second," and that it is indicated by the phrase, "The soul is all this;" yet for the edification of men of weak minds, whose understandings cannot easily contemplate an object having no distinctions of quarters, space and the like, and yet who cannot obtain their salvation without making that Brahma the object of their adoration (प्रमार्थ विषय); a spot in the lotus-like heart is to be pointed out. Besides, although the Soul is without qualities and can be indicated by the single epithet "truth only," yet for the good of men of weak minds, who cannot comprehend other than human qualities, the Brahma to be described as possessed of truth, desire, and the like qualities. Further, although the knowers of Brahma generally abstract themselves from women and such other objects, yet as the desire for worldly enjoyments, cherished by the indulgence of many transmigrations, is not to be easily subdued, the particular practices of Brahmacharya, &c. are to be inculcated.

<sup>\*</sup> i. e. Brahma called akies or space. It is said elsewhere a his name ■ akies'a, &c." The word is intended to imply that he is, like space, incorporeal, and all-pervading.

- 2. Were (his pupils) to ask him (who makes the preceding remark), "Since within this habitation of Brahma, there is a lotus-like chamber, and within it a minute vacuity, what is within it, that is worthy of search? that verily should be enquired after?"
- 3. He would say, "Verily as *extensive* is space, so is the vacuity within the heart. Both the earth and the heaven exist within it. Both Agni, and Váyu, both the sun and the moon, as also lightning and the stars, and whatever *else* exist in this (universe) as well as what do not—all exist within this vacuity."
- 4. Were (his papils) to ask him (who thus responds), "If within this habitation of Brahma are lodged all these objects, all these created objects and all these human desires, when (the body) wasteth and dies, which for certain it does, what remains behind?"
- 5. He says, The diseases of the body can never reduce it to decrepitude, nor the slaughter of the body effect its destruction. This habitation of Brahma is verily an everlasting truth. In it dwell all human desires. It is the Soul, it is far from all vice, it is not subject to death; it is immortal and above affliction. It is neither afflicted by hunger nor thirst. Truthful is its wish; and truth is its resolve. As (evanescently) pass away the rewards of the subjects who become obedient to what is ordained (by kings) and obtain according to their wish—this or this—a province or a field,—
- 6. "As this region, obtained through works, runs to waste (or passes away) and that region, which may be obtained through virtue, passes away,—so do they who live without knowing the Soul. For them all these truthful desires become unfruitful in every region. For them who live with a knowledge of the Soul all truthful desires become fruitful in all regions.

the burnt fuel, yet for those whose intellect is affected by the ideas of goer, going, &c. and, who adore the Brahma possessed of qualities and represented by a spot in the heart, a translation through the meridional artery (bazelar artery?) is to be pointed out in this chapter.

# SECTION II.

- 1. "Should be desire the region of Pitri\* be attains it with glory, for verily the moment be wishes it, the Pitris receive him with welcome.
- 2. "Next, should be desire the region of Mátri,† he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Mátris receive him with welcome.
- 3. "Next, should be desire the region of Bhratri, the attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Bhratris receive him with welcome.
- 4. "Next, should be desire the region of Swas; i, he attains it with glory, for verily the moment be wishes it, the Swas; is receive him with welcome.
- 5. "Next, should be desire the region of Sakhá, he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Sakhás receive him with welcome.
- 6. "Next, should be desire the region of Gandhamálya,¶ he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Gandhamályas receive him with welcome.
- 7. "Next, should be desire the region of Annapána," he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Annapánas receive him with welcome.
- "Next, should he desire the region of Gitabaditra,† he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Gitabaditras receive him with welcome.
- 9. "Next, should be desire the region of Strí, 1 he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, the Stris receive him with welcome.
- 10. Whatever country he desires, whatever he desires, he attains it with glory, for verily the moment he wishes it, it abideth for him.

<sup>\*</sup> Father. † Mother. ‡ Brother. § Sister. | Friends. ¶ Essence and garland.

<sup>\*</sup> Food and drink. † Song and music. ‡ Women.

# SECTION III.

- 1. "They, the truthful desires, are enveloped in untruth. Of those truthful objects there is a false covering. He who is translated from this (world) to that, is never again beheld in this world.
- 2. Whatever man desires and gets not, whether it be, such (of his relatives, and friends,) as are alive, or such as are dead, or whatever else it be (be it food, raiment or drink); all those might be obtained within this (vacuity in the heart); therein dwell those truthful desires, which have untruth for their envelope. As those who are ignorant of the nature of (mineral) beds might pass repeatedly over an undiscovered mine of gold and find it not, so do mankind daily retire to this vacuity (in their sleep) and yet being misled by untruth, they find not this Brahmaloka.
- 3. "Verily that Soul (átmá) abideth in the heart! Of a truth its epithet is *kridyayam*.\* Therefore he who knows it daily retires to the region of sarga (heaven) in his heart.
- 4. "He who has confidence in this, rising from this body and attaining a noble body of light, abides in his own form. This is the (description of the) Soul." He (the narrator in reply to his pupils) continued: "That Soul is deathless; it is devoid of fear; it is Brahma. Of this Brahma the (proper) name is Satya (truth).
- 5. Verily (that Brahma is indicated by) these three syllables with Satiya. Thereof the syllable s w implies immortality; the syllable st wit earthliness, and the syllable y w is a particle which joins the other two, and since it joins the two it means restraint of passions. He who knows this attains the region of Sarga.

# SECTION IV.

- 1-2.\* Now, that which is the Soul (átmá) is a bridge; it is a support for the preservation of all these worlds from destruction. This bridge cannot be crossed by day nor by night, nor by disease, nor by death, nor grief, nor virtue, nor vice. All defects depart herefrom. This region of Brahma is devoid of vice. Crossing this bridge the blind cease to be blind, the wounded cease to be wounded, the afflicted cease to be afflicted. Hence verily on crossing this bridge nights become days. For certainly ever-refulgent is the region of Brahma.
- 3. For him verily exists this Brahma-loka, who can attain it through Brahmacharya. His desires are satisfied in every region.

# SECTION V.

- 1. Now, that which is called Yajāu† is Brahmacharya. The man who acquires a knowledge of the Brahma-loka through Brahmacharya, attains the region of Brahma. That which is called Ishta‡ is Brahmacharya. Through Brahmacharya is that Soul attained which is Ishta.
- 2. Now that which is called Sátráyana is Brahmacharya. By Bramacharya doth one serve his own self (Ktmú). That which is called Manna is Brahmacharya. Through Bramacharya is that Soul known and meditated upon.
- 8. Now that which is called Anás akáyanam (fasting) is Brahmacharya. That soul is not destroyed which is known through Brahmacharya. That which is called Aranyáyana is Brahmacharya. Ara means an "ocean" and nya means an ocean; and these two oceans abide in the region of Brahma. In that

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Roer's text does not mark the end of the first verse, and I have no MS. accessible to help me—probably the first verse ends at the phrase, "is devoid of vice."

<sup>†</sup> Yajiia the offering of burnt marifice.

<sup>1</sup> Ishta adoration.

<sup>§</sup> Satrayans, feeding hermits and the poor.

Arasyáyana, dwelling in forcets for religious purposes.

third heaven from this there are a delightful tank full of gruel, an *amoutha* tree from which exudes nectar, and a palace of gold built by Brahma and named *Aparájita*.\* They belong to Brahma.

4. For them is reserved this region of Brahma who know through Brahmacharya the two oceans are and nye. Their desires are accomplished in all regions.

# SECTION VI.

- 1. Now, the arteries of the heart exist steeped in a brown etherial fluid,—yea in a white, a blue, a yellow, a red etherial fluid. Verily the Sun exists as brown, as white, as blue, as yellow, as red.
- 2. As a main road with a village at each end, meets both this and that,† so do the rays of the sun meet both this region and that. From that sun they spread. They enter these arteries. Thence they spread. They enter the sun.
- 3. When man is so asleep that all his faculties are devoid of action and his feelings are at rest, he dreams not. Then is he abiding in these arteries. Then can no sin fasten on him. Then is he refulgent in light.
- 4. Now, when he is diseased and about to die, those around him enquire, "Do you recognise me?" Ite recognises them as long as he does not depart from his body.
- 5. When he quits his body he rises upwards with the aid of the rays aforesaid, resounding Om. When his mind ceases to act he attains the sun. That is the way to the region above. It is open to the learned, but closed to the ignorant.
- 6. Thereof is the verse: "There are a hundred and one; arteries issuing from the heart; one of them penetrates the

That which cannot be attained except through Brahmacharya.

<sup>†</sup> i. e. both the near and the off village.

In order to imply others, the commentator qualifies the number by adding that they are the principal arteries.

crown of the head. The man, who departs this life through that artery, secures immortality.\* The rest of the arteries lead to various transitions,—they lead to various transitions."

### Secreton VII.

- 1. "He, who is the Soul, who is bereft of sin,—He, who I not subject to decay, death or repining,—He, who eats not, nor feels the sensation of thirst,—He who is all truthful in his wishes and his resolves,—even He should be sought for and enquired after. He attains all his wishes, he attains all the regions who, having enquired, knoweth the Soul." Of a truth, thus said Prajapati.
- 2. Verily, thus knew the Devas and Asuras. They said, "We shall enquire after that Soul by knowing which all regions as well as all desires may be attained." Then did Indra, among the Devas, and Virothana among the Asuras, proceed forth, without communicating with each other.† Sacrificial fuel in hand; both repaired to Prajapati.
- B. They lived as Brahmacharis for the period of 32 years, Unto them said Prajapati, "With what intent do you abide here?" They replied, "The learned believe it to be your lordship's saying, 'That the Soul which is without ain, which is not subject to decay, death or repining; which eats not, nor feels the sensation of thirst; and whose wishes and resolves are all-truthful—even such a Soul should be sought for and enquired after; and that he attains all the regions and all his wishes who, having enquired, knoweth that Soul.' Wishing to know that we abide here."
- 4. Unto them said Prajapati, "The being that you perceive within the eyes is that Soul;" and, added "it is Brahma, the deathless and fearless." [The pupils, taking him literally

<sup>•</sup> चमत्म The state of deathlessness.

<sup>†</sup> From a feeling of enty.

<sup>2</sup> Alluding to the custom of Brahmscharys which requires that men should, when going to their tutors, carry some such fuel as are meet for fire ascrifice.

It. the male parasis. That which the Yogis perceive with their closed the and undisturbed and contented mind; adds the commentators

and believing the Brahma to be a mere shadow, enquired:]

Which is it, revered Sir, that you allude to, the shadow that is seen in water, or that which is perceived in a mirror?"

Prajapati returned, "Of a certain it is perceived in both."

# SECTION VIII.

- 1. (Prajápati said), "Go and view yourselves in that panful of water, and should you fail to know the Soul, enquire of me." They beheld themselves in a panful of water. Unto them said Prajápati, "What do ye behold?" They replied, "We behold ourselves in this, Sir, pictures of ourselves to the very hair and nails."
- 2. Unto them said Prajapati, "Go, and having cleaned your persons and adorned yourselves with costly ornaments and rich slothing, behold yourselves in that panful of water." They, having cleansed their persons and put on costly ornaments and rich clothing, beheld themselves in a panful of water. Of them enquired Prajapati, "What do you perceive?"
- 3. They two replied; "Sir, as we are well adorned, well-dressed and cleanly, so do we behold ourselves in this, well-adorned, well-dressed and cleanly." He said, "That is Brahma, the deathless, and fearless." They two went away satisfied.
- 4. Prajapati, observing them, said, "Since these two are going away without attaining or knowing the Truth, this instruction will be for the defeat of the Devas and Asuras." He Virochana, with a feeling of satisfaction, repaired to the Asuras, and unto them imparted this instruction: "Self alone is adorable; in this (world) self alone should be served; by adoring and serving one's self both this and the other world may be attained."
- 5. Therefore thenceforward the Asuras give no alms, have no faith in good works, and officiate at no sacrifice; hence are they called Asuras. This is their Upanishad (canon). Their dead are besmeared with aromatics and adorned with ornaments and costly raiments, and they think that thereby they will overcome this region and that.

# SECTION IX.

- 1. Now, Indra, without going to the Devas, felt frightened, (saying,) "Verily, this (shadow) becomes well adorned when the body is well-adorned, and well-dressed when (the body) is well-dressed, and clean when the body is clean. Again it becomes blind when the body is blind, defective when the body defective, and mutilated when the body is mutilated. Further, on the destruction of this body it is destroyed. I can see no good in this."
- 2. Therefore, with sacrificial fuel in hand he returned. Unto him said Prajápati, "You went away with Virochana, perfectly satisfied, O Maghavan: what do you wish by returning back?" He replied, "Since of a truth, O Lord, this (shadow) becomes well-adorned when the body is well-adorned, and well-dressed when the body is well-dressed, and clean when the body is clean; again it becomes blind when the body is blind, and defective when the body is defective, and mutilated when the body is mutilated; further, on the destruction of this body it is destroyed; I can see no good in this."
- 3. "Even so it is, Maghavan," said (Prajapati) and continued, "I shall again explain it unto you. Do you abide here for another thirty-two years." He there dwelt for another thirty-two years. Unto him said (Prajapati):

# SECTION X.

- 1. "That which enjoys in a dream the feeling of being gratified by the attainment of a coveted object,† is the Soul:" and continued, "it is deathless and fearless; it is Brahma." Verily he, (Indra,) went away satisfied, but before he reached the Devas he felt frightened (saying), "Verily, this feeler of dreams becomes
  - · Lit, having a flow of humours from the eyes or nose.
- † Lit. lives subserved. सञ्ची बसाय चरति ; Lives feeling the enjoyment of the dream that he is being subserved by his wife, servents, &c. स्वयोगसाय पुरासाय चरति सप्तिमान चुनासाय

not blind when the body is deprived of its eyes, and remains unmutilated when the body is mutilated; it is not affected by the defects of the body;

- 2. Nor destroyed by the destruction of the body, nor mutilated by its mutilation: it feels as if it is being destroyed, driven away, put to grief and to weeping. Verily, I can see no good in this."
- \*3. Therefore with sacrificial fuel in hand he returned. Unto him said Prajapati, "You went away satisfied, O Maghavan. What do you wish in returning back?" He replied, "Since it (the soul you have pointed out) becomes not blind when the body is deprived of its eyes, and remains unmutilated when the body is mutilated, it is not affected by the defects of the body;
- 4. "Nor destroyed by the destruction of the body, nor mutilated by its mutilation;—since it feels as if it is being beaten, driven away, put to grief and to weeping, I see no good in it." "Even so it is, Maghavan," said (Prajápati) and continued, "I shall again explain it unto you. Do you abide here for another thirty-two years." He dwelt there for another thirty-two years. Unto him said (Prajápati):

# SECTION XI.

- I. "That in which retiring, the sleeper is completely at rest and knows no dreaming, is the Soul," and continued, "It is deathless, and fearless; It is Brahma." Verily, he Indra went away satisfied, but before he reached the Devas he felt frightened, (saying), "Verily, it then knows not itself that I am this; nor does it know these elements thus (i. e. as they are); it seems to be altogether destroyed for the time. I can see no good in this."
- 2. Therefore with sacrificial fire in hand he returned. Unto him said Prajápati, "You went away satisfied, O Maghavan, what do you wish in returning back?" He replied, "It, (the soul you have pointed out,) knows not its own self that I am this, nor does it know these elements thus (i. c. as they are); it seems altogether destroyed for the time. I can see no good in this,"

3. "Even so it is," replied Prajapati (and continued.) "I shall again explain unto you this Soul, but nothing beside it. Do you abide here for five years more." He dwelt there for five years more; he completed a hundred and one years. Therefore do good people say, Maghavan dwelt with Prajapati for a hundred and one years as a Brahmacharin. Prajapati said unto him:

# SECTION XII.

- 1. "Of a truth this body is mortal, O Maghavan! It is subject to death. Yet is it a resting-place of the immortal and nuembodied Soul. When thus embodied, it is verily subject to desirable and repulsive objects. To the embodied there is no release from susceptibility to desirable and repulsive objects. Verily the nuembodied never somes in contact with desirable and repulsive objects.
- 2. "Unembodied are the wind and the clouds the lightning and the thunder. They are all without body. Issuing forth from yonder sky by the attainment of the great (solar) heat, they assume their respective forms.
- 3. "Even like unto them, man, issuing\* forth from his body by the attainment of the Great Light, assumes his own genuine form. He is (then) the best of men. He then lords it with eating and playing, and enjoying with woman, or equipages, or relatives, without thinking of the body. Even as cattle are attached to an equipage, so is the Soul (Prana) attached to the body.
- 4. "Now, within those spaces (Akas's orbits) are the eyes, and the eyes are intended for the observation of the Being who dwells within the eyes. He who willeth 'I shall smell' is the Soul

The issue here is metaphorical. It implies that the intelligent comes ■ a consciousness of his soul being distinct from his body, and in no way dependent upon it, and thus knowing it not to be his self he enjoys the pleasures of this world without thinking of the body i. e. without feeling attached to it. Nor are such pleasures prejudicial to his intelligence insampels as ■ je exactions of their true nature.

(Atmá,) wishing to inhale odours. Now, he who willeth 'I shall speak' is the Soul, wishing to articulate speech. Now, he who willeth 'I shall hear' is the Soul, wishing to hear sounds.

- 5. "Now, he who willeth 'I shall think' is the Soul thereof. The mind is the celestial eye, observing all objects of desire. By the aid of the mental celestial eye the Soul enjoys them all.
- \*6. "Now, because the Devas adored that Soul in the region of Brahma, therefore, they obtained all regions, and all their desires were fulfilled. He attains all regions and obtains all his desires, who, having duly enquired, knows the Soul." Thus said Prajápati, verily thus said Prajápati.

# SECTION XIII.

1. "From blackness I attain multicolor, from multicolor I attain blackness. Like unto the horse which shakes off all dust from its coat, or the moon which escapes from the mouth of Ráhu, I shall purify my body and, becoming free (by the aid of dhyána), attain, verily attain—the uncreate Brahmaloka."\*

# SECTION XIV.

- 1. "Verily that which is known as Akasat is made of name and form. That which is beyond the two (name and form) is Brahma; It is immortal: It is the universal Soul. I shall attain the audience chamber of Prajapati. I shall attain the glory of Brahmana. I shall attain the glory of kings, (Kshatrias) I shall attain the glory of Vaisyas; I desire all glory; I desire the glory of the glorious; I shall not enter again, no, I shall not enter the white toothless all devouring slippery object."
- The commentator explains that spines blackness means the all-pervading Brahms by acquiring a knowledge of which through dhyans, we attain the region of Brahms (sabars) and there we attain the mature of Brahms approximately
- † Lit. space, but intended here to mean the Universal Soul which, like space, illimitable and undefinable.

<sup>1</sup> The womb.

# SECTION XV.

1. Verily this was related by Brahmá to Prajápati, by Prajápati to Manu, and by Manu to mankind. Having studied the Veda in the house of a tutor, and having paid to the Guru what is his due, one should dwell with his family in a healthy country, reading the Vedas, bringing up virtuous sons and pupils, devoting himself with all his senses to the Universal Soul, and injuring no created being. Having lived thus as long if lasts, he attains the Brahmaloka. Thence he never returns, verily thence he never returns.

THE END.

# BIBLIOTHECA INDICA;

# COLLECTION OF ORIENTAL WORKS

PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATROMAGE OF THE

HOR. COURT OF DIRECTORS OF THE EAST INDIA COMPANY,

AND THE SUPERINTENDENCE OF THE

ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL.

VOL. XV.

Nos. 41 & 50.

THE

TAITFARIYA, AITAREYA, S'VETA'S'VATARA, KE'NA,

I'S'A' KATHA, PRAS'NA, MUNGAKA

AND

MARRIETA SPANISHADS.

TRANSLATED FROM THE ORIGINAL SANSCRIT.

By Dr. E. Röbb.

CALCUTTA:

PRINTED BY T. J. M'ARTHUR, EMPLOY'S COLLEGE PRESS.

— Quid porro aut præclarum putet in rebus humanis, qui hece deorum regna perspexerit, aut diuturaum, qui cognoverit quid ait acternum? (Scipio Afr. in Cicero's De re publica.—Lib. I, cap. 17.)

#### INTRODUCTION.

The Taittariya Upanishad forms a part of the Taittariya A'ranyaka, that is, of the A'ranyaka of the black Yajur Véda, chapters 7 to 9, and is also found in the collection of the Atharva Upanishads. In the former it bears no separate title; in the latter it is enumerated as two distinct Upanishads, the "A'nanda Valli" (the lotus of bliss), containing the first two chapters, and the "Bhrigu Valli" (the lotus of Bhrigu), containing the third chapter. S'ankara names the first chapter S'ikshá Valli (the lotus of the doctrine), and the second Brahmánanda Valli (the lotus of the joy in Bramha), but has no title for the last. It is one of the Upanishads, rendered by Anquetil from the Persian, and parts of it have been translated by Colebrooke,—the third chapter or Bhrigu Valli, and the prayer at the commencement of the first chapter (M. E. Vol. i pp. 76-78),—and by Weber, the whole of the second and third chapters (in his "Indische Studien," Vol. ii. pp 207—236.)

The Taittariya Upanishad consists of two parts, viz. of what is properly called an Upanishad, the doctrine of Bramha, or of the absolutely infinite Spirit, and of an introduction to it. This is formed by the first chapter or S'ikshá Vallí, which, in accordance with the systematical exposition of the Védánta, describes the course of instruction, and of the moral and mental training, preparatory to the initiating of the student in the science of Bramha. These previous conditions are the study of the S'ikshá properly so called, that is, of the doctrine of the pronunciation, quantity, &c. of Védaic words,—the meditation on certain words which symbolically express the truths of the doctrine and prepare the mind for its comprehension,—the meditation on the term "Om," the most sacred of all symbols, embracing as it does the real sense of all the Védas,—the meditation on Bramha in his relation to the individual soul, to the material creation,

and to the different deities,—and the necessity of ceremonial work, of the daily reading of the Védas and of a virtuous life in accordance with the precepts of the S'ástras. In short, it is the study of the Védas, the practice of sacred rites, and the leading of a holy life, which prepare for the reception of the highest knowledge.

The second chapter, or the Bramhánanda Vallí, contains the doctrine of the Taittariya Upanishad itself. To understand, however, fully, what is the view of it, in what points it resembles the Védánta as a system, and in what points it differs from it, it is necessary to know the doctrine of the Védánta with reference to the order of creation. Of this we shall, therefore, now give a compendious sketch, which we take from the Védánta Sára.

The cause of the world, according to the Védánta, the source of all reality, and strictly speaking, all reality itself, is Bramha, the supreme soul or spirit. He is to be thought without any qualities taken from our conception of the world; he is merely spirit, or as it is expressed by the Védánta, he is mere existence, knowledge (without difference of subject, object and their mutual relation) and bliss. Every thing else is non-existence and non-knowledge. The world in its most abstract notion is therefore to be conceived as ignorance, which does not exist absolutely, but must be comprehended by the cause, on which it depends,-God. It consists of the three qualities of goodness (Sattwa), passion or foulness, or activity, (Rajas), and darkness (Tamas), which denote the three degrees of its existence, or of its. power of manifestation. Ignorance is two-fold, viz. as totality, when all ignorance is one, and as speciality, or ignorance in individual beings. God in his relation to the totality of ignorance is omniscient, omnipotent, &c., that is, he has all the attributes of the creator and ruler of the world, and is called in this respect I's'wara (the ruler). God in his relation to special ignorance is the individual soul, the defective intelligence, Prajna. The ignorance in its totatily, is the causal body of God, that is to say, the cause of all created beings, ignorance in its speciality is the individual bodies. The causal body is also called the sheath of happiness, as it contains all happiness, and as it envelops all. From this ignorance are first created five elementary bodies, viz. ether, air, fire, water and earth, each of which is composed of the three qualities, (of which ignorance itself

consists) and respectively endowed with the special qualities of sound, touch, colour, savour and smell. These elements are called Tanmatras, or subtle elements, in contradistinction to the gross elements, of which the visible bodies are composed. From the combined particles of goodness in the five subtle elements, are produced intellect, (budhi, the faculty of ascertainment), and mind, (manas, the faculty of judging and doubting),-from the separate particles of the same, the five intellectual organs, the car, the eye, the touch, the nose and the tongue, viz. the ear from the separate particles of goodness in other, the eye from the separate particles of goodness in fire, &c.,further the five organs of action, the voice, the hands, the feet, the organ of excretion and the organ of generation from the separate particles of passion,-and lastly, the five vital airs, respiration, flatulence, circulation, pulsation and assimilation, from the combined particles of passion. Intellect, together with the intellectual organs, forms the intellectual sheath or case of the soul; mind, with the organs of action, the mental sheath; and the vital airs, together with the organs of action, form the vital sheath. The three sheaths, when united, are the subtle body of the soul, or the body which remains at the transmigration. Here again the subtle body is either a totality, or individuality. The soul, or God, as pervading the totality, is called the Sútrátma (the soul which pervades all as the same string passes through all the pearls of a wreath), or Hiranyagarbha, as pervading an individuality, Taijana (the Resplendent). Both, however, are in reality one. These three sheaths consist of the continuance of waking thoughts in dream, and in dream the Sútrátma as well as Taijana perceive the subtle objects.

From the subtle elements further proceed the gross elements of ether, air, fire, water and earth, by a peculiar combination of them,\* and from the gross elements the different worlds, as the heaven, the earth, &c., and the different bodies, as men, animals, &c.

The soul, as abiding in the totality of the gross bodies, is called Vais'wanara, Virát, &c., as abiding in any individual body, Vis'wa (the

<sup>\*</sup> Viz. in the proportion of four-eighth of the element, after which it bears the name, with an eighth of each of the other four.

pervader). The gross body is called the nutrimentitions sheath (Annamaya Kosha), and as it is the place where the gross objects are enjoyed, it is called awake. In the waking state Vais'wanara and Vis'wa enjoy the material sounds, colours, &c., through the five organs of intellect.

The totality of these worlds, viz. of the gross, the subtle and the causal bodies, forms one great world, and the soul, from the pervader to the ruler, is also only one soul.

How far the account of the Taittariya Upanishad corresponds to the above view, we shall see presently, after having given w survey of its contents. The second Valli commences with the following memorial verse of the Rig-Véda which, according to S'ankara, contains the sum total of the whole Upanishad: "Whoever knows Bramha who is existence, knowledge and infinity, as dwelling within the cavity (of the heart) in the infinite other, enjoys all desires at once, together with the omniscient Bramha."

From this infinite soul sprang forth the other, from the ether the air, from the air the fire, from the fire the water, from the water the earth, from the earth the annual herbs, from herbs food, from food seed, and from seed man. All creatures are produced from food, and pass again into food. To recognise this universal food as Bramha, is the first step of knowledge; the second is, to recognise him as vital air, the inner soul (átma) of the former, which pervades and supports it, the third to think Bramha as mind, which is a soul more internal than the former, the fourth to think him as knowledge, and the fifth as bliss, when all difference of the individual from the universal soul ceases.

Here arise the following questions: Does an ignorant person after his death obtain the supreme Bramba? and if not, does a person who knows god, obtain him also?

When Bramha had created all, he entered it. He is to be considered as the creation and the creator; the varieties of all that is perceived, did before the creation not exist (explicitly), they were one and the same (implicitly), and the creation must therefore be considered as a creation of Bramha himself. Bramha, the unchangeable, eternal being, is in fact constantly the foundation of the world; without him there would be no existence, no continuance, and all

living creatures would be annihilated at once. The knowledge of him as identical with the soul makes therefore free from fear, because thereby the notion of every difference of the soul from other beings is removed, and fear arises only from such a difference. A person on the contrary who does not know him, is subject to fear, because for him always difference exists.

The happiness then which ensues from various degrees of knowledge and existence, is various. Proportionate to their knowledge is the happiness of men, of man-Gandharvas, of divine Gandharvas, of the forefathers, of men born in the heaven of the gods, of gods by their nature, of Indra, of Brihaspati, of Prajápati, and of the one supreme Bramha. A person who has a knowledge of him, obtains him. Then all fear disappears for him, as well from external things as from his own conscience.

The third Valli gives a narrative in confirmation of the doctrine, taught in the preceding chapter, from which it is evident that the science of Bramha is not acquired at once, but that there are different stages, by which a person approaches to a clearer and clearer idea of God, and that the means of arriving at them is the practice of tamas, which denotes either austerity, or an elevation of knowledge. The third does not teach any thing new, but is only repetition of what was known before in another form.

We find in the Taittariya Upanishad the tenets, peculiar to the Védánta, already in a far advanced state of development; it contains as in a germ the principal elements of this system. The notion of Bramha, as the supreme spirit and as wholly distinct from the material world, is clearly defined. He is shown as the source of all reality, and in his relation to the world as its creator and preserver. We find here the doctrine of the five elements as the first creation of God, from which gradually all perceptible things are produced, and also the doctrine of the five sheaths, one the foundation of the other, by which the soul is enveloped. There are, however, differences. No distinction is made between subtle and gross elements, nor is it clear, how intellect, mind, the organs of intellect and of action, and the vital airs have been produced from them. We likewise do not understand, what relation the five sheaths bear to the five elements and to the soul; for If we consider the succession of the productions,

1

given by the Taittariya Upanishad, I appears, that they are not modifications of some of the elements or of all of them, but only of the earth; again it is nowhere stated, that the sheaths have been created independently of the other productions, and it appears, therefore, to be a legitimate conclusion that they are in some way a modification of the elements.

Dr. Weber, in our opinion, goes too far in asserting (Ind. Studien, Vol. ii. p. 210) that the first part of the Taittariya Upanishad is in no connexion with the other parts, that the composition of the whole Upanishad is merely formal, that many of its notions are fanciful, and that it exhibits little of the true spirit of speculation.

It is true, that the first part is not necessary for the comprehension of the doctrine, yet, according to the view of all the Upanishads and of the Védánta, a preparatory course of study is required, and this it is which is inculcated in the first part, and more systematically than in other Upanishads, for instance, the Brihadáranyaka, where the exposition of the science of Bramha is frequently interrupted by enquiries belonging to a previous investigation. It is true also, that the exposition is formal, as the sentences are composed after a fanciful form; but their regularity is not greater than of some of the finest Upanishads which are written in metre.

There are indeed a number of fanciful notions, especially with regard to the different gods, of whom a formidable system has been given. Still, all the gods introduced (with perhaps the exception of Prajápati who is a production of speculation,) are the popular gods of the Védas, and that they are brought into a system, is the natural consequence of speculation. All philosophy commences from ideas which existed previous to it, may they be religious ideas, or ideas referring to the mind, or to external nature. Philosophy consists in nothing else but in thinking of them, and it arises from the sole reason, that those ideas are found inconsistent, that they are contradictory in themselves. External things for instance, as they appear, are considered as existent, and in thinking them we find that they consist of qualities which are only relations to other things and to ourselves, and can therefore not express what really exists; or something is represented as God, that is, as omniscier, almighty, and

so on, and we afterwards find, that the same has been endowed with material, or finite attributes which do not agree with the other part of the notion. It I therefore on account of their own hollowness that we are compelled to abandon those notions and search for such as are perfectly consistent with themselves and with those to which they bear a necessary relation.

With reference to religious ideas, two alternatives are possible for philosophy, either to repudiate them altogether as false or inadequate, or to adopt them as a basis for its speculation. The latter is the way of accommodation, but even if this is followed, it must be remembered, that the results of its enquiry will be widely different from the basis from which it commenced. Thus it is with the Upanishads; they indeed acknowledge the gods of the Védas in name, but not in reality; for their whole nature is altered, since from the state of divinity they are degraded to beings of an inferior order, having lost the attributes of infinity and absoluteness.

In this respect the notions of the Taittariya Upanishad are not more fanciful than those of the other Upanishads. They arise from the system of accommodation, which pervades the Upanishads and in fact the whole philosophy of the Hindus. To it the greatest number of its defects must be ascribed. To mark the two principal ones, resulting from it, it deceives the common people by the appearance of an union of opinion with the highest flight of human intellect which does not really exist, and puts on the other hand a limit to speculation, and therefore to progress, by giving it an aim which is not its own, and which at the same time it is not to pass.

The Taittariya Upanishad, however, does not add to the received system; its ideas are those of the other Upanishads, only more systematically arranged than I is the case with the majority of them, and although it does not display the same vigour of thought as some other Upanishads do, yet, in our opinion, it belongs to the first series, preceding the Védánta philosophy, which appears to be confirmed by the high opinion in which this Upanishad is held by Hindu philosophers.

# TAITTARIYA UPANISHAD.

# L'iksha' Valli'.

# FIRST ANUVA'RA.

May Mittra grant us welfare,—Varuna grant us welfare,—Aryamas grant us welfare,—Indra (and) Brihaspati grant us welfare,—the far-stepping Vishou grant us welfare.—Salutation to Bramha,—Salutation to thee, O Váju.\*—Thou art even visibly Bramha†.—I will call thee even the visible Bramha,—I will call (thee) just,—I will call (thee) true.—May he (Bramha) preserve me,—preserve the speaker,—preserve me,—preserve the speaker.

Peace, peace !-- ‡

# SECOND ANUVA'KA.

We will explain the S'ikshá.—The letter,—the accent,—the quantity,—the effort,—the middle pronunciation and the continuation, are declared as the contents of the chapter of the S'ikshá.

#### THIRD ANUVA'KA.

1. May we both (the teacher and disciple) be glorious,—may we both have the light of the Véda. Next then, we will explain the Upanishad (the meditation on) of the Sanhitá, —in five topics,—viz.

<sup>\*</sup> According to S'ankara Mittra is the deity, presiding over the function of respiration (Prána) and over the day, Varuna over the function of flatulence (Apàna) and over the night, Aryamas over the eye and the sun, Indra over strength, Brihaspati over speech and intellect, Vishna over the feet.

<sup>+</sup> This and merely for the sake of praise.

<sup>‡</sup> Peace is said three times to remove the obstacles to knowledge, which may arise with reference to the soul, to the material sphere, and to the superintendence of deities.

<sup>§</sup> Sanhitá means a union either of letters to a word, or of words to a sentence, or of sentences to a more comprehensive composition, an expressive

as referring to the worlds, to splendours, to knowledge, to offspring, and to the soul.—They are called great Sanhitas.—Next, the topic, referring to the worlds.—The earth is the first syllable, (viz. San, of the word San-hi-tá) the heavens the last syllable (tá), the ether the union (hi).

- 2. The wind (Váju) the instrument of union,—this is the topic referring to the worlds.—Next, the topic referring to splendours,—Agni (fire) is the first syllable,—A'ditya (the sun) the last syllable,—the waters are the union,—the lightning is the instrument of union,—this is the topic, referring to splendours.—Next, the topic referring to knowledge,—the teacher is the first syllable,—
- 3. The disciple the last syllable,—knowledge the union,—the Véda the instrument of union,—this is the topic referring to knowledge.—Next, the topic referring to offspring;—the mother is the first syllable,—the father the last syllable,—the offspring the union,—the organ of generation the instrument of union,—this is the topic referring to offspring.
- 4. Next, the topic referring to the soul,—the lower jaw is the first syllable,—the upper jaw is the last syllable,—speech is the union,—the tongue the instrument of union,—this is the topic referring to the soul.—These are the great Sanhitas.—Whosoever knows the explanation of those great Sanhitas,—is united with offspring, cattle, the light of the Véda, estable food, and with heaven.

#### FOURTH ANUVA'KA.\*

1. May that Indra (here the supreme god in the form of Oni, the holicst word of the Védas), who has been manifested as the first

of the mutual connexion of any ideas, to which such a Sanhitá may be referred. It means therefore also a collection of hymns, as the Rig-Ve'da Ac., and is here especially meant as a collection of hymns according to each separate school. Such a Sanhitá is for instance the sentence: I'-aé-twa (I divide thee,) where the syllable I' may represent the earth, twá the heaven, sé the connexion of both, and the union of all these elements to one word the air. Mahasanhitá is, where there II a Sanhitá, and where the things in unity are of such comprehensiveness as the earth, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> This Anuváka contains the muttering of the Mantras and the oblations, necessary for a person who wishes to acquire understanding and prosperity.

of the Védas, comprising the nature of all,—being more immortal than the immortal Védas,—strengthen me with understanding.—O God, may I be the vessel of immortality (of the knowledge of Bramha, the cause of immortality).—May my body be able,—my tongue exceedingly sweet.—May I hear much with my ears.—Thou (viz. Om) art Bramha's sheath, enveloped by (common) understanding.—Preserve what (of the knowledge of Bramha) I have heard.—, The prosperity (Sri) which swiftly brings me clothes, increases my cows,—

- 2. And prepares for me always food and drink,—this prosperity,—rich in wool-clad flocks and other cattle, bring to me. Swáhá!\*
  —May the Bramha-students come to me, Swáhá!
- 3. Let me be glorious among men, Swáhá!—Let me be better than the wealthy, Swáhá!—O venerable, let me enter thee (viz. the word Om, the sheath of Bramha), Swáhá.—O venerable, do thou enter me, Swáhá—In thee, spreading in thousand branches,—O venerable, I shall be purified, Swáhá!—As the waters go downwards,—as the months go to the consumer of days (the year),—so let the Bramha-students, O Creator, approach me from everywhere, Swáhá!—(Thus) art thou a refuge,—do thou illuminate me, do thou make my like thy own nature.—

# FIFTH ANUVA'KA,+

- 1. Bhúr, Bhuvar and Suvar, these verily are the three mystical names.—The son of Maháchamasa,—revealed as the fourth among them,—Maha (the great one),—this is Bramha,—it is the soul,—the other deities are its members.—"Bhúr," verily is this world, "Bhuvar," the atmosphere,—"Suvar," that world.
- Maha A'ditya (the sun),—for by A'ditya increase all worlds.— Bhúr is verily Agni (the fire),—Bhuvar the wind,—Suvar A'ditya, —Maha the moon; for by the moon increase all splendours. Bhúr

<sup>\*</sup> Swaha is the exclamation, made before the offering.

<sup>+</sup> The meditation with regard to the Sanhitá, has been explained; the Mantras also, required to obtain understanding and prosperity, have been mentioned; now the intermediate meditation on Bramha, represented by the three mystical names, will be set forth—S

is the Mantras of the Rig,—Bhuvar the Mantras of the Sama,— Suvar the Mantras of the Yajur,—

3. Maha Bramha; for by Bramha all the Védas increase. Bhúr verily is the life, by which breath is taken,—Bhuvar the life which descends,—Suvar the life which equalises,—Maha food;—for by food all functions of life are increased.—These four are verily four-fold;—there are four times four mystical names. Whoseever knows them, knows Bramha;—all the gods (as his parts) convey power to him.—

#### SIXTH ANUVA'KA.\*

- 1. In the ether, abiding within the heart,—is placed the Purusha (soul) whose nature is knowledge,—who is immortal, radiant like gold.—(The artery, Sushumna by name (the coronal artery) which springs forth from the upper part of the heart, and proceeds) between the two arteries of the palate,—and (within the piece of flesh), which like a breast is hanging down,—then, after having made its way through the head and skull,—(terminates) where the root of the hair is distributed,—this (artery) is the birth-place (the road) of Indra (of Bramha).—By (the mystical name of) Bhur (the sage) gets the same with fire (with the superintending deity of fire),—
- 2. By (the mystical name of) Bhuvar with the wind,—by (the mystical name of) Suvar with A'ditya (aun),—by (the mystical name of) Maha with Bramba;—he obtains his kingdom,—he obtains the ruler of the mind,†—he becomes the ruler of speech, the ruler of the eye,—the ruler of the ear,—the ruler of knowledge;—He then becomes this, viz. Bramba, whose body is the ether,—who is the real soul, who sports in life, whose mind is joy—whose peace is abundant, who is immortal.—In this manner, O Práchiuayogya, (the name of a disciple) worship (the Bramba, as mentioned).—

<sup>\*</sup> In the preceding Anuvaka the deities have been specified as the parts of Bramha, represented by the mystical names; the present describes the ether of the heart, &c., as the place of the perception and meditation of Bramha, and the manuer, in which the state of the universal soul may be obtained.—S

<sup>+</sup> Bramba, who is the ruler of all the senses, since he is the nature of all.

### SEVENTH ANUVA'KA.\*

The earth, the atmosphere, the heaven, the quarters, the intermediate quarters,—(the five-fold world),—fire, wind, the sun, the moon, the stars (the five-fold presiding deitics),—the waters, the annual herbs, the regents of the forest (the trees), the ether, the soul,—all this is the material sphere.—Next the sphere, referring to the soul.—The vital air, which goes forwards, the vital air, which goes downwards, the vital air which goes from the centre, the vital air which goes upwards, the vital air which goes everywhere,—the eye, the ear, the mind, speech, touch,—the skin, the flesh, the muscles, the bones, the marrow,—having thus ascertained, the Rishi† said,—five-fold indeed is this all,‡—by the five-fold (sphere referring to the soul) a person makes complete the five-fold (external world).—

#### EIGHTH ANUVA'KA.

Om, is Bramha.—Om, this all,—Om is verily assent,—(having been addressed by the other pricets by,) Om, do command, they command.

Om, the hymns of the Sama sing,—Om, Som, the hymns of praise proclaim.—By Om, the Adwaryu gives his reply.—By Om, the Bramha commands.—By Om, he gives his orders for the burnt offering.—Om, says the Bramhana, when he commences to read (the Véda):—May I obtain Bramha (the Véda or the supreme soul),—and he obtains Bramha.

#### NINTH ANUVA'KA.

Justice, the reading and teaching are to be practised.—Truth, the reading and the teaching are to be practised.—Penance, the reading and the teaching are to be practised.—Subduing (Dama, the subduing of the external senses), the reading and the teaching are to be practised.—The (sacred) fires, the reading and the teaching are to

- \* This Anuvaka describes the meditation on Brambs, represented by the five-fold world, the five presiding doition, and the five-fold sphere, referring to the soul.—8"
  - + Either the Veda, or a Rishi, who knew II perfectly.
- ‡ Vid. Brihad. A'. 3d Bramba. 17th. B. I. Vol. ii. Part iii. p. 134, from which it evident, that the Taittariya Upanishad belongs to a later time than the Brihad. A'. U-

be attended to.—The burnt offering, the reading and the teaching are to be attended to.—The guests, the reading and the teaching are to be attended to.—The duties of man, the reading and the teaching are to be attended to.—Sons, the reading and the teaching are to be attended to.—Begetting, the reading and the teaching are to be attended to.—The offspring, the reading and the teaching are to be attended to.—The word as to "justice," &c. has declared Satyavachas, (or the truthful) from the family of Rathítara.—The word as to "penance" has declared Taponitya (or the ever-penitent) from the family of Purusishti.—The word as to the reading and teaching has declared Náka from the family of Mudgalya;—for they (reading and teaching) are austerity, for they are austerity.—

#### TENTH ANUVA'KA.\*

I am the spirit (mover) of the tree, (viz. of the tree of the world which is to be cut down).—(My) fame (rises) like the top of the mountain.—I am purified in my root, as immortality is glorious in the nourisher, (viz. the sun).—I am brilliant wealth.—I am intelligent,—I am immortal and without decay (or I am sprinkled with immortality).—This is the word of knowledge of Tris'anku.

#### ELEVENTH ANUVA'KA.+

- 1. Having taught the Véda, the teacher (thus) instructs the disciple:—Speak the truth.—Walk according to thy duties.—Let there be no neglect of the (daily) reading (according to the school).—Having brought the welcome wealth for the teacher, do not cut off the thread of the offspring.—Let there be no neglect of truth.—Let there be no neglect of protection (prudence).—Let there be no neglect of protection (prudence).—Let there be no neglect of the reading and of the teaching.—
- 2. Let there be no neglect of the duties towards the gods and the forefathers.—Let the mother be a god (to thee).—Let the

<sup>\*</sup> Contains the Mantra to be recited before the daily reading of the Véda for the object of obtaining knowledge.—S'

<sup>4</sup> Shows the duties which, in accordance with the injunctions of the Véda and the Smriti, must be performed, before the knowledge of Brancha can be imparted.—S'

father be a god (to thee).—Let the teacher be a god (to thee).—Let the guest be a god (to thee).—All the works which are unblameable, —ought to be performed,—not any other.—All the praiseworthy doings of us (the teachers),—ought to be worshipped (followed) by thee,—not any other.—

- 3. The Bramhanas who are better than we, it ought to be thy effort to provide with a sent.—(What is to be given) is to, be given with faith,—is not to be given with want of faith,—is to be given with prudence,—is to be given with shame,—is to be given with fear,—is to be given with affection.—If thou hast any doubt with regard to work, or with regard to conduct,—
- 4. Then, as there (in thy neighbourhood) all the Brámhanas, who are of sober judgment,—who are meek and desirous of performing their duties,—may they act by themselves or be appointed (by another)—as such Brámhanas act therein,—so also act thou therein.—Then among those,—who are blamed,—as there all the Brámhanas, who are of sober judgment, who are meek and desirous of performing their duties,—may they act by themselves, or be appointed by another,—as such Brámhanas act among them,—so also act thou among them.—This is the rule,—this is the advice,—this is the meaning (Upanishad) of the Védas,—this is the instruction.—This should be followed out in such a manner,—this verily should be followed out in such a manner.

# TWELFTH ANUVA'KA.

May Mittra grant us welfare,—Varuna grant us welfare,—Aryamas grant us welfare,—Indra (and) Brihaspati grant us welfare,—the far-stepping Vishnu grant us welfare.—Salutation to Bramha,—Salutation to thee, O Váju.—Thou art even visibly Bramha,—I will call (thee) just,—I will call (thee) true.—May he (Bramha) preserve me,—preserve the speaker.—Om! peace, peace, peace!

# Bramba'nanda Valli'.\*

Do protect us both (the teacher and the disciple) at the same time;—at the same time support (give food) us both;—may both of us at the same time apply (our) strength (for the acquirement of knowledge);—may our reading be illustrious;—may there be no hatred (amongst us).—Peace, peace, peace.

#### FIRST ANUVA'KA.

1. The knower of Bramha obtains the supremet (Bramha).—With reference to this (knowledge of Bramha) the following (Rig) is remembered:—"Whoever knows Bramha, who is existence, knowledge, (and) infinity,—as dwelling within the cavity (of the heart, which is intellect) in the infinite ether,—enjoys all desires at one and the same time together with the omniscient Bramha."—Here follows this memorial verse:—From that soul (Bramha) verily sprang forth the ether,—from the ether the air,—from the air fire,—from fire the waters,—from the waters the earth,—from the earth the annual herbs,—from the annual herbs food,—from food seed,—from seed man;—for man is verily the essence of food.—Here (with

<sup>\*</sup> The contents and arrangement of the second Valli are briefly and precisely stated by Wober, as follows:—The eighth chapter is to be divided into four sections, of which the first (anuv. 1-5) treats the degrees of succession in the development of nature, the second (anuv 6 and 7) the origin of the creation generally, and the third (anuv. 6), especially the ananda, that is to say, the happiness of him who knows the identity of the individual with the universal soul, which has given the whole book the title of A'nanda Valli. The fourth, lastly, (anuv. II and 9) specifics the reward of him who knows all the preceding truths.

<sup>†</sup> Param, S'ankara explains niratisáyam, beyond which there is no more, which is absolute; and this is Bramha, which is also clear by a passage of the Káthaka, where it is said, "Whoever knows Bramha, becomes even Bramha."

reference to the meaning, viz. that man is the essence of food) follows this memorial verse:—"This (head here which I point out) even is his\* head,—this is the right arm,—this the left arm,—this his body,—this his tail (the part of the body stretching from the navel downwards) his foundation."—

#### SECOND ANUVA'KA.

#### 1. Here follows this memorial verse :---

All the creatures which dwell on earth—spring verily forth from food.—Again they live even by food,—again, at last (at the time of death) they return to the same,—for food is the oldest of all beings;—hence it is called the healing herb (Aushú-dha, because it subdues the heat, Aushú of the body) of all (creatures).

All those who worship food as Bramha,—obtain all food whatever;—for food is the oldest of all creatures:—therefore it is called the healing herb of all.—From food spring forth all beings;—when born they grow by food.—It is eaten (adyaté, by all creatures) and it cats (atti) the creatures;—therefore it is called food (annam). Different from that (soul) which is like the essence of food,—is an (other) inner soul, which consists of vital air (Prana).—The former (the soul, consisting of the essence of food) is filled by this.—This (Prana) even resembles the shape of man;—according to the shape of man borne by the former (the individual, consisting of the essence of food),—(is made also) this shape of man.—His head is even the vital air which goes forwards (respiration),—his right arm the vital air which equalises,—his left arm the vital air which goes downwards;—the other† the body,—the carth‡ the tail, the foundation.

#### THIRD ANUVA'KA.

After breath breathe the gods,—men and animals;—for breath is the life of all the creatures.—Therefore it is called the life

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; His," the head of the soul, which I the essence of food,

<sup>†</sup> The ether means the equalising air (Samána), and it is called the soul, because it has a greater part of the vital functions and because is in the midst of the body.

<sup>?</sup> The earth, here the vital air which goes upwards and it - called earth because it is the support of the vital airs.

of all.—All those who worship breath as Bramha,—attain the last limit of life (viz. 100 years),—for breath is the life of creatures; therefore it is called the life of all. This (life) even is the embodied soul—of the former (nutritious sheath).—Different from that (soul) which consists of vital air,—is an (other) inner soul, which consists of mind.—By this the former is filled.—It resembles the shape of man,—according to the shape of man, borne by the former.—His head is even the Yajur,—the Rig his right arm,—the Same his left arm,—the instruction (viz. the Bramhana, in which instruction is given) is the body,—and the Atharvana and Angiras\* his tail, his foundation.

#### FOURTH ANUVA'KA.

Here follows this memorial verse:—A person who knows the bliss of Bramha,—from which words together with the mind return,—without comprehending it, is never afraid.—This mind even is the embodied soul of the former.—Different from that (soul) which consists of mind,—is an (other) inner soul which consists of knowledge.

By this the former is filled.—It resembles the shape of man,—according to the shape of man, borne by the former.—His head is even faith,—justice his right side,—truth his left side,—concentration (Yoga) his body,—the great one (intellect) his tail, his foundation.—

#### FIFTH ANUVA'KA.

Here follows this memorial verse:—Knowledge arranges sacrifice,—and it arranges also works,—All the gods worship as the eldest the Bramha, which is knowledge.—A person who knows knowledge as Bramha,—and does not swerve from it,—enjoys all desires,—after he has abandoned all the sins, innate to the body (or, after he has abandoned all sins in his body, that is to say, during his life).—This even is the embodied soul of the former (mind).—Different from that (soul) which is knowledge,—is an (other) inner soul which consists of bliss.—By this the former is filled.—It resembles the shape of man,—according to the shape of man, borne by the former.

—His head is what is pleasant,—joy his right arm,—rejoicing his left arm,—bliss his body,—Bramha his tail, his foundation.

<sup>\*</sup> This is, according to Dr. Weber, the oldest name for the Atharva-Véda. I. S. Vol. I. p. 291.

# SIXTH ANUVAKA.

Here follows this memorial verse:-

If a person knows,—Bramha as not existing—he becomes, as it were, himself non-existing;—if person knows Bramha as existing,—then (the knowers of Bramha) know, that he (himself) exists.—This (infinite Bramha) is the embodied soul of the former.—(Because this is so) therefore there are here the following questions,\* (of the disciple with reference to what has been said by the teacher):—Does the ignorant, when departing from this life,—go to that world (of the supreme Bramha) (or does he not go there)?—Does the wise (knower of Bramha), when departing from this life, obtain that world, (or does he not obtain it)?—

He (the supreme soul,) desired:—Let me become many, let me be born.—He performed austerity.—(Tapas means here, according to S'ankara, knowledge, and the sense would be: he reflected on the form of the world to be created).—Having performed austerity,—he created—all this whatsoever.†—Having created it,—he even entered it.—When he had entered it,—he was endowed with formand void of form,—defined and not defined,—a foundation, and without foundation,—endowed with knowledge and void of knowledge,—true and not true, (viz. only comparatively not true)—all this whatsoever was true (absolutely).—(Because all this—was in this manner true, Bramha) therefore it is called true.—

#### SEVENTII ANUVA'KA.

Here follows this memorial verse :-

This was before (the creation of the world) not (existing) (the contrary of all the manifested differences of name and form, which are thought to be the unchangeable Bramba).—Hence verily was pro-

<sup>\*</sup> In the text the plural is used, by which more than two questions are implied; yet only two questions are actually given. Weber, therefore, thinks, that part of the text has been lost. S'ankars asserts that, there are in form only two questions, but in reality four (as marked in the text,) each of the two questions containing an alternative, and tries thereby to vindicate the use of the plural.

<sup>+</sup> Vid. B. A' ■ I Vol. II. p. 52, where the following passage ■ precisely the same: - idam sarvam asrijata yad idam kincha.

duced that which exists (what is thought to exist, all the differences of name and form).—This (unchangeable Bramha) created himself; therefore, it is called self-created\* (or holy).—Because it is holy (Sukrita),—(therefore) it is verily (like) taste;—for any one obtaining taste, becomes delighted.—If that bliss (like Bramha) were not present in the ether (of the heart),—who then could live, who could breathe?—for it is he (the supreme spirit) that fills with bliss.—When he (the sage) gains his fearless stand in him, who is invisible, (unchangeable) incorporal (anatmya), undefinable and unsupportable,—then indeed does he obtain liberty from all fear.—When the other (the ignorant) makes even a small hole in him (considers him by any kind of difference),—then fear is produced for him.—This (Bramha) is ever a fear for him who (thus) knows, and who does not believe (in the true nature of Bramha).—

#### EIGHTH ANUVA'KA.

Here follows this memorial verse :---

Through fear of him blows the wind—through fear rises the sun. -through fear of him speed Agni and Indra, -and death as the fifth: +-Here follows this consideration of bliss (which is Bramha),-Let there be a youth of gentle mind, who has read the Vedas, -who is well disciplined, is very firm and very strong,-let for him the whole world be full of wealth,—this (bliss which he enjoys) is one joy of man. - This joy of man, taken a hundredfold, - is one joy of men who have obtained the state of Gandharvas, and also of the Védustudent (a person versed in the Védas) who is free from desires .--This joy of men, who have obtained the state of Gandharvas, taken a hundredfold, -is one joy of divine Gandharvas, -and of the Vedastudent who is free from desires .- This joy of divine Gandharvas, taken a hundredfold, -is one joy of the forefathers whose world continues long, -and of the Véda-student who is free from desires, -This joy of the forefathers, whose world continues long,-taken a hundredfold, is one joy of the gods, who are born in the heavens

<sup>\*</sup> Vid. Aittar. U. 1st Adh. 2d Kh. 3 B. 1. Vol. VII p 186, where another derivation of Sukrita is given.

<sup>+</sup> Vid. K4th. II. 3. B. I. Vol. VII. p 146, where the same passage occurs, an alteration being made in only a few words.

of gods (by the power of their works in accordance with the Védas) and of the Véda-student who in free from desires .- This joy of the gods, who are born in the heaven of the gods, taken a hundredfold, is one joy of the gods of work,--who by (Védáic) work obtain divinity,-and of the Véda-student who is free from desires.-This joy of the gods of work, taken a hundredfold,—is one bliss of the gods, and of the Véda-student who is free from desires.—This bliss of the gods, taken a hundredfold, -is one bliss of Indra, -and of the Védastudent who is free from desires.—This bliss of Indra, taken a hundredfold,-is one bliss of Brihaspati,-and of the Véda-student who is free from desires .- This bliss of Brihaspati, taken a hundredfold,-is one bliss of Prajápati,-and of the Véda-student who is free from desires.—This bliss of Prajapati, taken a hundredfold,—is one bliss of Bramha,-and of the Bramha-student who is free from desires .-- He (the supreme Bramha) who is in the Purusha (the image in the eye),—and who is in the sun, (A'ditya),—is one and the same.-Whosoever thus knows,-after having abandoned (the desires of) this world, -approaches (fully understands) that soul, which consists of food,-approaches that soul, which consists of life, -approaches that soul, which consists of mind, -approaches that soul, which consists of knowledge,-approaches that soul, which consists of bliss.

## NINTH ANUVA'KA.

Knowing the bliss of Bramha,—from which all words return,—together with the mind without having comprehended it,—a person is not afraid of any thing whatever.—Him verily does not afflict (the thought):—Why have I omitted what is good;—why have I committed sin.—A person who thus knows, considers them both as the soul; for he who thus knows, considers them both as the soul.—This is the Upanishad (science of Bramha).—

# Bhrigu Valli'.

#### FIRST ANUVA'KA.

Hari, Om! Do protect us both at the same time,—at the same time support us both,—may both of us at the same time apply (our) strength,—may our reading be illustrious,—may there be no hatred (amongst us).—Om! peace, peace, peace!—

## SECOND ANUVA'KA.

Bhrigu, the son of Varuna, approached his father Varuna.—"Teach me, O venerable, what is Bramha."—To him he declared these:—Food, life, eye, ear, mind (and) speech.—(Again) he said to him: From whom (all) these beings are born,—by whom, when born, they live,—whom they approach, (whom) they enter,—him do thou desire to know;—he is Bramha.—He performed ansterity.—Having performed austerity,—

#### THIRD ANUVA'KA.

He knew: food is Bramha; for from food even verily are born these beings,—by food, when born, they live;—food they approach, (food) they enter.—Having known this,—he again approached his father Varuna,—Saying: "Teach me, O venerable, what is Bramha." He said to him:—By austerity do desire to know Bramha;—austerity is Bramha.—He performed austerity.—Having performed austerity,—

## THIRD ANUVA'KA.

He knew: Bramha is life;—for from life even are verily born these beings,—by life, when born, they live;—life they approach, (life) they enter.—Having known this, he again approached his father Varuna,—"Tesch me, II venerable, what is Bramha."—He said

to him:—By ansterity do desire to know Bramha;—austerity is Bramha.—He performed austerity.—Having performed austerity,—

## FOURTH ANUVA'KA.

He knew: Mind is Bramha;—for from mind even are verily born these beings,—by mind, when born, they live;—mind they approach, (mind) they enter.—Having known this, he again approached his father Varuna,—"Teach me, O venerable, what is Bramha." He said to him:—By austerity do desire to know Bramha;—austerity is Bramha.—He performed austerity.—Having performed austerity,—

## FIFTH ANUVA'KA.

He knew: knowledge is Bramha; for from knowledge even are verily born these beings:—by knowledge, when born, they live;—knowledge they approach, (they) enter.—Having known this, he again approached his father Varuna.—"Teach me, O venerable, what is Bramha."—He said to him:—By austerity do desire to know Bramha,—austerity is Bramha.—He performed austerity.—Having performed austerity,—

## SIXTH ANUVA'KA.

He knew: bliss is Bramba; for from bliss even are verily born these beings;—by bliss, when born, they live;—bliss they approach, (bliss) they enter.—This is the science of Bhrigu and Varuna, founded on the highest ether (the ether of the heart).—He who knows this, is founded (on the supreme Bramha);—he becomes rich in food, and a consumer of food,—he becomes great,—by offspring, cattle, and the splendour of (his knowledge of) Bramha;—he becomes great in renown.—

## SEVENTH ANUVA'KA.

Let (the knower of Bramha) not revile food; (for) it is his observance (as the cause of his obtaining Bramha).—Life verily is food.—The body is the consumer of food;—the body is founded upon life; life is founded upon the body.—Food is founded upon food.—Whoever knows this food, as founded upon food, gets founded;—he becomes rich in food, and a consumer of food;—he

becomes great—by offspring, cattle, and the splendour of (his knowledge of) Bramha;—he becomes great in renown,

## EIGHTH ANUVA'KA.

Do not abandon food;—(for) it is his observance.—The waters verily are food.—Light is the consumer of food;—light is founded upon the waters,—the waters are founded upon light. Whoever knows this food, as founded upon food, gets founded,—he becomes rich in food, and a consumer of food;—he becomes great,—by offspring, cattle, and the splendour of (the knowledge of) Bramha;—he becomes great in renown.—

## NINTH ANUVAKA.

Do multiply food,—this is (his) observance.—The earth verily is food;—the ether is the consumer of food;—the ether is founded on the earth;—the earth is founded on the ether;—this food is founded on food.—He, who knows, that this food is founded on food, is founded;—he becomes rich in food and a consumer of food;—he becomes great—by offspring, cattle and the splendour of (the knowledge of) Bramha;—he becomes great in renown.

#### TENTH ANUVA'KA.

- 1. Do not deny to any to abide.—This is (his) observance.—Therefore let a person acquire by any means abundance of food.—They (the householders) address him (the stranger who comes to their house) with the words: "The food is ready."—If this food is given with much honour (or from the first age),—food is given to him (the giver) with much honour (or from the first age of his next birth).—If this food is given with common honour (or from the middle age),—food is given to him with common honour (or from the middle age).—If this food is given with want of honour.—
- 2. He who thus knows (will obtain the reward which is mentioned.)—As preserver (of what is acquired) abides (Bramha) in speech:—as acquirer and preserver in the vital air that goes forwards and in the vital air that goes downwards,—as action in the hands,—as going in the feet,—as liberation in the anus.—These are

the meditations (devotional thoughts) among men.—Again the meditations with regard to the gods.—As satisfaction is (Bramha) in rain, as power in lightning.—

- 3. As renown in cattle,—as light in the stars,—as offspring, as cause of immortality and joy in the organs of generation,—as all in the ether.—A person who worships him under the thought: He is the foundation,—becomes founded.—A person who worships him under the thought: He is great,—becomes great.—A person who worships him under the thought: He is mind,—becomes mindful.
- 4. A person who worships him under the thought: He is subduing, —gets subdued his desires.—A person who worships him under the thought: He is Bramha,—becomes possessed of Bramha.—To a person who worships him under the thought: He is Bramha's place of destruction,\*—perish the enemies who rival with him, perish also the unfriendly sons of his brother.—He, (the supreme Bramha) who is in the Purusha (the image in the eye),—and who is in the sun,—is one and the same.—
- 5. Whosoever thus knows,—after having abandoned (the desires of) this world,—approaches (fully understands) that soul, which consists of food,—approaches that soul which consists of life,—approaches that soul which consists of mind,—approaches that soul which consists of knowledge,—approaches that soul which consists of bliss,—(and) as an enjoyer of food and assumer of shapes after his will,—considering these worlds (by the idea of the soul), sings this song of universal unity (Sáma):—O wonder, O wonder, O wonder,—
- 6. I am food, I am food, I am food;—I am the consumer of food, I am the consumer of food, I am the consumer of food;—I am the maker of (their) unity,—I am the maker of (their) unity,—I am the maker of their unity.—I am the first born of the true (world.)—Before the gods (I was) the midst of immortality.—Whoever gives me, preserves me even thus.—(If again another does not give me) I, food, consume him, the consumer of food.—I am brilliant like the sun.—Whoever thus knows (obtains the supreme Bramba).—This is the Upanishad.—

<sup>\*</sup> This is according to S'ankara Vaju, the sir, or the ether, in which the five doities, viz. lightning, rain, the moon, the sun and fire verish.

Do protect us both at the same time,—at the same time support us both,—may both of us at the same time apply (our) strength;—may our reading be illustrious,—may there be no hatred (amongst us). Om! peace, peace!

## INTRODUCTION.

The Aitaréya Upanishad has been translated by Colebrooka (Misc. E. Vol. I. pp. 47-53). It is taken from the second A'ranyaka of the Aitaréya Brúmbana of the Rig-Véda, where it constitutes the 4th to 6th chapters. As an Upanishad it is divided into three chapters, the first containing three sections, the second and the last one section each.

The first chapter describes the creation of the universe by God, or the almighty, omniscient, &c. supermundane being, viz. the creation of the worlds, of the soul of the mundane egg (Virát, Prajápati), of the gods as his parts, of man as the microcosm of the universe, and of food for the preservation of the world. The individual soul penetrates man, where it abides in three places or states, viz. the states of awaking, dream and sound sleep. Reflecting, however, on the universe and its relation to it, the individual soul finds no other reality but the supreme spirit, who is the same with itself (the individual soul).

The second chapter gives a description of the three births of man, and enjoins thereby the necessity of liberating one's self from all desires for the obtainment of true knowledge. The first birth is the condition of man in the shape of a seed, of a fectus; the second birth his condition, when he is born; and the third his state, when departing from this world to be born again in a new body. The consequence of ignorance about the worldly state of the soul is, that it is proceeding from one birth to another, while a knowledge of the same liberates from transmigration, as is illustrated by the example of Vamadéva.

The third and last chapter explains the nature of true knowledge and of the soul. The soul is not the instruments of perception (as the eye, car, &c.), it is not the mind; it is merely knowledge, and the several acts of knowledge are modifications, to be comprehended by knowledge alone. The soul, as knowledge, is the foundation of the whole world; it is Bramhá the creator, it is the creation, the gods, the elements and all the beings that are produced from them.

This alone is true knowledge, that the individual and universal soul are the same, and thereby the liberation from the world and immortality in Bramba are obtained.

The Aitaréya Upanishad shows about the same degree of development in philosophical thinking as the Taittariya Upanishad, which it greatly resembles in the number and nature of its ideas, and in the exposition of them. Both start equally from the notion of the supreme being, beside whom there is nothing else; both describe the creation of the material world, from its commencement to the entrance of the soul, in very similar language; and both teach, that liberation proceeds from the knowledge of the supreme soul as distinct from the world. The Aitaréya Upanishad, however, is more compendious, and more distinct in its notions. The sketch of the material creation in the third chapter, S. 3, is almost the same as that given in the system of the Vedánta, from its commencement down to its division of the fourfold bodies, and in its enumeration of the modifications of knowledge it gives evidence of a close observation of the mind and of a not inconsiderable progress in abstract thinking.

#### THE

## AITARE'YA UPANISHAD

## OF THE RIG VE'DA.

#### FIRST CHAPTER.

## First Section.

Salutation to the supreme soul! Hari, Om!

- 1. This (world) verily was before (the creation of the world) soul alone, and nothing else\* whatsoever active (or non-active). He reflected: "Let me create the worlds."
- 2. He created these worlds, viz., the sphere of water, the sphere of the sunbcams, the sphere of death,† and the sphere of the waters. The sphere of water lies above the heavens; the heavens are its resting place;‡ the sphere of the sunbcams is the atmosphere; the earth the world of death; the worlds, which are beneath it, are the sphere of the waters.
- 3. He reflected: These worlds indeed (are created). Let me create the protectors of worlds. Taking out from the waters a being of human shape, he formed him. He heated him (by the heat of his meditation). When he was thus heated, the mouth burst out, as the egg (of a bird),—from the mouth speech,—from speech fire (Agni, the presiding deity of speech). The nostrils burst out,—from the nostrils breath,—from breath the wind (Váju, the presiding deity of breath). The eyes burst out,—from the eyes sight,—from the sight the sun (A'ditya, the presiding deity of the eye). The

<sup>\*</sup> Vid. B. A., B. I. Vol. 1. p. 26—na ivéha kinchanágra ásít 1.c. p. 168, átma va idam éku evágra ásít.

<sup>†</sup> Ambha, according to A'uanda, Maha and the other worlds above the heavens, where at the commoncement of the creation the waters were placed

<sup>1</sup> The sphere of death, the place where the inhabitants die.

cars hurst out,—from the ears hearing,—from hearing the quarters (the presiding deities of the ear). The skin burst out,—from the skin the hairs,—from the hairs the annual herbs and the regents of the forest (the trees, the presiding deities of the hairs of the body). The heart burst out,—from the heart the mind,—from the mind the moon (the presiding deity of the mind). The navel burst out,—from the pavel the vital air which goes downwards,—from this death (the presiding deity of the descending vital air). The organ of generation burst out,—from the organ of generation seed, from seed the waters (the presiding deities of seed).

## Second Section.

- 1. When created, those gods fell into this great ocean.\* Him (the first male, the cause of the different places, organs, and presiding deities) he (the supreme soul) had attacked by hunger and thirst. They (as his parts, assailed by hunger and thirst), spoke to him (the first male, the creator): "Do prepare for us a sphere of rest, wherein abiding we may cat food."
- 2. He brought the cow to them (after having taken it out of the waters and formed it, as before). They said: "This is verily not sufficient for us."—He brought the horse to them. They said: "This is verily not sufficient for us."
- 3. He brought to them man. They said: "Well done in truth;" therefore man alone is well formed. He said to them: "Enter him each according to his sphere."
- 4. Fire, becoming speech, entered the mouth; wind, becoming breath, entered the nostrils; the sun, becoming sight, entered the eyes; the quarters, becoming hearing, entered the ears; the annual herbs and regents of the forest, becoming hairs, entered the skin; the moon, becoming mind, entered the heart; death, becoming the vital air, which goes downwards, entered the navel; the waters, becoming seed, entered the organ of generation.
- 5. Hunger and thirst spoke to him: " Do thou prepare for us (places)." He said to them: "I will give you a share in those deities,

<sup>\*</sup> Of the world the last cause of which is ignorance; on this account, even the world of the gods does not give absolute liberation.—S'.

I will make you partakers with them." Therefore, to whatsoever deity clarified butter is offered, hunger and thirst are partakers with him.

## Third Section.

- 1. He reflected: "Those worlds and protectors of the worlds (have been created). Let me (now) create food for them."
- 2. He heated the waters (with the heat of his reflection). From them, when heated, a being of organised form sprung forth. The form which sprung forth, is verily food.
- 3. When created, it cried (by fear) and tried to flee. He (the first-born male) desired to seize it by speech. Had he seized it by speech, (all) would be satisfied by pronouncing food.
- 4. He desired to seize it by breath; he could not seize it by breathing. Had he taken it by breathing, (all) would be satisfied by smelling food.
- 5. He desired to seize it by the eye, (all) would be satisfied by seeing food.
- 6. He desired to seize it by the car; he could not seize it by the car. Had he seized it by the car, (all) would be satisfied by hearing food.
- 7. He desired to seize it by touch, he could not seize it by touch. Had he seized it by touch, (all) would be satisfied by touching food.
- 8. He desired to seize it by the mind; he could not seize it by the mind. Had he seized it by the mind, (all) would be satisfied by thinking on food.
- 9. He desired to seize it by the organ of generation; he could not seize it by the organ of generation. Had he seized it by the organ of generation, (all) would be satisfied by emission.
- 10. He desired to seize it by the vital air which goes downwards; he seized it.—This is then the taker of food; it is the vital air which has been mentioned, that is the consumer of food.
- 11. He reflected: "How could this (body) exist without me (its ruler)?" He reflected: "How (by what road) shall I penetrate it (the body)?" He reflected: "If I were pronounced by speech,—if (without me) breath would breathe,—if the eye would see,—if the

ear would hear,—if the skin would touch,—if the mind would think,—if the vital air that descends, would carry downwards,—if the organ of generation would emit,—then who am I?"

- 12. Making an opening, where the hairs (of the head) divide, he penetrated by that door. This a called the door of division. This is the door of rejoicing, (because it is the road to the Supreme Bramha). It (the individual soul, having entered the body) has three dwelling places,\* three states resembling dream.† This is a dwelling place (the right cye),—this a dwelling place (the internal mind),—this a dwelling place (the ether of the heart).
- 13. He, (the individual soul) when born, reflected with reference to the elements. How could be desire to declare any other thing different from him? (that is to say, he found nothing else but the reality of the soul.) He beheld this Bramba, who dwells in the body (Purtisha, in accordance with the derivation "Puri S'ayánam") who pervades everywhere, (and thought) "I have seen" this (Bramba like me in nature.)
  - 14. Therefore the name (of the supreme soul,) is Idandra, (because it sees this, idam pas'yati) for Idandra is indeed his name. (The knowers of Bramha) call him with a name which cannot be recognised (in its true meaning) "Indra," although his name is Idandra; for the gods do not like to be recognised, as it were; for the gods do not like to be recognised, as it were.
  - \* Viz. the right eye is the place of the senses at the time of awaking, the internal mind at the time of dreaming, and the other of the heart at the time of sound sleep. According to another explanation, those three places are, the body of the father, the place of the embryo in the body of the mother, and the own body.
  - + Viz. the state of awaking, the state of dream, or the state of sound sleep.

    They are called dreamlike states, because in neither of them the soul appears in its true nature.

## SECOND CHAPTER.

## Fourth Section.

- 1. That (individual soul) exists at first as a foctus (in the form of seed) in man. This is the seed which is the essence (of the body) produced from all parts. He bears this self (atma, the foctus in the form of seed) even in his (own) self (body, atma). When it (the seed) touches the woman, then he, (the father) produces it.—This is the first birth of him (of the individual soul in the form of seed).
- 2. It gets the same nature with the woman, in the same manner as her own members; therefore it (the feetus) does not injure her; she (again) nourishes the self of him (her husband) which has entered there (into the womb).
- 3. She who nourishes is to be nourished (by her husband). The woman bears the foctus. He (the father) nourishes the son even before and after the birth. By nourishing the son before and after the birth, he nourishes even his own self for the sake of the continuation of these worlds, for thus continue these worlds. This is his second birth.
- 4. This self of him (the son, being the self of the father) is made to take his place for sacred works. Then (after having made over his duties to the son) his (the son's) other self (the father) having accomplished his duties (his duties to the Rishis, gods and forefathers) leaves this world at a high age, and having left it, he is born again. This is his third birth. Thus it is said by the Rishi:
- 5. "Within the womb, I know well all the births of those gods; hundreds of bodies, strong as iron, kept me; looking downwards, like a falcon, I shall be gone in an instant," thus said Vámadéva, while dwelling in the womb.
- 6. Thus knowing, he (Vámadéva) after the destruction of this body, being elevated (from this world), and having obtained all desires in the place of heaven, became immortal, became immortal.

<sup>\*</sup> Vámadéva, vid B. A'. I. 4, 10, B. I. Vol. II, Pt. 3, p. 111.

## THIRD CHAPTER.

## Pifth Section.

- 1. Of what nature is the soul which we worship by the words, "this soul?" and which of the two\* is the soul? (Are the instruments, by which objects are perceived, the soul, or the perceiver? No, not the instruments). Is it that by which it (the soul) sees form, by which it hears sound, by which it apprehends smells, by which it expresses speech, by which it distinguishes what is of good and what is not of good taste?
- 2. The heart and the mind (here, according to S'ankara, one and the same, the internal organ, which is divided into the different senses, and in which the supreme Bramha is manifested), knowledge about one's self (consciousness), knowledge about one's power (pride of dominion, Ajnánam), science (the knowledge of the 64 sciences, Vijnánam), knowledge about expedients (the knowledge of what is practicable at this or another time, Prajnánam), understanding (of instruction, medhá), perception (drishti), endurance (of pain), thinking (mati), independence of mind (manishá), sensibility (of pain, júti), recollection (smriti), determination (the power to determine any object by general notions), perseverance (kratu), the effort of life (asu), desire, submission (to women),—all these (and other modifications) are names of knowledge (as an attribute of the soul in its modification as life, of the inferior Bramha, not attributes of the supreme Bramha, which is of no form whatsoever).
  - 3. This (soul, which is like knowledge) is Bramha (the inferior Bramha), this is Indra (the king of the gods), this Prajapati (the first-born male), this all gods (parts of Prajapati), and the five great elements, viz. the earth, the wind, the ether, the water, and the light, this all those (beings) that are mixed from smaller portions (of the former) the causes of the one kind, and the causes of the other kind

<sup>\*</sup> Vis. two souls, the universal and individual, have been said to enter the body; now, it is the question, which of them should be worshipped!

(moveable and immoveable), viz. what is born from eggs, what is born from the womb, what is born from heat, what is born from sprouts, horses, cows, men, elephants; whatsoever has life, viz. what moves on foot, what moves by wing, and all that is immoveable. All this is brought to existence by knowledge (Prajnanétram),\* is founded on knowledge; the world is brought into existence by knowledge; knowledge (itself) is the foundation, Bramha is knowledge.

- 4. He, + having by that knowledge departed from this world, and in that world of heaven obtained all desires, became immortal, became immortal.
- \* Prajndnétram, according to S'ankara, is either derived from "n'yaté, sattúm prápyaté anénéti nétram," by which it is brought into existence, or "Prajnánétram yasya tadidam prajnánétram," whose eye is knowledge, is called Prajnánétram, and, according to the latter explanation, the passage should be translated—" All this is (Bramha) whose eye is knowledge."
- + "He" means either Vánndéva, of whom it has been mid before, that he became immortal, or any other eage, who has obtained the knowledge of Bramha.—S'.

## INTRODUCTION.

THE S'wétás'watara, no doubt, does not belong to the series of the more ancient Upanishads, or of those which preceded the foundation of philosophical systems; for it shows in many passages an acquaintance with them, introduces the Védánta, Sánkhya, and Yoga, by their very names, mentions the reputed founder of the Sánkhya, Kapila, and appears even to refer (in the second verse of the first chapter.) to doctrines which have been always considered as heterodox. It must have been composed at a time when the whole social and political system of the Brambans was completed, when the fiction of the great Kalpas had been adopted, and when the belief of the heroic times in the coequal power of the three great gods, Bramhá, Vishnu, and S'iva, had already been abandoned for sectarian doctrines, which are characterized by assigning to one of these gods all the attributes of the others. Here, I is S'iva, or Rudra, who not only is declared the creator, preserver, and destroyer of religious belief, but is even identified with the Bramba or supreme spirit of philosophy.

As the mythological views of the S'wétás'watara are those of mater time, when the worship of S'iva and of the divine S'aktis, or energies, had gained ground, in contradistinction to the ancient Upanishads, where only the gods of the Védas are introduced, so also refers its philosophical doctrine to a more modern period. It presents a mixture of Védánta, Sánkhya, and Yoga tenets. From these antecedents, however, it is impossible to make an inference as to any definite time of its composition. There are similar passages in the Bhagavad Gitá and the S'wétás'watara, but whether the one took them from the other, or both derived them from a common source, I venture not to determine. Both compositions borrowed equally from various sources; the S'wétás'watara has many passages from the Védas and other Upanishads, so also the Bhagavad Gitá, and hence the form of their composition leads to no conclusion. At any rate,

the S'wétás' watera preceded S'ankara, who lived in the eighth century A. C., and from the peculiarity of its tenets it is probable that the interval between them was not considerable.\* To understand the precise nature and object of its doctrine, it is necessary to indicate the relation which the Sankhya and Védanta have to the Védas. The Védanta, although in many important points deviating from the Védas, and although in its own doctrine quite independent of them, was yet believed to be in perfect accordance with them, and being adopted by the majority of the Bramhans, it was never attacked on account of its orthodoxy. The same cannot be said of the Sánkhya; for it was not only frequently in opposition to the doctrine of the Védas, but sometimes openly declared so. Indeed, the Védanta also maintained, that the acquisition of truth is independent of caste or any other distinction, and that the highest knowledge, which is the chief end of man, cannot be imparted by the Védas (vid. Káth. 2. V. 23); yet itin sist-\* ed, that a knowledge of the Védas was necessary to prepare the mind for the highest knowledge. This the Sankhya denied altogether, and although it referred to the Védas, and especially to the Upanishads, still it did so only when they accorded with its own doctrines, and it rejected their authority in a case of discrepancy. The Sankhya in fact was a reform, not only in theory, but also in life, as is evident from the relation of Buddhism to it, which is nothing else but a practical application of the tenets of the Sánkhya.

At the time of the composition of the S'wétás'watara, the Sankhya was not a new system, which had to overcome the resistance of old received opinions, and the prejudices of men in power, whose interest might be opposed to the introduction of a doctrine, by which their nuthority could be questioned. In had found many adherents; it was the doctrine of Manu, of some parts of the Mahabharata, and to its founder divine honours had been assigned by general consent. It

<sup>\*</sup> In the Bramha-Sútras no allusion is made to this Upanishad. In the passage, referred to by Colebrooke (M. E. vol. L. p. 348.), it would enough our of the Súnkhya, are interpreted in favour of their tenets, have, in reality, a different meaning, but no passages are quoted by the Sútras themselves. It sankara, who, in explanation of the text, gives examples of such passages, and quotes, among others, a passage of the Súntara.

was a doctrine whose argumentative portion demanded respect, and as it was admitted by many Bramhans, distinguished for their knowledge of the Védas, it could not be treated as a heresy. The most learned and eminent of the Bramhans were evidently divided among themselves with reference to the truth of the Sánkhya and Védánta, and this must have afforded to the opponents of the Védaic system, a most powerful weapon for attacking the Védas themselves. If both, the Sánkhya and Védánta, are divine revelations, both must be true; but if the doctrine of the one is true, the doctrine of the other is wrong; for they are contradictory among themselves. Further, if both are derived from the Védas, it is evident, that also the latter cannot reveal the truth, because they would teach opposite opinions about one and the same point. Such objections to the Védas had been made already in aucient times, as is clear from the Upanishads, from several passages of Manu, from Yaska, &c.; and under these circumstances a cannot be wondered at, if early attempts were made to reconcile the tenets of the Védánta and Sánkhya to save the uniformity of the doctrine, and thereby the sacredness of the Védas as the scriptures derived from the immediate revelation of god. So for instance, it is recorded, that Vyása, the reputed author of the Bramha-Sútras, wrote also a commentary to Patanjali's Yoga-S'astra, which is still extant under his name. In the same manner composed Gandapáda, the eminent Védántist, and teacher of S'ankara's teacher Govinda, a commentary to I's'wara Krishua's Sánkhya Káriká, and the Bhagavad Gítá has also the same object.

The S'wétás' watara is one of the most ancient attempts of this kind yet extant, and its author, in giving to his composition the name of Upanishad, tried thereby to clothe it in divine authority.

To show in what way the S'wétás'watara endeavoured to reconcile the Védánta and Sánkhya, we have to recall to mind the distinguishing doctrines of either.

The last principle of creation, according to the Védánta, is Bramha, the supreme spirit, beside whom there is nothing else. He is the last cause, as well as regards the substance as the form of the world. Considered in his own independent nature, he is mere existence, thinking, and bliss. He is not the object of thinking, or its subject

or the act, in which both are united; for every difference with regard to him must be denied, nor is he individual existence in any conceivable form; for he is in every respect infinite, absolute, and perfect. The same is the case as to his blessedness, and the three predicates of existence, thinking, and bliss, are, in fact, not attributes of his nature which could be separated from each other, but, in reality, only different expressions of the same thing.\* Compared with him, all other things are not existing, and bear predicates opposite to his own. They are hence without consciousness and existence in themselves, the existence which they possess is only a derived one, and their first and absolute cause is god. The world then, or the thing which does not really exist, and which is without consciousness, is pervaded by him, and hence ensues the creation, or manifestation, of the universe, by which the differences, which exist already, although in an unmanifested state, become manifest.

The Sankhya is essentially dualistic; it is soul, or spirit, and matter, from which the creation proceeds. As to its substantial cause, the creation depends upon a principle, whose nature is activity. This is Múlaprakriti, the first productive nature, matter without any distinction of form or qualities.† It is one, infinite, active, and beyond the perception of the senses. It is the material cause from which all effects are produced. The soul, on the contrary, is merely perceiving, witnessing, thinking, without any object that is perceived. It does not act, nor is it acted upon; it is not self-conscious and has no other attribute than that

<sup>\*</sup> Spinoza's Eth. I. Prop. 7. Schol. "Substantia cogitans et substantia extensa una cademque est substantia, que jam sub hoc, jam sub illo attributo comprehenditur."

<sup>†</sup> It is true, it is maintained that nature consists of the three qualities of goodness, activity, and darkness, but they cannot be defined except by their effects, which again cannot be defined but by their relation to the soul, and further, they cannot be separated; for where the one is, are also the others. The Sánkhya, as well as the Védánta, maintain that nature or ignorance cannot be distinguished, a view to which they were inevitably compelled; but again, in contradiction to this, they attempt to endow it with those distinctions, which is evidently the result of despairing to derive from one principle, in which there is no distinction whatever, the countless differences of existence.

of a mere spectator. It is not, as the Védánta teaches, one, but there are innumerable souls or spirits. The creation takes place by the union of the two principles. Soul in itself, as mere spectator without activity, cannot create; nature as active, but blind, could create, but there would be no order, no arrangement, or final end for the various productions. As final ends are everywhere perceived in those productions, it is by the reflection of the soul, of the intelligent principle, upon nature, the active principle, that the creation of the world is effected. Here, in this point, viz. as to the share of the soul in the creation, the Sankhya is divided. According to some, there is a supreme soul, an alwise and almighty ruler, " who creates the universe by his decree; according to others, and as it appears, the more ancient school, the idea of god involves a contradiction; both, however, agree that there are many souls independent of each other in their existence, that nature is a self-existent principle, and that the material cause of the world is nature alone, and in all these notions both differ from the doctrine of the Védánta.

There are, however, many points about which Védánta and Sánkhya hold the same opinion. The principal of them are as follow. First, the order of creation, or of the productions, is in both systems almost the same. The gross material elements, or the elements which are perceived by the senses, proceed from subtle elements which are imperceptible to the senses. These elements, according to the Sánkhya, are derived from nature as their last cause (omitting here the two intermediate causes of the Sánkhya "self-consciousness" and "intellect"); according to the Védánta, from ignorance. Secondly, the nature of the last cause of the productions is, with both, in almost all its attributes, essentially the same, for both, "productive nature" and "ignorance," cannot be defined; they have absolutely no differences of form, time, or space, and are possessed of the same qualities, viz. of goodness, activity, and darkness. Thirdly, the nature of the soul is by either described as the same. It

<sup>\*</sup> Vid. Ballantyne's "Aphorisms of the Yoga," p. 29. S. 24.—"The lord (Yu'wara,) is a particular spirit (purusha,) untouched by troubles, works, fruits, or deserts;" and 31. S. 25;—"For him does the germ of the omniscient become infinite."

is different from any thing material; it is pure knowledge without any distinctions; as the soul, according to the Sánkhya, is diametrically opposed to nature, the one being non-intelligent, but active, the other non-active, but intelligent, so it is according to the Védánta to ignorance.

If there are so many points of agreement in both systems, the thought is not far, that the differences are only apparent, and that there may be found a standing-point, where those differences altogether disappear.

This is the view, from which the S'wétás' watara starts, and it undertakes a reconcilistion of the two systems by admitting all the minor points of the Sankhya on the foundation of the Védanta. It grants to the Sankhya the order of its creation, the production of the elements from nature, intellect, and self-consciousness; " it admits its terminology, and goes so far as to concede, that the creation does not proceed from Bramha alone, but from Bramha in his connexion with Máyá, delusion, or ignorance.† But then there is, according to it, no difference between this and the productive principle of the Sánkhya; for Máyá a essentially the same with Prakriti, the first productive nature of the Sánkhya.‡ The soul (átma),

- \* Ch. 4, 11. A person who comprehends the one, who superintends the first producer and the other producers, in whom this all is dissolved, and (from whom) it proceeds,—who comprehends him, the god who grants the wish (of liberation), the praiseworthy god, obtains everlasting peace.—Ch. 5. 2. He who, one alone, superintends every source of production, every form and all the sources of production, who endowed the first-born Kapila with every kind of knowledge, and who looked at him, when he was born.—Ch. 6. 3. Having created this work (the world), and preserving it, he causes the one principle (the soul) to be joined with the (other) principle (the principle of nature), and joins 

  (the soul) with one, or two, or three, or eight (principles).
- + Ch. 1. 3. They who followed abstract meditation and concentration, beheld (as the cause of the creation) the power (S'akti) of the divine soul, concealed by its own qualities,—of the divine soul which alone superintends all those causes, of which time was the first, and soul (the individual soul) the last.
- ‡ Ch. 4. 10. Know delusion (Máyá) as nature (Prakriti), him who is
  united with her as the great ruler (Mahés'wara); this whole world, in truth
  is pervaded by (powers which are) his parts.

of the Vedinta does also not differ from the soul (Purusha) of the Sankhya; it is the principle which is merely thinking and therefore non-active in itself.\* The soul, however, must be one and the same ; for the creation of the world could not take place, if it depended upon a multitude of souls. That the creation proceeds from the soul, clear, because in all productions of nature unity of purpose is visible, which would be impossible, if nature alone, a blind principle, were the cause. It is also evident, that it must be one almighty and alwise spirit, from which proceeds; for individual souls are troubled by their partaking of worldly misery, and want therefore the consummate wisdom to accomplish the creation (1,2.) It is therefore necessary, that such an universal soul, the absolute cause of the world, exists, which and entirely independent of the world, and on which, on the other hand, the world wholly depends. This \*Bramha, the supreme spirit. He is the first cause, from which all proceeds, and the last, to which all returns, †

Upon the supreme spirit are founded nature or Máyá, the first cause of material production, and also the individual souls and the universal ruler. Nature is twofold, unmanifest and manifest. In its unmanifested state, nature (Prakriti, Pradhána, Aja) is undestroyable, although it depends upon Bramha; in its manifested state

- \* Ch. 1, 9. They (the individual and the universal soul) are, alwise the one, and ignorant the other, both unborn, omnipotent the one, and without power the other; (nature) is even unborn and united with the enjoyer and objects of enjoyment; the soul is infinite, the universe its nature, and therefore without agency. Whoever knows this Bramba as the threefold (universe),—(becomes liberated).
- † That this is the fundamental view of the Upanishad, is evident from the tenour of the whole, and may be confirmed by a number of passages, of which we shall quote a few :---
- "This verily declared as the supreme Bramba. In him the three (the finite soul, the supreme ruler, and nature,) are founded." (1, 7.) "For beside him there mothing to be known. Knowing the enjoyer, the objects of enjoyment, and the dispenser, all the three kinds even as Bramba." (1, 12.) "As fire is concealed in wood, butter in curds, as oil in mustard seed, so is concealed in all beings."—"He will be eye of all, the face of all, the arm of all, may, the foot of all. He joins man with arms, the bird with wings, the one god, when creating the heavens and earth." (3, 3.)

it is not eternal; its productions commence and cease, until all is again absorbed into the cause from which it emanated; it is pervaded in all its parts by the power of god, and is made for the enjoyment of the individual souls. The latter, like the supreme ruler, are eternal; but they are bound by nature; the supreme ruler, on the other hand, is a mere witness, and it is by him that the creation is effected.

This is in general the view of the S'wétás' waters Upsnishad, and we find it already prominently brought forward at the commencement in the two similes of a wheel and a river, in which the author tries to embody the chief points of his doctrine. Here is the foundation formed by the notion of the Védánta of the one, supreme, all-pervading Brahma, while all the other points of resemblance are determined by Sánkhya notions.

It remains to be stated, what part of the Yoga is admitted by this Upanishad. It is of course not the doctine, by which it is distinguished from the Sánkhya; for although the Yoga teaches that the cause of the world is an alwise and almighty god, yet, it assumes at the same time, in accordance with the Sánkhya, the independent existence of numberless other spirits. This is denied by the S'wétás'watara, to which god is all in all, and it takes from the Yoga only part of the appliances, by which man is to be prepared for the reception of the highest knowledge.

The chief end of man is to be liberated from the bonds of the world, to become free from the miseries of life and of the uninterrupted succession of births and deaths, and this can be only accomplished by that science, which teaches, that Bramha is different from the world, that the world is created by him in his connexion with Máyá or the ruling powers (S'aktis), and that man is essentially the same with the ruler and the supreme spirit. To attain at this knowledge, previous subjugation of the senses and of the mind is required, for which several artificial means are advised, as keeping the body erect, taking and exhaling breath according to certain rules, selection of a quiet place &c., &c. This is borrowed from the Yoga philosophy, although it must be acknowledged, that a certain kind of this Yoga is found in the Védánta, where megrent number of rules are laid down to the same effect.

Whether the author of the S'wétás' watara has succeeded in his attempt of reconciling the Vedánta and Sánkhya, is a question which we shall not discuss length, and only observe that he has argued well for the supposition of an alwise cause; but for the supposition, that the supreme Brahma is the only absolute cause, and that Máyá is the same with Prakriti, he assigns no reasons, and this was yet the chief point which ought to have been established. On the whole we may admit, that the reconcialition is not undertaken by a more acute thinking of the contrary notions in the two systems, but by passing over the differences without having weighed their true bearing.

In the form of its exposition this Upanishad is very loose. The great number of passages, taken from the Védas and other Upanishads, shows that it is more a compilation than an original work, and that the author looks rather to authorities for support than to the justness of his ideas. The work has little of arrangement; for there are many repetitions without any apparent reason(unless it be, as S'ankara suggests, to enforce a view which it is difficult to understand),—and not only of the same thought, but even of the same words, and a distribution of the various parts of the subject is not visible. On the whole, it is more poetical than philosophical; for it is not an exposition of the principal notions, and their relations, but an illustration of the principal points of the doctrine by a number of unconnected images. This, however, is more or less a pecularity of all Upanishads, in which the thought has not yet found its proper form.

S'ankara\* in his commentary of this Upanishad, generally explains its fundamental views in the spirit of the Védánta. He is sometimes evidently wrong in identifying the views of some of the other Upanishads with the tenets of Védánta, but he is perfectly right to do so in the explanation of an Upanishad, which appears to

<sup>\*</sup> S'ankura, against his custom, quotes several writings by name, the titles of which we shall here give on account of their historical interest. They are (besides Manu and part of the Védas) Vishqudharma uttara, the Lingapuéna, S'ivadharma uttara, Sútrakúra Vyása, Bramha Purána, Vishqu Purána, Vasishta, Yogavasishta, Yájnavalkya, Parás'ara, Kavashéygítá.

have been composed for the express purpose of making the principle of the Védénta agreeable to the followers of the Sénkhya.

The S'wétás'watara Upunishad is translated by Anquetil (Oupn. Vol. II. Sataster. pp. 94-127). An English version of it has been published in the Tattwabodhini Pattriká (Vol. I. pp. 395-397 and 475-479) and Weber has rendered nearly the whole of II in his Indische Studien' (Vol. I. pp. 421-439).

# SWÉTÁSWATARA UPANISHAD OF THE BLACK YAJUR VÉDA.

## First Chapter.

- 1. The enquirers after Bramha converse (among each other) What cause is Bramha?\* Whence are (we) produced? By whom do we live, and where do we (ultimately) abide?† By whom governed, do we walk after a rule in happiness and unhappiness, ye knowers of Bramha?1
- 2. Is time Bramha (as cause) or the own nature of things, or the necessary consequences of work, or accident, or the elements, or nature (Yoni) or the soul? This must be considered.—It is not the union of them, because the soul remains; the soul (the individual
- "" Kim" is either the pronoun, and I this case it means "of what nature," or it is merely the sign of a question, when it implies:—Is Brahma a cause, or not a cause, and if so, is it a substantial, or an instrumental cause, or both !—S'.
  - + Ultimately, that is at the time of the destruction of the world.
- ‡ That to say, who is the cause of the creation, preservation, and deatruction of the world?
- § S'ankara, who is well aware of the above explanation, yet in preference to it, adopts another, which tallies better with Védéntie doctrines, viz. he connects Yoni (which means either origin,—or cause) with all the members of the above series, so that the sense would be :—Is time the cause, or the own nature of the things, or the necessary consequences from work, or accident, or the elements, or the soul? If his view were correct, Yoni would probably not have been placed between two of the members of the series, and the explanation followed our translation, agrees also better with the doctrine of the Sánkhya which, within the limits, set forth in the introduction, prevails throughout this Upanishad.
- || Here is, according to S'ankara, an omission in the argument which he thus supplies:—If the mentioned things are the cause, they are so either individually or collectively, but not individually, because this would be ut variance with our perception.

- soul) also not powerful (to be the anthor of the creation) since there is (independent of it) a cause of happiness and unhappiness (viz. work).\*
- 3. They who followed abstract meditation (Dhyána) and concentration (Yoga) beheld (as the cause of the creation) the power (S'akti) of the divine soul,† concealed by its own qualities, of the divine soul, which alone superintends all those causes, of which time was the first, and soul (the individual soul) the last.?
- 4. Him we consider as (a wheel) which has one circumference, which is covered by three (layers, of which the circumference,
- \* Hereby, then, all the above suppositions are denied. Bromha II neither cause, nor non-cause, nor both, that is to say, not by his own nature. How then can be a cause? The answer is given in the next verse.—S'.
- + For devátmas'aktim swagunairnigudham S'ankara offers several explanations.-1. Dévátmas akti (déva-átmas akti) is either the own power of god. that is to say, a power which is dependent upon god. Here is the power (S'akti) the Maya, delusion, or nature as the root of all things (Prakriti, naturn naturans), and its qualities are goodness (Sattwa), activity (rajas), and darkness (tamas) ;--or 2. Dévétmas'akti (dévátma-ná avasthitam-s'aktim) is the power, which is constituted in the likeness with god. Here are the "own qualities" (swaguns) to be referred to god (Déva), as his omnipotence, omniscience, &c.; or 3, the power is of the same nature with god (deva), and his likeness with Bramba, Vishnu, and S'iva, is the cause of the creation, preservation, and destruction, of the world. The "own qualities, (swagund,) denote, according in this view, the three supreme deities, vis. goodness represents Brambs, activity Vishou, and darkness S'iva, by which, however, the supreme god 🔳 concented, not adequately expressed ; or 4. devátmasákti (devá, átma, s'akti) means the supreme ruler, the individual soul, and nature, which represent the power of god, and the "own qualities "(awaguna), are the different allegations of a nature, &c., by which Bramba is concealed; or 5, devátmasakti (devétma s'akti) is the power, the omnipotence of god, his overruling of the creation, preservation, and destruction of the world, which is concealed by his special qualities.
  - I Viz. the causes, named in verse 2.
  - § "Him," who superintends all those causes, the universal soul.
- || The circumforence (nemi) of the wheel, represents nature in its cause, either as unmanifested other, or as Miyé (delusion), or first nature (Prakriti), or as power (S'akti), or as ignorance, &c., that is asy, the cause, upon which the whole creation depends.
- The three layers are the three qualities of nature, goodness, activity and darkness.

ence is composed), which has sixteen end parts\* (probably the several pieces of wood, of which the circumference consists), which has fifty spokes† and twenty counter-spokes,‡ which has six times eight nails (appendants),§ which has one rope of various

\* These denote the sixteen productions of the Sánkhya, by which the creation is completed, because no other productions ensue from them (vid. Bellantyre's Lecture on the Sánkhya Philosophy, p. 2.) via. The eleven organs (the 5 organs of action, and mind, the internal organ) and the five gross elements (surth, water, light, air and ether) 1. c. pp. 13-15. S'ankara, or the author of the commentary under his name, evidently knows the Tattwa Samésa, as he quotes passages from it almost literally.

According to another explanation the sixteen parts, are the Virát and Sútrátma, as representing the totality of the creation of the supreme spirit and the 14 worlds representing it in its parts.

+ These are the five classes of ignorance, viz. obscurity (tames), illusion (moha), extreme illusion (mahimoha), gloom (timisza), and utter darkness (andha-tamisrs),-28 disabilities, viz. the depravity of the 11 organs as deafness, insensibility, leprosy, blindness, loss of smell, dumbness, crippledness, lameness, constipation, impotence, immaity, and the seventeen defects of intellect (vid B's L. on the S. P. pp. 35-40),-nine kinds of acquiescence (this indifference to the investigation of truth.-l. c. p. 39),-and eight perfections (viz. tára, mtára, tárayantí, pramoda, pramodita, pramodamána. ramyaka and satpramedita, the first of which, for instance, I the knowledge in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental crestion, which arises from hearing alone.—l. c. p. 42). S'ankara states the 8 kinds of perfection differently, viz. three kinds, arising from perfection in the impressions of knowledge, with reference to nature, &c., which remain from a former birth, or from perfection in understanding a truth by hearing, or lastly from perfection in understanding a truth by study; three kinds, arising from our indifference - the three-fold pain (viz. pain, arising from our own nature, or from other living creatures, or from other external causes). and two kinds, arising from the acquisition of a friend and true liberality towards the teacher

‡ The 20 counterspokes (pieces of wooden bolts, firmly to secure the spokes), are, according to S'ankars, the 10 senses and the 10 objects of them.

§ The first are the eight producers of the S'ankhya (nature, intellect, self-consciousness, and the five subtile elements of other, air, light, water and earth); the second, the eight constituent parts of the body (the internal skin of sensation, the external skin, flesh, blood, fat, bones, marrow, and semen); the third, the eight kinds of superhuman power (viz. assumption of the smallest possible shape, of the greatest possible shape, of the heaviest form, of the lightest form, the power of obtaining every thing, irresistible will,

form,\* whose road is three-fold divided,† and which has one revolution for two traces.‡

- 5. Him we consider as a river, whose water is derived from five currents (the five senses of intellect), which is fearful and crooked, by its five sources (the five elements), whose waves are the five (vital) airs, whose origin is the producer of the five senses of intellect (the mind), which has five whirlpools, (the objects of the senses §), which is impelled by the velocity of the five kinds of pain, ¶ which divided by the five kinds of misery, ¶ and which has five turnings.—
- 6. In this wheel of Bramhs, which is the support, as well as the end of all beings,\*\*\* which is infinite, roams about the pilgrim soul,†† when it fancies itself and the (supreme) ruler different; it obtains immortality, when it is upheld,‡‡ by him (the supreme ruler.)
- 7. This §§ is verily declared as the supreme Bramha. In him the three || || (the enjoyer or finite soul, the objects of enjoyment and the

ruling of all and independency of all); the fourth, the eight states of intellect of the Sankhya (vis. virtue, knowledge, dispassion, super-human power, vice, ignorance, want of dispassion, and want of superhuman power); the fifth, the eight deities (Bramha, Prajapati, Davas, Gandharvas, Yakshas, Rakshassa, Pitris and Pisachas); and the sixth, the eight virtues of the soul (compassion with all beings, forbearance, freedom from calumny, purity of mind, freedom from fatigue, prosperity, and freedom from poverty.)

- \* The rope is desire in all its varieties.
- † The three reads are those of virtue, of vice, and of knowledge.
- # One revolution, delusion, which is the cause of two, viz. of holiness and sin.
- The five objects of the senses,—sound, touch, colour, taste, and smell,—are called whirlpools, because in them the individual souls are drowned.
- If The five kinds of pain, vis. the pain, arising from the state of an embryo, from birth, age, illness and death.
- ¶ In the text given "Panchas'adbhédam," divided into fifty kinds, but S'ankara gives in his explanation the reading, "Panchaklés'abhédam," which have adopted in the translation, as it agrees better with the whole passage, where a division into five members ■ followed throughout the verse.
  - \*\* In which all beings have their origin and their end.
- ++ Hauss, explained by S'" by hanti gaechanti adhwanamiti hansa"; it is called hansa, because it travels along the road.
- 11 This takes place, explains S', if a person thinks himself as one with him.
  - §§ The absolute Bramha, who I without any qualities. .
  - | Vid. verue 12.

- supreme ruler) (are found); (therefore he is) a good founder and indestructible. The knowers of Bramha, knowing him in this (universe)\* as different (from it), become free from birth,† when they are absorbed in Bramha and steady in abstract meditation.
- 8. The Ruler (the absolute soul) upholds this universe, which in closest union is manifest and not manifest, destructible and indestructible; but the soul, which is not the ruler, is enchained by the condition of an enjoyer; when it knows god (the supreme ruler), it is liberated from all bonds.
- 9. They are alwise the one, and ignorant the other, both unborn, omnipotent the one, and without power the other; (nature) is even unborn, and united with the enjoyer and objects of enjoyment; the soul is infinite, the universe its nature, and therefore without agency.\*\*

  When a person knows this Brahma as this threefold (world), †† (then he becomes liberated).
  - 10. The first (nature, Pradhána) \$\frac{1}{2}\$ is perishable, the destroyer (Hara; god is called Hara, because he destroys all ignorance, &c.) is
  - \* The universe, vis. in its totality from the first creation of god down to the creation of the gross elements.
    - + Yonimukts : free from all the evils incident on birth, old age, and death.
  - ‡ "They," god does not only uphold the universe in its unmanifested and in its manifested state, and the individual soul is not only enchained, but they &c.
    - § One, the supreme ruler, the other the individual soul.
  - il Ajá, the unborn, mesus nature, the producer of all, or máyá, the power of the supreme soul, whose modifications are the enjoyer, the enjoyment, and the objects of enjoyment.—A.
  - We Because god is endowed with this power of Maya, therefore he appears to have all those differences.
  - \*\* In the soul is infinite and the universe its nature, it is without agency, because agency is a worldly quality, which is included in its universality, for it does not create what it already possesses.
    - ++ Threefold, as the enjoyer, the enjoyment and its objects.
  - 1'radhans, nature, the cause of all creations, is not perishable in itself; on the contrary, it is without beginning, as well according to the Védánta as the Sánkhya, and has been defined as unborn (ajá) even in the preceding verse. It therefore called perishable, either to indicate the difference between god and nature, or what appears yet more in accordance with the view of this Upanished, nature is perishable, because for him who obtains husl emancipation, 8very part of nature must cause to exist.

immortal and imperishable; he, the only god, rules perishable (nature) and the (individual) soul. By meditation upon him, by uniting with him (the whole world), by again and again thinking one's self as the truth, at last ensues cessation of every delusion, (or cessation of the delusion of the world).

- 11. By knowledge\* of god (déva) all the bonds (of ignorance, unhappiness, &c.) are destroyed; birth and death cease with a decrease of pain of every kind. By the meditation (Abhidhyana) on him (in his relation to the world) the third state (of Bramha as Virát, or as the cause of the world) whose power equals the universe, (is obtained) at the separation from the body. (By the meditation upon Bramha) in his own independent nature (free from every relation to the world) a person obtains all desires, (becomes Bramha in accordance with his real nature).
- 12. This (the absolute nature of Bramha) should be thought as a eternal, and as abiding in one's own soul (this may also be translated "founded in its own majesty"); for beside him there is nothing to be known. Knowing the enjoyer (the individual soul), the objects of enjoyment and the dispenser (the supreme ruler), (knowing) all these three kinds even as Bramha, (a person obtains liberation).
- 13. As the nature of fire, when concealed in its cause (the wood) is not perceived, nor also a destruction of its subtle body, as it is again (and again) perceived in its cause, the wood, (by rubbing),—as both is (perceived and not perceived), so (the soul is perceived) within the body by the sacred word (Om).
- 14. Having made his own body the lower piece of wood, and the sacred word the upper piece, a person by practice of abstract meditation, which serves as rubbing, will behold god, as the concealed (fire becomes visible to him by rubbing).

<sup>\*</sup> In this were two kinds of meditation, with their effects, are described. By thinking on Bramba there is a constian of pain; if he is thought under attributes that belong to him in his relation to the world, the happiness of the Virát, or the creator, bottained; if he meditated upon according to his own nature without any distinguishing attributes, liberation is the consequence

- 15. As oil in sesam seed (is found by pressing it), butter in curds (by churning them), water in a river (by digging the ground), and fire in the two pieces of wood (by rubbing them),—so is that (absolute soul) perceived within his own self (soul) by a person who beholds him by truth and by austerity (characterized by the subduing of the senses and the mind),
- 16. (Who beholds) as the all-pervading soul, like butter containin milk, as the root of the knowledge of the soul and of austerity, that Bramhs, upon whom the last end\* is founded, upon whom the last end is founded.
- \* Weber, (I. S. vol. I. p. 424.) instead of "Upanishad-param" reads "Upanishad-padam"; in this case the rendering of the passage is: "That Bramba who III the last end of the Upanishads. S'ankara explains "Upanishad-param," literally by "upanishapapm asmin param, créya iti."

## SECOND CHAPTER.

- 1. Concentrating first\* the mind and the senses of intellect (upon Bramha) for the acquiring of truth, + may Savitri, having seen the illuminating fire, bring it to the earth.
- 2. By the grace of the divine Savitri (let us) with concentrated mind (strive) according to our power for the attainment of heaven.
- 3. Having united the senses (déván) through which heaven is gained, with the mind (and) with intellect, let Savitri cause them to manifest the divine infinite light.
- 4. Great praise (is to be given) to the all-pervading, infinite, alwise Savitri, the knower of (all) intelligent creatures, the one alone, who has arranged the sacrificial rites by the Brahmanas who have concentrated their mind, who have concentrated their senses., 1.
- 5. I worship your ancient Bramha with reverence; (my) Slokas will be praised as wise men on a good path; all the sons of the immortal (Prajápati, viz. the gods, his parts) who inhabit divine dwellings, hear (them).
- \* The second chapter describes the appliances, by which the concentration upon Bramha is effected. The first four verses contain, as a kind of introduction, the praise of Savitri (the god of the sun) for the accomplishment of concentration. They are almost literally taken from the Sanhiti of the white Yajur Véda.—Vid. Dr. Weber's White Yajurvéda. Vol. I. ii. 1-5.
- † Tattwdys " for the acquirement of truth," is the reading adopted by S'ankars. Weber's edition of the W. Y. gives, instead of this "Tatways," the Vedaic gerund of the verb "tan," which Madhidhars in his commentary explains by "taritwa." According to this reading the translation would be: "Concentrating first the mind and expanding the senses of intellect, may Savitri &c."
- † The sense of these Mantras is very differently explained in this Upanishad and Madhidhara's commentary. In the first they refer to Bramha, while according to the latter they describe sacrificial rites.
- f. The text in Weber's edition of the Yajur V. is, with the following exceptions, the same as in the Upanishad: Instead of "Sloká yanti" Weber reads, "Sloká étu;" instead of "Súrá," "Súré:" and instead of "S'rinwanti" "S'rinwantu" To show in an example the difference of the explanation, I translate here this verse according to Mahúdhara's commentary. "O you sacri-

- 6. (At the sacrifice) where the fire is kindled, where (in the vessels, appertaining to it) the wind noisy, where the Soma-juice remains, (when it has been poured in the sacrificial cup), there mind does attend.
- 7. Worship ye, the ancient Bramha by Savitri, the creator; in him do thou make (thy) entrance (which is characterized by concentration); for thy former work (ceremonial work) does not bind thee.
- 8. Keeping the upper parts (the chest, neck, and the head) erect\* and equal to the (other parts of the) body, subduing within the heart the senses together with the mind, let the wise by the raft of Bramha (Om) cross over all the fearful torrents (of the world).
- 9. Keeping down the senses (Pranan), subduing his desires, and gently respiring by the nostrils, let the wise diligently attend to the mind, as (the charioteer) to a car, drawn by vicious horses.
- 10. At a level place, free from pebbles, fire, and gravel, pleasant to the mind by its sounds, water, and bowers, not painful to the eye, and repairing to a cave, protected from the wind, let a person apply (his mind to god).
- 11. These appearances precede the concentration by which the manifestation of Bramba is effected; it (Bramba) assumes the form of frost, of smoke, of hot air, of wind, of fire, of fire-flies, of lightning, of crystal, and of the moon.
- 12. When (in the Yog's body) composed of earth, water, light, air and ether, the five-fold qualities which mark concentration (v. the next verse), are manifest, then there is no disease, or age, or pain for him, who has obtained the body burning with the fire of concentration.
- 13. When the body is light and without disease, the mind without desire, when the colour is shining, sweet the voice and pleasant the

ficer and his wife, for your sake I perform (at present) with food (namobhir) the ancient (work called) Bramba (a kind of fire offering). (By Bramba the caste of the Bramba may also be understood.) May the fame of the wise (of the sacrificer), reach the two worlds, as the oblation does. May all the sons of the immortal (Prajápati) who inhabit divine dwellings, hear (the praise of the sacrificer)."

<sup>\*</sup> See the similar passage in Bhagy, G 5 Adh, 27 3 and 6 Adh, 11-13.

<sup>†</sup> Vid. Keth, 3, 4 to 9.

smell, when the excrements are few, they say, the first degree of concentration is gained.

- 14. As a piece (of gold or silver), covered with earth, when cleaned, shines like light, so the embodied soul, when beholding the true nature of the soul (of itself) becomes one, obtains its true end, and every pain ceases.
- 15. When, absorbed in this concentration, (the Yogi) sees by the true nature of his own self, which manifests like a light, the true nature of Bramba, which is not born, eternal and free from all effects of nature (or, as S'ankara explains "táttwa," from the effects of ignorance), he gets released from all bonds.
- 16. For he (the Yogi) is the god who is born before all the quarters and intermediate quarters (Hiranyagarbha), he is indeed within the womb, he is born, he will be born; in the shape of all he dwells in every creature.
- 17. To the god who is in the fire, who is in the water, who entered the universe, who is in the annual herbs, and who is in the regents of the forest, (the trees), to this god be reverence, to him be reverence.

<sup>\*</sup> This verse, according to Dr. Weber, is taken from the Vájas, Taitt. A'ran. z. 1-3.

<sup>+</sup> Tattwa is a term of the Sankhya, and means a principle, something, from which something clse is derived, the nature of a thing. It may also be translated,—" free from the nature of all," which " all" would, in this case, denote " nature."

## THIRD CHAPTER.\*

- 1. He, who is only one, possessed of delusion, (Máyá) rules by his ruling powers, rules all the world by his ruling powers,—he, who were one—in their (the worlds') origin and manifestation. They who know him, become immortal.
- 2. For it we one Rudra only (the knowers of Bramha) acknowledge not a second,—who rules these worlds with his ruling powers, who dwells within every man, and who, having created all the worlds (and being their) protector, gets wrathful at the time of the end (destroys them).
- 3. He is the eye of all, the face of all, the arm of all, nay the foot of all. He joins (man) man with arms, the bird with wings, the one god, when creating the heaven and the earth.
  - 4. May Rudra, the lord of the universe, the alwise (Maharshi) who produced the gods and gave them majesty, and who created at first Hiranyagarbha,—strengthen us with auspicious intellect.
  - 5. With thy form, || O Rudra, which is suspicious, which is not dreadful (or which is exceedingly dreadful), and which manifests what is holy, with that all-blessed form, O dispenser of happiness from the mountain, look upon us.
  - 6. O dispenser of happiness from the mountain, make propitious the arrow, which thou holdest in thy hand to throw upon the creatures; O guardian of the mountain, do not injure man, or the world.
  - \* This chapter generally shows in what way the absolute god becomes involved in the relation of the universal and individual soul. It must be understood, that throughout the whole chapter, the majesty of the supreme soul is displayed, and not of the universal ruler (I's'wara) whose attributes are contrary to those of the individual soul.
    - + Rudra represents here the supreme spirit.
  - ↑ The supreme soul or Virst is the creater of the world. This verse 

    taken from the V4j. 

    13-19.
    - § Returns 4, 12.
    - || Vs. 5-6 are taken from the V6j. S. 16. 2-3.
  - ¶ Mahidhara, the commentator of the Vaj S., gives the meaning of Giriarants in accordance with Sankara.

- 7. Those who know Bramba, who is greater than the universe, the great one, the infinite, who is concealed within all beings according to their bodies, the only pervader of the whole universe, the ruler,—become immortal.
- 8. I know that perfect, infinite spirit, who is like the sun after darknesss. Thus knowing him, a person overcomes death; there no other road for obtaining (liberation).\*
- By him, than whom nothing is greater, than whom nothing more subtle, nothing older, who one alone stands in the heavens like an unshaken tree, by him, the perfect spirit (Purusha), all this is pervaded.
- 10. Those who know him as different from the cause of that (world), + as destitute of form and pain, 1 become immortal; again to the others unhappiness is allotted.
- 11. He is the face, the head, and neck of all; he dwells in the cavity (of the heart) of all beings, pervades all, (and) is all-glorious; therefore he is omnipresent, propitious.
- 12. He is the great, the lord in truth, the perfect one, the mover of all that is, the ruler of the purest bliss, he is light and everlasting.
- 13. He is the perfect spirit (Purusha), of the measure of a thumb, \$\\$ the inner soul, who always abides in the heart of every man, the ruler of knowledge, who is concealed by the heart and mind. Those who know him, become immortal.
- 14. The perfect spirit of thousand heads, of thousand eyes, and thousand feet, pervading everywhere (internally and externally) the world, dwells ten fingers above (the navel in the heart).
- \* Taken from the V4j. Sanh. 31-8. The second distich of this verse returns, 6-15, and the second part of the first distich is literally found Bhag. G. 8, 9.
- + The cause of the world is undistinguishable, unmanifested nature, by which every thing class is manifested, or, according to the author of this Upanishad, 

  nature identical with Méya, or delusion.
- ‡ The three fold pain, either from one's body, or any other organized body, or from inanimate matter.
- § Returns 4-17. vid. Káth. 3.11 and 13., where v. 11 commences "angushtamáttra: purushos-ántarátmá"

- 15. The perfect spirit is the Ruler of this all, of all that was, that■ be, and grows by food, yea that immortal.
- 16. Everywhere having his hands and feet, everywhere his eyes and face, everywhere his ears, he pervades all within the world (body).
- 17. He who shines\* forth with the qualities of all the senses, is devoid of all the senses. (They call him) the lord of all, the ruler of all, the infinite support.
- 18. Embodied in the town of nine gates,† the soul (Hansa,)‡ moves things without, subduing the whole world, all that is immoveable and moveable.
- 19. Without hands and feet he speeds, he takes; without eye he sees, without ear he hears. He knows all that is to be known, yet none is there that knows him. They call him the supreme, great soul (Purusha).
  - 20. He more subtle than what is subtle, greater than what is great, the soul, dwelling in the cavity (of the heart) of this creature. He who sees by the grace of the creator the glorious ruler as devoid of action, becomes free from grief.
  - 21. I know him, the undecaying, ancient, the soul of all, omnipresent by his pervading nature, whom the knowers of Bramha call unborn, whom the knowers of Bramha call everlasting.
  - \* Of the external senses as well as the internal sense, the mind. These qualities are for instance sound, colour, &c.; doubt, determination, &c.
  - † Vid. Bhag. G. 5, 13, where the commencement in the same, inavadwaré puré déhi."
  - † Here derives S'ankara the word "Hansa" hanti shidyétmakam káryum" he destroys the effect of the ignorance, while above (vid. p. 48, note ††) he explains it by "hanti, gacchanti adhwa'namiti hansa," 

    called hansa, because it travels along the road.
    - § Of all animate beings.
  - || This is a view of the Sánkhya. The whole verse is taken from the Káthaka U. (2,20), where, however kratu is read instead of kratum, and átmana; instead of l's'am.

### FOURTH CHAPTER.

- 1. He, who one alone, (and) without distinction, by his union with many powers (s'akti) creates infinite distinctions, according to their necessity, and into whom the world at last (at the time of universal destruction) is dissolved, is God. May be grant us anapicious intellect.\*
- 2. He, (the nature of Bramha) weven fire, he the sun (A'ditya) he the wind, he the moon, he even the brilliant (stars), he Bramha, he is the waters, he is Prajápati.†
- 3. Then art woman, thou art man, thou art the youth, and even the maid, thou art the old man trembling on his staff, thou art born, thy face is the universe.
- 4. Thou art the black bee, the green bird with red-coloured eye, (the parrot,) the cloud, in whose womb the lightning sleeps, the seasons, the seas; without beginning thou embracest all; for by thee are all the worlds created.
- 5. The one, unborn (the individual soul) for his enjoyment approaches the one, unborn (nature), which is red, white and black,‡ of one form, and producing a manifold offspring; the other, who is unborn,§ abandons her (nature) whose enjoyment he has enjoyed.
- 6. Two birds, (the supreme and the individual souls) always united, of equal name, dwell upon one and the same tree (the body). The one of them (the individual soul), enjoys the sweet fruit of the fig-tree, the other (the supreme soul) looks round as a witness.
  - \* Vid. 3 ch. 1.
- † S'ankara explains "Bramha" by "Elirapyagarbha," that ill to say, the universal soul, as pervading all subtle bodies, and Praja'pati by Vira't, or the universal soul, as pervading all gross bodies.
- According to Sankars, this means nature which has the qualities of light, water, and food, that is to may, all qualities. It has, however, yet another meaning, III Aja II taken in the sense of a goat, which it also denotes.
- § Another who by the instruction of his teacher overcomes ignorance, and gets thereby separated from nature and its enjoyment, becomes of the same being with the supreme spirit. "Aja," "unborn." There are two substances unborn, according to the doctrine of the Sankhya, nature and the soul. By the union of both the world is produced; by the separation from nature through knowledge, a soul attains its last object—liberation.
  - || This and the next verses are literally taken from the Mund. U. iii, 1-2.

7. Dwelling on the same tree (with the supreme soul) the deluded soul (the individual soul), immersed, (in the relations of the world) is grieved by the want of power; but when it sees the other, the (long) worshipped ruler as different (from all worldly relations) and his glory, then its grief ceases.

8. Of what use are the hymns of the Rig to him that does not know him, the immortal letter of the Rig (or the eternal meaning of the Rig.) the highest ether, in whom all gods abide? but those who.

know him, obtain the highest end.

- 9. The sacred metres, the sacrifices, offerings, expiations, what has been, what is to be, and what the Védas declare, (all spring forth) from that (immortal letter).\*—United with delusion (Máyá), he creates the universe; to this the other (the individual) soul is chained by delusion (Máyá).
- 10. Know delusion (Máyá) as nature (Prakriti), him† who is united with her, as the Great Ruler (Mahés'wara); this whole world in truth is pervaded by (powers which are) his parts.‡
- 11. Whoever comprehends him who, one alone, superintends the first producer and the other producers, in whom this subject together (is dissolved that time of destruction) and goes out (is produced in various ways at the time of creation),—whoever comprehends him, the ruler who grants the wish (of liberation), the praiseworthy god, obtains everlasting (absolute) peace.
- 12. May Rudra, the lord of the universe, the alwise, who produced the gods and gave them majesty, (and) who beheld the birth of Hiranyagarbha, strengthen us with auspicious intellect.
- \* Or, according to Sankara's explanation: The sacred metres, the sacrifices, offerings, expistions, what has been, and what is to be, all, according to the evidence of the Védas, springs from that immertal letter.
  - + Attempt to reconcile the doctrine of the Védénta with the Sánkhya.
  - ‡ Or, by the elements (the five great elements) which are his parts.
- § Again in accordance with the view of the Sánkhya; the first producer is nature;—the derived producers are intellect, self-consciousness, and the five subtle elements. All other things, with the exception of the soul, are only productions.
- Rudra, here identified with the Supreme Spirit. This verse in the same with 3, 4

- 13. To the God who is the lord of the gods, in whom the worlds have their support, and who rules the bipeds and quadrupeds, let us bring an oblation.
- 14. Whoever knows him who is more subtle than what subtle within that which is impervious (i. e. pervading the whole material creation), the creator of the universe, the many-shaped, the one penetrator of the universe, the all-blessed, gets everlasting peace.
- 15. Whoever knows him, who at the due time is the preserver of this world, who, concealed in all beings, is the lord of the universe, and with whom the Bramharshis and the deities are united by concentration, cuts the bonds of death.
- 16. Whoever knows the blessed God, who, exceedingly subtle, like cream in clarified butter, so concealed in all beings, the one penetrator of the universe, gets liberated from all bonds.
- 17. That God, whose work is the universe, that supreme soul, who is always dwelling in the hearts of (all) beings, is revealed by the heart, discrimination (manishá), and meditation (manasá). Those who know him, become immortal.\*
- 18. When there is no darkness (when all ignorance has disappeared), then there is neither day nor night, neither existence, nor non-existence (all differences have ceased); (then there is) the all-blessed even alone. He is everlasting, he is to be adored by Savitri (the deity of the sun), from him alone has arisen the ancient knowledge (of Bramha).
- 19. None is able to comprehend him in the space above, in the space below, or in the space between. For him whose name is the glory of the universe (or infinite glory), there is no likeness.
- 20. Not in the night abides his form, none beholds him by the eye. Those who know him dwelling in the heart (in the ether of the heart) by the heart (pure intellect) and mind, become immortal (vide v. 17).
- 21. "He is unborn;" thus thinking, some one perturbed (by the misery of the world) may be found (to pray): "O Rudra, let thy auspicious (dakshina)† face preserve me for ever.

<sup>\*</sup> The latter half of this verse is taken from Ka'th. 6, 9.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;Dakshina," according to S'ankara, means either "auspicious," or "southern" (right), that is, which I turned to the south

22. Injure not our children, nor our grandchildren, nor our lives, nor our cows, nor our horses, nor alay in anger our valiant men; for with offerings we always invoke thee.

<sup>‡</sup> This verse, seconding to Weber, occurs in the Váj. Sanh. xvi, 16., in the Taitt. S. v, 10, 11, and in the Rig. V. S. i, 114-18.

### FIFTH CHAPTER.

- 1. He, the immortal, infinite, supreme Bramha,\* in whom both knowledge and ignorance abide unmanifested,—ignorance verily is mortal, knowledge verily immortal,—and who again rules knowledge as well as ignorance, is different (from them.)†
- 2. He, who one alone, superintends every source of production, (vide 4. 11.) every form, and all the sources of production, who endowed his son, the Rishi Kapila‡ at the commencement of the creation with every kind of knowledge, § and who looked at him, when he was born, ||
- 3. That God, having in various ways changed every kind (of existing principles) in that field (of Máyá), ¶ destroys it (at last) again; having created the divine sages\*\* in the same manner (as at a former period of creation), the Buler, the great soul, rules supreme over all.
- 4. As the sun, manifesting all parts of space, above, between, and below, shines resplendent, so over-rules the all-glorious, adorable God, one alone, all that exists in likeness with its cause. ††
- \* The compound "Bramhapard" means, either he who is greater than Bramha or Hiranyagarbha, or "the Supreme Bramha" (Parasmin va Bramhani).
  - † Again a view of the Sánkhya.
- ‡ S'ankara explains this passage very artificially. Kapila is, according to him, not the founder of the Sankhya, but another name of Hirapyagarbha, and he tries to prove this, first, from the name of "Kapila," which means brown, so that Kapila would be here an adjective, instead of "Kapila Varnam, the brown or golden-coloured," which thereby would refer to Hirapyagarbha; and, secondly, from a passage of a Purána; the latter, however, proves the contrary; for there is Kapila mentioned as the founder the S'ankhya, and to praise him, he is identified with Hirapyagarbha.
- § With the four kinds of knowledge of the Sankhya, viz., virtue, knowledge, renunciation of wordly desires, and superhuman power.
  - As a father does at his son after his birth.
  - The World.
- \*\* The divine sages, according to S'ankara, Marichi, and the other divine Rishis.
- ++ Yoniswabhábán (all that exists in likeness with its cause, viz. the five elements, which are the same with its cause,—nature) may be also rendered "Yoni: swabhábán" he, (Bramha) the cause (of the whole world) rules all (the elements), which partake of his nature.

- 5. He, who, the cause of the universe, brings to maturity the nature (of all), who changes all beings, which can be brought to "maturity, who, one alone, overrules this whole universe, and who distributes all the qualities (to the things to which they belong),
- 6. He is concealed in the Upanishada, that are concealed in the Védas. Him Bramha knows as the source of the Védas (or as the source of Hiranyagarbha.) The former gods and sages who knew him, became indeed of his own nature, (became) immortal.
- 7. (The individual soul) who, endowed with qualities, is the performer of work for the sake of its fruit, is even also the enjoyer of those actions. Possessed of various forms, endowed with the three qualities, the choser between the three roads (vide · 1, 4.), the lord of life, he proceeds from birth to birth by his actions.
  - 8. He, who, of the measure of a thumb, resembling the sun in splendour, endowed with determination and self-consciousness, and with the quality of intellect and the quality of his body, is perceived even as another (different from the universal soul, although it is one with it) only like the iron thong at the end (of a whip).
  - 9. The embodied soul is to be thought like the hundredth part of the point of a hair, divided into hundred parts; he is considered to be infinite.
  - 10. He is not woman, he is not man, nor hermaphrodite; he is kept by any body which he may assume.
  - 11. As by the use of food and drink the body grows, so the individual soul, by volition, touch, sight, and delusion, assumes successively forms in accordance with its action in the various places (of production).
  - 12. The individual soul choses (assumes) by its qualities, (by the impressions remaining from its former actions) manifold, gross, or subtle forms. By the qualities of its actions, and by the qualities of its body it appears, although it is without any difference, the cause of union with those forms.
  - 13. Whoever knows the God who is without commencement, without end, who within this impervious (world) is the creator of the

universe, who is of an infinite form, the one penetrator of the universe, becomes liberated from all bonds.\*

14. Those who know the God, who is to be comprehended by thought (purified intellect), who is incorporeal (immaterial), who is the cause of existence and non-existence, who is all-blessed, and the cause of the origin of the (sixteen) parts, relinquish their bodies.

<sup>\*</sup> Vide 4, 14.

### SIXTH CHAPTER.

- 1. From delusion some sages say, that the own nature of things (is the cause of the universe), others, that time it is (vid. 1, 2); but it is the glory of God in the world, by which (glory) this wheel of Brahma revolves.
- 2. For over-ruled by him, by whom this all is eternally pervaded, who is alwise, the lord of time, possessed of (all) qualities, omniscient, turns round the creation, which is to be thought as earth, water, fire, air, and other.
- 3. Having created this work (the world), and reflecting on it again, he causes principle (the soul) to be joined with principle (the principle of nature), viz. with one, or two, or three, or eight (principles,)\* also with time and with the subtle qualities of intellect
- 4. Whoever, after he has performed works endowed with (their) qualities, places them and all his fondness (upon God),—(for), if they (the works) not exist, the effects also cease,—obtains by the cessation of work that which is different from the principles (of nature).†
- 5. He is the commencement (of all), the origin of the causes, by which (the body) is united (with the soul); beyond the threefold-divided time, he appears also without time. Whosoever worships in his mind the adorable God, whose nature is the universe, who is the true origin and abides in his own heart, (obtains what is different from the principles of nature.)
- 6. Whoever knows him, who is greater than the forms of the tree (of the world) and of time, and different (from either), dependent

<sup>\*</sup> The eight principles are the eight producers of the Sankhya, vir. nature, the root of all, intellect, self-consciousness, and the five subtle elements of matter. S'ankara quotes a passage, probably of a Purana, in which "mind" is substituted for nature as root of all.—The one principle, to which the soul is joined, I nature, the two are perhaps usture and intellect, and the three nature, intellect and self-consciousness.

<sup>+</sup> That is to say, he becomes like Brahma.

<sup>‡</sup> Vid, Kéth 6, 1 \*

upon whom this universe turns round,\* who is the establisher of virtue, and the destroyer of sin, the lord of all glory, who abides in one's self, and immortal, (obtains that which immortal different from the material principles of creation.)

- 7. We know him, the supreme great Buler of all rulers, the supreme deity of all deities, the lord of lords, greater than what is greatest, the resplendent, the praiseworthy Ruler of the worlds.
- 8. There is no effect for him, or a cause; there is none perceived that is like him or superior to him. The supreme power of him is declared to be various; (viz.) it is dependent upon himself, and acting according to (his) knowledge and power.
- 9. There is in the world no lord of him, nor a ruler, nor also a cause; the is the cause, the sovereign of the sovereign of causes; for him there is no producer, no sovereign.
- 10. May the one God, who, like the spider, through his own nature, encases himself with many threads, which are produced by the first (cause, Pradhána, nature,) grant us identity with Brahma,—
- 11. The one God, who is concealed in all beings, who pervades all, who is the inner soul of all beings, the ruler of all actions, who dwells in all beings, the witness, who is mere thinking, § and without qualities, ||—
- 12. The only self-dependent among the many (souls) which are not active, \( \bigvee \) who makes manifold the one seed.\*\* The wise who perceive him as placed within their own selves, obtain eternal bliss, not others.
- \* From creation to preservation and destruction, from destruction to creation.
- + "Effect" means, according to S'ankara, "body," and "cause" an "organ."
- ‡ S'ankara explains "Linga" by a sign, on whose cogency his existence could be inferred.
  - § That is to say, thinking without any special thought.
  - || The triad of qualities, goodness, activity, and darkness.
- ¶ Nature only, according to the Sankhya, is active, and not the soul, which merely witnessing.
- \*\* Either the first nature, or, as S'ankara explains, the subtle elements of matter.

- 13. He is the eternal one among those that are eternal,\* the conscious one among those that are conscious,—the one among the many who dispenses desirable objects. Whoever knows this cause, the god who is to be comprehended by the Sankhya and Yoga, is liberated from all bonds.
- 14. There (with regard to Brahma) does not manifest the sun, nor the moon and stars, there do not manifest those lightnings,—how then should manifest this (earthly) fire? When he is manifest (by himself), all gets manifest after him. By his manifestation this whole (world) becomes manifest.†
- 15. He is the one Hansa; in the midst of this world, he is even fire, entered into water. § Knowing him, one overcomes death; there is no other road for obtaining (the last end of man).
- 16. He creates the universe, and knows the universe, he is the soul (of all) and the origin (of all), the sovereign of time, endowed with (all) qualities (of perfection); he is omniscient, the lord of the first cause (Pradhána, the first form of creative nature) and of the conscious embodied being, the Ruler of the (three) qualities, and the cause of the liberation, existence and bondage with reference to the world.
  - 17. He is like himself, immortal, and abiding in the form of Ruler, alwise, omnipresent, the preserver of this world; he rules eternally this world; there is no other cause of the dominion (of the world).
  - 18. Let me, desirous of liberation, approach the protection of the God, the manifester of the knowledge of himself, who at first, (at the commencement of the creation) created Brahma, and who gave him the Védas;—
  - 19. Who is without parts, without action, who is tranquil, blameless, without spot, the last bridge to immortality, (brilliant) like fire when it consumes the wood.

That is among the souls. This view of the Sánkbya, adopted by the author, entirely deviates from the Védánta. S'ankara tries to guard against this interpretation by stating, that the souls are said to be eternal by partaking of the eternity of the supreme spirit.

<sup>+</sup> This verse occurs also in the K4th. U. 5, 14, and in Mund 2, 10.

<sup># &</sup>quot;Hansa," destroyer of iguorance, according to S'ankara.

<sup>5</sup> That is he has entered the heart, like fire, consuming all ignorance.

<sup>&</sup>quot; "Tanmaya" alay be also rendered " like the world."

- 20. Until man is able to compress the other like leather, there will be no end of misery, except through the knowledge of God.
- 21. The sage S'wétás'watars, by the power of his austerity and the grace of God, has verily declared to the most excellent of the four orders, the supreme holy Brahms, who is adored as all in all by all the Rishis.
- 22. The deepest mystery of the Védánta is not to be declared to a son, or again to a pupil, whose (mind or senses) are not subdued.
- 23. To the high-minded who has an absolute reliance in God, and as in God, also in the teacher, reveal themselves the meanings, declared (in this Upanishad), reveal themselves those meanings.

## INTRODUCTION.

This short Upanished is composed for the purpose of exalting the knowledge of the supreme spirit above every other object of human aspiration. It appears to address the last advice of a teacher to his disciples, after the course of their instruction is completed, or to embody the sum total of human wisdom in a few words for those who have attained it.

There are, according to the Vajasaneya Sanhita Upanishad, two roads which may be followed by man, the one is knowledge of Brahma, the other action in accordance with the precepts of the Vedas. Those who are able to understand the nature of Brahma, should consider every thing, the greatest as well as the smallest, as god; for them every thing else should be annihilated by the idea of god, and they should renounce every desire of any worldly object. If he is known in his own nature, as the one, infinite, unchangeable, incorporeal, alwise, holy, all-supporting and self-existent spirit, who is in every thing and yet not defined by it, who is above the apprehension of the senses and the mind, if he is beheld in all beings, and all beings are beheld in him,—then the highest aim of man is attained; there is no longer any grief or delusion.

On the other hand, those who cannot elevate their thoughts to the perfection of his nature, should perform the works, enjoined by the Védas. This may be done in a threefold manner, either by the practice of works alone, or the attainment of knowledge alone, that is say, of the inferior knowledge of Brahma, when he represented by worldly qualities or individual deities; or, lastly, by the practice of work together with knowledge of the latter kind.

By the practice of any of those duties man will acquire after death a state of happiness; but as he accomplishes his whole duty only by practising both knowledge (the inferior knowledge) and works, so he obtains thereby after death higher and higher worlds and the objects of his worldly desires, and at the same time becomes prepared for the reception of the most exalted knowledge. However, all that he obtains, compared with the effect of the knowledge of Brahma, is ignorance, transient and unsatisfactory; for in Brahma alone are absolute knowledge and bliss.

This Upanishad which bears also the title, I's'ávásyam, from its two first words, has been translated by Sir William Jones (Posthumous Works, Vol. VI.) and after him by Ram Mohum Roy, Paley, and by an anonymous author in the Tattwabodhini Pattriká (vol. I. pp. 339-45.)

# THE UPANISHAD

#### OF THE

# Va'jasane'ya Sanhita'.

- 1.\* Whatever exists in this world, is to be enveloped by (the thought of) God (the Ruler).† By renouncing! III (the world), thou shalt save (thy soul).§ Do not covet the riches of any one.
- Performing sacred works, let a man desire to live a hundred years. If thou thus (desirest), O man, there is no other manner, in which thou art not tainted by work.
  - 3. To the godless¶ worlds covered with gloomy darkness,\*\*
  - \* The first Mantra, according to S'ankara, is addressed to those who strive for the knowledge of Brahma, or for their eternal emancipation, while the second gives advice to those who cannot yet liberate themselves from the bonds of the world; or, as A'nanda briefly expresses it, the first Mantra lays down the rule for knowledge, the second for works.
  - † "I's", the supreme ruler, the supreme soul, independent of all relations to the world. The whole world is to be considered under the idea of the soul, under the idea, that I, who am the same with the supreme soul, am the world, which in itself is unreal and gets only reality, when considered under the notion of the soul.
  - ‡ S'ankara takes "tyakténa" not as participle, but as noun instead of "tyagéna" (by renunciation); the souse, however, seems preferable, if B is treated as participle.
  - § If the world sabandoned, nothing is left but the soul, and as the world is transient and unreal, there exists then no desire of any thing whatsoever.
  - || The works, enjoined by the Védas, as the Agnihótra and other rites. Here are meant works which are to be done at certain prescribed periods; or, as || has been explained, works, the performance of which does not procure any special fruit, but the emission of which produces sin.
  - ¶ Godiess are here the worlds of the gods, and they are called godiess, because, in comparison with the state of the supreme soul, also the most exalted worlds of the gods are godiess.
    - \*\* Darkness 🖥 ignorance.

go all the people, when departing (from this world) who are slayers of their souls.\*

- 4. He (the soul)† does not move, is swifter than the mind';‡ not the gods (the senses) did obtain him, he was gone before. standing he outstrips all the other (gods, senses), how fast they run. Within him the Ruler of the atmosphere upholds the vital actions.
- 5. He moves, he does not move; he is far, and also near; he is within this all, he wout of this all.
- 6. Whoever beholds all beings in the soul alone, and the soul in all beings, I does hence not look down (on any creature).
- 7. When a man knows, that all beings are even the soul, when he beholds the unity (of the soul), then there is no delusion, no grief.
- 8. He is all pervading, brilliant, without body, invulnerable, without muscles, pure, untainted by sin; he is alwise, the Ruler of the mind, above all beings, and self-existent. He distributed according to their nature the things for everlasting years.\*\*
- \* The slayers of their souls are such as are ignorant about the nature of them. They kill the same, because they do not obtain their immortal and unchangeable nature. On this account they assume one worldly form after another.
- + In this Mantra the soul is described under opposite qualities, which yet form no contradiction, as the one set belongs to the soul, if considered in its own absolute nature, and the other is ascribed to it, III considered in its relation to the world.
- # Swifter than the mind," swifter than what I the swiftest, the thoughts of the mind, because the soul is either not comprehended by the mind, and has therefore escaped it, or where the mind arrives, there I already the soul, has arrived already before, and the mind can never be in advance of it.
- § Métaris'wé (the ruler of the atmosphere) is explained by S'ankara métari, antarikalié s'wasati, gacchatiti váyu;" he who moves in the mother, the atmosphere, that I to say the wind, which in accoordance I him is here the upholder of the whole world the (Sútrátma) Hiranyagarbha, the universal soul. A'pas, literally waters, are here the actions of the living creatures, or the burning, heating, shining, and raining of the fire and the sun.
  - Wide Bh. G. 13, 15.
  - ¶ Vide a similar passage in Bh. G. 6, 30. Vide also Manu S. 12, 125.
- \*\* The years, says S'ankara, mean here the Prajápatis (the creators) who are called years.

- Those who worship ignorance,\* enter into gloomy darkness, into still greater darkness those who are devoted to knowledge.
- 10. They say, different is the effect of knowledge, different the effect of ignorance; thus we heard from the sages who explained (both) to us. (Vid. Tal. U. 13.)
- 11. Whoever knows both, knowledge and ignorance together, overcomes death by ignorance, and enjoys immortality by knowledge.
- 12. Those who worship uncreated nature, + enter into gloomy darkness, into still greater darkness those who are devoted to created nature.
- 13. They say, different is the effect from (worshipping) uncreated nature, different from (worshipping) created nature.‡ 'This we heard from the sages, who explained (both) to us.§
- 14. Wheever knows both, created nature and destruction together, overcomes death by destruction, and enjoys immortality by created nature.
  - 15. To me whose duty is truth, open, O Pushan, the entrance to the truth concealed by the brilliant disk,¶ in order to behold (thee.)
  - \* Ignorance, avidys, means here Védaic work, I is done alone without the knowledge of the worship of the gods, or of Brahms, considered under worldly attributes. Vidys, knowledge, is here inferior knowledge, not the knowledge of the absolute Brahms, but of Brahms, thought under relative attributes; it is opposed to the highest knowledge, because it also connected with works. The effect of either I :—By works alone the world of the Pitris, the forefathers, is obtained; by knowledge (the inferior knowledge) the world of the gods. Both, however, the inferior knowledge and works, are to be practised by man; if both are performed, then by work death, that is to say natural work and knowledge, is abandoned, and by knowledge the state of a deity obtained.
  - † Uncreated nature, anambhuti, nature which has no cause, the same with avyakta, unmanifested nature.
  - ‡ Whoever worships Brahma in his effects, in any of the created substances, gets superhuman power (of eight kinds), whoever worships him as uncreated nature, becomes dissolved into the same.—S'.
    - § This verse, although with some alterations, occurs Tal. U. I, 3.
    - || That is to say uncreated nature, into which every thing || dissolved.
  - ¶ Brahma, here expressed as "the truth;" is considered especially to abide in the disk of the sun. Pushan, the nourisher, is another name for the deity of the sun.

- 16. U Pushan, Rishi thou alone, U dispenser of justice, (Yama) O Sun, offspring of Prajapati, disperse thy rays (and) collect thy light; let me see thy most auspicious form; (for) the same soul (which is in thee), am I.
- 17. Let my vital spark obtain the immortal air; then let this body be consumed to ashes. Om! II my mind, remember, remember (thy) acts, remember, O mind, remember, remember thy acts.
- 18. Guide, us, O Agni, by the road of bliss to enjoyment, (guide us) O god, who knowest all acts. Destroy our crooked sin, that we may offer thee our best salutation.\*
- \* The nine last Mantras (9 to 18) do not any longer describe the nature of the knowledge of Brahma and its effects, but the effects, resulting from the practice of Védaic works and the devotion towards God, when he imperfectly comprehended under attributes which belong in him only in his relation to the world. The concluding prayer (15 to 18) must therefore in considered to in speken at the time of his death by a person, who throughout his life has diligently performed the sacred works, enjoined by the Védas; for he justly has to remember his works, by which alone he can hope to obtain a comparative state of bliss in a next world, while the true knower of Brahma has only attained his knowledge by recounting all works, together with their effects.

### INTRODUCTION.

THE object of the Talavakara Upanishad is simply to define the ides of Brahma as the one absolute spirit, and to show its distinction from the world. It does not attempt to investigate its relation either to the individual soul or spirit, or to the material world, but is satisfied to indicate the existence of those relations. Like the S'wétás watara Upanishad, it commences with the question, who it is by whose decrees mind, life and the senses are engaged in the perform-"ance of their functions. It supposes then, that the necessity of a cause for the existence of finite beings has already been admitted, and moreover, that the cause must be absolute, that is to say, which does not require another cause for its own existence and action. This cause. replies the teacher, is the ear of the ear, the mind of the mind, the speech of speech, the life of life, the eye of the eye, or as S'ankara expresses it in his commentary to the Brihat A'ranyaka Upanishad, it is the beholding of the beholding, the hearing of the hearing, that is to say, it is the absolute spirit, by whom all those functions are perceived and ordained; at the same time he is the absolute end of all intellectual beings, by the knowledge of whom a state of perfection and immortality is obtained. Hereby is the idea of Brahma fully defined, and it is impossible to determine it in any other manner; for neither the senses nor the mind can approach it. It is, according to the expression of former teachers, different from what is known and beyond what is unknown; it is therefore also beyond teaching, as it could be taught only by an idea of what is known, but the idea of Brahma is infinite. The terms "known" (Vijnáta) and "unknown (avijnáta) denote here the same as those of "manifested" (vyakta) and "unmanifested" (avyakta), when applied to the world. The manifested is the visible world, which is an effect, and can be perceived by the senses and the mind; the unknown or unmanifested is the invisible world, which is the cause of the former, and can only be apprehended by the mind. Brahma therefore beyond both, and the absolute cause of both, or he is, in accordance with the first definition, that which cannot be perceived by the senses and the mind, and by which those organs themselves are determined and brought to existence.

Hence it cannot be said of Brahma, that he is well known in the same way as a substance which may be perceived by the senses and clearly defined. It is very little we know of him in his relation to the senses and the deities which superintend them. The idea of him must be again and again considered to separate it from other elements, and on reflection we can only maintain that we have an undefined knowledge of him, or, to express it in the words of the Upanishad, we cannot say, that we know him, nor, that we do not know him. Those who fancy that they know Brahma, viz., that they can describe him as any thing which they perceive in nature, or as the material cause of nature, or as mind, do in reality not know him; those, on the contrary, who know that they do not know him in this manner, have a knowledge of him. The sense of this part of the Upanishad is somewhat obscure, and admits of a double explanation. The one is: Brahma cannot be comprehended by common knowledge, as he in infinite, and whoever defines him accordingly, has only an inadequate knowledge of him; for the knowledge of the senses or the mind is always finite; it is therefore by a knowledge which is not a knowledge in the common sense of the word, that he must be apprehended, and on this account it may be said, that Brahma is comprehended by those who do not know him. (in the common sense of knowledge), and not comprehended by those who know him, (in the same sense). The other explanation is: The instrument, by which Brahma is to be comprehended, is intellect; but intellect in its highest flights is yet something created and finite, and it remains therein constantly the difference between knowledge and its object, which cannot be destroyed without destroying intellect itself. Therefore man, while united with his body, does not fully comprehend Brahma; he approaches merely nearer and nearer to this aim, without wholly attaining it, and the sense of the passage "those comprehend him, who do not know him," would be, that those who are aware, that they cannot comprehend Brahma by intellect, know him as well as he may be comprehended by man. Either explanation accords with the spirit of the Upanishads; for they teach, that a knowledge of Brahma is possible, as well that Brahma cannot be fully comprehended by man; and according to them there is not even a contradiction in the admission of both views; for they maintain, that an adequate knowledge of Brahma is only gained at the time when the intellect, which comprehends Brahma in a finite way, has ceased to exist.

In summing up, the Upanishad declares that Brahma is comprehended, when he is known as the nature of every thought, as mere knowledge, whereby the idea of individual existence disappears. By a knowledge of this kind the last aim of man is obtained, while every other idea of Brahma produces great calamity, that is to say, leads again and again from birth to birth, and to the unhappiness inseparable from worldly existence. Hereby the Upanishad, as setting forth the knowledge of Brahma, is concluded; its remaining part illustrates by a narrative the infinite nature of Brahma, and the consequences resulting from a knowledge of him.

The Talavakára Upanishad is commonly called Kéna, from the word Kéna, with which it commences. It is one of the Upanishads in the Atharva collection, and belongs also to the Sáma Véda, where it forms, according to S'ankara, the 9th chapter of the Talavakára school. It is the text of this school, which S'ankara has followed in his explanation. The Sáma text contains four sections, while the Atharva does not appear to have any divisions. Anquetil has translated it under the title of "Kin" (pp. 291-98). Other translations are by Rammohun Roy and after him by Poley. Windischmann rendered it into German. Another German translation after the French of Pauthier is given in the "Magazin fuer die Literatur des Auslandes" 1833, No. 63,\* and it has been lastly translated into English in the Tattwabodhini Pattriká. (Vol. I, p. 349 to 351). Weber has commented on some of its passages in his "Indische Studien." (Vol. II. Part, 1, pp. 181 to 195.)

I owe these last notices to Weber. (Ind. St., vol. ii, p. 181.)

# THE TALAVAKA'RA UPANISHAD

# OF THE SA'MA VE'DA.

### FIRST SECTION.

- 1. (The disciple asks): By whom decreed, (by whom) appointed, does the mind speed (to its work)? By whom ordained does the first\* life proceed? By whom decreed, is the word pronounced? Which god assigns (their functions to) the eye and ear?†
- 3. Him (the supreme Brahma) does not approach the eye, or speech, or mind. We do not recognise (Brahma as any thing perceptible; therefore) we do not know how to teach him (his nature to a disciple). It is even different from what is known (from the manifested universe; if you then say, it must be the unmanifested universe, no) it is also beyond what is not known (to the senses, it beyond the unmanifested universe). Thus we heard from the former (teachers) who explained it to us.
  - First life, because it was produced previous to the senses.
  - + Vid. Káthaka U., 6, 2-3, Taitt. 2, 8.
- ‡ And it m he, upon whom all depends, who has called these substances into existence and appointed to them their actions.
- § A similar passage occurs in the Káth. U. 6, \(\mathbb{m}:="\) He cannot be obtained by speech, not by the mind, not by the eye." Mund:—3, 8. "He is not perceived by the eye, not by apeech, not by the other senses, not by austerity, nor by action;" and Taitt. U. 2, 4:—"A person who knows the bliss of Brahma—from which words together with the mind return, without comprehending it."
- "Thus" &c. occurs in the Vas. U. 3,10, where for = purvesham" (from the former) "dhiranam (from the sages) is substituted.

- 4. Think that which is not manifested by speech, and by which speech is manifested, even as Brahma, and not what is worshipped sa this (any individual being which is perceived).
- 5. Know that, which does not think by the mind,\* and by which they say the mind is thought, even as Brahma, and not what is worshipped as this.
- 6. Know that which does not see by the eye, and by which they see the eyes, as Brahma, and not what is worshipped as this.
- 7. Know that which does not hear by the ear, and by which this ear is heard, even m Brahma, and not what is worshipped as this.
- 8. Know that, which does not breathe by breath, and by which breath is breathed, even as Brahma, and not what is worshipped as this.
- " The mind," insists S'ankara, is here not to be understood in the common sense of mind, as the internal organ in contra-distinction to the other organs, but as that internal faculty in which both mind and intellect are comprehended.

### SECOND SECTION.

1. If thou thinkest,\* I know well (Brahma), (I say), what thou knowest of the nature of that Brahma, (with reference to the soul) is indeed little, (it is indeed little) what thou (knowest) of his (nature) with reference to the deities; therefore is (Brahma (even to

\* I will give here in substance the remarks of S'ankars on this passage ;-A pupil who has attentively followed the exposition which has been given on the nature of Brahma in the first section, is compelled to think, that he perfectly knows Brahms. It with well-defined meaning of all the writings on the Védánta, that the self or soul of every one who knows, is Brahms. Further the idea of Brahms is in this Upanished introduced by the passage: "He who is the car of the car;"-and firmly established by the passage: "That which is not manifested by speech, &c." Lastly, the decision of the school of the Vedanta is given in the words: "He meyen different from what is known, &c." Notwithstanding these apparent reasons. the pupil is wrong in supposing that he has obtained a perfect knowledge of Brahma. Of every thing which may become an object of knowledge, a perfect or definite knowledge - possible; but not so of a thing, which cannot become such an object. This is Brahma; for he is the knower, and the knower may well know other things, but not make himself the object of his knowledge, (or if we should render this in modern phrascology : The subject of knowledge, " I who know," can never become its object; for having become object, it ceases to have the nature of subject) in the same way as fire can burn other things, but not itself. (What a contrast to the system of Fighte !) Nor can it be said, that Brahma may be made the object of the knowledge of another; for beside him, none that knows exists.

If here the pupil asks, is the nature of Brahma manifold, as it appears implied in the words of the text: "What thou knowest—is little," which conveys the idea of a more or less,—the answer is: In reality there is but one notion of Brahma, as he is without form, colour, &c., but apparently his nature is manifold, which arises from the false notions under which his nature is represented.

If it II further said, the nature of every thing is that, by which it is defined; Brahma is especially defined by consciouences, which does neither refer to the external senses, nor to the internal sense, but merely refers III Brahma; therefore Brahma is consciouences, we admit, that this III true; yet thereby no exact idea of Brahma is obtained; for what we understand by consciouences, knowledge, &c., is only accessible to us by means of the

be considered by thee.\* (The pupil says:) I think, he is known (to me).+—I do not think, I know (him well); but I do not know, that

senses or intellect, and expresses therefore not knowledge as it in itself, but as it is reflected by some medium. It is therefore true, what has been said before: "He is even different from what is known; he is also beyond what is not known."

\* As the nature of Brahma, with reference to the soul, is unknown to theo, so also his nature with regard to the deities. If this is the case, his infinite nature much less comprehensible, and I therefore think, that thou hast yet again to reflect about his being. I quote here Weber's remark on the term "miminsyam". (Vide W.'s Ind. S. vol. ii., p. 184.) The verb, "mimins," and resp. the noun "miminsé" | frequently used in the S'atapatha Brahmana, and it appears to have been, beside Upanished, the oldest among the names which at a later time denoted philosophical enquiry, as none of the others, viz. Tarka, Yoga and Sankhya occur in the S'atapatha Bréhmana. The latest among them seems to be Sénkhya; (it is moreover formed by a Taddhita derivative), as it is first found in the S'wêtes'watara Upanishad, and in the later Upanishads of the Atharvan; Tarka, on the other hand, occurs, although controverted, in the Kathaka Upanishad, and the term there probably denotes the same as the later Sankhya; for, from the later use of this name, we must not infer that this form of speculation (the Sankhya) took its rise also at a later time. On the contrary, founded upon the principle of dualism (first matter and first spirit) cocurs, (although generally combated) already in the Brahmanas, beside the unitarian principle, and it is even the same philosophy, which, in its exoteric manifestation, is known to us as Buddhism. The technical term of "Yoga" I first found in the second part of the Kathaka (6th vol., 11.) and denotes its own unitarian dectrine. The term "nyaya" is met with in the Mundaka Upanishad, but probably in a spurious passage (vid. Ind. S. i., 281.) The name of Védánta is also found in the Mundaka Uponished in a passage, which occurs likewise in the Taitt. A'. x, 12, 22; and therefore derived from that Upanishad, or from a common source.

† The answer of the pupil, when he has reflected on the words of the teacher, is given under the formula: "I do not think, I know (him well); but I do not know, that I do not know (him)." Against this the teacher argues: Then you do not know Brahma; for there is a contradiction, when you say, I do not know him well, and I know him. If you do not think, you know him well, how can you think, you know him! If you, on the other hand, think you know him, why do you not think, you know him well? The pupil, however sharply attacked by the teacher, remains unshaken in his faith. Cor-

I do not know (him).\*—Whosever amongst us knows that (tat) (word): "I do not know, that I do not know him," knows him (tat, Brahma).

- 3.† By him (the knower of Brahma) who thinks that Brahma is not comprehended, Brahma is comprehended; he, who (not knowing Brahma) thinks that Brahma is comprehended, does not know him. (Brahma) is unknown to those who (think to) know him, (and) known to those who do not (think to) know him.‡
- 4. If he is known to be the nature of every thought, he is comprehended. (Hence, from this knowledge) (a person) gains immortality. (A person) gains power by the soul (by one's own self), (and) by knowledge immortality.
- 5. If in this world (a person) knows (the soul), then the true end (of all human aspiration) is (gained); if a person in this world does not know (the soul), there will be great calamity. The wise who discern in all beings (the one nature of Brahma) become immortal, after departing from this world.

tain of the declaration of the S'ruti, of the tradition of the schools, and of the assent of his own mind, he repeats the formula.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Sanscrit text is: "no na védéti véda cha." This passage is rendered, in accordance with the explanation of S'ankara, by the translator in the Tattabodhini Pattriká (vol. i, p. 349.) "It is neither that I know him not, nor it that I know him," an explanation which is also admissible.

<sup>†</sup> The S'ruti expresses in this verse the same meaning in its own words.—S'.

<sup>†</sup> This may also be translated:—"To those who know Brahma, he is unknown, and known to those who do not know bim." S'ankara reminds here that by those, who do not know Brahma, not such are meant as are entirely ignorant of him, as they would not have the idea, "we know Brahma," but those who behold him by a supersensual (5. v. v.) attribute, as by the mind, intellect, &c. The idea, which is produced, is no true knowledge, because it does not agree with the absolute nature of Brahma.

<sup>§</sup> Or also:—"If he, by whom every thought known, is comprehended, immortality agained;" or, "If he comprehended as the known of every thought." The meaning is, if he is known only as spirit, without any determinate object, whose nature is only to think, to know, if he known as the beholder of the beholding.

Power, obtained by wealth, &c., does not overcome death, because we external and transient; the power gained by the knowledge of the soul, is able to overcome death, for it is inturnal and eternal in itself.

### THIRD SECTION.

- 1. Brahma was once victorious\* for the sake of the gods. By the victory of Brahma the gods obtained majesty. They reflected: To us belongs this victory, to us belongs this majesty.
- 2. He knew even that (delusion) of them; he manifested himself to them. They did not know him (and asked each other): Is this (being) worthy of adoration?
- 3. They spoke to Agni: Játavéda, do ascertain, whether this being is worthy of adoration. (He replied:) Be it so.
- 4. He (Agni) ran up to him (Brahma).—He (Brahma) said: Who art thou? He answered: I am verily Agni, I am verily Jatávéda.
- 5. (Bramha asked him:) What power hast thou, who art of such a nature? (Agni replied:) I can even burn whatsoever there is on earth.
- 6. He placed a blade of grass before him (saying): Burn this. Approaching it with all his might, he could not burn it. He thence returned (saying): I could not ascertain, whether this being is worthy of adoration.
- Then they spoke to Váju: Váju, do ascertain, whether this being is worthy of adoration. (He replied:) Be it so.
- 8. He (Váju) rau up to him. He (Brahma) said: Who art thou? He answered: I am verily Váju, I am verily Mátaris'wá.†
- (Brahma asked him:) What power hast thou, who art of such a nature? (Váju replied:) I can even sweep away whatsoever there is on earth.
- \* "Victorious," he defeated the Asuras, when they were fighting for the supremacy with the gods. S'ankara observes, that the following legend is either written for the purpose of illustrating the difficulty of knowing the nature of Brahma by the example of the gods, or for his praise, since by the knowledge of Brahma, Agni and the other gods obtained their power.
- † "Mátaris'wá, mátari, antarikahé s'wayati iti Mátaris'wá," Mataris'wá, "Mataris'wá, "Mataris'wá. -S'. (Vid. I's'á U., where the same term occurs.)

- 10. He placed a blade of grass before him (saying): Sweep away this. Approaching it with all his might, he could not sweep it away. He thence returned (saying): I could not ascertain, whether this being is worthy of adoration.
- 11. Then they spoke to Indra: Maghavan, do ascertain, whether this being is worthy of adoration. (He replied:) Be it so. He (Indra) ran up to him. He (Brahma) disappeared before him.\*
- 12. There in the other he met with a woman, highly adorned, with Uma, the daughter of Himavat. † He asked her: Ill this being worthy of adoration?
- " As Indra is the most powerful of the gods, Brahma disappeared to show of how little avail his power was to obtain a knowledge of Brahma.
- † Indra did not return, like Agui and Véju, but remained in contemplation of that being. Knowledge, in the form of Umé, perceiving his faith in the adorable being, manifested herself to him "Haimavatim" means either "adorned with gold," or the daughter of Himavat; for as she is constantly, together with I's wara (Siva), she of course II able to know. Thus far S'ankara in explanation of this passage. His last conjecture appears to be most plausible, if we could persuade ourselves that at the time of the compesition of this Upanishad the worship of S'iva had been already existing.

'I here quote Dr. Weber's remarks on this passage (I. S. vol. ii, p. 186-90.) "The exposition in the 3rd and 4th sections points to a time, when, instead of the three principal gods, Agni, Váju and Súrya, who had gradually been considered as the representatives of things divine on the earth, in the atmosphere. and in the heavens, three others were assumed, vis. Agni, Vaju and Indra. The latter are in fact only two, as Indra is essentially the same with Vaju. Of the first triad I have found a great many examples in the two Yajur Védas, of the latter, which is rather a duad, only one (in the Purushasukta of the Rig text). Nor am I able to give a satisfactory explanation of it. On the other hand, the identity of all things divine was already comprehended in Brahma; and it is moreover the object of this legend to illustrate or inculeate the supremacy of this Brahma over all divine manifestations in time and even over the triad of them. But how to explain the relation of Umd Himavati, who appears here as the mediator between Brahma and the doities ! According to S'ankara she is Vidyé (knowledge) which Umarupini' (in the form of Uma) manifests herself to Indra. The same view is taken by Bayana who (Taitt. A'. x. 1,150,) quotes this passage at the explanation of the word soms. He says there: Himavat-putryá gauryá brahmavidyábhimánirupatwád gauriváchaká umás'abdo brahmavidyám upalakshyati, ats éva talavakáropanishadi brahmavidyámúrti prastávé brahmavidyámúrti pathy-

até bahus obhamánám umám havimavatím tám haváchéti, tadvishaya tayá Umaya saha vartamanatwat some: and in the same way ibidem, anuv. 38° (8 Dráv.)—umá brahmavidyá tayá saha variamána soma paramátman ; farther, ibid. anuv. E Drév. (22 Andhra) -- ambiké jaganmété pérvati' tasya bhartre (Ambikapataye | the reading of the text) tasya eva brahmavidyátmaka déha umás abdénochyaté, tasyá swáminé (the text reads Umápatayê, but only in the Dravida, not in that of the Andhras.) This latter the only passage in Vednic writings, beside the Kena Upanishad, where I have met directly with the name of Uma; for the umasshave of the Kaivalya U, does not belong any more to Védaic writings, and although the commentaries also chewhere, as Sayana in the passages referred to, explain the some by Umaya schita, (for instance Mahi'dhara in explanation of Vai. pp. 16,39, and Bhatta Bh. M. commenting on the corresponding passage of Twitt S.) yet such a view is undoubtedly no more founded than in the passage, quoted from Sáyána, where the term means simply the juice of the Soma. If then, from what has been said, viz. partly from -the agreement among the commentaries, partly from the position which Uma holds here in the Kens U., the meaning of it as brahmavidys seems to be pretty well certain, and directly to identify itself with the Saraswati, the divine word, if we even might be tempted etymologically to connect her with the sacred word "Om," yet there are other points which soom to suggest quite a different view of the original meaning of Ums. First, why is she called Halmavatí ! (S'ankara gives two explanations : homakritábharanavati' athavá himavato duhitá). What connexion has she with the Himavat ! Is it, that the brahmavidys came first from the Himavat to the A'rians who inhabited Madhyades's? That the north of India was distinguished by a greater purity of language, and that people went there to learn the language (vocham s'ikshitum) and on their return enjoyed more respect and authority, we have seen before from the Kaushitaki Brahmana (1,153); would now be quite in order, if this had not been limited to language, but extended to philosophy, and if the knowledge of the one eternal Brahma had been sooner attained in the peacoful valleys of the Himavat than this was possible in Madhyader's, where practical life had yet too much hold on the mind. However, such a view of the Uma Haimavati seems in me very hazardous; for, independent, that in the explanation of the old Indian deities, it appears to be better to refer more closely to their relation to nature than to speculation, we do not know precisely, whether Uma really denotes the brahmavidya, and moreover, her later relation as the wife of Rudra (in the Taitt A'.) and resp. of S'iva would be entirely unintelligible. There now among the names of this latter (the wife of Rudra) a similar one, viz. l'árvatí, to judge from which we ought not to place the accent upon Himavat, but upon mountain, and to this I would add the names of Rudra which we learnt before from the S'atarudriya, viz. giris'a, giris'anta, giris'aya, giritra,

in which we traced the origin of the belief, that Biva was dwelling on the Kailáss. I is the tempest which rares within the mountains, and his wife therefore called properly " Parvati'," " Haimavati." I true, it hence not evident, what is meant by his wife : originally she | perhaps not even his wife, but his sister; for Uma and Ambiba are at a later time evidently the same, and Ambika | the sister of Rudra (vide. I, 183). This identity with Ambika suggests to us a new etymology of Uma; for as Ambika, mother," seems merely an suphemous fiattery to propitiste the cruel goddess (vid Mahi'dh, commenting on Váj. S. p. 3,57.) (in the same manner Rudra received the name of Siva) so it appears also necessary to derive the name of Umé from the root "u" "av" to protect. No doubt, a final vowel before ms gets commonly gune, or is prolonged, but sime and hims show, that this not necessary, and the name of Ruma (unless it in to be derived from Ram) is perhaps of a corresponding formation. It remains indeed involved in doubt, in what manner the cruck wife of Rudra appears here in the Kena U, as the mediator between the supreme Brahma and Indra, unless this Upashad belongs to a time, in which Siva, her husband, was considered the. supreme deity, I'e'wara, and resp. Brahma, that is to the time of a sect of Siva. If this remains questionable and improbable, yet for the first the view must be maintained, that the idea of Umi as brahmsvidys is founded on this sole passage of the Kena U., unless without any complication the original unity of Uma with Sarasvati, which we pointed out in the preceding note, is here still transparent.

Whose she perhaps denote the corrects of rain which are sent forth by Rudra, the storm from the mountains and clouds? And does the name of "Ambitá" bear a direct relation to that? In the same manner flareward, the goddess of rivers and of speech, is called Ambitama, is addrassed by "Amba," and is named "nitumé s'akharé játá-parratamúrdhani? According to this, Umá and Saraswati, Ambitá and Ambitama, Phrvati and Parvatamúrdhani would perhaps have the same origin, and their separation had only gradually caused, so that the destructive power of name had been cautred in the one, and in the other the beneficient harmonious power of song of the flowing waters? We had therefore in the Umá III the Kéna U., and in the Varadá of the Teitt. A', to see two examples of the original unity of both of them,

#### FOURTH SECTION.

- 1. She said, it is Brahma; for verily in this victory of Brahma you obtained majesty. Hence (from the word of Umá) he (Indra) even knew Brahma.
- 2. Therefore verily those gods, Agni, Váju and Indra, became excellent before the other gods; for they nearest touched that Brahma; they first knew that Brahma.
- 3. Therefore verily Indra became excellent before the other gods; for he nearest touched that Brahms; he first knew that Brahms.
- 4. This is a declaration (in illustration) of him, he shone forth like (the splendour) of the lightning;\* he disappeared like (the twinkling) of the eye. This (is the comparison of Brahma) with referrence to the deities.
  - 5. Then (follows a comparison of Brahma) with reference to the soul. The mind approaches, as it were, to this (Brahma); by the mind one recollects him; (by the mind) he is again and again ascertained.
  - 6. He is verily to be adored by that (individual scul). By the name of the adorable he is to be worshipped. All beings pray to him who thus knows that (Brahma.)†
  - 7. (The pupil speaks:) O venerable, tell the Upanishad.‡ (The teacher answers:) The Upanishad is made known to thee, we have explained to thee the Upanishad, referring to Brahma.
  - \* The meaning of this according to S'ankara, may also be, that Brahma, showing for an instant to the gods his nature, disappeared. This verse is intended to compare the nature of Brahma with reference to the superintendence of deities (adhidaivatam) and the sense is, that the perception of Brahma through the senses takes place like lightning, that, before it can be said, there 

    a perception, the perception has already disappeared. The comparison either refers to the instantenaousness of the lightning or to its splendour.
  - + Vid. B. A'. U. 1. ch. 4th Br. 16, where it said: "As every one desires continuance of his place, so verily desire all beings welfare for one who thus knows (because he is the place for all beings).
  - # This request of the disciple is evidently made for the purpose, that no doubt should remain, whether the destrine taught before, contains the whole

- 8. (The means) for its obtainment (are): Restraint (of the senses), subduing (of them) and work; the Védas with all their members are its foundation, truth its abode.\*
- 9. Whoever knows (the Upanishad) in such a manner, after having shaken off all sin, abides in the eternal, glorious place of heaven, abides in heaven.

science of Brahma or not, and the some is: The knowledge of Brahma is completed by what has been said of him before, there is nothing else that belongs to it, as II is independent of every other knowledge. There are, however, some means, by which the mind gets prepared for the reception of the knowledge of Brahma; but, however necessary they are as means, they are not included in that knowledge as a part of it, nor do they give the foundation to it.

\* "Restraint," tapes, austerity; "work," all coremonial ordinances, enjoined by the Védas; the members of the Védas (védánga) are the six sciences by which the understanding and application of the Védas are effected.—S' Vid. a similar passage Taitt, U. I. vi. 9th Anuv.

### INTRODUCTION.

THE Katha Upanishad, as commented on by S'ankara A'charya, consists of two parts (Adhyaya), each of them containing three Vallis (creepers). Dr. Weber\* is of opinion that the Ka ha originally closed with the third Valli, and his reasons are, that the first

. \* Indische Studien : vol. ii. pp. 197-200. Even a hasty glance at the Katha Upanishad shows, that it consists of two parts, the first of which is formed by the first, the second by the second Adhyava. While the first part is quite independent and complete, and moreover proved as such by a formal conclusion, offering promises to those who would declare or hear this "núchikétam upákhyánam,"-the second is composed almost exclusively of Védaic quotations, which are to prove more in detail the doctrines pronounced in the first, and which are always introduced by "étad vai tad." exactly in the manner and sense of the "tad api esha aloko bhavati," "tad éshá 'bhyanúktá," &c., in the Bráhmanas, and of the "tathá choktam. vatah", "aparam cha" in the Hitopadés'a. It is therefore quite proper, that in the enumeration of the Atharva Upanishads with Colebrooke (and in Chambers 127 b.) both parts are directly counted as two different Upanishads. That the second part is later than the first, independent of the nature of the case, is clear from several other, especially linguistic, reasons. First, the name of Nachikétas is no longer mentioned therein, with the exception of one passage (added to it at a yet later time) at its close, where, however, he is called Nachikéta instead of Nachikétas, but he I constantly addressed by the name of Gautama, which name again is not used in the first part. Farther the word "deba" for body is not met with in the first part, as it is in the second. Except in this passage, I have as yet found this word only in Taitt. A'. x. 13, and if its interpretation "what sullies" | correct, belongs to a pretty advanced stage of Indian ascetism. With this it further agrees, that in § 3 of the second part the technical term of "Yoga" is known, and explained to denote the highest degree of devotion, which 🖿 porhaps a sign that this expression was then yet new and required interpretation ..... Velses 7 and 8 in § 6, lastly, are only a (modified) repetition

part is complete, and has a formal conclusion, that the second part consists almost entirely of Védaic quotations, that there is a difference of language in the first and second parts, and that in the Atharva list they are enumerated as distinct Upanishads. These arguments appear conclusive, and we would especially urge the difference in the composition of them. The subject of the Upanishad is indeed fully treated at the conclusion of the first part; in the second there is no new thought; and, although not a mere repetition of the first, there is no leading idea by which its parts are arranged, so that it appears to have been composed at a later time, with a view of clucidating some of the topics of the first part more explicitly, and of proving its doctrines in a more convincing manner.

The Katha has always been considered as one of the best Upanishads, and it must be admitted, that in elevation of thought, depth of expression, beauty of its imagery and an ingenuous fervour, few are equal to it. The lofty conception, by which in its introductory legend\* Death is made to give a reply to the highest questions human mind can propose to itself, the enthusiasm and intimate conviction which Nachikétas shows about the infinite superiority of what is good over the pleasures of the world, even if

of 3, 10—11. However, likewise the second part, although later than the first, has yet a pretty ancient form, a character, which it owes purhaps more is quotations (as 5, 9—11 Agni, Váyu, Súrya) than to its original passages. It is evident, that the second part originally concludes after the words "tam vidyáchakram amritam," which for this purpose are repeated. The two next verses are a still later addition, the first prompted by a tender heart, which could not suffer the reader to remain in doubt about the fate of Nachikéta (sic!); this, however, is quite un-Védaic, and never occurs in the legends of the Bráhmanas, viz., that he attended to the doctrines of Death,—the second is the introductory and concluding verse, already discussed, which it has in common with the three last Upanishads of the Taitt. A'ranyaka, and which here also has crept in, because this is originally considered as II Taittiriya Upanishad.

\* The legend itself borrowed from the Taitt. A' prop. xi, 8, where Nachikétas's visit in the empire of Yama described (vide Weber's Indische Literaturgeschichte, p 90); but the mode in which distributed here, doriginal. The part of the legend, which dorrowed, is distinguished even in language from the rest; for while the language of the Upanishad is elevated and refined, it is simple and even rude in the legend

their enjoyment be as perfect as lies in its nature, the firmness which he maintains amidst all the allurements that are placed before him, and which bears some resemblance to the energy of mind with which Plato in the first and second hooks of his "Republic" shows that Justice has an incomparable worth, and ought to be preserved under any circumstances, the fine comparison of the body with a car, the soul with a rider, the senses with horses, the mind with the rein, &c., and which again recalls Plato by the similar comparison in his "Pheedrus,"—place it in a high rank as a poetical exposition of the doctrine that man is the same with the infinite soul.

In a philosophical point of view we cannot give the same praise, at least as m the form of the Upanishad; there is little connexion between the thoughts, no progress from one to another, so that they rather appear a compilation than the production of an original thinker. If we moreover attend to the distribution of the subject, at first no arrangement is found, the sentences do not shew a connected sequence, as when treating on one subject, another starts up without apparent necessity. On a closer examination a certain order becomes manifest, and to render this more perceptible. I shall state here the chief questions to which an answer is sought in every Upanishad, questions which are in fact, and must be, the subject of investigation to every philosophy, although they may assume a form very different from the one in which they are found in the Upanishads. They are one practical and three theoretical questions. 1. What is the highest object of man? 2. What is the last cause of the world? 3. In what connexion is this cause with the world? and, 4. How do we know of it?

The first Valli endeavours to answer the first question. Its views are briefly as follow:—It is generally thought that knowledge of the Védas leads to supreme happiness; but the happiness, which is derived from such a knowledge, and from the performance of the rites enjoined by the Védas, viz., the enjoyment of heavenly bliss, is transient, and does not satisfy the mind of man, who is desirous of a happiness wherein there is no change.

This happiness is possible only under the condition, that the soul itself attains to an unchangeable state, and hence the question arises, whether after death there is an existence of the soul, separated from all the instruments of transient enjoyment, as the senses, the mind, &c. The investigation is difficult; but there should be no hesitation of entering upon it; for the object is incomparably high, as it is a knowledge which leads to unalterable bliss.

In the second Valli a general solution is given of the questions:— What is the last cause of the world, and how do we know of it?

The good, in accordance to it, is different from what is pleasant; and on this account man has to choose between either; for from the diversity of their nature both cannot be obtained together. By discrimination it will be found, that the good is the higher of the two. The knowledge of the things that are pleasant is in fact ignorance.\* because it leads to delusion about the true nature of things, viz. by producing the belief, that only this world with its enjoyments exists, and not another. The result of this ignorance is, that the soul passes from life to death and vice vered. Knowledge, on the other hand, refers to what is good, and its object is the true nature of the soul of man. It is different from virtue and vice, from cause and effect, different from past, present and future times. The soul then by which man knows, is not born, nor does it die; it is not cause or effect; it is unchangeable, and the visible changes are only changes of the body. It is the one, infinite Brahma, who is incorporeal, great and alt-pervading, and although infinite, placed in the cavity of the heart of the living creatures.

The knowledge of Brahma, or of the soul as Brahma, is difficult to obtain, it requires both an able teacher and an able disciple. It is not acquired by mere arguing, not by knowledge and understanding of the Védas, or by manifold science, but by the union of intellect with the soul (Adhyátma Yoga). The most perfect means to acquire a knowledge of Brahma is the meditation on the word "Om,"

The ides, here expressed, that knowledge which has no reference to the supreme soul, is ignorance, approaches closely the tenet of the Védénta, that the world is produced by ignorance, delusion, and far out-strips the more cautious Mundaka Upanishad, (1, 4) according to which two sciences, viz. the science of Brahma and the science which refers to the Védas, are admitted, although the latter is declared to be inferior.

which is the aubstance of all the declarations of the Védas, and which refers either to the inferior or supreme Brahma, or to Brahma, considered either in his relations to the world, or in his own absolute nature. Or the knowledge of Brahma can only be gained by a person whose senses are subdued, whose intellect is concentrated, whose mind is at rest, and who has the desire of knowing him.

The third Valli treats on the relation between the infinite Brahma and the world in general, and with special reference between Brahma and the individual soul.

There are two souls in this world, the embodied or finite soul, and the unembodied or infinite soul.\* The embodied soul is endowed with senses, their objects, the mind and intellect, and is the ruler and enjoyer. Among them the objects are higher, that is say, more comprehensive and subtle, than the senses; the mind higher than the objects; the intellect (buddhi) higher than the mind; higher than the mind the great soul (mahátma); higher than the great (mahat) the unmanifested (avyakta); higher than the unmanifested the soul, which is without limit, and which is the last aim. This soul, concealed in all beings, is not manifest, but it becomes so to concentrated intellect; to know one's self as gradually depending upon intellect, the great soul, the placid soul, is to advance to the knowledge of Brahma, by the acquiring of which true immortality is gained.

The fourth Valli is, according to S'ankara, to show that the great obstacle to a knowledge of the soul is ignorance. However, it appears rather to give an answer to the question:—How can the soul be known, if it is concealed, which was maintained at the end of the

There may be here a doubt, what is meant by the "two who drink the due reward from their works in this world," whether the individual soul, and the universal soul, which I the totality of the individual souls, or the individual soul and Brahma in his real nature as separate from all worldly relations. The latter I evidently the sense of the passage; for with regard to the universal soul, the same necessity would exist to know itself as the infinite soul, and therefore no contrast could exist between the individual and universal soul; and, secondly, this meaning is borne out by the further exposition, where first the nature of the individual souls is described, and afterwards that of the infinite Brahma, while no allusion is made to the notion of an universal soul.

third Valls? The answer is:—When the senses are withdrawn from their objects and enjoyments, the soul is known by every one's own soul; for by this all sensual and other qualities, in the state of awaking as well as in dream, are perceived, known; nothing remains unknown it; it is knowledge itself, and thereby the same with the supreme Brahma. Then follows a description of the different relations of the soul like that in the third Valls. The individual soul is the same as the infinite soul; it is also Hiranyagarbha, the first emanation of the universal soul, as also the soul in the creatures, where, together with all the senses, if dwells in the cavity of the heart. There is no real difference between the supreme Brahma and the individual soul; both are the same, and this knowledge is immortality.

In the fifth Valli an attempt is made to prove the existence of the soul as a principle different from the body, and to show how' the one soul can be also manifold. The soul is the ruler of the senses, and all the functions of life depend upon its existence. When the soul has left the body, these functions cease. Life does not proceed from any of the vital functions; therefore it proceeds from something else, different from them, upon which it is founded. How the one soul can be manifold, is shown merely by comparisons. As one and the same fire by its coming into contact with various things becomes various, or as water, though of one nature, appears of many forms, when in connexion with other and other things, so appears the soul various by its various relations. In all these relations, however, the soul is not affected by the imperfections of the various things, as the sun is not sullied by the defects of the eye, in which it is reflected; for it is not only within, but also without the creatures.

The question at the end of the fifth Valli:—How can I know Brahma, does he manifest or not? and which is answered there:—Nothing can manifest the infinite Brahma, because all is manifested by him,—is again taken up in the sixth Valli. First the answer is supplied by a comparison. The world is like a fig tree, whose root is upwards, and whose branches go downwards. Then a description is given, which we already know (from the third Valli), of the soul in its relations to worldly existence, viz., that the mind is above

the senses, intellect above the mind, the great soul above intellect, the unmanifested above the great soul, and the soul (Purusha) above the unmanifested, and which is apparently intended to show the instruments by which the soul might be possibly comprehended. The soul is not known by the senses, nor by the mind; it becomes known through intellect by thinking; it is apprehended from the existence of its effect, the world, which like a tree to its root, points to its cause. This cause is afterwards to be considered in its independent nature, by which the true notion of Brahma is obtained. The chief means by which this thinking is produced, is the Yoga, which denotes a state, by which the senses and the mind are withdrawn from their objects, and the intellect is directed only to Brahma.

The question,--How we know of the infinite Brahma?-is in the Katha Upanishad more fully treated than in most of the others, and as the standing point of the Upanishads depends entirely upon this answer, viz., whether they claim to be founded upon revelation or upon philosophical thinking, it is worth while to ascertain it in this case. From such passages as :- "It (the soul) is difficult to be known, it is very subtle" (1-21.) "A wonderful teacher is required" (2-7). "The soul, more subtle than what is subtle, is not to be obtained by arguing" (2, 8-9,)-it may at first appear, that revelation is the source of that knowledge; for if the soul cannot be known by arguing (nor by perception,) there remains no other means to know it but tradition, and it is expressly asserted that a teacher is necessary. His knowledge is derived from another teacher, and so on, until we come to a last teacher, who must know it by immediate revelation from Brahma. However, the whole Upanishad is against this supposition. First, a knowledge of Brahma is impossible by the Véda, which is considered as the ordinary source of revelation. "The soul cannot be gained by knowledge of the Véda, not by the understanding of its meaning, not by manifold science." (2, 23.) Secondly, the soul is immaterial, and cannot be apprehended by the senses, and therefore not by tradition. "The soul's nature is not placed in what is visible, none beholds it by the eye." (6, 9.) "It is not gained by word, not by the mind, not by the eye." (6, 13.) " With regard to him (Brahma) the sun does not manifest, not the moon, not the stars. . . . When he

is manifest, all after him becomes manifest; by his manifestation this whole world becomes manifest." (6, 11.) These passages deny even the possibility of a revelation. Thirdly, the knowledge of the soul is independent of every thing else; it can be obtained merely by the soul itself. "By the soul which is chosen, it (the soul) can be gained. His (every body's) soul reveals its own truth." (2, 23.) "Who beheld this (soul) as dwelling in their own hody." (5,12.) Fourthly, it is clearly stated, by what means a knowledge of the soul is gained, viz., by thinking. "The soul must be thought of in various ways." (2,8.) "The wise thinking him (Brahma) by union of intellect with the soul." (2,12.) "He is beheld by the attentive, subtle intellect of men of subtle sight." (3,12.) "None beholds him by the eye; by the heart (intellect) through thinking (manasa) he becomes manifest." (6,2.) Moreover, not only the instrument of our knowledge of Brahma (the intellect) is pointed out, but also the peculiar process of thinking, by which that knowledge is obtained, viz., in the passage :-- " He is not to be gained by word, not by the mind, not by the eye, how could be be perceived by any other than by him who declares that he exists? The soul is to be perceived by existence as well as by its true notion, that is to say, by both; when it is perceived by existence, its true notion becomes manifest" (6,12-13), that is to say, Brahma will be known as the cause of the world, as the world is an effect and must have a cause; if this notion has been produced, the independent nature of Brahma will be also comprehended. Here the notion of Brahma is clearly based upon argument. And, lastly, it is asserted of the soul, that it can arrive at the knowledge of Brahma by its own nature; for the soul is Brahma, is knowledge in the highest sense. "His soul reveals its own truth" (2,23) what remains unknown to the soul by which one knows of form &c. (4, 4.) Hence the word denoting the perception of Brahma is knowledge, while every other perception is ignorance.

From this exposition it is evident that, according to the Katha Upanishad, the knowledge of Brahma depends upon a process of thinking, that is to say, that it is derived from philosophy, not from revelation. By reflection upon the world and the soul, by discrimination, the nature of Brahma becomes manifest, and it would have been rather surprising, if those bold and original thinkers, the results of whose

enquiries are deposited in the Upanishads, had not been aware of the manner in which they arrived at the notion of Brahma, which is so far removed from common thinking and the conception of the Védas. In later times, when the process of thinking by which that idea was formed had been forgotten, and original thought had been abandoned for the formulas of the schools, the attempt was made to assign the origin of their leading notion to another source, than from what it was actually derived; and we may find in the Katha already some traces of this in the value, which is attached to the Yoga, according to which not the perspicuity, order, and mutual determination of the ideas lead to truth, but a state in which the senses and the mind by some artificial means are withdrawn from their objects, a state, therefore, in which, if it were possible, every thought would cease.

The standing point of the Katha is on the whole that of the Védanta. It is the absolute spirit which is the foundation of the world, and it is the object of true science to know him as the same with all creatures, especially with one's own soul, which by this knowledge attains its final aim, -absorption into Brahma. In the order of munifestations or emanations from the absolute spirit it deviates, however, from that adopted by other Upanishads and by the later Védanta, and is evidently more closely allied to the Sankhya. The order is here:-The unmanifested (avvakta), the great soul, (mahátma or mahat), intellect (buddhi), mind, the objects of the senses and the senses. The same order is followed by the Sankhya, with the exception, that they have not between the unmanifosted and intellect the intervening "mahat," which with them is equivalent to intellect. The "manas" (mind) has here also the same function as in the Sankhya the ahamkara (self-consciousness). Hence it is probable, that this Upanishad was written at a time, when the Sankhya had already been founded, and with a view of conciliating part of the Sankhya, especially the followers of the Yoga, by some concessions; for while it disputes against them as to its assumption of many souls, and urges, that by mere thinking (tarka) the absolute spirit cannot be comprehended, it adopts, on the other hand, almost the whole order of their emanations as well as some of their technical names (mahat, avyakta, Purusha) and recognises the

necessity of the Yoga. Beside the Sánkhya, the Katha seems also to refer to the doctrine of the Buddhista; for they, if not the Chárvákas, are probably referred to, in the passage (1. 20.)—"There are enquiry. Some say, the soul exists after the death of man, others say, it does not exist,"—since the Sánkhya cannot be meant thereby, which, as well as the Védánta, maintains the independent existence of the soul.

Of the Katha several versions exist. It was translated into English first by Ram Mohun Roy, and again in the Tattwabodhini Pattri-kå (vol. i, pp. 316-27), where also a Bengalee version is given (vol. i, pp. 423-56). Into the German it has been translated by Windischmann (in the work of his father "Die Philosophie im Fortgang der Weltgeschichte," pp. 1706-17), and by Poley in the appendix his translation of Colebrooke's Treatise on the Védas (pp. 113 to 128). Dr. Weber has commented on some of its parts in his "Indische Studien" (vol. ii, pp. 195-207).\*

<sup>\*</sup> Vide also his remarks on the Katha in his "Indische Literaturgeschichte." (pp. 151-52.)

## KATHA UPANISHAD.

#### FIRST CHAPTER.

# First Valli'.

- 1. Once desirous (of heaven) the son of Vájásrava (Gautama) gave away all his property.\* He had a son, Nachikétas by name.
- 2. When the presents† were brought, filial anxiety (about the welfare of his father) penetrated the youth. He thought:—
- 3. (A sacrificer) who bestows (cows) which have drunk their water, eaten their grass, given their milk, and which are barren, goes verily to the worlds of unhappiness.
- 4.‡ He said to his parent:—O father, to whom wilt thou give me? (He said so) a second and a third time. (Euraged) he answered him:—To Death I will give thee.
- 5. (Nachikétas thought:—) Among many (sons) I am the first, among many the middle, (but not among the bad, therefore) is there any work of Yama, which he will perform to-day through me? (Nachikétas§ said:)
- 6. Remember, how former men (our forefathers) acted; consider, how the present (good men) act. || Like corn, the mortals get ripe, 'like corn they are born again.
- " In the Vis'vajit sacrifice; a sacrifice which was generally performed by kings, when they returned from their expeditions to conquer the earth (dig-vijaya), but which, as appears, could be also performed by Bráhmans.
  - † The cows, intended = presents for the priests, officiating at the sacrifice.
  - # With the intention to prevent this calamity by offering himself-
- § When the father told him, that he had only spoken in anger, and that he did not require his self-sacrifice, after reflecting that the word of a father should on no account become broken—
  - | Viz., they never break their word.
- Therefore one ought never to speak falsely on account of this transient world.

- 7. \* A Brahmina guest, enters a house like Vaisvánara (fire). For him (the good) make this peace-offering.† Take the water, son of Vivasvat (the sun).
- 8. Hope, expectation, meeting (with the good), friendly words, sacrifices, pious gifts, sons and cattle,—all this loses the man of little sense in whose house a Brahmana dwells without taking food.
- 9. (Yama speaks:—) O Brahma, because thou, a venerable guest, hast tarried in my house for three nights, without taking food, therefore be salutation to thee, and welfare to me; moreover choose three boons instead (the three nights thou wast here without hospitable reception).
- 10. (Nachikétas speaks:—) O Death, that Gautama be appeased in thought, and composed in mind, that his anger towards me may have gone, and that he may salute me, liberated by thee, remembering (me as his son), this I choose as the first of the three boons.
- 11. (Yama speaks:—) Through my favour, Audálaki, the son of Aruņa‡ will remember (thee with love) as before; he will sleep happily at night; free from anger he will see thee, when released from the mouth of death.
- 12. (Nachiketas speaks:—) In the place of heaven there is no fear of any kind; thou art not there, none fears decay. Without either hunger or thirst, beyond all grief (all) rejoice in the place of heaven.
  - 13. Thou hast, O Death, a recollection of the heavenly fire, 5 make it known to me (also) who have faith. The dwellers in heaven | enjoy immortality; this I choose as the second boon.
  - \* To save his veracity, the father cent him to the abode of Yanu, where, in the absence of the latter, he remained for three nights. Having returned, Yams was thus admonished by his counsellers or wives. -- S'.
    - + Viz., water for the feet, a seat, &c.
  - \* Weber, Ind. Literaturgeschichte, p. 152., says about these names:...Two other names (beside that of Arupi,) which are given to the father of Nachikétas (a fourth name is Gautama,) viz., Audálaki and Vájas'ravasa, are at variance with the other accounts. Vájas'ravasa il also found in the corresponding passages of the Taitariya Bráhmana; whether also Audalaki, I do not knew. Víde Ind. St. vol. ii., pp 201-3.
    - § Of the fire by which heaven is gained.

Those who by the fire-sacrifice have obtained heaven

- 14. (Yama speaks:—) I will tell thee; do thou attend to this my word. I know the heavenly fire, O Nachikétas. Know that the fire, which is the cause of acquiring infinite worlds, which again is the foundation (of the universe), is placed in the cavity (of the heart).
- 15. He then explained to him that fire, which is the first\* of the worlds, the nature of the bricks,† and their number, and in what way (the rite of that fire is to be performed). Nachikétas also repeated it in the same manner as it was explained to him. Then thereby pleased, Death again said:—
- 16. Satisfied, the magnanimous Death spoke to him:—I grant thee now another boon again. After thy name shall be named that fire. Take also this many-coloured chain.
- 17. Whoever performs three times the sacrifice of the Nachikéta fire, when he has received advice from the three, (viz., the mother,
  the father and the teacher,) who has done the three works (offering, reading of the Védas, and liberality),—overcomes birth and
  death. Whoever knows and judges well, (that fire) which sprung from
  Brahma, and is wise, which is divine, and worthy of praise, obtains
  that overlasting peace.
  - 18. Whoever offers three times by the Nachikéta fire, when he knows its threefold nature, leaves before (the death of the body) the chains of death, and without grief rejoices in the place of heaven.
  - 19. This is the heavenly fire, which, thou, O Nachikétas, chooseth for thee by the second boon. Men will call this fire even after thee. Choose the third boon, O Nachikétas.
  - 20 (Nachikétas speaks:—) There is this enquiry: Some say, (the soul) exists after the death of man,‡ others say, it does not exist. This I should like to know, instructed by thee. Such is the third of the boons.
  - 21. (Yama speaks:—) With reference to this (question), there was enquired of old even by the gods; for it is not easy to under-

<sup>\*</sup> The fire is here equivalent to the Virat, the first production of Brahms. S'.

<sup>+</sup> Deposited every day after the fire-offering, to show the number of ceremonies, performed during the year.

<sup>#</sup> It exists separate from body, senses, mind, and intollect.-S'

stand it, subtle is its nature. Choose another boon, Machikétas; do not compel me to this;\* release me from this (boon).

- 22. (Nachiketas speaks:—) Even by the gods verily was enquired (into this question), and as to what thou sayest, O Death, "that it is not easy to understand it," there is no other speaker to be found like thee, there is no other boon like this.
- 23. (Yama speaks:—) Choose sons and grandsons who may live a hundred years, choose herds of cattle, choose elephants, and gold and horses, choose the wide-expanded earth, and live thyself as many years thou listeth;
- 24. Or, if thou knowest a boon like this, choose it together with wealth, and far-extending life. Be (a king), O Nachikétas, on the wide carth, I will make thee the enjoyer of all desires.
- 25. All those desires that are difficult to gain in the world of mortals, all those desires ask thou according to (thy) pleasure,—these fair ones (of heaven) with their cars, with their musical instruments; for the like as they are not to be gained by mcn. Be attended by them, I will give them to thee; (but) do not ask (the question of the state of the soul after) death.
- 26. (Nachikétas speaks:—) (All those enjoyments) are of yester-day;† they wear out, O thou end of man, the glory of all the senses. And more, the life of all is short. With thee remain thy horses and the like, with three dance and song.
- 27. Man rests not satisfied with wealth. If we should obtain wealth and behold thee, we would (only) live as long as thou shalt sway. The boon, which I have to choose, is what I said.
- 26. Which man living in this lower world, who knows that he decays and dies, while going to the undecaying immortals, (he shall obtain some exceeding bliss), who is aware of (the nature of the Apsaras and the like who) rejoice in beauty and love, can be pleased with a long life?
- 29. Tell us, O Death, what it is which they inquire into, as to the great question, concerning the next world. Nachikétas asks for no other boon, but that (concerning the soul) of which the knowledge is hidden.

<sup>\*</sup> To fulfil this, like a debtor is compelled by his creditor to pay his debt. S'.

<sup>+</sup> Literally, of to-morrow.

### Second Valli'.

#### Yama speaks.

- 1. Another thing is what is good, another what is pleasant. Both, having different objects, chain man. Blessed is he who between them takes the good (alone), but he who chooses what is pleasant, loses the (last) object (of man).
- 2. What is good, and what is pleasant, take hold of man; the sage comprehending them, distinguishes (their nature); the sage chooses even the good, because it exceeds (in value) what is pleasant; but the dull man chooses what is pleasant for acquiring and preserving.
- 3. But thou, considering the objects of desire, whether they are pleasant (as a son, &c), or of pleasant shape, (as the heavenly nymphs,) hast abandoned them, O Nachikétas. Thou hast not chosen the road of wealth, on which so many men perish.
- 4. Those two, ignorance\* and knowledge,† are known to be far asunder, and to lead to different goals.‡ I think thee, O Nachikétas, desirous of knowledge, because (even) many objects of desire did not attract thee.
- 5. Those who live in the midst of ignorance, but fancy themselves wise and learned (Pandita), go round and round with erring step, deluded, as blind people lead by a blind.
- 6. The necessary causes for gaining the next world are not apparent to the careless youth, who is foolish by the delusion of wealth. Believing, this world exists, and not the other, he is again and again subject to my sway,
- 7. Of the soul,—which is not gained by many, because they do not hear of it, and which many do not know, although they hear of it,—
  - Whose object what pleasant,
  - + Whose object | what is good.
- ‡ One being the cause of transmigration, the other of liberation. Vide 8'wét. U. 5, I, and Váj. S. U. 10.
- § The same verse occurs in the Mund, U. 2, 8, only that here, instead of "dandramyamana," E read "janghamyamana."

of the soul is wonderful the speaker, ingenious the receiver, wonderful the knower, instructed by an ingenious (teacher).\*

- 8. That soul, declared by an inferior man,† is not easily to be known, as it is to be thought of in various ways, (but) when it is declared by a teacher, who beholds no difference,‡ there is no doubt concerning it, (otherwise) the soul, being more (subtle than what is subtle), in not to be obtained by arguing.§
- 9. That knowledge, O dearest, (for which thou hast asked,) is not to be gained by argument; (but) it is easy to understand it, when declared by a teacher who beholds no difference. Thou art persevering as to the truth. May there be for us an (other) enquirer like thee, O Nachikétss.
- 10. I know, worldly happiness is transient; ¶ for that firm one is not obtained by what is not firm. Hence the Nachikéta fire\*\* (is established) by me through transient things; (thereby) I obtained the permanent (place of Yama).
- 11. Thou, O Nachiketas, although thou hast beheld the fruit of sacrifice, the eternal place (of Prajápati), where all desires are fulfilled, the world is founded, where every fear ceases, which is praiseworthy and great, of wide-extended sphere, and the abode (of the soul),—yet, wise by firmness, thou hast abandoned it, O Nachikétas.
- 12. The wise by means of the union (of the intellect) with the soul, †† thinking him, whom it is difficult to behold, who is unfathomable and concealed, who is placed in the cavity, ‡‡ whose abode is impervious, who exists from times of old,—leaves both grief and joy.

<sup>\*</sup> Vide Bhag. G. 2, 29.

<sup>+</sup> Vide 3d. Mund. 2, 4.

<sup>4 &</sup>quot;Ananyéna," or whose soul is not different from the supreme soul.

<sup>§</sup> Viz. not by arguing, founded upon our own understanding .- S'.

<sup>||</sup> Or: -The knowledge, which it is easy to understand, when declared by a teacher . . . , cannot be destroyed by argument. (Nápa-nétavyá, na hantavyá.)-S'. But then, says Weber, the long á in "ápanéya" is not explained.

<sup>¶</sup> Because it ■ the effect of works which are transient.—S'.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Which is the cause of obtaining the transient happiness of heaven - S'.

<sup>++</sup> Adhyatmayoga, by withdrawing the mind from external things, and fixing the intellect on the soul above.

<sup>11</sup> In the cavity, the other of the heart, in intellect.

- 13. Having heard this (nature of Brahma), comprehended it, having distinguished the (soul, as) endowed with qualities, (Dharmya) (from the body,) obtained it in its subtle nature, the mortal rejoices; for he has obtained what is a cause for rejoicing. (Thee), O Nachikétas, I believe a house, whose door is open (for Brahma).
- 14. (Nachikétas speaks:—) (Then) make known to me the (being) which thou beholdest different from virtue, different from vice, different from this whole of effects and causes, different from past, from future (and present time). (Yama speaks:—)
- 15. The word of which all the Védas\* speak, which all the works of penance proclaim, of which desirous they live as Brahmastudents, this word I will briefly tell thee; it is "Om."
- 16. This sound means Brahma,† this sound means the supreme.‡ Whoever knows this sound, obtains whatever§ he wishes.
- 17. This means is best, this means is supreme; || whoever knows this means, is adored in the place of heaven.
- 18. The knowing\*\* (soul) is not born, nor does it die, it was not produced from any one, nor was any produced from it; unborn, eternal, without decay, ancient as it is, it is not slain, although the body is slain.
- 19. If the slayer thinks I slay, if the slain thinks I am slain, then both of them do not know well. It (the soul) does not slay, nor is it slain.
- 20. The soul, which is subtler than what is subtle, greater than what is great, †† is seated in the cavity of the living being. ‡‡ He, who

<sup>\*</sup> Namely, a part of the Védas, the Upanishada.-A', G.

<sup>+</sup> The inferior Brahma .-- S'.

<sup>‡</sup> The supreme Brahma, Brahma 🔣 his own absolute nature.

<sup>■</sup> Whether it be the supreme or the inferior Brahma,—S'.

<sup>||</sup> Or not supreme, as its object is either the supreme or the inferior Brahma.

<sup>¶</sup> Vis., being Brahma, either the supreme or the inferior, he is adored accordingly.—S'.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Verses 18 and ... occur in Bhag. G. 2, 19, 20. Verse 20 is also found in the S'wét. U. 3, 20

<sup>++</sup> Because the whole world is placed upon it.-S'

<sup>11</sup> From Brahma down to inunimate matter. -S'.

- in free from desire and without grief, beholds by the tranquillity of his senses that majesty of the soul.
- 21. Sitting it (the soul) goes afar, sleeping it goes everywhere.\* Who else (therefore) save myself, is able to comprehend the God† who rejoices and not rejoices.
- 22. Thinking the soul as unbodily among the bodies, as firm among the fleeting things, as great and all-pervading, the wise casts off all grief.
- 23. The soul‡ cannot be gained by knowledge, 5 not by understanding, 1 not by manifold science. It can be obtained by the soul, by which it is desired. His soul¶ reveals its own truth.
- 24. Whoever has not ceased from wicked ways, is not subdued, (in his senses,) not concentrated, (in his intellect,) and not subdued in mind, does not obtain it, (the true soul,) not even by knowledge.\*\*
- 25. Who is able to know in this manner, where that soul is, whose food is both the Brahma and Kahattra, and whose condiment is death?
  - \* Vide a similar passage, Vaj. S. U. 5.
- + The soul, which is represented here under contradictory attributes to show the difficulty of comprehending it.
  - I The same idea as in verses 7-9. It occurs also in Mund U. 3, 2, 3.
  - § And performance of the rites of the Veda .- S'. Vide S'wet. U. 4, 8.
  - | The meaning of the Veds.—S'.
  - ¶ The soul of him who is desireds of knowing his own soul.
  - \*\* By knowledge of Brahma.—S'.

## Third Valli'.

- 1. (The supreme and inferior souls,) drinking\* the due reward from their works† is this world,‡ entered both the cave, the highest place of the supreme (soul). The knowers of Brahma call them shadow and sunlight, thus also the performers of the five-fold fire,§ and the sacrificers of the three Nachikéta fires.
- 2. We are able (to understand both) the Nachikéta fire, which is the bridge of all sacrificers (to cross unhappiness), and the undestructible Brahma, the place, where all fear disappears, the refuge of those who are desirous of crossing (the ocean of the world).
- 3. Know the soul (the embodied soul) as the rider, the body as the car, know intellect as the charioteer and mind again as the reins.
- 4. They say, the senses are the horses, and their objects are the roads. The enjoyer is (the soul) endowed with body, sense, and mind; thus say the wise.
- 5. Whoever is unwise with reins never applied, has the senses unsubdued, like wicked horses of the charioteer.
- 6. But whoseever is wise with the mind always applied, has the senses subdued like good horses of the charioteer.
- 7. Whoever is unwise, unmindful, always impure, does not gain that goal, ¶ (but) descends to the world (again).
- 8. But whosoever is wise, mindful, always pure, gains the goal from whonce he is not born again.
- " Pibantan," although only the worldly soul obtains the reward from its work, and therefore the singular number should have been employed, the dual number is liers used on account of the connexion of the worldly with the supreme soul.—S'.
  - + "Sukritau," literally "good works," here generally for their works.
  - ‡" Loka" means here, according to S'ankara, "body."
  - \$ The house-helders.—8'.
  - || Vide S'wét. U. 2, 9, where a similar comparison is used.
  - ¶ Mentioned in the second verse.

- 9. But the man, whose charioteer is wise, (and) the reins of whose mind are well applied, obtains the goal of the road, the highest place of Vishau.\*
- 10. Higher indeed than the senses are their objects, higher than their objects is the mind, intellect higher than the mind, higher than intellect the great soul.
- 11. Higher than the great one the unmanifested, higher than the unmanifested the soul (Purusha), higher than the soul is nought; this is the last limit and the highest goal.
- 12. Being the hidden nature of all beings, it is not manifested; but it is beheld by the attentive subtle intellect of men of subtle sight.
- 13. Let the wise subdue his speech by mind, subdue his mind by that nature which is knowledge (by intellect), subdue his knowledge in the great soul, subdue this also in the placid soul.
- 14. Arise, awake, get the great (teachers) and attend. The wise say, that the road to him is (as) difficult to go, as the sharp edge of a razor.
- 15. Whoever has understood (the nature of Brahma) which without sound, without touch, without form, which does not waste, which is without taste, which is cternal, without smell, without beginning and without end, higher than the great one† (intellect), which is firmly based,—escapes from the mouth of death.

+ Vide Mund. 2, 2

<sup>\*&</sup>quot; Tad Vishno" is explained by S'ankara "vyápanns' stasya brahmana: paramátmano vásudévákhyasya," where Vishnu is identified with the son of Vasudéva. We would rather take it in the Védaic meaning, or literally as the pervader, the penetrator, as there is no other trace in this Upanishad of the opinions of the Vishnuites. Weber's Ind. Stad., pp. 200-1, mays about this passage: "...and it appears, we have by the Oxoc (god) of the author, according 3, 9, perhaps to understand a form of Vishnu, although, on the other hand, it possible, that the term referred to as a recollection of the Véda (Rig V. 1, 22, 20, 21) should perhaps not be understood in its strict sense, because the Védaic Vishnu is quite different from the later Vishnu; but even II it were a direct reference to Vishnu, we should thereby not be authorized to ascribe the Upanishad to a Vishnu sect, as it has nothing in common with a sectarian spirit, and, on the contrary, bears an unmixed Védántic character."

- 16. The wise who says and hears the eternal tale, which Nachikétas received and Death related, is adored in the world of Brahma.
- 17. Whoever pure (in mind) explains this (work) of deep import, which (otherwise) should be concealed, in the assembly of the Brahmas or at the time of the Sráddha, obtains thereby\* infinite fruit, obtains thereby infinite fruit.

S'ankers explains "tod" (thereby) with "Sráddham," his Sráddha bears
infinite fruit, while in the above translation it refers to both, the assembly
and the Sráddha.

#### SECOND CHAPTER.

## Fourth Valli'.

- 1. The self-existent\* subdued† the senses which turn to external objects; therefore (man) sees the external objects, not the internal soul; (but) the wise, with eye averted (from sensual objects) and desirous of immortal nature, beholds the absolute soul.
- 2. Idle youths follow desires turning to external objects; they fall into Death's wide-extended net; therefore the wise who know what is truly of an immortal nature, do not ask (for any thing) here among the fleeting things.
- 3. To the (soul) by which (every one) knows of form, of smell, of sounds, of touch, of love, nothing remains (unknown). This is that (Brahma for which thou hast asked).
- 4. Thinking (the soul) by which he recognises both, what there is in dream, and what there is in awaking, thinking this as the great pervading soul, the wise does not grieve.
- 5. Whosoever knows this soul as the consumer of the fruit,‡ as the bearer of life, as what is always near, as the ruler of the past, the future (and the present times)—does thence of not try to conceal (the soul.) This is that.
- 6. Whoseever beholds the first-born¶ from the penauce\*\* (of Brahma) who was created before the waters, +† when he has enter-

<sup>\*</sup> The Supreme Ruler .- S'.

<sup>+ &</sup>quot;Vyatrinat," hinsitaván, hananam kritaván, as S'ankara explains it, because lie is self-dependent.

<sup>‡</sup> As the embodied soul, which is subject to the necessary effects of its works.

<sup>§</sup> From the time of his knowledge.—8'.

<sup>||</sup> For he has no fear, that the soul can be destroyed.

<sup>¶</sup> Hiranyagarbha.—B'.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Penance, as characterised by knowledge, &c.-S'.

<sup>++</sup> And the other elements. - 6'.

ed the cave,\* and dwells (there) with (all) the beings,† beholds that (Brahma for which thou hast asked).

- 7. Whosoever (beholds) Aditi, the nature of all gods, who through life (Hiranyagarbha) sprang forth (from the supreme Brahma), who was born together with (all) the beings, when she has entered the cave and dwells there, (beholds) that (Brahma for which thou hast asked).
- 8. As the fire is concealed within the two pieces of wood, as the embryo is hidden in the mother, so the fire—which is to be praised day after day by men, who are awake (careful to do their duties) and offer with clarified butter,—is that (Brahma for which thou hast asked).
- 9. From whom the sun rises, and in whom it sets again, him all the gods entered; from him none is separated. This is that.
- 10. What is even here, the same is there, and what is there, the same is even here. He proceeds from death to death, who beholds here\*\* difference.
- 11. By the mind is this (Brahma,) to be obtained, (then) there is no difference whatsoever. He proceeds from death to death, who beholds here difference.
- 12. The soul (Purusha) which in the measure of a thumb†† dwells in the middle of the body (in the ether of the heart) is the ruler of the past, the future (and the present times). Hence (from having this knowledge, the wise) does not desire to conceal (the soul) (vide latter part of v. 5). This is that.

<sup>&</sup>quot; The other of the heart, after he has produced the bodies of the gods, etc.—S'.

<sup>+</sup> The products of causes and effects.

<sup>‡</sup> Aditi, the whole of the senses (S'abdádínam Adanád Aditi :--S').

<sup>§</sup> The two pieces of wood, from which fire is produced by rubbing.

 $<sup>\</sup>parallel$  The individual soul, which is considered as cause and effect, and endowed with worldly attributes by those who do not comprehend  $\blacksquare$  in its essential being.—S'.

<sup>¶</sup> The same, as it in its own nature, which is eternal knowledge and separate from all worldly attributes.—S'.

<sup>\*\*</sup> In Brahms.

<sup>++</sup> Vide S'wét 8, 13,

- 13. The soul, which is like light without smoke, the ruler of the past, the future (and the present times), is even to-day, (and) will be verily to-morrow.
- 14. As water, when rained down on elevated ground, runs scattered off in the valleys, so even runs after difference a person who beholds attributes different (from the soul).\*
- 15. As pure water, which is thrown down on pure ground, remains alike, so also, O Gautama, is the soul of the thinker (Muni) who knows.†
- \* That is to say, whoever sees the things as different from the soul, is, in accordance with his knowledge, been again in another body.—S'.
  - + That his soul is the same with the supreme Brahma.

### Fifth Valli'.

- 1. (The body is like) a town with eleven\* gates of (the soul) which has no birth and is of upright intellect. Adoring it (the supreme ruler), (the wise) does not grieve, and liberated (from ignorance, &c.,) he becomes liberated.† This is that.
- 2. As Ifansa‡ (A'ditya, sun) it dwells in the heavens, as Vasa (wind) it dwells in the atmosphere, as the invoker§ (of the gods) it dwells within the earth, as Soma‡ in the water jar; it dwells in man, it dwells in truth, it dwells in the ether, it is born in the waters (as aquatic animals), it is born in the earth (as rice, &c.), it is born in the sacrifice, it is born on the mountains (as the rivers), it is truth, it is the great one (infinite).
- 3. Him, the dwarf, ¶ sitting in the middle (of the ether of the heart) who raises upwards (from the heart) the vital air that goes forwards, who dejects the vital air that goes downwards, him all gods (all the senses) adore.\*\*
- 4. When the soul, which dwells in the body, departs and becomes separated from it, what else is left there? †† This is that.
- \* Vis., the seven openings in the face, the navel, with two openings below, and the opening on the middle of the head. See a similar comparison in S'wet. U. 3, 18; and Bhag. G. 5, 13.
- † The soul, Parames'vara, the supreme ruler, is here represented as a King -S'.
- ‡ Hauss is derived, according to S'ankara, from Hansati (be proceeds). This verse is taken from Rig. Mund. 4, 40, 5. Vide Weber's Ind. St. vol. ii, p. 205.
  - § Motar, a name of Agni, as the invoker or sacrificer of the gods.
- Atithi, according to S', either the god Soma, or in its literal meaning guest," and the sense would then be, " it dwells as guest in the houses."
- Vide V. 4. 12, where it is said "the soul, which is of the measure of a thumb."
- \*\* By bringing him offerings, viz , the different sensations of colour, &c., as the subjects serve a king.—S'.
  - ++ In all the vital airs, &c.

- 5. No mortal whatsoever lives by the vital air that goes forwards, by the vital air that goes downwards (or by any sense); they live by another\* on which both (the two vital airs together with the senses) are founded.†
- 6. Now again I will declare to thee that eternal Brahma, who is be concealed, and (hear), Gantama, (how by the knowledge of him all concern for the world ceases,) and also, how (by not knowing him, the ignorant) obtaining death assumes a body (again).
- 7. Some enter the womb (again after death) for assuming a body; others go inside a trunk, according to their works, according to their knowledge.
- 8. The perfect one (Purusha) who, building desire after desire, is awake in those that are asleep, is called even pure, is called Brahma, is called even immortal. Upon him all the worlds are founded; none becomes different from him. This is that.
- 9. As the one fire, when entering the world, becomes to every nature of every nature, § so the one soul, being of every nature to every nature, is the internal soul of all beings, and is also without them (in its own nature).
- 10. As the one air, when entering the world, becomes of every nature to every nature, so the one soul, being of every nature to every nature, is the internal soul of all beings, and is also without (them).
- 11. As the one sun, I the eye of the whole world, is not sullied by the defects of the eye or of external things, so the soul, as the inner soul of all beings, is not sullied by the unhappiness of the world, because it is (also) without it.

<sup>\*</sup> Different from the compound of senses, &c.

<sup>†</sup> For, says S', the cause of life does not depend upon them, as they refer there and other things on account of their composition; without something else which arranges them, it is impossible that things of themselves should form a compound, as the materials of a house do not form a house without somebody who brings them together.

<sup>#</sup> Br. A'. 2 Adh. 2, 13.

<sup>§</sup> Becomes manifold from the manifold fuel.

<sup>||</sup> Br. A'. 2 Adh. 5, 19.

<sup>¶</sup> Bhag. G. 13, 52.

- 12. He is one,\* the ruler, the inner soul of all beings, who renders (his) one nature manifold. The wise who behold him as dwelling in their own selves, obtain eternal bliss, not others.
- 13. The wise who behold (the soul) as the eternal among what is transient, as the intelligent among those that are intelligent, which, though one, grants the desires of many (who behold it) as dwelling in their own selves, obtain eternal bliss, not others.
- 14. (Wise) think that supreme bliss, which cannot be described, to be this (individual soul). How then shall I know it? Does it manifest or does it not manifest?
- 15. There (with regard to Brahma) the sun does not manifest, nor the moon and stars, there do not manifest those lightnings; how then should manifest this fire? When he is manifest, all is manifested after him; by his manifestation this whole (world) becomes manifest.

<sup>\*</sup> Verses 12, 13, 15, occur, with a few variations, in the S'wét. U. 6, 12, 15; v. 15, ■ the Mund. U. 2, 2, 10, and Blug. G. 15, 6.

#### Sixth Vallt'.

- 1. It (the world)\* is like an eternal holy fig-tree, whose root is upwards, and whose branches go downwards. This; is called even pure, this is called Brahma (all comprehensive); this is called even immortal; upon this all the worlds are founded; none becomes different from it. This is that.
- 2. This whole universe trembles within the life (the supreme Brahma); emanating (from it) it (the universe) moves on. It (Brahma) is a great fear, like an uplifted thunderbolt. Those who know it, become immortal.
- 3. Through fear of him burns the fire, through fear of him burns the sun, through fear of him runs Indra, the wind, and Beath as the fifth.
- 4. If here (in this life) one is able to comprehend him (Brahma) before the death of the body, (he will be liberated from the bondage of the world; if one is not able to comprehend him,) he is destined for the assumption of a body.
- 5. As one is reflected in a looking-glass, so (the soul is) in the body; as in a dream, so in the world of the forefathers; as in water, so in the world of the Gandharvas; as in a picture and in the sunshine, so in the world of Brahma.
- 6. Considering the different state of the senses which are produced one after another (from the mind) and their rise and setting, § the wise do not grieve.
- 7. Higher than the senses (and their objects) is the mind, more excellent than the mind the intellect (Sattvam); above the intellect sours the great soul, more excellent than the great one is the un manifested.

<sup>\*</sup> Vide Bhag. G. 15, I-3

<sup>+ &</sup>quot;Tad," according 
S'ankara, refers 
"mulam," this root. The latte

<sup>1</sup> Also in Taitt. U. 2, 8.

<sup>8</sup> Which I not the case with the soul.

- 8. But higher than the unmanifested is the soul (Purusha) which is all-pervading and without cause. Knowing this, one gets liberated and gains immortality.
- 9. Its (the soul's) being (nature) is not placed in what is visible; none beholds it by the eye, by the heart (the intellect) the ruler of the mind, through thinking it gets manifest.\* Immortal become those who know it.
- 10. The state which ensues, when the five organs of knowledge remain (alone) with the mind, and the intellect does not strive, is called the highest aim.
- 11. This they call concentration (Yoga) which is the firm keeping down of the senses. At that time (man) gets careful;† for concentration has as well its furtherance as its hinderance.
- 12. It (the soul) is not to be gained by word, not by the mind,not by the eye, how could it be perceived by any other than him who declares that it exists?
  - 13. (The soul) is to be perceived by (the notion of) existence; ‡ it is to be perceived by its true notion; § (that is to say) by both of them; the true nature of the soul becomes manifest, when (first) it has been perceived by (the notion of) existence.
  - 14. When all the desires cease which were cherished in his heart (intellect), then the mortal becomes immortal, then he obtains here Brahma.
  - 15. When all the bonds of the heart are broken in this life, then the mortal becomes immortal; this alone is the instruction (of all the Védas).
  - 16. There are hundred and one arteries of the heart; it the one of them (Sushumna,) proceeds to the head. By this (at the time of death) rising upwards (by the door of A'ditya) a person gains immortality; the other (arteries) are of various course.

<sup>\*</sup> Vide S'wet, U. 4, 20

<sup>+</sup> When he places his mind entirely upon the contemplation of the soul,

<sup>2</sup> Viz. as an existing cause from its existing effect, the world.

<sup>§</sup> Without any relation to something else.

<sup>|</sup> Vide Pras' W. 3, 6.

17. The spirit, the inner soul, which is of the size of a thumb, is always residing in the heart of men; let a man with firmness separate it from his own body, as from a painter's brush a fibre.

Let a man know it, which is pure, which is immortal; let a man know it, which is pure, which is immortal.

- 18. Nachikéta, having gained that science declared by Death, and also the whole rule of concentration, obtained Brahma, and hence was without passion and immortal; thus also any other (will obtain Brahma) who knows in the same manner the unchangeable soul.
- 19. May he\* protect us both† at the same time, the same time support us both; may both of us at the same time apply (our) strength; may our reading be illustrious, may there be no hatred (amongst us). Om! peace, peace, peace!‡
  - \* The Supreme Ruler, taught in this Upanishad .-- S'.
  - + The teacher and disciple.
  - # This verse is the same with Taitt. U. 3, 1, and the latter half of 3, 6.

#### INTRODUCTION.

THE Pras'na, one of the Upanishads in the Atharva collection, is divided, according to S'ankara's text, into six parts (Pras'na, questions), or, according to Dr. Weber's codices, into three Adhyayas with six Kandikes.\*\*

The first question shows the relation between Prajapati (the Creator) and the creatures, the period of creation and the manner in which Prajapati is to be worshipped. The whole description is mythological and symbolical, and does not contain any defined thought. The creation proceeded from Prajapati, who was desirous of offspring. From his desire and the reflection on the creation a pair sprung forth, viz., that which is without form, matter,† the universal food, and that which has form, life, the consumer. As life and matter Prajapati is gradually the sun and the moon, the year in its two halves, viz., when the sun moves to the north and again turns to the south, the lunar month in its dark and light halves, day and night, &c., so that each first member of this series corresponds to life and each second to matter. In fact, Prajapati, though divided, is one and the same, is creator and creature.

While the first question exhibits Prajapati in his general relation to the world, the second shows his relation to the individual bodies.

The body, according to it, is composed of the five gross elements, of the organs of action, the organs of intellect and the vital airs. By which of them is the body upheld? The answer is, by the principal life, because, when life ceases, all the other organs cease to act. Which then is the principal life? The answer, stripped of its symbolical disguise, is, it is the soul, it is all in all, the gods, the ele-

<sup>\*</sup> Ind. Stud. vol. i. p. 439. It is translated by Anquetil (Oupnekhat vol. ii. pp. 128-57) and by Weber into the German (Ind. Stud. vol. i. pp. 439-45),

<sup>†</sup> I use here, as well as in the first Pras'na, the term "matter" not in the sense of the substance which is extended, but in the sense of materials, from which any thing flay be formed.

ments, the creatures, the Védas, Prajápati, that is to say, it is the Creator. But then, this assertion at variance with what has been said before about the principal life, viz., that it is one of the organs : it is at variance with the third question, where (at its commencement) life, when produced from the soul, is said to have divided itself into the five vital airs, by whose actions the functions of the body are upheld. Here life evidently means the general function or power with regard to the vital airs, and is therefore not the soul or Prajapati; for this life is not higher than any of the other functions or organs. In which meaning then is the term life adopted here? Not in the meaning of m general function of the vital airs; for this would be in contradiction to the doctrine of all other Upanishads and of the Pras'na itself, since life is a function together with the other functions, and is not produced before the elements, the senses, &c.; we must therefore maintain, that life means here Prajapati, or Hiranyagarbha, the first production of Brahma. Yet after this decision, which is inevitable, there remains a surprise, why the creator obtained the name of life, and why he is described also as the life, from which those vital functions proceed, in evident contradiction to the other tenets, which could hardly escape the sagacity of the author of this Upanishad.

For the solution of this difficulty the idea suggests itself, that, antecedent to the time of the Upanishads, a doctrine was held, according to which life (as the general power of the vital functions) was considered as the principle, from which the creation sprung forth, and that, when it gave place to the doctrine, that all is founded on the soul, the name of life was retained as an equivalent of the creating power.\* It may then be considered as life which divided itself into those functions, although not only as life, but also, for instance, as the element which divided itself into five elements, or as organ of intellect which divided itself in a similar manner.

<sup>\*</sup> This view is confirmed by the fact, that in other Upanishads life is a name of Hiranyagarbha, the Creator; for instance, Kaṭha U. 4, 7, (vide also 2 Muṇḍ. 1, 3, and 3 M. 1, 4,) and 6, 2, in which latter place, according to S'ankara, it denotes even the supreme Brahma; further, that in the frequent description of the dispute among the organs (Brih. A'. 5, 3. M. 6; 1. K. Chands 7, 1,) life is invariably described as victorious.

Life again, or Prajápati, according to the third question, is founded on the supreme soul, and enters through the mind into the body. The remaining part of this question gives a specimen of the anatomical and physiological knowledge of the author, and makes an attempt to trace the functions observed in the microcosm of the human body to the macrocosm of the world.

The fourth question, which is free from mythological embellishments, and contains the substance of the doctrines of this Upanishad, describes, first, the three states of the soul, viz., the state of awaking in which all the organs perform their functions; the state of dream, in which only the mind, the highest among the senses, is awake, while the others have entered it, and apprehends the impressions, which remain from the actual perception of objects in the awaking state; and the state of deep sleep, when all the impressions have disappeared and the mind is more thought, that is to say, when the mind has cutered the soul, so that the soul is the foundation of all. Then follows a statement of the different creations or principles of creation, viz., of the five subtle and gross elements, (earth, water, light, air and other,) the five organs of intellect, the five organs of action with their respective objects, the mind, intellect, self-consciousness, thinking, light and life, and the objects of mind, intellect, &c. At its commencement, it will be observed, this series is made in the inverse order of the creation of the elements; but there appears no order of dependence, according to which the other parts from the eye to the mind are arranged; afterwards (viz. from mind to life) the succession appears again regulated by some specific view, but whether so, that the parts are arranged according to their dependence upon each other, or according to their respective excellence, is doubtful. It evidently differs from the order in the Katha Upanishad, in which there was a relation of dependence.

Brahms is then to be conceived in his relation to the world, where he is the creator in the way described before, and he is also to be considered independently of the world in his own nature, which is his true conception, and according to which he is without attributes, mere spirit.

Herewith the doctrinal part of the Upanishad is concluded, and the two last questions do not open any new view. The fifth explains the "Om," or the verbal symbol of the knowledge of Brahma in its reference either to the inferior or to the supreme Brahma. If the "Om" is meditated upon in its parts, the knowledge of Brahma is incomplete, and its ultimate result is that man born again; if it meditated upon as a whole, Brahma will be known in his absolute nature, and the effect is liberation from the world and absorption in Brahma.

# THE PRAS'NA UPANISHAD OF THE ATHARVA VEDA.

- 1. Sukesan, the son of Bharadvája, Satyakáma, the son of S'iva, Gárgya (a descendant from the Garga family), the grandson of Súrya, Kaus'alya, the son of As'vala, Bhárgava (a descendant of the Bhrigu family), the son of Vidarbhi, and Kavandhin, the son of Katya, all these, devoted to Brahma\* and firm in his worship, being searching for the supreme Brahma, approached, sacred wood in their hands, the adorable Pippaláda, (thiuking:—) he will verily explain all this.
- 2. The Rishi spoke to them:—Pass another year with austerity,† the duties of a Brahms-student (and) faith, (and then) ask any questions which you like. If we know, we will explain all to you.
- 3. Then (after the expiration of a year) Kavandhin, the son of Katya, approached (him) asking, whence are these creatures produced ?1
- He said to him: —Prajápati§ was desirous of offspring (Prajákáma). He performed austerity. Having performed austerity, he
- \* The inferior Brahma; Brahma, not considered in his absolute nature, but in relation the world.—S'
  - + Subjugation of the senses
- † The drift of the question is, according to S'ankara, what in the fruit of inferior knowledge and of works, either in their conjunction, or separation.
- § Prajapati (the lord of creatures) Hiranyagarbha, the soul of all, or the universal soul, distinct from the supreme soul
- # Performed austerity means, according to S'ankara, reflected at the commencement of this Kalpa on the knowledge remaining from his former birth, he reflected on the creation of the universe

produced a couple, matter\* and life† (with the intention): They shall in manifold ways produce offspring for me.

- 5. A'ditya (the sun) is verily life, matter even the moon; matter is this all, viz., what has form,‡ and what is without form.§ Hence (from this division)||-what is possessed of form a even matter.
- 6. Then A'ditya rising penetrates the eastern quarter; therefore he takes up into his rays the creatures in the east. Because he penetrates the southern, the western and the northern quarters, because (he penetrates) below, above, because (he penetrates) the intermediate quarters, because he manifests all, therefore he takes up into his rays all creatures.
- 7. This life, the soul of all creatures (Vaisvánara), the nature of all,\*\* the life, rises as fire (every day making the quarters like himself). This is recorded in the following Mantra of the Rig Véda:—
- S. "He (whom the wise know) as the nature of all, as the taker (of all), as omniscient (játavéda), as the supreme support, as the one light, ++ as the performer of austerity, he who sheds a thousand rays, the life, dwelling a hundred-fold (in the creatures), the sun rises."
- 9. The year (time) is verily Prajapati. It (the year) has two paths, the one to the south, the other to the north. Therefore those who worship it under the idea of work (that is to say, as

<sup>\*</sup> Matter, for which the equivalent terms in this Upanishad are the moon—food Vide p. 119 second note.

<sup>+</sup> Life identified with fire, the consumer.

<sup>‡</sup> What has form, the gross bodies.—S'.

<sup>§</sup> What is without form, the subtle bodies .-- S'.

<sup>||</sup> Before the division it was without form; || was then the same with the consumer

He makes them like himself.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Of all the spheres of the universe -A'. G

<sup>++</sup> The eye of all creatures.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Prajapati, considered as the union of the sun and the moon, of the consumer and the food.—S'.

<sup>§§</sup> The annual revolution of the sun six months to the south, and six months to the north

- finite) as oblations,\* and pious gifts,† obtain even the sphere of the moon; they return again. Therefore those who are desirous of heaven,‡ and those who are desirous of offspring,§ obtain the southern path (the moon). This food is verily the path of the forefathers.
- 10. Again, those who, through austerity, || by the performance of the duties of a Brahma-student, by faith, (and) knowledge, || comprehend themselves, \*\* obtain the sphere of A'ditya, †† by the northern path. This is verily the support of the creatures, this is immortal, this is without fdar, ‡‡ this is the supreme path. From thence none ever returns, for there is this exclusion. Here the following sloka is recorded:—
- 11. "Some call him § the father, | moving with five feet, ¶¶ possessed of twelve-fold form, \*\*\* who has abundance of rain, in the place higher than heaven. ††† Others call him all-wise, upon whom, like a chariot, drawn by seven horses, whose wheels have six spokes, ‡‡‡ (the whole world) is founded."
  - \* Oblations (ishta), according to A'nanda, fire-offerings, austerity, speaking of truth, understanding of the Védas, hospitality, oblation to the Visvadévas.
  - + Pious gifts (púrta), digging tanks or wells, building of temples, giving of food, &c -- A'. G
    - # Thus I rendered Rishi after S'ankara's explanation.
    - § The house-bolders.
    - If The overcoming of the senses.
    - ¶ Whose object is Prajápati,
    - \*\* I am the life, the sun, the foundation of the world.
    - ++ They obtain the state of Prajapati, the life, the consumer, A ditya
    - 11 It makes immortal and without fear.
    - §§ A'ditya (the sun) in the form of the year.
    - M. As the Creator of all.
  - ¶¶ The five feet are the five seasons instead of the common number of six; winter (hémanta) and the cold season (a'is'ira) being here considered one —S'.
    - \*\*\* The twelve months.
  - ††† Heaven means here the atmosphere, and it is therefore the place higher than the atmosphere, or the third heaven. The sun has abundance of rain, as producing it from water.—S'.
    - 111 Seasons.

- 12. The month is Prajápati,\* its dark half is even matter,† its light half, life (A'ditya, the consumer, fire). Therefore; these Rishis offer oblations in the light half, others in the other.
- 13. Day and night are Prajapati; his day is even life, his night even food. Those verily dry up life who by day enjoy love; those who enjoy love by night are considered as fulfilling the duties of a Brahma-student.
- 14. Food is verily Prajapati; hence the seed; hence are created the creatures.
- 15. Therefore all (the house-holders) who follow that vow of Prajapati (vide v. 13) produce a pair (son and daughter). This world of Brahma\*\* will be obtained by those who practise austerity, the duties of a Brahma-student, (and) in whom truth dwells.
- 16. That world of Brahma, ++ which is free from dust (decay), will be the share of them in whom there is no crockedness, no lie, no delusion.
- \* That is say, Prajapati in the form of the year gets his completion by his part, the month. He is the month, considered as before, as a couple.
  - + Food, the moon.
- # Because they behold in life the nature of all, and without life nothing is seen in the dark half.
  - & Although they do it also in the other .- S.'
- I In the dark half, although they may actually do it in the other; for they do not see life, and behold only nature in its darkness.—S'.
  - T As the visible effect of fulfilling that law.—S.'
- \*\* Of the moon, it is called the world of Brahms, because it is a part of Prajápati, Brahma.—S'.
  - ++ The world of A'ditya.

## Second Pras'na.

- 1. Then Bhargava, the son of Vidarbhi, asked him:—O venerable, how many deities (organs) uphold the creature (the body)? How many (of them) manifest that (greatness of their own)? Who again is although them the greatest?\*
- 2. He answered him:—Those deities verily are the ether, the wind, the fire, the water, the earth,† speech, mind, eye, and ear‡ (upholding the body). They manifesting (their power), disputed among each other, (saying:—) I, even I, uphold this body by my support.
- 3. To them spoke the principal life:—Be not lost in delusion. I even, five-folds dividing myself, uphold this body by my support.
- , 4. They did not believe. It (the principal life) through pride went out (of the body), as it were, from above. When it went out, all the others (the senses) went out, and when it remained, all the others remained. As all the bees go out, when their king goes out, and as all remain, when he remains, so also speech, mind, eye, and ear. Satisfied (thereby) they praise life:
- 5. "This (life) as fire burns, as the sun it (shines), as Parjanya (it rains), as Maghaván (Indra it reigns); it is the wind, it is the earth, the food, the god (of all the world), all that is and is not, || and immortal."
- 6. As the spokes on the nave, so all (the whole body) is founded on life; (it is) the Mantras of the Rig, of the Yajus,

<sup>\*</sup> In the first question life has been set forth as the consumer, as Projapati, To answer how his nature as Projapati (as the universal life) or as the consumer is to be comprehended in this body, the second question is commenced. -- S'.

<sup>†</sup> The five gross elements, being the foundation of the body.

<sup>§</sup> Dividing itself into the five airs of respiration, of the ascending and descending airs, and of the airs of circulation and assimilation.

<sup>|</sup> That has form and that is without form.

The cause of the continuation of the gods.—S'

and of the Sama Védas, the oblations,\* the Kahatra and the Brahma.†

- 7. Although Prajápati,‡ thou movest in the womb, thou art born. For the sake of thee, O life, who dwelleth together with the aenses, those creatures offer oblations (food).
- 8. Thou art the principal dispenser (of the offerings) among the gods. Thou art the first food of the fore-fathers; Thou art also the real object of the Rishis, (and) of the vital functions which are the essence of the members.
- 9. Thou, O life, art Indra (the supreme god) by (thy) power, thou art Rudra,\*\* (thou art) the Preserver. Thou movest in the atmosphere as sun, thou (art) the lord of splendours.
- 10. When thou (as Parjanya) showerest rain, these creatures inhale life, ++ (then) they rejoice, expecting, there will be food according to (our) wish.
- 11. Thou art a Vrátya, ‡‡ O life, the only Rishi, the consumer, § § the good lord of the world. || || We are the givers of food; thou, O Mátaris'va, art our father. ¶¶

<sup>\*</sup> Which are to be accomplished by the Mantras.

<sup>+</sup> The preserver of all, as it is the Kahatra among men. The Brahma who has material the accrifices and other ceremonics.—S'. Vide Kath U. 2, 25.

<sup>#</sup> The Birat, the first production of the mundanc egg-

<sup>§</sup> At the celebration of the Sraddha

<sup>||</sup> Of the senses, Rishi from the root Rinks, going, obtaining, because the senses are the producers of knowledge.—A'. G.

<sup>¶</sup> Angirasu, vide. B. A'. 1st Adh. 3, 4.

<sup>\*\*</sup> By the destruction of the world.

<sup>++</sup> According to the reading "propate." If this is divided into propa, to, the rendering would be: Then these thy creatures, O life, rejoice, &c.

<sup>‡‡</sup> A Vrétya is a Brahman, in whose youth the customary observances have been emitted, and who has not been initiated with the hely thread. The sense is: Then are not initiated, because there was none to perform those rites; then art hely by thy own nature.

As fire, which among the Atharvanas is known as the Ekarishi, the one Rishi, the consumer of all sacrifices.

Or the lord of all that exists; or, if "Vis'vasya" is connected with "attá," the consumer of all, the lord of what exists.

<sup>¶¶</sup> Métaris'vs, the wind. This passage may also be rendered: Thosart the father of Métaris'va (of the wind).

- 12. Make propitious thy body, which abides in speech, which abides in the ear, which abides in the eye, and which pervades the mind.\* Do not go out.
- 13. All that (is here on earth) and all that is in the third heaven, is placed under the sway of life. † Like a mother her children, protect us, grant us prosperity and wisdom."
- \* The body which abides in speech, is the descending air (Apána), by which speech I produced; that which abides in the ear, II the circulating air (Vyána), which abides in the oye, the air that goes forward (Prápa), which abides in the mind, the equalising air (Samána), by which determination, &c. is regulated · A' G
  - + Life as the Ruler of all, Prajapati.

# Third Pras'na.

- 1. Then Kausalya, the son of As'vala, asked him: \*—O venerable, whence is born this life, (when born) how does it enter this body,† (when it has entered) how, dividing itself, does it abide, how does it go out (of the body), how does it maintain (its) external‡ relation, and how its relation to itself (to the soul)?
- 2. He answered him:—Thou askest difficult questions; thou art a great enquirer after Brahma; therefore I will explain to thee (for what thou hast asked).
- 3. From the soul is born this life. As a shadow (is cast) by man, so this (life) is expanded upon that (Brahma, the cause). By the action of the mind || it enters into this body.
- 4. As a king commands his officers: Govern these or those villages, so ordains life the other vital airs¶ to separate and separate work,—
- 5. The descending\*\* air to the organs of excretion and generation. Life itself†† abides in the eye and ear, in the mouth and nose, but in the midst‡‡ the equalising air; for it carries (every where) equally
- \* After I has been determined, that the nature of Prajapati, of the consumer, &c., belongs to life, another question is asked, in order I ascertain the manner in which it should be worshipped—A'. G.
  - + This means, What for does it assume a body !-S'.
  - 2 The relation to the elements and deities.
- § Bramanistha is explained by S'ankara with Brahmavid (a knower of Brahma).
- || By work which is accomplished through the action of the mind, that is to say, by virtue or vice.—S'. Weber translates "by the will;" but S'ankara's explanation appears to be right, because it is afterwards expressly said that by their actions people obtain different bodies.
- ¶ S'ankara explains "pránan" more generally "organs," but the following verses, work assigned only to the vital airs.
  - \*\* The air which takes away utine, &c.
- ++ Prana, life, the air that goes forwards, which is inhaled and exhaled, which regulates the functions of respiration, the closing of the eye, &c.
- 11 In the midst of the descending air and the air of respiration, in the navel; it is the air, by which food and drink is digested, and carried equally all parts of the body for assimilation.

what has been offered;\* from this (air) proceed these seven flames.†

- 6. For the (ether of the) heart is verily that soul. There (arise) the hundred and one (principal) arteries; each of them is a hundred times divided; 72,000 are the branches of every branchartery; within them moves the circulating air.
- 7. Again, the ascending air (udána)∥ rising, leads through one of them ¶ to the holy place by holy work, by sin to the place of sin, by both to the world of man.
- 8. A'ditya\*\* is the external air of respiration; for he rises for the benefit of the air of respiration†† which is in the eye.‡‡ The (presiding) deity of the earth§§ arrests|||| the descending air of man. The ether (air) which is between¶¶ (heaven and earth) is the equalising air. The wind (the common, external air) is the circulating air.
- \* "What has been offered," what has been eaten and drunk. This air is here compared with the fire, which consumes the sacrificial food, and equally distributes it among the gods.—S'.
- + Continuing in this comparison, seven flames are ascribed to the equalising air as to the fire. Commencing from the heart, this fire of digestion arises in seven flames, the openings or entrances in the head, viz. the two eyes, ears, &c...-S'. The seven flames of the fire are mentioned in Mund. Up. 1, 2, 4.
  - $\pm = 10,100$
- \$ = 727,200,000. The whole number of arteries would therefore be 727,210,201. A'nands, who gives the total, has in the fifth place instead of 1 a 6, ovidently by the mistake of a copyist.
- || The ascending air rises from the sole of the foot to the crown of the head.
  - ¶ The Susumna, the coronal artery
  - \*\* As the presiding deity.
  - ++ In its relation to the soul .-- 8'.
  - ## He benefits the eye by giving it light to perceive colours.—S'.
  - M Wire
- III It arrests or attracts it, and according to S'ankara, it wowing this attraction, that the body is prevented from falling down, in consequence of heaviness, or from going upwards by the opposite power.
- ¶¶ A'kás'a, according to S', is the air which is between heaven and earth, and distinct from the other which pervades all the spheres of creation. Thereby it corresponds to the equalising air, which is also in the midst of the air which descends and the air which goes forward.

- 9. The ascending air is splendour;\* therefore, when his splendour† is subdued, (man obtains) another body together with his senses, which have entered the mind.
- 10. With the understanding! (the individual soul) enters life (Prana). Life, united with splendour, together with the soul, leads it (the soul) to its appointed world.
- 11. The offspring of him who, thus knowing, knows life, does not perish, (and after death) he becomes immortal; here the following memorial verse (is recorded):—
- 12. Whoever knows the origin,\*\* the entrance,†† the locality‡‡ and the five-fold power of life,§§ enjoys immortality 1 whoever knows this, enjoys immortality."
- \* Splendour is here the external splendour in general, and therefore distinct from the splendour of the sun, which is special.—S'.
  - + His vigour at the time of his death.
- # With the particular disposition of the understanding, or mind, the result of his life which a man has at the time of his death.—S'.
- § The principal life, that is to say, the principal life continues at the time of death, when all the other organs have cessed to perform their functions; for people say, he breathes, he lives.—S'.
  - | With the ascending air.
  - In consequence of its good or bad acts
  - \*\* Of life from the supreme soul
  - ++ Of life into the body, by the effect of virtue or vice in a former life.
  - \$\$\ In the different parts of the body.
- Mamely, its descending power in the organs of excretion and generation, the power of respiration in the eye and ear, the equalising power, the power of digesting and assimilating in the navel, the circulating power in the heart and blood-vessels, and the ascending power in the Susumna, or acronal artery, together with the presiding deities of these powers, viz., the sun, the fire, the ether, (in the sense above determined,) the wind and splendour.—A'. G.

# Fourth Pras'na.

- 1. Then Gargya, the grandson of Surya, asked\* him:—O venerable, which (organs) sleep† in this body? Which are awake therein?‡ Which among them is the god (the organ) who sees the dreams?§ Whose is that happiness?¶ Upon whom are all (those organs) founded?
- 2. He answered him:—As all the rays of the sun, when setting, become one in that disc of light, and as they are dispersed, when he again and again rises, so this all (produced by the organs and their objects) becomes one in the highest god (sense), in the mind (at the time of sleep). Therefore,\*\* at that time the soul (Purusha) does not hear, nor see, nor smell, nor taste, nor touch; it does not speak, nor take, nor enjoy itself, nor evacuate, nor move; it even sleeps, it is said.
  - 3. (While the organs are at rest,) the fires of the vital airs + are
  - \* In the first three questions the object of the inferior knowledge, the world, as manifested, as being in the connexion of cause and effect, translent, &c., has been treated. In the following questions the nature of the soul is to be explained, as being independent of cause, proof, incapable of becoming an object of the mind or the senses, as blissful, unchangeable, indestructible, true, and be comprehended by the highest knowledge.—S'.
    - + Rest from their functions
    - ‡ Perform, when awake, their functions.
    - § The subtle objects, which the soul perceives, when the senses are at rest.
    - The happiness of profound sleep.
  - While they are sent forth from the mind to perform their functions in the time of awaking.
    - \*\* Because they have become one, and cease from their work.
  - ++ In this and the next verses the vital airs are compared with the five fires of the householder. They are—1. The garhapatya, (the household) fire. This is we kept burning for the whole life of the householder, and the other fires are to be lighted by it. we placed to the south-west. 2. Dakshin-agni, the southern fire, by which the offerings to the gods of the fore-fathers are performed. 3 The shavanniya fire, by which is offered to all the deities. Its place is to the east. 4 The sabhyagni, by which secondary offer-

awake in this town.\* The ascending air is the household fire, because the air of respiration (Prana), which is (like the fire by which is) offered, is taken (pranivate) from the (ascending air, as the sacrificial fire is taken from) the household fire, which is therefore called Pranayana (from which is taken); the circulating air is the (southern) fire, by which the sacrificial food is prepared;

- 4. Inspiration and expiration are the two oblations, the air which distributes them equally is the equalising air.‡ The mind is the sacrificer; the fruit of the sacrifice is even the ascending air; it leads the sacrificer day by day to Brahma.§
- 5. Then that god (the mind) enjoys in dream power. Whatever seen, he sees again,\*\* he hears again all the objects that have been heard, he enjoys again and again, what has been enjoyed (by him) in other countries and places. What is visible (in the present birth) and invisible (in another birth), what is heard and not heard, what is enjoyed and not enjoyed,†† all is beheld, all is beheld by the one who is all.

ings, connected with the former, are performed. It is placed to the north-east; and 5. The dvasatyagni, by which offerings are made to the Visvédévas, and the duily meals prepared. It is placed to the north-west.

- \* The body, compared to a town of cleven (or nine) gates, on account of its eleven openings. Vide Katha U. 5, 1.
- + Because it issues from the heart through the gate of the artery = the right (dakhiya).
- ‡ Like the Hotar, who takes the two oblations to the sacrificial fire. Therefore, says S'ankara, the wise is even in sleep performing the fire-offerings, that is to say, he is never without work, which, however, he adds, is only said in his praise; for in reality there is no distinction in this respect between him and any other man, as in every one the same functions take place.
  - § To heaven .- S'.
- # When all the senses are asleep, and the vital airs awake for the preservation of the body.
- The mind, having collected all the senses into its own self, like the aun the rays.
- \*\* It sees in dream again what has been seen in the time of awaking by the impressions that remain.
- †† S'ankara has here as a part of the text "Shadeha, ashachoha" which he explains, what | true and what | an illusion.

- 6. When he becomes overwhelmed with light,\* then that god (the mind) does not see the dreams;† at that time rises that happiness (of deep sleep) in the body.
- 7. As the birds, O beloved one, repair to a tree to dwell there, so indeed this all repairs to the supreme soul—
- 8. (Viz.) The earth and the subtle elements (mátrá) of earth, water and the subtle elements of water, the light and the subtle elements of light, the air and the subtle elements of air, the ether and the subtle elements of ether, the eye and what is visible, the ear and what is audible, the smell and the objects of smell, the taste and what is tastable, the skin and what is touchable, speech and what is speakable, the hands and what is seizable, the organs of generation and what enjoyable, the after and what may be evacuated, the feet and what is moveable, the mind and what is an object of the mind, intellect and what is an object of intellect, self-consciousness and what is an object of self-consciousness, thinking (chitta) and what is an object of thinking, light\* and all that may be illuminated, life and all that is to be supported.
- 9. For he is the beholder, the toucher, the hearer, the smeller, the taster, the minder, the intelligent, the agent, the being whose
  - \* With thought, when all impressions have disappeared.
- + Because the gate of seeing is closed by splendour, there is no especial thought; or because all has become one and the same thinking, no especial thought = perceived; this answers the state which = called profound sluep.—S'.
  - # That is to say, the gross and the subtle elements.
  - § The organs of intellect and action and their objects.
  - Intellect, whose nature is to determine, to ascertain.-S'.
  - ¶ The internal organ, considered as self-consciousness.—S'.
  - \*\* The internal organ considered as thinking -S'.
- ++ Light (téjas), the same word, as used above for the element of light, and explained by S'ankara to mean the subtle cuticle, which, beside the special organ, at the cause of perception. But why should this be mentioned here? It rather refers the internal organ, of which mind, intellect, self-consciousness and thinking are parts.

nature is knowledge, the spirit (Purusha).\* He is founded on the supreme, indestructible soul.

- 10. The supreme, indestructible (being) is obtained. Whoever, O beloved one, knows this (being) which is without shadow, without body, without colour, which is shining,† indestructible,—becomes omniscient, all. Here applies this Sloka:—
- 11. "Whoever, O beloved one, knows the indestructible (soul), on which (the being) whose nature is knowledge, and together with all the gods; the vital airs and the clements are founded, gets omniscient, penetrates all."
- \* He is Purnaha, says B'., because he fills out all the said spheres, which are combinations of cause and effect.
  - + Without any differences
- ‡ Agni, &c, according to S'ankars, but more probably the senses, as no mention has been made before of the gods.

## fifth Pras'na.

- 1. Then asked him\* Satyakáma, the son of S'iva:—Which of the worlds gains he who among men has unceasingly (tad)† meditated on the word "Om" until his departure from life?
- 2. He said to him:—O Satyakams, the supreme and the inferior Brahma are both the word "Om.". Hence the wise follows by this support one of the two.
- 3. If he meditates upon one letter, being enlightened thereby, he is quickly born on the earth. Him carry the Mantras of the Rig|| to the world of man. There, devoted to austerity, the duties of a Brahma-student (and) faith, he enjoys greatness.
- 4. Again, if he meditates in his mind on two letters (A, U,)¶ he is elevated by the Mantras of the Yajur to the atmosphere; he (obtains)\*\* the world of the moon. Having enjoyed power in the world of the moon, he returns again (to the world of man).
  - \* This question is intended to determine the rule for the meditation on the word "Om," by which the inferior and supreme Bruhma are obtained,—S'.

The fourth question having set forth, how the competent enquirer obtains the unchangeable Brahma, by the knowledge of the meaning of the great sentence (tat-tvam, Brahma-individual soul) after the determination of the terms, the fifth question is designed to explain the meditation on the word "Om" for the obtainment of Brahma by an enquirer, who is not yet perfect, and has not yet theroughly subdued his senses.—A'. G.

- + "Tad" is here to be taken as an adverb, and means " in such a wonderful manner." -A'. G.
  - ‡ Vide Katha U. 2, 16
- § According to Sankara, if he medidates on the first (A) of the three letters (A, U, M) of which the word Om (= AUM) is composed, but it appears to be more reasonable to explain it by any of the letters (either, A, U, or M), as one letter has no preference to the other; for if one knows, for instance U, without knowing the A, his knowledge is not advanced, and if it mecassary to know A before the knowledge of U, the knowledge of U is a result from the knowledge of A.—We learn from A'manda Giri that different interpretations were made already at his time.
  - || The A, the first letter of Om, is like the Rig Véda.-S'.
  - ¶ Or, according to S'ankara, on the second letter (U).
  - \*\* After his death.

- 5. Who again meditates by three letters, by the word "Om," on the supreme soul, is produced in light, in the sun.\* As the snake is liberated from the skin, so gets he liberated from sin. He is elevated by the Mantras of the Sama to the world of Brahma (Hiranyagarbha). (There) he beholds the soul, which is greater than the great totality of the individual souls, and which is pervading (all) bodies. Here the following two memorial verses are recorded:—
- 6. There are three letters, (A, U, M), subject to death, designed (for the meditation of the soul), they are designed (either) connected among themselves, (or each) designed for (meditation on) a special object. When the external, internal, and intermediate actions,† are fully directed (to their objects), then the wise does not tremble.

<sup>\*</sup> After these words. Weber in his translation adds "and having enjoyed there power, he returns again. Who again meditates on the supreme spirit by the whole word of Om, that is to say, by its three parts and a half, he is, by the Atharyan produced in the highest splendour." .... And in a foot-note he says: "Thus runs the text in I with Anquetil, and in A (of the first handwriting) . . . . It is very difficult to decide the question, whether these words were originally part of the text, or have been added afterwards. At any rate, in an Upanishad of the Atharva, when the Védas are mentioned, we naturally look out for the Atharvan itself. Moreover, the sound 'Om' is commonly represented in the Upanishads = three and a half Matras (beside a + u + m the vindu). Lastly, the two verses, quoted at the conclusion, in which the three Matras, as the three Védas, are mentioned, might be assigned as the reason, that the preceding centence was dropped at a later time. On the other hand, from the very same reason it might be argued, that this sentence did not precede; and, further, against its genuineness it may be alleged, that (1, 10.) Pippalada expressly mentions the Suryaloka as the place from which no return takes place, and that, in the same work, no direct contradiction in doctrine must be presumed. I am therefore inclined to consider this passage as a later addition of those who were reluctant to miss the mentioning of the half matre and of the Athervan." I also consider the addition spurious, on the grounds adduced by Dr. Weber, and moreover, because I do not find any sufficient reason, why S'ankara should have omitted it.

<sup>†</sup> The three actions of the Yoga meditation on the soul in the state of awaking, dreaming, and profound sleep, corresponding to the Vis'va and Vais'vanara, the Hiranyagarbha and Taijasa, and to the Prajna and I's'varatma.—S'. Anquetil refers it to three kinds of pronunciations, viz., the loud pronunciation, the pronunciation which is made mentally, and that which is muttered.

7. The wise obtains by the Mantras of the Rig this (world of man), by the Mantras of the Yajur the atmosphere, (the moon) by the Mantras of the Sáms that which the sages know (as the world of Brahma), (he obtains) this (threefold world) by the word "Om" as means (and) even the highest (Brahma) who is without strife, without decay, without death and without fear."

# Sixth Pras'na.

- 1. Then asked him Sukés'a, the son of Bharadvája:—O Venerable, Hiranyanábha, a prince of Kosala, came (once) to me and asked this question: Knowest thou, O Bháradvaja, the spirit of sixteen parts? I said to the youth:—I do not know him. If I knew him, why should I not tell thee? Whoever tells what is not true, dries verily up together with his root; therefore I cannot tell what is not true. Having in silence ascended his car, he went away. I ask thee about him, where does abide that spirit?
- 2. He said to him:—O gentle (youth), in this internal body\* abides the spirit, in whom these sixteen parts are produced.
- 3. Het reflected:—Who is it, by whose departure (from the body) I shall depart, and by whose staying, I shall stay!
- 4. He created life,‡ from life faith§, the other, the air, the light, the waters, the earth, program, mind, food, \*\* vigour, †† austerity, Mantras, works, the worlds (the effect of works), and upon the world's name.
- 5. As the flowing, sea-going rivers, 11 when they have reached the sea, are ambhilated, as their names and forms perish, and only the name of sea remains, so the sixteen parts of the witness (soul) which are going to the soul (as the rivers to the sea), when they have reached the soul, are annihilated, their names and forms perish and only the

<sup>\*</sup> In the ether of the heart.

<sup>.</sup> The supreme soul

<sup>;</sup> Life, Hirabyagarbha, the foundation of the organs of all the living creatures.—S'.

<sup>§</sup> Faith the cause, that all living being, enter upon works for their welfare. Thus explains S'. "faith;" in this sense it would be hardly a principle of production, and more probably corresponds to "intellect."

<sup>|</sup> That is to say, the five gross elements.

The five organs of intellect and the five organs of action.

<sup>\*\*</sup> For the preservation of the creatures. -S'.

tt To undertake works,-S'.

<sup>†‡</sup> The same comparison occurs in 3 Mand 2, 8.

name of soul remains; it is (then) without parts, it is immortal. Here follows this memorial verse:—

- 6. "Let man know the spirit, who ought to be known, in whom the (sixteen) parts abide, as the spokes in the nave (of the wheel), in order that death may not pain you."
- 7. He (Pippalada) said to them (to his disciples):—Thus far I know the supreme Brahma, there is nothing higher than him.
- A 8. They honoured him and spoke:—Thou art our father, who carries us over the infinite ocean of our ignorance.—Salutation to the supreme Rishis, salutation to the supreme Rishis.

### INTRODUCTION.

THE Mundaka Upanishad\* contains three parts (Mundakas), each of which is subdivided into two sections (Khandas). The first defines the science of Brahma and that of the Védas; the second gives a sketch of the science of Brahma, i. e. it describes Brahma in his own nature and in his relation to the world, and explains the means by which a knowledge of him is obtained; the third describes these means more fully, and shows the results of this knowledge, which, however, are also adverted to in the former parts.

There are two sciences, according to the first Mundaka, the lesser and the highest. The former is founded on the four Védas and the six Védángas (accent, ritual, grammar, glossary, prosody and astronomy); the latter refers to Brahma, that being who is incomprehensible to the organs of action and intellect, without qualities, all-pervading, and likewise the author of the elements. The creation, proceeding from him, is subject to him, part of him, and as much a necessary effect arising from him as the web which the spider casts out and draws in,—the plants on the earth, or hairs on the body. Then follows the order of creation. From Brahma is

→ Vide Weber's Ind Stad. vol. 1. pp. 279-80, where he says: "Mandek (with Anquetil) Mundaka pp. 375-94. .. This Upanishad is translated from the original ... by Windischmann, (1698—1706,) who thus briefly and exactly gives its contents:—'Two sciences, the higher and the lesser—the illuminating and glorifying sacrificial fire—the observance of rites and knowledge—the greatness of the universal soul—the grief at the chains of man and the joy at his liberation—the perfection in Brahma'. . . . The name of Mundaka II evidently to be explained by the root mund 'to shave,' viz., he that comprehends the doctrine of this Upanishad, is shaved, that is to say, liberated, from all error and ignorance. A similar name of another Upanishad is Kshurika, 'the razor.'" An English translation of this Upanishad is given in the l'attvabodhini l'attrika, vol. 1. pp. 356—60; and Dr. Weber has commented on it in his Ind. Nucl. vol. 1. pp. 270—236.

produced food, (which, according to S'ankara, is the unmanifested state of the individual souls, but may as well mean the same as the "unmanifested one" in the Katha,) and from food, life (Hiranyagarbha), mind, existence (the five elements), the worlds, works and immortality.

- 1. The inferior science refers to works, enjoined by the Mantras. Those works are offerings to the gods, which must be performed with faith, and according to the appointed time and manner. If man performs them, he obtains the Brahma-world or heavenly bliss; if he does not perform them, he is deprived of the worlds of happiness. The Upanishad evinces here a high opinion of ceremonies, and even a superstitious zeal in the description of the sacrificial fires, the pouring out of the melted butter, and the seven tongues or flames of the fire. However, this is merely affectation; for it is subsequently 'acknowledged, that these rites, their performers, and the worlds, which are the results of these rites and other human actions, are transient, and that those who think that the performance of rites is the last aim of man, are greatly deceived; they are called fools, ignorant and blind, and the consequence of their belief is, that they are miserable, unhappy, subject to decay and death, and lose heaven again, being born either on the earth, or in worlds yet lower, after the effects of the works by which they gained heaven have ceased. If one has subdued his senses, if he has comprehended that all the worlds are created and finite, and if through this knowledge he renounces the world, he is a competent student of the science of Brahma.
  - 2. It is considered that the object of the highest science is Brahma. The exposition which follows differs little from that at the commencement of the first Mundaka. (v. 6.) Brahma, from whom the individual souls issue like sparks from fire, is a spirit, all-pervading, without cause, unchangeable, not to be comprehended in any finite forms, and without attributes. From him are produced life, mind, all the organs, other, air, light, water and earth. He is the inner soul of all beings (the Virát). The description of that which arises out of Brahma, is then given without apparent order, and in conclusion it is enjoined that all is spirit, and that by knowing Brahma man becomes liberated.

- 3. How becomes Brahma manifest, he not being manifest by any thing else-for all things are manifested by him? The answer is: Brahma is manifest; for he is within ourselves and, in fact, within all that exists. The principal means of obtaining a knowledge of him is to meditate by the word "Om" on the soul which is to be identified with Brahma. Here it is again repeated (2, 2, 5,) that he is the foundation of the heavens, the earth, the atmosphere, the mind and the organs, that he is soul alone, and his existence in the body only a form of manifestation of him. The soul is all-wise, omniscient; it is within the heart, where it manifests itself as mind and as the ruler of the senses; it manifests all and is all. If a man has a knowledge of the soul, for him all work ceases and all doubts disappear. Knowing the infinite Brahma, he becomes identical with him, and his whole existence and mind is devoted to him. Brahma is not comprehended by the senses, nor by devotion or rites, and on account of his subtle, and at the same time infinite, nature he passes the understanding of ordinary minds which are always affected by the senses; but he is comprehended by intellect purified through knowledge and meditation. This knowledge is not the effect of reading or understanding the Védas, but is gained when the meaning of the Védánta is understood, and when, together with the renunciation of the world, there is concentration (Yoga) of the intellect.
- 4. The effect of such a knowledge is complete liberation. Then the soul retires from the world; it concentrates all its parts within itself and becomes identical with Brahma; like rivers, when flowing into the sea, become one and the same with it. He who knows Brahma, becomes Brahma.

The similarity between the Katha, Pras'na and Mundaka, not only in mode of exposition, but also in the images and in whole passages, is very striking; more especially is this the case between the Mundaka and Katha and between the Mundaka and Pras'na Upanishads. Which of them was the original, or what relation they bear to any other sources, it is impossible to decide. This much, however, may be said, that the Pras'na bears evident marks of compilation; the passages, which it has in common with the Mundaka, are in the Pras'na not in their proper connexion, and there can be hardly

a doubt, that its composition is of a later date than that of the Mundaka, and this is confirmed by the fact, that the doctrine of the Pras'na is already in a more advanced state, as it makes mention not only of the elements, but also of their radiments (Máttrá). The second Katha, as has been shown in its introduction, is also rather a compilation than an original work. Such is not the, case with the first Katha, where ideas and expressions are in perfect harmony, and appear to be determined by one and the same leading idea; yet even here the legend, which introduces the philosophical contents, is borrowed from another source, so that of the three I am inclined to assign priority in time to the Mundaka.

The doctrine of the absolute Brahma, of his relation to the world and the individual soul, and of the last aim of man, has nearly reached its consummation in those three Upanishads, at least as regards its extent, not its form. The form, on the contrary, in which it is given, appears generally arbitrary. The doctrine is represented without doubt, and by simple assertion. How we come to this or the other idea, or how any particular idea is the inevitable result of reflection, is seldom explained, so that the links between our common knowledge and the knowledge of Brahma seem wanting. However, there are such links, and they give us glimpses into the origin and developement of the system. To the authors of the Upanishads themselves it must have appeared unnecessary to describe the process which led them to the notion of Brahma, either, because they were only the late expositors of a system which originated long before them, or because in the dawn of philosophical thought it is found more easy to give the result of researches than the researches themselves.

The principal link which connects our common knowledge with the notion of Brahma, and which is found in those three Upanishads, and, indeed, more or less clearly exhibited, in all the Upanishads, is the reflection that the world is transient, that it is an effect and must have a cause which is of a heterogeneous nature. If, under the guidance of this thought, we survey our ideas of external things and of ourselves, as they are conceived previous to philosophical reflection, and if at the same time we bear in mind the views of the Upanishads, we may without difficulty reconstruct the chain of

thought leading to the notion of Brahma, who is absolute existence, knowledge and bliss. I give here an outline of such an attempt, with a view of showing that the idea of Brahma, which, as represented in the Upanishads, often appears without any support, is not a mere production of fancy, but connected with our common ideas, however incapable it may be of becoming the foundation of our knowledge.

1. The idea of infinite existence is taken from external things. These are at first, that is, previous to reflection, believed to exist: but existence soon recedes from them; they show themselves as effects and causes, as produced and producing, as changed and disappearing. We observe that all external things are composed of a limited number of elements; they change in form and in quality; earth is dissolved into water, water into heat, fire into air, and air into ether, which latter, although itself not perceptible to the senses, is recognised by its quality-sound. There is a corresponding change from ether to air, and so on to earth, so that none of these elementary beings is unchangeable, and therefore cannot really be said to have any existence. The same is the case with the elements which are termed "aubtle," as explained in page 3. It is thought that the body is supported by certain airs, as, for instance, by respiration; for life is destroyed when they cease to act, showing thereby, that the "gross" body depends upon them, and that they themselves are changeable. Subtler than those airs are the senses; for they cannot be perceived like the vital airs; but, in all of them obtains the same liability to change, and all derive their existence from something else. They are effects indicating a cause. Proceeding then from effects to causes which are again effects, we arrive at last, materially speaking, at something which is not effect, viz. indistinguishable matter, but yet, if we reflect, we shall perceive that this also is not an Independent Cause, for a changes; and the effect of that change is not something else, but itself; it is therefore after the effect another, and yet the same. Consequently, it cannot be said that those existences connected among each other as causes and effects, really exist; for none of them are exempt from change. To break through this circle, we must look for an existence which does not change,

or depend upon another, and is always the same and likewise the cause of those changeable existences. This must be something which cannot be perceived by any sense and is without the attributes found in objects which are perceptible. Here every change ceases; here the mind can rest; here that faith may find root which we seek in vain among the flecting things of the world.

- 2. We proceed to the idea of Brahma as Absolute Knowledge. We claim a knowledge of external as well as of internal objects. This knowledge appears at first well defined; it is the knowledge by which our actions are regulated, and by which we maintain our very existence in conflict with other existences. But, then, knowledge is transient; as it is produced in one moment, it disappears in another, and the things of which it is a knowledge, are also transient; it is therefore a transient knowledge of transient things. And this defect cannot be avoided, as we find the same change in our whole experience. If we proceed from external to-internal things, the difference, if any, is not great. There are first our senses; but they have relation to something else; they know nothing by themselves, and above all, they depend even for their knowledge upon the mind, for the latter is an indispensable medium of perception. Is the mind then a Final Cause? Far from it; for mind is also finite, and shows its dependence upon something else by the fact, that in deep sleep the mind itself is without manifestation. Our human knowledge, therefore, limited as it is, has but reference to a knowledge which is infinite. Having arrived at this conclusion, if we again reflect on our own nature, we find within us a permanent element to which all the modifications of knowledge refer. It is the self which hears, sees, minds, and knows, which does not disappear with the different acts of knowledge, which is unaltered in all those acts, and without which they were themselves impossible. It is in one word our self, the soul, which, as such, is mere Knowledge in the abstract, free from any limits, and independent of the objects of knowledge.
- 3. A practical want leads to the same notion. It is in the nature of man to strive after happiness; but all the happiness which he can gain by his actions is only of limited duration. The enjoyments of the senses are transient, and the senses themselves are

worn out by too much enjoyment; further, sin generally accompanies those enjoyments, and makes man unhappy beyond comparison with the happiness derived from them. Even if the pleasures of this world are enjoyed as much as their nature admits, if they are as intense, as various, and as uninterrupted as possible, yet old age approaches, and with it death. And the enjoyments of heaven are in reality not more enviable than these pleasures of sense; they are of the same nature, although more unmixed and durable; moreover, they come to an end; for they are gained by actions; and as these latter are finite, their effect must also be finite. In one word, there is necessarily an end to all those enjoyments, and what avails it to strive for pleasures which, we know, cannot satisfy us beyond the moment of enjoyment. It is therefore in the nature of man to look out for an unchangeable happiness, and which must come from a being in which there is no change. If such a being can be found, it is only from him that man can obtain an unatterable happiness, and, if this be so, this being must become the sole object of all his aspirutions and actions.

This happiness is not to be obtained from the gods, as described in the Védas; for they are themselves subject to passion, misery, defeat and destruction, and cannot give what they have not themselves; it must be sought in the soul, which is unchaugeable, and if we compare the various degrees of happiness, we find the following facts: that in our search for happiness among external things, we are invariably deceived; for no external thing remains in our power: the less we have of external pleasure, the more exempt are we from misery: the happiness which we derive from mental action is infinitely higher; for first, the senses, the instruments of transient happiness and misery, are subdued; and secondly, in knowledge itself is found a more intense and durable hanpiness. Happiness, then, appears to be in intimate union with knowledge; the purer the knowledge, the greater the happiness, and vice versa, and we arrive necessarily at the notion of happiness without bounds, which is found in infinite knowledge.

Here we have arrived at the notion of the infinite Brahma; for, —to combine the results of these reflections,—a finite knowledge

must have for its basis infinite knowledge, which latter we have seen to be identical with infinite bliss. Does this differ from infinite existence which we found first, or, to speak in a more modern phrase, are there two absolute natures? To knowledge must, at all events, be assigned existence, and so to happiness, and the existence extends as far as this knowledge and happiness, that is to say, it is infinite, and therefore the same with them. Further, we arrived at the notion of infinite existence by the thought, that all the material existences must ultimately be based upon something not material, and we called this, in want of a more appropriate name, existence; now, we have found this in the soul, and can substitute it for the notion of infinite existence. And lastly, we do not find the soul in a sphere different from matter, but in the same; for within every material being we find soul, and where soul, also matter; and as the soul shows itself in every respect absolute and independent, while matter is every where fluite and dependent, we are compelled to consider the soul as the cause upon which the existence of matter, in its various forms, depends. therefore the being of infinite existence, knowledge and bliss.

In giving this exposition, it was my intention to show, that the ideas by which the notion of the absolute Brahma is arrived at, are much more connected among themselves and with our common experience than they at first appear. It is not difficult to discover the errors which are inherent in arguments of this kind, and we shall take occasion to expose them when we review the whole system of the Upanishads.

# THE MUNDAKA UPANISHAD

## OF THE ATHARVA VE'DA.

## First Mundaka

#### FIRST SECTION.

- 1. Brahmá, the creator of the universe, the preserver of the world, was first\* produced† among the gods. He taught the sciences of Brahma,; the foundation of all sciences, to Atharva, his eldest son.
- 2. Atharvan revealed of old the science of Brahma had explained to him, to Angis; he explained it to Satyaváha of the family of Bharadvája, who revealed the science, traditionally obtained by the succession of teachers, || to Angiras. ||

<sup>\*</sup> First, -- may also refer to excellence; in this case the version would be, "the principal of the gods,"

<sup>+</sup> He was produced, that is to say, manifested, by his own act, not as the other creatures in consequence of their virtue or vice —S'.

<sup>‡</sup> S'. remarks that "Brahmavidya admits of a double explanation; it either denotes the science of Brahma, the science of the absolute spirit, or the science which has been revealed by Brahma the first-born, and this science is of course the science of Brahma."—The latter interpretation, though etymologically unobjectionable, is hardly admissible, and would owe its origin rather to a mythologist than to a philosopher

<sup>§</sup> For it is the cause, that all other sciences are manifested .-- 8"

<sup>&</sup>quot; Paravara" means either the science obtained successively, or the supreme and the lesser science.—S'.

Other lists of teachers are given in other Upanishads.

- 3. The son of S'anaka, the great house-holder, approached, according to the rite, Angiras, asking him :— Who is it, O venerable, by the knowledge of whom this all becomes known?\*
- 4. He said to him:—Two sciences must be known, thus tell us the knowers of Brahma, the highest and the lesser.†
- 5. The lesser comprehends the Rik, the Yajus, the Sama and the Atharva Védas, accentuation, ritual, grammar, glossary, prosody and astronomy. Again the highest is the science, by which that indestructible (Brahma) is comprehended.
- 6. He is the invisible,‡ unseizable\$ being, without origin, without distinction, || without eye or ear, without hand or foot,¶ the eternal, pervading,\*\* omnipresent,†† subtle, inexhaustible being, whom the sages behold as the source of the elements.
- 7. As the spider easts out and draws in (its web), me on the earth the annual herbs are produced, as from living man the hairs of the head and body spring forth, so is produced the universe from the indestructible (Brahma).
  - 8. By devotion ‡‡ is Brahma concentrated, hence food §§ in pro-
- \* Who is the cause of the world, by the knowledge of whom his effect, the world, is comprehended.—S'.
- +The highest is the science of the supreme spirit, the lesser the science whose object is so show the cause of virtue and vice and their consequences.—S'.

S'ankara guards here against a misconception of the answer. At first view it appears that the answer does not agree with the question; for it was asked, who is it, by the knowledge of whom this all becomes known? And the answer is: Two sciences must be known &c. This, says S'ankara, a necessary fault, because the full answer can only be given after the exposition is completed; for it will be shown, that the lesser science is in truth ignorance, it teaches nothing real, and must therefore be rejected.

- Invisible, -incomprehensible to the senses of intellect.
- § Unseizable,—unapproachable to the organs of action.
- Without qualities,-by which things are distinguished.
- Without the organs of intellect and of action.
- \*\* In all beings,—from Brakmá to immoveable matter.—S'.
- ++ Omnipresent,-like the ether.
- \*\* Tapas (devotion) means here knowledge, (reflection,) the knowledge of the power of creation, preservation and destruction —S'.
- Food, anna, the general unuanifested state of the individual souls in their state of a desire to set. S'

duced, from food life,\* mind,† existence,‡ the worlds,§ (works|| and) from works immortality.¶

- From him who is all-wise,\*\* omniscient, whose devotion (tapas)
  has the nature of knowledge,†† are produced this Brahmá,‡‡ name,
  forms and food.
  - Life,—Hiranyagarbha, the soul of the world.—S'.
  - + Mind,-manas, which determines, mistakes, doubts and defines.-S'.
  - ‡ Existence,—satya, the five elements. -S'.
  - § The seven worlds
  - || Performed by man, &c
- I Immortality, the effect of works, viz. immortality only comparatively speaking, which continues as long as the effects of the works continue.
- \*\* Sarvajna (all-wise) means, according to S'aukara, who knows all generally; and Sarvavit, (omniscient) who knows all specially.
  - †† That is to say, which is without any effort .- S'.
  - ‡‡ Hiraşyagarbha.

#### SECOND SECTION.

- 1. This is the truth:\* The works† which the wise beheld (revealed) in the Mantras were in the Trétá Yuga‡ performed in manifold ways. (Therefore) you that desire the proper fruit (of your works) practise them (now) always.—This is your road for (obtaining) the world of your actions.
- 2. When the bearer of the sacrifice (the fire) is kindled, when the flame flickers, then let (the sacrificer) throw the offerings between the two portions of melted butter. With faith must be offered.
- 3. By a sacrifice,—which is not accompanied with the rites on the day of the new-moon, or on the day of the full-moon, or every four months, or in the autumnal season, or where no guests are invited, or which is not done in proper time, or which is performed without the rite to the Viavadévas, or against the regulations,—a person is robbed of the seven worlds.
- 4. The seven flickering tongues of the fire are:—Kálí (the black one), Karálí (the terrific one), Manojavá (swift as the mind), Sulohitá (the very red one), Sudhúmravarņá (of purple colour, Sphulinginí (emitting sparks), and the Vis'varupí (all-ahaped) goddess.
- 5. Whoever performs works, when those (tongues) are shining, and (performs them) in due time, is taken by the offerings in the

<sup>&</sup>quot; In the first section the inferior and higher sciences have been defined; the second is intended to show their objects, which are the world and the supreme Brahma; at first the inferior science is described, because, without knowing it, there cannot be a desire to abandon it.— S'.

<sup>+</sup> Fire-offerings, -- S'. &c.

<sup>‡</sup> Beside this explanation of "Trétéyém" S'ankara gives another, vis. that it means also the triad of agents employed at sacrifices, the Hotar, Adhvaryu, and Udgétar. Dr. Weber mentions a third interpretation, given by Anquetil, (Ind. Si. vol. i. p. 282)—"Anquetil explains it by: et in quibusque tribus Beid (Védis) illa opera extensa explicata sunt; accordingly the Atharva would, with regard — observances, refer to the three more ancient Védas, while — claimed for itself the Brahma-vidyé; but at the commencement the Atharva-Véda — expressly counted as one of the lesser Vidyas."

<sup>§</sup> The words "s'raddhaya hutam" are not in the text published in the Tattvabodhini Puttrika.

<sup>||</sup> Vide Pras'na U. 3, 5.

form of the rays of the sun, and carried to that (world), where the one lord of the gods\* abides.†

- 6. "Come, come," thus saying, the resplendent offerings carry the sacrificer by the rays of the sun, honouring him and saluting him with the welcome word: "This is your holy Brahma-world, obtained by your desert."
- 7. Perishable (and) transient, are verily the eighteen supporters; of the sacrifice, on whom, it is said, the inferior work depends. The fools who consider this (work) as the highest (object of man), undergo again even decay and death.
- 8. In the midst of ignorance, fools, fancying themselves wise and learned, go round and round, oppressed by misery, as blind people led by a blind.
- 9. Living in various ways in ignorance, youths imagine, we have obtained our end. Because the performers of work from attachment (to the world) are not wise, therefore, suffering unhappiness, they lose heaven, when the fruit of their works has become nought.
- 10. Fancying oblations and pious gifts (to lead to) the highest (object of man), fools do not know any thing (as the cause of the) good. Having enjoyed (the fruit of their works) on the high place of heaven, which (high place) they gained by their actions they enter (again) this world or one that is lower.
- 11. Those again who, with subdued senses, with knowledge and the practice of the duties of a mendicant, in the forest follow austerity and faith, \*\* go, freed from sin, through (the grace of) the sun†† (to the place), where abides that immortal spirit; ‡ of inexhaustible nature.
  - \* Indra, according to Sankara.
- + Compare this with Pras'na U. 5, 2—5, where man a carried to different worlds by the Mantres of the Védas.
  - # Namely sixteen priests, the sacrificer and his wife.-8'.
- The same with Katha U. 2, 5, except that the Katha has "dandramys maná;" for "janghanyamáná,"
  - il Ishta, púrta, "oblations, pious gifts." Vide Pras'na U. 1, 9.
  - Wide Katha U. 5, 7.
  - \*\* Faith in Hiranyagarbha, the creator.—8'.
  - ++ By the sun, that iii to say by the northern path. Vide Pras'na U. 1, 9.
- ‡‡ Hiranyagarbha. The 11th Mantra does not refer to the supreme, bu to the inferior Brahma

- 12. Let the Brahmana after he has examined the worlds, gained by works, renounce the world, (by the reflection that) there mothing that is not created, because it is the effect of work. For the purpose of knowing that (which is not created), he approaches, sacred wood in his hand, a teacher, who knows the Védas and who is solely devoted Brahma.
  - 13. Let then the wise (teacher) explain that (pupil) who approached him according to the rite, whose mind is at rest and whose senses are subdued, according to the truth the science of Brahma, by which he knows the indestructible true spirit.

# Lecond Mundaka

#### FIRST SECTION.

- ?. This is the truth: \* As from a blazing fire† in thousand ways similar sparks proceed, so, O beloved, are produced living souls of various kinds from the indestructible (Brahma), and they also return to him.
- 2. He is verily luminous, without form, a spirit, he is without and within, without origin, without life, without mind, he is pure and greater than the great indestructible one.
- 3. From this (Brahma) are produced life,\*\* mind, and all the organs, ether, air, light, the water (and) the earth, the support of all.
- 4. He, whose head is the fire, †† whose eyes are the moon and the sun, whose ears the quarters, whose revealed word the Védas, whose vital air the mind, whose heart the universe, from whose feet the earth (sprang forth), is the inner soul of all beings. ‡‡
- \* The first Mundaka gives an exposition of the inferior science, the second intended to describe the science of Brahma.—A'. Both commence with the declaration, that it is truth which they teach; but, enjoins S'ankara, the truth of the highest science is very different from the truth of the leaser; the latter is in fact no truth, but rather ignorance, while the former is truth in the full sense of the word.
  - + Vide Br. A.', 2, 1, 20.
- ‡ Divys means, secording to S'., either "luminous," because he himself light (or knowledge), or "divi switmani bhava," produced, existing in heaven that is in his own self, or "heavenly."
- § In this is implied, that he is not produced, that he does not exist in time, that he does not change, not increase or decrease, and I not liable to destruction.—S'.
  - || Without attributes, identical throughout, mere knowledge.
  - This Brahms in his unmanifested state.—S'.
  - \*\* Hiranyagarbha.--S'.
  - tt The heavens.—S'.
  - ## The Virat.

- 5. From him\* is produced the fire whose fuel is the sun; from the moon† Parjanya, (from him) the annual herbs on the earth; (nourished by them) man emits seed upon the wife; (thus) many creatures are produced from the spirit.
- 6. From him (emanated) the Mantras of the Rik, the Sama and the Yajur Vedas, the initiatory rites,‡ the burnt offerings,§ all the sacrifices, || the donations,¶ the year\*\* and also the sacrificer, (and) the worlds in which the sun and moon purify.††
- 7. From him also were produced in many ways the gods, the Sádhyas, ‡‡ men, quadrupeds, birds, the vital airs that go forward and descend, rice and barley, devotion, faith, truth, the duties of a Brahma-student and observance.
- 8. From him proceed the seven senses, \$\square\$ the seven flames, \$\|\|\|\| the seven kinds of fuel, \$\P\$\$ the seven sacrifices,\*\*\* these seven places \$\pma\$++
- \* Heaven, Parjanya, the earth, man and woman, are here represented as five fires.—A'.
  - † The moon, as produced from the fire of heaven.
- Dikehé, according to S'., certain rites, preliminary to the sacrifices themselves, as the taking of kusa-grass, &c.
  - a In which melted butter is offered.
- !! Sacrifices, where animals are fastened to posts, as S'. expresses it, because animals were not always offered at those sacrifices.
- ¶ Donations of one cow up to the bestowing of the whole property after the sacrifice to the attending priests.—B'.
  - \*\* As part of the time and the rites .-- 8'.
- ++ The rewards of the ignorant and knowing performers, the one to be obtained by the Southern, the other by the Northern path. Vide Pras'na U. 1, 9.
  - ## A kind of gods.
- Prina is here the term for "senses." That it means here "sense" and not "vital air," is evident from its attribute "seven," which would not agree with vital air. The senses are called seven, because there are seven orifices in the head which lead to them.—S'.
- ||| The seven fixmes, the power of the senses = manifest their respective objects.—S'.
  - ¶¶ The objects of the senses.
  - \*\*\* The knowledge of those objects.
  - ††† The places of the senses.

in which the vital airs move that sleep in the cavity (of the heart) and that, always seven, are ordained (for every living being).

- 9. Thence (proceed) all the seas and mountains; from him proceed the rivers of every kind, thence all the annual herbs, the juice\* by which, together with the elements, the inner body† is upheld.
- 10. Spirit alone is this all, the works, austerity. Whoever knows this supreme, immortal Brahma as dwelling in the cavity (of the heart), breaks, O gentle youth, the bonds of ignorance.

<sup>\*</sup> The juice of five kinds, sweet, bitter, &c.

<sup>†</sup> The subtle body, according to the Védánta, consisting of the three sheaths of intellect, of the mind and of life. Vide p. 3.

#### SECOND SECTION.

- 1. (This Brahma\* is) manifest, near, dwelling verily in the cave,†
  (is) the great goal; on him is founded all that moves, breathes and closes the eyes. This you know as what exists and not exists,‡ as what is to be adored,§ as what is beyond the knowledge of the creatures, || as the greatest.
- 2. As luminous, as more subtle than what is subtle, on which the worlds are founded and their inhabitants. This (is) the indestructible Brahma, this life, this speech and mind. This is true, this is immortal, this, O gentle one, know as (the aim) to be pierced (by thee).
- 3. Seizing as his bow the great weapon of the Upanishad, (let man) put (on it) the arrow, sharpened by devotion, attracting with the mind whose thought is fixed upon that (Brahma). Know, O beloved, that indestructible (Brahma) as the aim.
- 4. The sacred word ("Om") is called the bow, the arrow the soul, and Brahma its aim; he shall be pierced by him whose attention does not swerve. Then he will be of the same nature with him (Brahma), as the arrow (becomes one with the aim when it has pierced it).
- 5. On him are based the heavens, the earth, the atmosphere, the mind with all the organs. Him ye know as the one soul alone. Dismiss (all) other words; he is the bridge to immortality.
- 6. Within (the heart), which the arteries enter as the spokes the nave of the wheel, he moves—becoming manifold.\*\* You meditate on him by the word "Om." Be welfare to you that you may cross over the sea of darkness.

<sup>\*</sup> How becomes Brahms, who is without form, an object of knowledge, and which are the means of producing it !—S'.

<sup>+</sup> In the heart of all living creatures.

<sup>#</sup> According to S'ankara's explanation, the gross and subtle bodies.

<sup>§</sup> Or desired.

<sup>||</sup> Of common people.

This verse explains what is meant by the bow, &c .- 8'.

<sup>\*\*</sup> By the manifold modifications of socing, hearing, wishing, &c.

- 7. The soul which is all-wise, omniscient, whose glory (is manifested) in the world, is placed in the divine town\* of Brahma, in the ether (of the heart); 
  is of the nature of the mind, the ruler of life and of the body, placed in food.† The wise, concentrating the heart, behold by the knowledge of him (Brahma) that whose nature appears as bliss, is immortal.
- 8. The bond of the heart is broken; ‡ all doubts disappear, and his works cease (to bear fruit), when (the being) who is supreme and not supreme, § is seen.
- 9. In the golden (luminous) highest sheath || the knowers of the soul know the Brahma who is without spot, without part, who is pure, who is the light of lights.
- 10. There¶ (as to Brahma) the sun does not manifest, nor the moon and stars, there do not manifest those lightnings, how then should manifest this fire? When he is manifest, all is manifested after him; by his manifestation this whole (world) becomes manifest.
- 11. This immortal Brahma is before, Brahma behind, Brahma to the right and to the left, below and above,\*\* all-pervading, Brahma is this all, is this infinite (world).

<sup>\*</sup> The mind, according to S'ankara.

<sup>+</sup> In the nutrimentitious sheath,-S'.

<sup>‡</sup> Vide Katha 6, 15.

<sup>§</sup> Supreme and not supreme, considered as cause or affort, according to S'ankara's explanation.

In the sheath of intellect.

The same as in Katha 5, 15; and in S'wet. U. 6, 12.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Vide Pras'na U. 1, 6.

# Third Mundaka

#### FIRST SECTION.\*

- 1. Two birds,† always united, of equal name, dwell upon one and the same tree. The one of them enjoys the sweet fruit of the figtree, the other looks round as a witness.
- 2. Dwelling on the same tree (with the supreme soul) the deluded soul (the individual soul), immersed (in the relations of the world), is grieved by the want of power; but when it sees the other, the (long) worshipped ruler as different (from all worldly relations) and his glory, then its grief ceases.
- 3. When the beholder beholds the golden-coloured author (of the world), the lord, the spirit, the source of Brahms,‡ then, shaking off virtue and vice, without spot, be obtains the highest identity.§
- 4. "This life! shines forth as all the beings;" The wise who thus knows, does not speak of any thing else; his sport is in the soul, his love\*\* and action are in the soul; he is the greatest among the knowers of Brahma.
- 5. The soul must verily be obtained by the constant (practice) of truth, of devotion, of perfect knowledge, (and) of the duty of a Brahma-student. He whom the sinless devotees behold, is verily in the midst of the body, of the nature of light, is pure.

<sup>\*</sup> S'ankara describes the contents of this section, as follows:—The knowledge, by which the supreme Brahma is obtained, has been explained, and also the concentration, by which he is comprehended. In this section the means which accompany concentration, are described.—According to my opinion, rather all the means by which a knowledge of Brahma is obtained are here set forth.

<sup>†</sup> The two first verses occur also in the S'vét. U. 4, 6-7.

<sup>‡</sup> Or : Brahma, who make source (of the world).

<sup>§</sup> An identity with Brahma, where no distinction remains.

<sup>||</sup> The supreme Ruler.—vide p. 2.

<sup>¶</sup> From Brahma down to inanimate matter. S'.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sport, according to S'., refers to external, love to internal, actions.

- 6. Truth conquers alone, not faischood. By truth is opened the road of the gods, by which the Rishis whose desires are satisfied, proceed, (and) where the supreme abode of real truth (is found).
- 7. This (being of Brahma) mere subtle than what is subtle,\* it shines in various ways, it is more distant than what is distant, and also near in this body; for the beholders it dwells even here in the cave.
- 8. It must apprehended by the eye, not by speech, not by the other senses,† not by devotion or rites; but he, whose intellect is purified by the light of knowledge, beholds him who is without parts, through meditation.
- 9. This subtle soul is to be known by thinking, into which life, five-fold; divided, entered. The organ of thinking, of every creature is pervaded by the senses; that (organ) purified, the soul manifests itself.
- 10. Purified in intellect, (man) gains that world and those desires which he imagines in his mind. Therefore let a man who is desirous of prosperity worship him who knows the soul.

|| Brill. A'. 1, 4, 15.

<sup>\*</sup> Katha U. 2, 20. + Katha U. 6, 12. ‡ Vide Pres. U. 2, 3. § "Chittam," here, according to S'ankara, for "antakkaraṇam," the internal organ of the Védénta.

#### SECOND SECTION.

- 1. He\* knows this supreme Brahma, the place, founded on which the whole world thines in glory. The wise who, free from desires, adore the man (who thus knows the soul) will not be born again.
- 2. Whoever fancying forms desires, is by his desires born here and there; (but) for him whose desires are satisfied, and who has recognised the soul, vanish even here all desires.
- 3. The soul cannot be gained by knowledge (of the Véda,) not by understanding it, not by manifold science. It can be obtained by the soul by which it is desired. His soul reveals its own truth.
- 4. The soul cannot be obtained by a man without strength, nor by carelessness, nor by devotion, nor by knowledge which unattended by devotion; but if the wise strives with those supports, then enters the soul the abode of Brahms.
- 5. ‡When the Rishis who are satisfied with knowledge, who have acquired (the knowledge of) the soul, who are without passion and placid in mind, have obtained him, then wise and with concentrated mind, every where comprehending the all-pervading (soul), they enter it wholly.
- 6. Those, who have ascertained the meaning of the knowledge derived from the Védánta, who by the Yoga which renounces all worldly concerns, are striving (for emancipation) and whose intellects are purified, all those at the time of their final death enjoying the highest immortality in the worlds of Brahma become fully liberated.
  - \* He who is parified in intellect.-vide, p. 162. v. 10.
- + Thus I translated in accordance with S'ankara's explanation of this passage; but it appears to me at variance with the strict sense of the words, as he refers the relative "yam" to another subject than its antecedent "tens." I would therefore prefer the following rendering. It (the supreme soul) can be obtained by him (the individual soul) whom it choses; it (the supreme soul) choses as its own the body of him (of the individual soul). The whole verse occurs also in the Katha U. 2, 23.
  - # How Brahma entered ! The answer is :-S'.
- § This Mantra taken from the Taits. A'. z. 12, 3—vide Weber's Ind. St. vol. i. p. 288.
- || Means the one Brahma, the plural is here used, according to S'., because Brahma, although one, perceived as manifold.

- 7. (Then) the fifteen parts\* (of the body) enter into their elements, and all the organs into their presiding deities; (then) th actions and the soul, which resembles knowledge, become one i the (being which is) great, inexhaustible (and) all.
- 8. As the flowing rivers come to their end in the sea, losing nam and form, 7 so, liberated from name and form, proceeds the wise I the divine soul, which is greater than the great.
- 9. Whoever knows this supreme Brahma, becomes even Brahms In his family there will be none ignorant of Brahma; he overcome grief, he overcomes ain, he becomes immortal, liberated from th bonds of the cave (heart).
- 10. This is recorded in the following Rik:—Let (the teacher) communicate this science of Brahma to those who are performers of cere monies, versed in the Védas and devoted to Brahma, who them selves offer with faith oblations to the one Rishi (the fire), and by whom the observance to bear fire on their heads has been performed according to prescribed rite.
- 11. The Rishi Angiras communicated this truth before (to the sol of S'unaka). Let none read this who has not performed the (fire rite. Salutation to the great Rishis, salutation to the great Rishis

<sup>\*</sup> The fifteen parts, mentioned in the Pras'. U. 6, 4.—S'.

<sup>+</sup> The soul under the attribute of intellect, which m produced by ignorance.—S'.

<sup>‡</sup> With slight variations in the Pras'na.—U. 6, 5.

## INTRODUCTION.

THE contents of the Mandahya Upanishad,\* divested of their abatruse phraseology, are as follows. Brahma, the soul or spirit, comprehends all things, both objects of perception and those which perception cannot reach. Brahma, or the soul, has four modes of existence, the waking state, the state of dreaming, the state of profound sleep, and a fourth state different from any of the former. In the waking state the soul through the senses is in actual contact with the material world of sense; in the state of dreams, it is conscious through the mind of the impressions which remain of the former state; in profound sleep no desire, no thought, I left, all impressions have become one, and only knowledge and bliss remain. It is in this state, that Brahma becomes creator, that all things proceed from him, and are again absorbed in him. In the fourth state Brahma returns to his absolute and infinite nature having no relation to ought else.

The word "Om" is the verbal representative of Brahma, and the means by which the idea of Brahma is conceived. The parts of which it consists, vis. the letters A, U, and M, correspond with the different modes of existence of Brahma: thus A. with his complete manifestation (the waking state), U. with his internal manifestation (the state of dreams), and M. with his existence when not manifested (profound sleep), or when all effects, the whole universe, have not proceeded beyond their final cause. The word "Om," its separate parts having disappeared, as soon as pronounced, corresponds to Brahma in his absolute and infinite nature.

By meditation on "Om" in its last form man becomes identical with Brahma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> This Upanishad ■ translated by Dr. Weber in his ■ Ind. Studien" vol. ii., pp. 107-c. Vide also his remarks on it l. c. pp. 100-7.

From the form in which it is represented, I think, that the Mandukya is one of the latest among those Upanishads which exhibit the infinite spirit in its primitive notion, unmixed with sectarian views. There is here no enquiry of any kind; the system is complete, and described in terms which indicate the absence of all doubt or uncertainty as to its truth. The introduction of many of the technical terms of the Védánta, with no other object than that of making as complete an enumeration of the names as possible, indicates that this Upanishad is taken from various sources, and that it addresses itself more to a blind disciple than to an intelligent enquirer. How far a is wanting in the spirit of research, a shown by the high veneration in which the word "Om" is held. It is represented not as a means, or as the best means of comprehending Brahma, but identical with him, proving thereby, that truth was sought rather by meditation on symbols than on the ideas to which they referred. The only trace of a more profound mode of thought is found in the order in which the modes of Brahma's existence are described; for the commencement is made with the mode or state, in which reflection first rises, and it is concluded with the last result to which that reflection has attained

# THE MANDUKYA UPANISHAD OF THE ATHARVA VEDA.

- . "Om" this is immortal. Its explanation is this all; what was, t is, and what will be, all is verily the word "Om;" and every g else which beyond the threefold time; is also verily the d "Om."
- . For this all (represented by "Om") is Brahma; this soul is hma. This soul has four conditions.1
- . The first condition is Vaisvanara, s whose place II in the wakstate, whose knowledge are external objects, who has seven nbers, who has nineteen mouths, \*\* (and) who enjoys the ss (objects).
- . His second condition is Taijass, †† whose place is in dream,

According to S'ankara's explanation the rendering would be as follows:

m" this sound (or immertal) is this all. Its explanation (should be wn). What was, what is, and will be, all is verily the word "Om."

The avyakţa (unmanifested) &c.—S'.

Literally is four-footed.

Vis'vénara, vis'vésém naráném anékadhé nayanét (from his ruling in lifold ways all men) yadvé vis'vas'chésau naras'ohs (or from his beall and man).—S'.

A knowledge different from his self.

The seven members are: His head the heavens, his eye the sun, his athing the wind, his centre the other, his place for urine the water, his the earth, and his mouth fire.—S'. Anquetil gives the five senses, mind, I intellect as his seven members.—Weber's Ind. Stud. vol. ii. 107.

\* According to S'. the nineteen doors of perception, vis. the five organs of ellect, the five organs of action, the five vital airs, mind, intellect, consciousness and chittam. According to Anquetil the sixteen kalá i the three guna, and by the sixteen kalá he means the five elements, the senses, the five organs of action and the mind.

+ He is called Tayasa, because his object a knowledge without objects, ose nature is more manifestation.—S'.

whose knowledge are the internal objects,\* who has seven members, nineteen mouths (and) enjoys the subtle (objects).†

- 5. When the eleeper desires no desires, sees no dream, this, I sound eleep. His third condition is Prijna! (who completely knows) who has become one, whose knowledge is uniform alone, whose nature is like bliss, who enjoys bliss, and whose mouth is know ledge.
- 6. He (the Prajna) is the lord of all; he is omniscient, he is the internal ruler; he is the source of all; for he is the origin and destruction of (all) beings.
- 7. They think the fourth him, whose knowledge are not internal objects, nor internal, nor both,\*\* who has not uniform know ledge,†† who is not intelligent and not unintelligent,‡‡ who is

Neither of these explanations appears to me here called for, but chetch mukha to be taken rather in its literal sense "whose mouth | knowledge in accordance with the expression in the third and fourth Mantras | unavin s'atimukha" (who has nineteen mouths).

<sup>•</sup> The impressions remaining in the mind which, independent of the sonsor perceived in dream.—8'.

<sup>+</sup> The above impressions.

<sup>‡</sup> Prájna (sarvavishayajnátritam naya éva iti Prájna:) who has a know ledge of every object, according to S'.; the derivation of the commentator of the Védánta Sára (Ramakris'hna Tírtha), on the other hand, is práyénájna prájna, prájna is a person who is nearly ignorant. (V. S. p. 58.) In the present Upanishad, however, Prájna has the sense which S'. ascribes to it.

<sup>§</sup> Through want of discornment, says S'., because a true uniformit cannot exist in that state. Prajnághana is in the Védánta Sára (p. 98 explained by Prajnánaikarasa, the one nature of knowledge.

<sup>||</sup> Not bliss, but like bliss, because it is not eternal.—S.

The chetomakha it a called, because conscience (chetas) the doc (makha) to understand the dream, &c., or conscience, characterised by it tellect, his door to enter the state of dream, &c.,—S'. And A'nanda G. add "there would be no such things as dream and the waking state independent of the state of profound aloop, because they are the effects of the latter."

<sup>\*\*</sup> Whose knowledge are not internal objects, nor internal, nor both successively, prohibits to think Brahma as Taijasa, as Vis'va, and as being i the state between waking and dream.—S'.

<sup>++</sup> Prohibitory of profound aleep .- S'.

<sup>‡‡</sup> The first denies that Brahma knows at once all objects, the secon that he ■ without consciousness.—S'.

avisible, imperceptible, unseimble,\* incapable of proof, beyond hought, not be defined, whose only proof is the belief in the oul,† in whom all the spherest have ceased, who is tranquil,§ lissful, and without duality.

- 8. This soul depends upon the word "Om," which depends upon its parts. The conditions (of the soul) are parts (of the "Om"), these parts conditions. (Those parts are) the letters A, U, and M.
- 9. Vais'vanara, who abides in the waking state, is the letter A, the first part, (either) from pervading\*\* (aptéh), or from its being the first (letter).†† He verily obtains all desires and is the first who thus knows.
- 10. Taijasa who abides in dream, is the letter U, the second part, from its being more elevated;; or from its being in the midst. §§ He verily elevates the continuance of knowledge, and becomes the like (to friend and foe) and has no descendant ignorant of Brahma who, thus knows.
- 11. Prájna (the perfect wise) who abides in deep sleep, is the letter M, the third part, from its being a measure (mitch||||||||), or from
  - \* Beyond the reach of the external organs.—S'.
- + Or; who = to be obtained by the belief, that in the three states the same soul exists.—S'.
- Denies the absence in Brahma of any of the attributes belonging to the waking state, is dream, and sound sleep,—S'.
  - f Free from change.--8'.
  - Without distinction .- B'.
  - ¶ Parts, (mátra) a mátra is a short vowel or syllabic instant.
- . \*\* A'pte: for vyipte: pervading; for all the words are pervaded by the letter A as the world by Vais'vinara —S'.
  - †† As A the first of letters, so Vais'vanara the first of creatures.—S'.
- ‡‡ Ut-karshat, more elevated than the letter A, as Taijasa more elevated than Vais'v≤nars.—S'.
  - Of the letters A and M, as Thijasa is between Vis'va and Vais'vanara.
- III Because Taijasa and Vis'va are measured by the Prajna, by their entering and leaving the state of destruction and birth, as barley is measured by a Prastita (a small kind of measure).—S'.

					ü		
Page,	129	line,	10	for	equalizing.	read	equalising.
	136		22	.00	Bramanistha,	20	Brahmieths.
29	.31	35	28	.00	Susumna,	20	Sushumné.
33	132	32	27	after	" equalising power	at" add	f " or."
33	_	22	29	for	Summan,	read	Sushumná.
40	133		7		diec,	27	disk.
	Man .	. 22	26	39	awaking,	33	waking.
99	134	83	36	37	awaking,	23	waking.
**	-	**	87	29	shadcha ashud- cha,	**	eachcha asaoli cha.
44	135	22	13	P3	after,	п	anus.
,,,	137	13	12	29	Yajur,		Yajue.
D	-		32	,,,	Gíri,	39	Giri.
33	138	33	36	.23	awaking,	20	waking.
**	140	26	16	.91	world's,	99	worlds.
**	162	3.6	1	39	conquere alone,	88	alone conquera.
**	-	,, 9	, 29, 30	,32,33,,,	Vais'vánara,	in	Vis'vanara.
10	-	39	20	39	antakkaraņam,		antahkaranam.
39	167	.89	. 7	23	Vaisvanara,	30	Vie'vánara.
99	168	**	27	9.0	characterised,	2.5	characterized.